

University of Wollongong - Research Online

Thesis Collection

Title: Stormwater impacts on discharge water quality in licensed drains at the Port Kembla Steelworks

Author: Louis Mathew Whant

Year: 2005

Repository DOI:

Copyright Warning

You may print or download ONE copy of this document for the purpose of your own research or study. The University does not authorise you to copy, communicate or otherwise make available electronically to any other person any copyright material contained on this site.

You are reminded of the following: This work is copyright. Apart from any use permitted under the Copyright Act 1968, no part of this work may be reproduced by any process, nor may any other exclusive right be exercised, without the permission of the author. Copyright owners are entitled to take legal action against persons who infringe their copyright. A reproduction of material that is protected by copyright may be a copyright infringement. A court may impose penalties and award damages in relation to offences and infringements relating to copyright material.

Higher penalties may apply, and higher damages may be awarded, for offences and infringements involving the conversion of material into digital or electronic form.

Unless otherwise indicated, the views expressed in this thesis are those of the author and do not necessarily represent the views of the University of Wollongong.

Research Online is the open access repository for the University of Wollongong. For further information contact the UOW Library: research-pubs@uow.edu.au

2005

Stormwater impacts on discharge water quality in licensed drains at the Port Kembla Steelworks

Louis Mathew Whant
University of Wollongong

Follow this and additional works at: <https://ro.uow.edu.au/theses>

University of Wollongong

Copyright Warning

You may print or download ONE copy of this document for the purpose of your own research or study. The University does not authorise you to copy, communicate or otherwise make available electronically to any other person any copyright material contained on this site.

You are reminded of the following: This work is copyright. Apart from any use permitted under the Copyright Act 1968, no part of this work may be reproduced by any process, nor may any other exclusive right be exercised, without the permission of the author. Copyright owners are entitled to take legal action against persons who infringe their copyright. A reproduction of material that is protected by copyright may be a copyright infringement. A court may impose penalties and award damages in relation to offences and infringements relating to copyright material.

Higher penalties may apply, and higher damages may be awarded, for offences and infringements involving the conversion of material into digital or electronic form.

Unless otherwise indicated, the views expressed in this thesis are those of the author and do not necessarily represent the views of the University of Wollongong.

Recommended Citation

Whant, Louis Mathew, Stormwater impacts on discharge water quality in licensed drains at the Port Kembla Steelworks, M.Env.Sc. thesis, School of Earth and Environmental Sciences, University of Wollongong, 2005. <http://ro.uow.edu.au/theses/466>

NOTE

This online version of the thesis may have different page formatting and pagination from the paper copy held in the University of Wollongong Library.

UNIVERSITY OF WOLLONGONG

COPYRIGHT WARNING

You may print or download ONE copy of this document for the purpose of your own research or study. The University does not authorise you to copy, communicate or otherwise make available electronically to any other person any copyright material contained on this site. You are reminded of the following:

Copyright owners are entitled to take legal action against persons who infringe their copyright. A reproduction of material that is protected by copyright may be a copyright infringement. A court may impose penalties and award damages in relation to offences and infringements relating to copyright material. Higher penalties may apply, and higher damages may be awarded, for offences and infringements involving the conversion of material into digital or electronic form.

Stormwater Impacts on Discharge Water Quality in Licensed Drains at the Port Kembla Steelworks

**A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the award of
the degree**

Masters of Environmental Science by Research

from

UNIVERSITY OF WOLLONGONG

by

Louis Mathew Whant, BSc. (Chem)

Faculty of Science

2005

CERTIFICATION

I, Louis Mathew Whant, declare that this thesis, submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the award of the Masters by Research, in the Department of Environmental Science, University of Wollongong, is wholly my own work unless otherwise referenced or acknowledged. The document has not been submitted for qualifications at any other academic institution.

