

University of Wollongong - Research Online

Thesis Collection

Title: The emotion style of aggressive-rejected children

Author: Catherine Jane Bajgar

Year: 2006

Repository DOI:

Copyright Warning

You may print or download ONE copy of this document for the purpose of your own research or study. The University does not authorise you to copy, communicate or otherwise make available electronically to any other person any copyright material contained on this site.

You are reminded of the following: This work is copyright. Apart from any use permitted under the Copyright Act 1968, no part of this work may be reproduced by any process, nor may any other exclusive right be exercised, without the permission of the author. Copyright owners are entitled to take legal action against persons who infringe their copyright. A reproduction of material that is protected by copyright may be a copyright infringement. A court may impose penalties and award damages in relation to offences and infringements relating to copyright material.

Higher penalties may apply, and higher damages may be awarded, for offences and infringements involving the conversion of material into digital or electronic form.

Unless otherwise indicated, the views expressed in this thesis are those of the author and do not necessarily represent the views of the University of Wollongong.

Research Online is the open access repository for the University of Wollongong. For further information contact the UOW Library: research-pubs@uow.edu.au

2006

The emotion style of aggressive-rejected children

Catherine Jane Bajgar
University of Wollongong

Follow this and additional works at: <https://ro.uow.edu.au/theses>

University of Wollongong

Copyright Warning

You may print or download ONE copy of this document for the purpose of your own research or study. The University does not authorise you to copy, communicate or otherwise make available electronically to any other person any copyright material contained on this site.

You are reminded of the following: This work is copyright. Apart from any use permitted under the Copyright Act 1968, no part of this work may be reproduced by any process, nor may any other exclusive right be exercised, without the permission of the author. Copyright owners are entitled to take legal action against persons who infringe their copyright. A reproduction of material that is protected by copyright may be a copyright infringement. A court may impose penalties and award damages in relation to offences and infringements relating to copyright material.

Higher penalties may apply, and higher damages may be awarded, for offences and infringements involving the conversion of material into digital or electronic form.

Unless otherwise indicated, the views expressed in this thesis are those of the author and do not necessarily represent the views of the University of Wollongong.

Recommended Citation

Bajgar, Catherine Jane, The emotion style of aggressive-rejected children, PhD thesis, School of Psychology, University of Wollongong, 2006. <http://ro.uow.edu.au/theses/526>

NOTE

This online version of the thesis may have different page formatting and pagination from the paper copy held in the University of Wollongong Library.

UNIVERSITY OF WOLLONGONG

COPYRIGHT WARNING

You may print or download ONE copy of this document for the purpose of your own research or study. The University does not authorise you to copy, communicate or otherwise make available electronically to any other person any copyright material contained on this site. You are reminded of the following:

Copyright owners are entitled to take legal action against persons who infringe their copyright. A reproduction of material that is protected by copyright may be a copyright infringement. A court may impose penalties and award damages in relation to offences and infringements relating to copyright material. Higher penalties may apply, and higher damages may be awarded, for offences and infringements involving the conversion of material into digital or electronic form.

**THE EMOTION STYLE OF AGGRESSIVE-REJECTED
CHILDREN**

A thesis presented in fulfillment of the requirements for the degree

Doctor of Philosophy

from

University of Wollongong

by

Jane Bajgar, BSc (Hons)

School of Psychology

2006

CERTIFICATION

I, Jane Bajgar, declare that this thesis, submitted in fulfillment of the requirements for the award of Doctor of Philosophy, in the School of Psychology, University of Wollongong, is wholly my own work unless otherwise referenced or acknowledged. The document has not been submitted for qualifications at any other academic institution.

