

# University of Wollongong - Research Online

## Thesis Collection

Title: Developing models to predict the effects of fuel reduction burning on habitat complexity, ground-dwelling mammals and understorey birds

Author: Gillian Basnett

Year: 2005

Repository DOI:

### Copyright Warning

You may print or download ONE copy of this document for the purpose of your own research or study. The University does not authorise you to copy, communicate or otherwise make available electronically to any other person any copyright material contained on this site.

You are reminded of the following: This work is copyright. Apart from any use permitted under the Copyright Act 1968, no part of this work may be reproduced by any process, nor may any other exclusive right be exercised, without the permission of the author. Copyright owners are entitled to take legal action against persons who infringe their copyright. A reproduction of material that is protected by copyright may be a copyright infringement. A court may impose penalties and award damages in relation to offences and infringements relating to copyright material.

Higher penalties may apply, and higher damages may be awarded, for offences and infringements involving the conversion of material into digital or electronic form.

**Unless otherwise indicated, the views expressed in this thesis are those of the author and do not necessarily represent the views of the University of Wollongong.**

Research Online is the open access repository for the University of Wollongong. For further information contact the UOW Library: [research-pubs@uow.edu.au](mailto:research-pubs@uow.edu.au)

2005

## Developing models to predict the effects of fuel reduction burning on habitat complexity, ground-dwelling mammals and understorey birds

Gillian Basnett  
*University of Wollongong*

Follow this and additional works at: <https://ro.uow.edu.au/theses>

### University of Wollongong

#### Copyright Warning

You may print or download ONE copy of this document for the purpose of your own research or study. The University does not authorise you to copy, communicate or otherwise make available electronically to any other person any copyright material contained on this site.

You are reminded of the following: This work is copyright. Apart from any use permitted under the Copyright Act 1968, no part of this work may be reproduced by any process, nor may any other exclusive right be exercised, without the permission of the author. Copyright owners are entitled to take legal action against persons who infringe their copyright. A reproduction of material that is protected by copyright may be a copyright infringement. A court may impose penalties and award damages in relation to offences and infringements relating to copyright material.

Higher penalties may apply, and higher damages may be awarded, for offences and infringements involving the conversion of material into digital or electronic form.

Unless otherwise indicated, the views expressed in this thesis are those of the author and do not necessarily represent the views of the University of Wollongong.

### Recommended Citation

Basnett, Gillian, Developing models to predict the effects of fuel reduction burning on habitat complexity, ground-dwelling mammals and understorey birds, MEnvSc thesis, School of Earth & Environmental Sciences, University of Wollongong, 2005. <http://ro.uow.edu.au/theses/133>

Research Online is the open access institutional repository for the University of Wollongong. For further information contact the UOW Library: [research-pubs@uow.edu.au](mailto:research-pubs@uow.edu.au)

## **NOTE**

This online version of the thesis may have different page formatting and pagination from the paper copy held in the University of Wollongong Library.

## **UNIVERSITY OF WOLLONGONG**

### **COPYRIGHT WARNING**

You may print or download ONE copy of this document for the purpose of your own research or study. The University does not authorise you to copy, communicate or otherwise make available electronically to any other person any copyright material contained on this site. You are reminded of the following:

Copyright owners are entitled to take legal action against persons who infringe their copyright. A reproduction of material that is protected by copyright may be a copyright infringement. A court may impose penalties and award damages in relation to offences and infringements relating to copyright material. Higher penalties may apply, and higher damages may be awarded, for offences and infringements involving the conversion of material into digital or electronic form.

**Developing Models to Predict the Effects of Fuel Reduction Burning on  
Habitat Complexity, Ground-dwelling Mammals and Understorey  
Birds.**

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the award of the degree

**Master of Environmental Science - Research**

From

**UNIVERSITY OF WOLLONGONG**

By

Gillian Basnett BSc, MEnvSc

School of Earth & Environmental Sciences

2005

## **Certification**

I, Gillian E. Basnett, declare that this thesis, submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the award of Master of Environmental Science – Research, in the School of Earth & Environmental Science, University of Wollongong, is wholly my own work unless otherwise referenced or acknowledged. The document has not been submitted for qualification at any other academic institution.