Louis Mathew Whant

29th September 2005.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

A number of people have contributed to this study, their efforts have provided significant guidance, knowledge, assistance and support throughout its duration. I would like to extend my appreciation to the following individuals:

- to Professor John Morrison, for his constructive advice, valuable comments, editing and constant provision of information;
- to my BlueScope Steel supervisor, manager, work colleague and friend, David Porteous, for his guidance, helpful advice, suggestions, general interest, and technical support;
- to all the personnel from BlueScope Steel Laboratory Services Water Analysis department, for their sampling assistance, analytical expertise, timeliness of results and friendly service;
- to Kevin Price, BlueScope Steel Ironmaking Statistician, for his statistical guidance and assistance;
- to Kevin Goss, Andrew McClure, John Harvson, Alan O'Brien and the whole BlueScope Steel Environment Department, for their constant provision of data, maps, technical support, and general assistance;
- to John Leedham, BlueScope Steel Laboratory Services Mineralogist, for the provision of light microscopy analysis;
- to Sandra Quin from the University of Wollongong Environment Science Department for her organisation and friendly assistance;
- to my family and friends for their patience and understanding;
- and finally to my fiancé Rachelle for her endless love and support throughout the duration of this degree.

ABSTRACT

This study encompassed work on four of the Environment Protection Authority (EPA) licensed discharge points at the Port Kembla Steelworks (PKSW). These included the North Gate Drain, the Main Drain, the Flat Products East No.1 Drain and the Ironmaking East Drain. This study incorporated a hydrological study of the drains, and a stormwater discharge water quality study.

The aims of the hydrological component were: to obtain an understanding of the behaviour of each of these drains during rainfall events; to determine fractions of runoff compared to rainfall volume; to determine the influence antecedent precipitation has on the discharge of each drain; and, to relate the findings to catchment type.

The aims of the water quality component were: to determine the concentrations of priority pollutants in licensed drains during wet weather and the first flush; to determine the likely source of any stormwater borne contaminants; and, to use the water quality data to determine appropriate wet weather licence limits. These aims were developed to assist in the design of further investigations involving stormwater management at PKSW. This study encompassed 16 months of stormwater monitoring and involved the collection of over 1300 samples.

The findings were that Flat Products East No.1 Drain and Iron Making East Drain displayed very similar characteristics during rainfall events. Both exhibit 'flashy' hydrographs, with fast response to rainfall, and steep recession curves where return to 'baseline' or process flow is rapid. The fraction of discharge compared to total rainfall volume (falling on the catchment) for both these drains was high (>80%). Their small catchments, containing almost entirely sealed impervious surfaces, led to very small water losses. The Flat Products East No.1 Drain and Iron Making East Drain discharge volumes, during rainfall, were found to be unaffected by antecedent rainfall.

The Main Drain was found to have a delayed response to rainfall. The Main Drain and the North Gate Drain hydrographs displayed slow receding recession curves where the elevated flow continued for hours after the rainfall events had ceased. The fraction of discharge compared to total rainfall volume (falling on the catchment) for the Main Drain was found to be small, indicating large water losses to infiltration and percolation to groundwater recharge via the relatively large grassed and unsealed, pervious areas within the catchment. An anomaly was found in this fraction for the North Gate Drain due to overestimations in discharge rates from online monitoring

equipment and it is recommended this be rectified. Both the Main Drain and North Gate Drain discharge volumes were shown to be affected by antecedent rainfall conditions.

The program for the water quality component of this study, specifically targeted the water quality of the 'first flush', sampling every ten minutes during a storm event. The intensive sampling program allowed for the collection of a diverse wet weather data set not investigated previous to this study. The data showed that pollutant concentrations during wet weather are elevated compared to the historical dry weather water quality data. Confidence limits were calculated and compared to current wet weather licence limits. In some cases, the current wet weather licence limits are inadequate, and recommendations have been made for the revision of wet weather licence limits using the calculated 95% CL as a basis.

This study identified areas where there are contaminant issues during wet weather, e.g., the Main Drain Total Suspended Solids (major component coal) and the Flat Products East No.1 Drain Total Iron (major component iron prills), and also areas where there are no current drain issues during wet weather (North Gate Drain). Monitoring of pH at all specified drains in this study did not identify any pH excursions during wet weather and no evidence of elevated pH in stormwater runoff was identified. However, further monitoring will be required at North Gate Drain after the removal of current saltwater discharge.