Jane Bajgar

27th March, 2006.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This has indeed been a long journey. Thank you to all who have helped me get here. Primarily I would like to thank my supervisor, Professor Frank Deane. His patience, determination and consistency helped keep me on course. I have gained considerably from Frank's knowledge and experience in conducting research projects and still admire his ability to spot the gems among the rubble. I would like to extend warm thanks to Dr Peter Caputi for his continued statistical support over the years. Thank you to Dr Joseph Ciarrochi for his enthusiastic support of the LEAS-C project. Special thanks also go to Dr Richard Lane for his advice and support during the development of LEAS-C. It was a pleasure to have worked with you. I would like to thank the Catholic Education Office for their support of this research and the school principals who made time for this project, in spite of their many other commitments and responsibilities. Thank you also to the teachers and the many students who gave of their time with honesty and with effort. Thank you to the families of these children for their support of this research. Thank you also to my colleagues and friends, Dr Hilarie Tardif and Dr Coralie Wilson for their moral support and steadfast belief that I would get there in the end. Thank you especially to my family, waiting in the wings. Thank you Josef and Eben for your patience, understanding and belief that mum would finally get there. Thank you to my partner, Peter. You have always been there when I needed you and have continued with your support no matter how difficult this has been for you. Thank you.

ABSTRACT

Prior research suggests that the perceptions and emotions reported by children who are aggressive and are rejected by their peers are incongruent with their social standing and that this aggressive-rejected subgroup often report as though they are not rejected. In contrast to this, the withdrawn-rejected and aggressive-withdrawn-rejected subgroups report relatively high levels of distress and poorer mental health. The aim of the present research was to explore the emotion experiences of the aggressive-rejected subgroup in greater depth and to determine why this subgroup reports so little emotional distress. Three studies were conducted. The first study focused on the development of a measure to assess emotional awareness in children – the Levels of Emotional Awareness Scale for Children (LEAS-C). The second study was originally intended to be the main sociometric study of the present research. However, a low participation rate did not allow sufficient numbers to generate rejected subgroups. Study 3 addressed these methodological issues by using passive consent procedures which resulted in a participation rate of 82% ($n = 471$). Assessment of emotion experience included depression, anxiety, range in positive and negative emotions and anger expression. Processes which may account for low self-reported distress were also explored and included denial and repression, rejection sensitivity and emotional awareness. Emotion experiences and emotion processes were compared between three rejected subgroups – aggressive-rejected, withdrawn-rejected and nonaggressive-nonwithdrawn-rejected and the neglected and average groups. Emotion variables of the rejected subgroups and the neglected group were compared to those reported by the normative average status group. The emotion experiences of the aggressive-rejected subgroup were found to be similar to those reported by the average group. Contrary to expectations, the emotion experiences

reported by the withdrawn-rejected subgroup also differed little from the average group. The lack of difference between aggressive-rejected and withdrawn-rejected subgroups raised questions about how distinct the emotion experiences of these two groups were from one another. In general, there was insufficient evidence to support the low-distress hypotheses in aggressive-rejected children. The emotion processes reported by the aggressive-rejected subgroup also did not differ from those reported by other groups. With regard to emotion processes the aggressive-rejected subgroup did not make greater use of denial or repression, levels of rejection sensitivity were not lower and the emotional awareness of the aggressive-rejected subgroup did not differ from the other groups. Posthoc analyses explored whether methodological factors may have contributed to the lack of differences between the aggressive-rejected group and other rejected groups. The procedures to identify subgroups in this study were identical to those used by other researchers in the field. The proportion of children allocated to the rejected subgroups was also similar to those reported elsewhere. However, gender distributions in the aggressive-rejected and withdrawn-rejected subgroups were significantly different and the direction of this imbalance appeared contrary to other studies. Males comprised only 37% of the aggressive-rejected sample but comprised 76% of the withdrawn-rejected group. Contrary to expectations, withdrawn behaviour was found to be more strongly associated with low social acceptance among males while aggressive behaviour was more strongly associated with low social acceptance among females. The extent to which the trend found in this sample reflects sampling differences in Australian and North American cultures is not clear. The gender imbalance between the aggressive-rejected and withdrawn-rejected subgroups and the direction of this imbalance may have had some influence on group differences in the emotion variables. Posthoc analyses also

explored the relationship between emotion processes and anger expression within the aggressive-rejected subgroup and average groups. Emotional awareness was found to be significantly related to anger expression in the normal group. In the aggressive-rejected subgroup, anger expression was related to defensive processes.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Certification.....	ii
Acknowledgements	iii
Abstract	iv
Table of Contents	vii
List of Tables	xii
List of Appendices.....	xiv