Gillian E. Basnett

## **Acknowledgements**

I would like to thank the following people, without whose patient assistance I would not have been able to complete this research. First and foremost, I would like to thank my supervisors Professor Rob Whelan, who gave me a wealth of valuable advice and direction and Dr Ross Bradstock, for assisting with the formulation of my ideas. Secondly, Michael Sharp, for providing me with a study area and assistance with local information and during field survey work. Thirdly, a big thank you is needed for Chris Hemming, Lisa Menke and Harold Johnson for rearranging their work schedules and providing me with help in the field so that I could complete field work on time. Thanks must also go to Margi Sweeney for a much-needed break and motivation during survey work. I wish to express my gratitude to Peter Catling and David Freudenberger from CSIRO whose work my research is based on and whose assistance in formulating a project design was greatly appreciated. Lastly I would like to extend thanks to Sandra Quinn and John Morrison from the school of Earth & Environmental Science for their assistance and the loving support of my friends and family who put up with so much.

## Abstract

The variation in vegetation structure is often recognised as one of the main factors attributing to the wide diversity of wildlife supported by Australian *Eucalyptus* forests. Disturbances that affect the vegetation structure can have repercussions to the animals that rely on certain compositions of plants. Many plants and animals are able to survive under certain disturbance regimes. However, changing the regime can threaten the flora and fauna species within a community.

Inappropriate fire regimes are one such threatening process. Yet fuel reduction is a key element of fire management. There is often a conflict between the fire regime needed to keep fuel loads at a level thought to be adequate to assist in managing unplanned fire, and those that would maintain vegetation structure and therefore wildlife diversity. Therefore, in areas where the protection of biodiversity is particularly important there is a need to predict the ecological effects of a fuel reduction burn regime.

A number of studies had shown that abundance and distribution of ground-dwelling mammals and understorey birds can be estimated from measures of habitat complexity and it has been demonstrated that the effects of fire on these groups can be predicted by changes to vegetation structure. This study uses fuel levels and habitat complexity scores to develop a model to predict the impacts of prescribed burns with different intensities and extents on distribution and abundance of ground-dwelling mammals and understorey birds in 6 different vegetation communities at Coolah Tops National Park, NSW.

Within each of the six vegetation communities 25 survey sites were randomly selected. Fuel loads were estimated using litter depth, the dominant plant species were identified and both mammal and bird habitat complexity scores established using revised tables from the literature. The model, devised using the data collected in the field, was used to calculate the change in habitat complexity scores after four different fire scenarios. These modifications were then used to predict the likely effects of the different fire models on ground-dwelling mammals and understorey birds and to produce some implications and recommendations for management.

Fire extent had a larger impact on ground-dwelling mammals than fire intensity, with fires that left fewer patches unburnt reducing overall vegetation structure regardless of intensity. Birds however, were predicted to be affected by both intensity and extent, with the greatest impact being seen in the high intensity low patchiness burn models and the lowest impact in the low intensity high patchiness model.

The implications for management of this study is that, at least for mammals, fire extent needs to be controlled more than the intensity in order to maintain some refuge areas. Overall, at least temporarily, mammal diversity may be expected to decline by 50-100% and bird diversity by half in the sort of fuel reduction burns that may be applied in a fire management program. Small ground-dwelling mammal abundance is likely to be reduced to zero, while medium to large ground-dwelling mammal abundance is likely to increase dramatically from zero under this fire management program. Understorey bird species likely to be promoted are those able to tolerate open vegetation while those that need dense understoreys will be disadvantaged.