This study, whilst specific and extensive, is limited to only four licensed discharge points at PKSW. Extrapolating the findings of this report to the remaining licensed discharge points is not recommended due to vast differences between catchments across the PKSW site, including their size, land usage, plant, associated equipment, activities and salinity. Instead it is recommended that a similar study be commenced on the remaining nine licensed drains.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	INTRODUCTION.....	11
1.1	WATER AND RUNOFF.....	13
1.1.1	The Hydrological Cycle	13
1.1.2	Local Precipitation.....	14
1.1.3	Hydrographs	16
1.1.4	Rainfall Volume.....	17
1.1.5	Antecedent Rainfall.....	18
1.2	PORT KEMBLA STEEL WORKS.....	18
1.2.1	Specified Drains and Catchment Descriptions	18
1.3	PORT KEMBLA HARBOUR.....	21
1.3.1	General Features of Port Kembla Harbour.....	21
1.3.2	A Brief History of Port Kembla Harbour	21
1.3.3	Port Kembla Harbour Discharge Inputs	23
1.4	CURRENT STORMWATER ISSUES.....	25
1.4.1	Stormwater Borne Contamination	25
1.4.2	Urban Stormwater	25
1.4.3	Pollutographs	29
1.4.4	The First Flush Phenomenon.....	29
2	MATERIALS AND METHODOLOGY	32
2.1	HYDROGRAPHS AND DISCHARGE VOLUMES.	32
2.1.1	Manly Hydraulics Laboratory.....	32
2.1.2	Generation of Hydrographs.....	33
2.2	CALCULATING API VALUES	34
2.3	DRAWINGS AND TOPOGRAPHICAL MAPS.	34
2.4	AUTOMATIC SAMPLING EQUIPMENT	35
2.4.1	Location of Autosamplers.....	35
2.4.2	Sampling Program Parameters.....	36
2.4.3	Number of Samples Collected	37
2.5	ANALYSIS OF SAMPLES	37
2.6	CALCULATION OF WET WEATHER CONFIDENCE LIMITS.....	37
2.6.1	Analysis of Variance.....	38
2.6.2	Additional requirements for PRP98.....	38
3	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	40
3.1	DRAIN HYDROLOGY.....	40
3.1.1	North Gate Drain Hydrology.....	40
3.1.2	Main Drain Hydrology.....	44
3.1.3	Ironmaking East Drain Hydrology	46
3.1.4	Flat Products East No.1 Drain Hydrology	50
3.2	CONCENTRATIONS OF SPECIFIED PARAMETERS DURING WET WEATHER	55
3.2.1	Mean Data Comparisons	55
3.2.2	Water Quality Data Summary	58
3.2.3	Hydrographs and Pollutographs.....	61
3.2.4	Particle Identification.....	63
3.2.5	Current Pollution Control Strategies.....	65
3.3	CONFIDENCE LIMITS AS WET WEATHER LIMITS.....	66
3.3.1	North Gate Drain Confidence Limits	67
3.3.2	Main Drain Confidence Limits	69
3.3.3	Ironmaking East Drain Confidence Limits	71

3.3.4	Flat Products East No.1 Drain Confidence Limits	72
3.3.5	Wet Weather Licence Limit Summary	74
3.3.6	Application of Wet Weather Licence Limits	74
4	CONCLUDING SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATIONS	77
4.1	HYDROLOGICAL CONCLUSIONS.....	77
4.2	WATER QUALITY CONCLUSIONS	79
4.3	RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FURTHER STUDIES.....	79
5	REFERENCES.....	81
	APPENDICES.....	85
APPENDIX A.	HYDROGRAPHS AND POLLUTOGRAPHS – NORTH GATE.....	86
APPENDIX B.	HYDROGRAPHS AND POLLUTOGRAPHS – MAIN DRAIN.....	90
APPENDIX C.	HYDROGRAPHS AND POLLUTOGRAPHS – IME DRAIN.....	96
APPENDIX D.	HYDROGRAPHS AND POLLUTOGRAPHS – FPE1 DRAIN	101
APPENDIX E.	RAINFALL INTENSITY TABLE	107
APPENDIX F.	NORTH GATE DRAIN ANOVA TESTS	108
APPENDIX G.	MAIN DRAIN ANOVA TESTS.....	109
APPENDIX H.	IRONMAKING EAST DRAIN ANOVA TESTS.....	110
APPENDIX I.	FLAT PRODUCTS EAST NO.1 DRAIN ANOVA TESTS.....	111

LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
2.1	Maps and Drawings Used to Define Catchments and Calculate Areas	35
3.1	TSS Data Summary Table for PKSW Drains	59
3.2	Total Iron Data Summary Table for PKSW Drains	60
3.3	pH Data Summary Table for PKSW Drains	61
3.4	Particle Identification for PKSW Drains	64
3.5	Recommendations – Wet Weather Licence Limits for Drains at PKSW	75

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Page
1.1 The Hydrological Cycle	14
1.2 Average Annual Regional Rainfall for NSW	15
1.3 Typical Discharge Hydrograph	16
1.4 Hydrograph Comparisons Between Urban and Natural Catchments	17
1.5 Locations of Specified Drains	20
1.6 Location and Feature of Port Kembla Harbour	22
1.7 Stormwater and Industrial Discharge Inputs into Port Kembla Harbour	24
1.8 Typical Urban Pollutograph	29
2.1 Overview of the North Gate Drain	32
2.2 MHL Instrumentation at the North Gate Drain	32
2.3 Typical North Gate Drain Hydrograph	33
2.4 Pictorial Representation for Calculating Discharge Volumes	34
2.5 Autosampling Equipment at the North Gate Drain	35
2.6 Autosampling Equipment at the Main Drain	35
2.7 Autosampling Equipment at the Ironmaking East Drain	36
2.8 Autosampling Equipment at the Flat Products East No.1 Drain	36
3.1 Typical North Gate Drain Hydrograph 1	40
3.2 Typical North Gate Drain Hydrograph 2	41
3.3 Rainfall vs. Stormwater Discharge Volume – North Gate Drain	42
3.4 Rainfall Volume vs. Stormwater Discharge Volume – North Gate Drain	43
3.5 Antecedent Precipitation Index vs. Stormwater Discharge Volume – North Gate Drain	43
3.6 Typical Main Drain Hydrograph 1	44
3.7 Rainfall vs. Stormwater Discharge Volume – Main Drain	45
3.8 Rainfall Volume vs. Stormwater Discharge Volume – Main Drain	45
3.9 Antecedent Precipitation Index vs. Stormwater Discharge Volume – Main Drain	46
3.10 Typical Ironmaking East Drain Hydrograph 1	47

Figure	Page
3.11 Typical Ironmaking East Drain Hydrograph 2	47
3.12 Rainfall vs. Discharge Volume – Ironmaking East Drain	48
3.13 Rainfall Volume vs. Stormwater Discharge Volume – Ironmaking East Drain	49
3.14 Antecedent Precipitation Index vs. Stormwater Discharge Volume – Ironmaking East Drain	49
3.15 Typical Flat Products East No.1 Drain Hydrograph 1	50
3.16 Typical Flat Products East No.1 Drain Hydrograph 2	51
3.17 Rainfall vs. Stormwater Discharge Volume – Flat Products East No.1 Drain	51
3.18 Rainfall Volume vs. Stormwater Discharge Volume – Flat Products East No.1 Drain	52
3.19 Antecedent Precipitation Index vs. Stormwater Discharge Volume – Flat Products East No.1 Drain	53
3.20 Mean Water Quality Data Comparison for Specified Parameters at the North Gate Drain	56
3.21 Mean Water Quality Data Comparison for Specified Parameters at the Main Drain	56
3.22 Mean Water Quality Data Comparison for Specified Parameters at the Ironmaking East Drain	57
3.23 Mean Water Quality Data Comparison for Specified Parameters at the Flat Products East No.1 Drain	58
3.24 North Gate Drain Hydrograph and Pollutograph	62
3.25 Main Drain Hydrograph and Pollutograph	62
3.26 Ironmaking East Drain Hydrograph and Pollutograph	63
3.27 Flat Products East No.1 Drain Hydrograph and Pollutograph	63
3.28 Calculated TSS Confidence Limits – North Gate Drain	68
3.29 Calculated Total Iron Confidence Limits – North Gate Drain	68
3.30 Calculated pH Confidence Limits – North Gate Drain	69
3.31 Calculated TSS Confidence Limits – Main Drain	70
3.32 Calculated Total Iron Confidence Limits – Main Drain	70
3.33 Calculated TSS Confidence Limits – Ironmaking East Drain	71
3.34 Calculated Total Iron Confidence Limits – Ironmaking East Drain	72
3.35 Calculated TSS Confidence Limits -Flat Products East No.1 Drain	73
3.36 Calculated Total Iron Confidence Limits – Flat Products East No.1 Drain	73