CHAPTER 1: **OVERVIEW OF THE INTRODUCTION**

PEER REJECTION RESEARCH	2
LINKING PEER REJECTION AND EMOTION STYLE	4
RESEARCH OVERVIEW.....	7

CHAPTER 2: **DIFFERENCES BETWEEN REJECTED SUBGROUPS**

HISTORICAL DEVELOPMENTS IN PEER RELATIONS RISK RESEARCH	9
PRIOR FINDINGS OF SUBGROUP DIFFERENCES	11
1. Behaviour	11
2. Rejection continuity	12
3. Projected outcomes	13
4. Emotions and perceptions: The beginnings of emotion research	14
SUMMARY	21

CHAPTER 3: **WHY LOOK AT EMOTION STYLES?**

WHAT ARE EMOTION STYLES?	24
THE EMOTION EXPERIENCES OF REJECTED SUBGROUPS.....	25
Predominance of negative affect: Depression and anxiety	26
Range of emotions	29
Anger expression style.....	31
SUMMARY	33

<u>CHAPTER 4:</u>	WHY MIGHT THE AGGRESSIVE-REJECTED SUBGROUP REPORT LESS DISTRESS?	
EXPLAINING LOW DISTRESS IN TERMS OF PEER-RELATED FACTORS		34
EMOTION PROCESSES: REPRESSION, DENIAL AND REJECTION SENSITIVITY		36
Linking repression and denial to peer rejection		38
Linking rejection sensitivity to peer rejection		43
EMOTION PROCESSES: EMOTIONAL AWARENESS		48
SUMMARY		50
 <u>CHAPTER 5:</u>	THE PRESENT STUDY	
RECAPITULATION		51
AIMS AND HYPOTHESES		52
Emotion experiences		52
<i>Depression</i>		53
<i>Anxiety</i>		53
<i>Range of emotions: Positive</i>		54
<i>Range of emotions: Negative</i>		54
<i>Anger expression</i>		54
Emotion processes		55
<i>Denial</i>		55
<i>Repression</i>		55
<i>Rejection sensitivity</i>		55
<i>Emotional Awareness</i>		56
 <u>CHAPTER 6:</u>	STUDY 1: THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE LEAS-C	
THE LEVELS OF EMOTIONAL AWARENESS (LEA) MODEL		57
A DEVELOPMENTAL PERSPECTIVE OF EMOTIONAL COMPETENCE		58
Age-related and gender-related findings in emotional knowledge		59
EXISTING ASSESSMENTS OF EMOTION KNOWLEDGE		62
THE VALIDITY MEASURES		64
STUDY 1 HYPOTHESES		65

THE PILOT STUDY	67
Item development.....	67
The study.....	68
<i>Item selection</i>	68
THE PSYCHOMETRIC STUDY	69
METHOD	69
Participants.....	69
Procedure	69
Measures	70
<i>Emotional awareness</i>	70
<i>Parental Descriptions Scale</i>	72
<i>Verbal skills</i>	73
(i) <i>Vocabulary</i>	73
(ii) <i>Verbal productivity</i>	73
<i>Emotion knowledge</i>	74
(i) <i>Emotion expressions</i>	74
(ii) <i>Emotion comprehension</i>	75
RESULTS.....	75
Reliability.....	76
Correlations with the LEAS-C.....	77
Gender effects	79
Age effects	80
DISCUSSION.....	81
 <u>CHAPTER 7:</u> STUDY 2: METHODOLOGICAL DIFFICULTIES	
 IN A SOCIOMETRIC STUDY	
WHAT IS SOCIOMETRY?	86
METHODOLOGICAL ISSUES IN SOCIOMETRIC RESEARCH.....	87
POTENTIAL PITFALLS IN SOCIOMETRIC RESEARCH	89
Participation rates.....	89
Participation recruitment.....	89
THE SOCIOMETRIC APPROACH TAKEN IN STUDY 2	90
Social standing: Identifying sociometric groups.....	91
Social behaviour: Identifying rejected subgroups.....	93
METHODOLOGICAL PROBLEMS ENCOUNTERED IN STUDY 2.....	95

Recruitment phase of data collection	95
Method	97
<i>Measures</i>	97
<i>Data collection phase</i>	97
The implications of a low participation rate	99
Conclusions and alterations to research protocol	99
Ethical considerations with passive consent procedures	101