# Table of Contents

|                                                           |            |
|-----------------------------------------------------------|------------|
| <b>Certification .....</b>                                | <b>i</b>   |
| <b>Acknowledgements.....</b>                              | <b>iii</b> |
| <b>Abstract.....</b>                                      | <b>v</b>   |
| <b>Table of Contents .....</b>                            | <b>vii</b> |
| <b>List of Tables .....</b>                               | <b>ix</b>  |
| <b>List of Figures.....</b>                               | <b>x</b>   |
| <b>List of Figures.....</b>                               | <b>x</b>   |
| <b>Chapter 1 Introduction and Literature Review .....</b> | <b>1</b>   |
| <i>1.1 Forest and Wildlife Diversity.....</i>             | <i>1</i>   |
| <i>1.2 Fire and Forests.....</i>                          | <i>4</i>   |
| <i>1.3 Fire Management for Wildlife.....</i>              | <i>7</i>   |
| <i>1.4 Aim of this Study .....</i>                        | <i>11</i>  |
| <b>Chapter 2 Study Site .....</b>                         | <b>12</b>  |
| <i>2.1 Introduction.....</i>                              | <i>12</i>  |
| <i>2.2 Climate .....</i>                                  | <i>14</i>  |
| <i>2.3 History.....</i>                                   | <i>14</i>  |
| <i>2.4 Flora.....</i>                                     | <i>15</i>  |
| <i>2.4.1 Vegetation Associations .....</i>                | <i>16</i>  |
| <i>2.4.2 Species Composition .....</i>                    | <i>18</i>  |
| <i>2.5 Fauna .....</i>                                    | <i>20</i>  |
| <b>Chapter 3 Fuel and Habitat Complexity.....</b>         | <b>21</b>  |
| <i>3.1 Introduction.....</i>                              | <i>21</i>  |
| <i>3.2 Methods .....</i>                                  | <i>21</i>  |
| <i>3.2.1 Fuel loads.....</i>                              | <i>21</i>  |
| <i>3.2.2 Habitat Complexity Scores.....</i>               | <i>22</i>  |
| <i>3.3 Results .....</i>                                  | <i>26</i>  |
| <i>3.3.1 Litter Fuels .....</i>                           | <i>26</i>  |
| <i>3.3.2 Shrub Fuels .....</i>                            | <i>28</i>  |
| <i>3.3.3 Total Fuels .....</i>                            | <i>30</i>  |
| <i>3.3.4 Mammal Habitat Complexity Scores .....</i>       | <i>31</i>  |
| <i>3.3.5 Bird Habitat Complexity Scores.....</i>          | <i>33</i>  |

|                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |           |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| <b>Chapter 4 Modelling Habitat Complexity Change After Fire.....</b>                                                                                                                                                                                             | <b>36</b> |
| 4.1 Introduction.....                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            | 36        |
| 4.2 Methods.....                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 | 38        |
| 4.3 Results .....                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                | 39        |
| 4.3.1 Ground-Dwelling Mammals .....                                                                                                                                                                                                                              | 39        |
| 4.3.2 Understorey Birds .....                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | 43        |
| <b>Chapter 5 Discussion .....</b>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                | <b>47</b> |
| 5.1 Summary of Main Findings.....                                                                                                                                                                                                                                | 47        |
| 5.1.1 Overview .....                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             | 47        |
| 5.1.2 Fuel .....                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 | 48        |
| 5.1.3 Habitat Complexity .....                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | 49        |
| 5.1.3.1 Ground-dwelling Mammals .....                                                                                                                                                                                                                            | 51        |
| 5.1.3.2 Understorey Birds .....                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | 53        |
| 5.1.4 Predictions of Bird and Mammal Responses to Fire .....                                                                                                                                                                                                     | 55        |
| 5.2 Implications for Management .....                                                                                                                                                                                                                            | 56        |
| <b>References .....</b>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          | <b>60</b> |
| Appendix 1: Map of Vegetation Types at Coolah Tops National Park.....                                                                                                                                                                                            | 71        |
| Appendix 2: Vegetation Species Dominating Every Site in Each Vegetation Type. ....                                                                                                                                                                               | 73        |
| Appendix 3: Number of Eucalypts with Diameter at Breast Height (dbh) > 50cm and the Number of Stumps Found in Each Vegetation Type .....                                                                                                                         | 79        |
| Appendix 4: Analysis of Variance Tables Testing for Significance of the Effect of Vegetation Type on (a) Log of Litter Fuel Loads, (b) Shrub Fuel Loads, (c) Total Fuel Loads, (d) Mammal Habitat Complexity Scores, and (e) Bird Habitat Complexity Scores..... | 81        |
| Appendix 5: Litter Fuel Loads (t/ha) for all Sites in Vegetation Types (a) 138, (b) 140, (c)159, (d) 167, (e) SB and (f) Creek. ....                                                                                                                             | 82        |
| Appendix 6: Shrub Fuel Loads (t/ha) for all Sites in Vegetation Types (a) 138, (b) 140, (c)159, (d) 167, (e) SB and (f) Creek. ....                                                                                                                              | 84        |
| Appendix 7: Total Fuel Loads (t/ha) for all Sites in Vegetation Types (a) 138, (b) 140, (c)159, (d) 167, (e) SB and (f) Creek. ....                                                                                                                              | 86        |
| Appendix 8: Percentage of Sites with Mammal Habitat Complexity Scores Ranging Between 0 and 15 for Vegetation Type (a) 138, (b) 140, (c) 159, (d) 167 (e) SB and (f) Creek. ....                                                                                 | 88        |
| Appendix 9: Percentage of Sites with Bird Habitat Complexity Scores Ranging Between 0 and 21 for Vegetation Type (a) 138, (b) 140, (c) 159, (d) 167 (e) SB and (f) Creek. ....                                                                                   | 90        |
| Appendix 10: Mammal Habitat Complexity Before & After Computer Modelled Low & Moderate Intensity 40% Fuel Reduction Burns in vegetation type (a) 138, (b) 140, (c) 159, (d) 167, (e) SB, (f) Creek. ....                                                         | 92        |