CHAPTER 8: STUDY 3: THE SOCIOMETRIC STUDY

INTRODUCTION	103
--------------------	-----

METHOD	104
--------------	-----

Participants	104
Measures	105
<i>Emotional awareness</i>	105
<i>Depression</i>	106
<i>Anxiety</i>	108
<i>Anger expression</i>	108
<i>Repression</i>	109
<i>Identifying repressor and non-repressor groups</i>	111
<i>Denial</i>	112
<i>Rejection sensitivity</i>	112
<i>Discrete emotions</i>	114
Procedure	115
<i>Sociometric nominations and behavioural nominations</i>	115
<i>Identifying sociometric groups</i>	116
<i>Identifying rejected subgroups</i>	118
<i>Reviewing sample sizes for rejected subgroups</i>	119
<i>Data screening and missing values</i>	119
<i>Assumption testing</i>	121
(i) <i>Assumption testing at the total score level</i>	121
(ii) <i>Assumption testing at the subscale level</i>	122
(iii) <i>Assumption testing conclusions</i>	122

RESULTS	124
---------------	-----

Preliminary analyses	124
<i>Gender effects</i>	124
<i>Age effects</i>	127
<i>Between-group differences in aggression and withdrawal</i>	129
Main analyses	130
<i>Comparisons in emotion experiences</i>	131
<i>Depression</i>	131
<i>Anxiety</i>	133
<i>Range of emotions: Positive</i>	134

<i>Range of emotions: Negative</i>	135
<i>Anger expression</i>	137
<i>Comparisons in emotion processes</i>	137
(i) <i>Denial</i>	137
(ii) <i>Repression</i>	138
(iii) <i>Rejection sensitivity</i>	139
(iv) <i>Emotional awareness</i>	140
Posthoc analyses	141
1. <i>Why were there so few differences between the groups?</i>	141
(i) <i>Social desirability effects</i>	141
(ii) <i>Methodological considerations in sociometric research: Gender</i>	142
2. <i>The relationship between emotion experience and emotion process: Contrasting the aggressive-rejected and average groups</i>	147
DISCUSSION	149
1. <i>Differences in emotion experiences</i>	150
<i>Depression</i>	150
<i>Anxiety</i>	151
<i>Positive emotions and negative emotions</i>	152
<i>Anger expression</i>	153
2. <i>Differences in emotion processes</i>	154
<i>Denial</i>	155
<i>Repression</i>	155
<i>Rejection Sensitivity</i>	156
<i>Emotional Awareness</i>	157
<i>Limitations</i>	158

CHAPTER 9: SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

Development of a measure of Emotional Awareness	161
Sampling issues in sociometric research	162
Emotion styles of aggressive-rejected children	163
1. <i>Emotion experiences</i>	163
2. <i>Emotion processes</i>	164
Methodological issues in sociometric research	165
Conclusion	168

REFERENCES	170
-------------------------	-----

LIST OF TABLES

6.1	Correlations between the LEAS-C, Emotion Knowledge tasks, Verbal skills and the PDS	78
6.2	Gender differences in emotion skills, verbal skills and cognitive development	80
6.3	Within gender comparisons of child and adult EA data: Means and Standard Deviations	81
7.1	Participation rates (%) for within-class, within-gender samples	98
8.1	Means and Standard Deviations on emotion style variables by gender	126
8.2	Observed and expected counts of males and females across the five groups	127
8.3	Means and Standard Deviations on emotion style variables by age	129
8.4	Standardized Means and Standard Deviations on peer nominations of aggression and withdrawal.....	130
8.5	Means and Standard Deviations for depression scores for the five groups ..	132
8.6	Means and Standard Deviations for anxiety scores for the five groups	134
8.7	Means and Standard Deviations for DES positive emotions scores for the five groups.....	135
8.8	Means and Standard Deviations for DES negative emotions scores for the five groups	136

8.9	Means and Standard Deviations for anger expression scores for the five groups.....	137
8.10	Means and Standard Deviations for denial for the five groups	138
8.11	Observed and Expected counts of repressor and non-repressor status across the five groups.....	139
8.12	Means and Standard Deviations for angry and anxious expectations of rejection for the five groups.....	140
8.13	Means and Standard Deviations for emotional awareness scores for the five groups.....	141
8.14	Means and Standard Deviations for social desirability scores for the five groups	142
8.15	Pearson correlations of standardized aggression and withdrawal scores and social preference for males and females	145
8.16	Pearson correlations between standardized behavioural descriptors of aggressive and withdrawn behaviour and social preference for males and females	146
8.17	Pearson correlations between emotion processes and anger expression style: Contrasting the aggressive-rejected and average groups	148

LIST OF TABLES

6.1	Correlations between the LEAS-C, Emotion Knowledge tasks, Verbal skills and the PDS	78
6.2	Gender differences in emotion skills, verbal skills and cognitive development	80
6.3	Within gender comparisons of child and adult EA data: Means and Standard Deviations	81
7.1	Participation rates (%) for within-class, within-gender samples	98
8.1	Means and Standard Deviations on emotion style variables by gender	126
8.2	Observed and expected counts of males and females across the five groups	127
8.3	Means and Standard Deviations on emotion style variables by age	129
8.4	Standardized Means and Standard Deviations on peer nominations of aggression and withdrawal.....	130
8.5	Means and Standard Deviations for depression scores for the five groups ..	132
8.6	Means and Standard Deviations for anxiety scores for the five groups	134
8.7	Means and Standard Deviations for DES positive emotions scores for the five groups.....	135
8.8	Means and Standard Deviations for DES negative emotions scores for the five groups	136

8.9	Means and Standard Deviations for anger expression scores for the five groups.....	137
8.10	Means and Standard Deviations for denial for the five groups	138
8.11	Observed and Expected counts of repressor and non-repressor status across the five groups.....	139
8.12	Means and Standard Deviations for angry and anxious expectations of rejection for the five groups.....	140
8.13	Means and Standard Deviations for emotional awareness scores for the five groups.....	141
8.14	Means and Standard Deviations for social desirability scores for the five groups	142
8.15	Pearson correlations of standardized aggression and withdrawal scores and social preference for males and females	145
8.16	Pearson correlations between standardized behavioural descriptors of aggressive and withdrawn behaviour and social preference for males and females	146
8.17	Pearson correlations between emotion processes and anger expression style: Contrasting the aggressive-rejected and average groups	148

LIST OF APPENDICES

<u>APPENDIX A</u>	210
A-1 Table A: Comparisons in subgroup sample sizes	211
A-2 Bajgar, J., Ciarrochi, J., Lane, R., & Deane, F.P. (2005). Development of the Levels of Emotional Awareness Scale for Children (LEAS-C). <i>British Journal of Developmental Psychology</i> , 23, 269-286.	212
<u>APPENDIX B</u>	213
B-1 Student information sheet	214
B-2 Student consent form	215
B-3 Parent information sheet	216
B-4 Parent consent form	218
B-5 Teacher information sheet	219
B-6 Data collection instructions	220
B-7 Measures	
(i) LEAS-C	221
(a) Example of LEAS-C scoring	224
(ii) PDS	225
(iii) Vocabulary	227
(iv) Emotion Expression task	230
(v) Emotion Comprehension task	232
<u>APPENDIX C</u>	238
C-1 Table B: Examples of methodological approach in sociometric studies	239
C-2 Table C: Variability in reporting methodological details in sociometric research	240
C-3 Overview of research project: Information given to teachers and students	241

C-4	Student information sheet	244
C-5	Student consent form	245
C-6	Parent information sheet	246
C-7	Parent consent form	248
C-8	Teacher information sheet.....	250
C-9	Bajgar, J., & Deane, F.P. Permission to participate and sampling bias in classroom-based research.....	252

APPENDIX D..... 263

D-1	Parent information sheet and passive consent form.....	264
D-2	Measures	
	(i) CDI.....	267
	(ii) RCMAS.....	270
	(iii) PAES.....	272
	(iv) MCSDS	274
	(v) CRSQ	275
	(vi) DES	283
D-3	Debrief	286
D-4	Sociometric and behavioural nominations.....	287
D-5	Posthoc exploration of gender differences in the aggressive-rejected, withdrawn-rejected and average groups: Within gender	292