|                                                                                                                                                                                                                         |     |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| <i>Appendix 11: Mammal Habitat Complexity Before &amp; After Computer Modelled Low &amp; Moderate Intensity 80% Fuel Reduction Burns in vegetation type (a) 138, (b) 140, (c) 159, (d) 167, (e) SB, (f) Creek. ....</i> | 94  |
| <i>Appendix 12: Bird Habitat Complexity Before and After Computer Modelled Low and Moderate Intensity 40% Fuel Reduction Burns in vegetation type (a) 138, (b) 140, (c) 159, (d) 167, (e) SB (f) Creek. ....</i>        | 96  |
| <i>Appendix 13: Bird Habitat Complexity Before and After Computer Modelled Low and Moderate Intensity 80% Fuel Reduction Burns in vegetation type (a) 138, (b) 140, (c) 159, (d) 167, (e) SB (f) Creek. ....</i>        | 98  |
| <i>Appendix 14: Sites with High Habitat Complexity Scores (circles) and Low Habitat Complexity Scores (squares). ....</i>                                                                                               | 100 |
| <i>Appendix 15: Photographs from Coolah Tops National Park. ....</i>                                                                                                                                                    | 101 |

## List of Tables

|                                                                                                                                                                      |    |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|
| Table 2.1: The Main Plant Species Affecting Habitat Complexity Scores in the Study Area. ....                                                                        | 18 |
| Table 3.1: Features and scoring criteria for the habitat complexity score for ground-dwelling mammals in forests. Maximum score possible is 15. ....                 | 25 |
| Table 3.2: Features and scoring criteria for the habitat complexity score for understorey birds in forests. Maximum score possible is 21. ....                       | 25 |
| Table 3.3: Mean ( $\pm$ se), Maximum and Minimum Values for Litter Fuel Loads (t/ha) for Each Vegetation Type. ....                                                  | 27 |
| Table 3.4: Percentage of the Total Area of Each Vegetation Type Covered with Litter. ....                                                                            | 27 |
| Table 3.5: Percentage of the Ground Covered by Leaf Litter in Each Vegetation Type with Litter Depths of $\leq 1$ cm, $\leq 2$ cm, $\leq 3$ cm and $\leq 5$ cm. .... | 27 |
| Table 3.6: Mean ( $\pm$ se), Maximum and Minimum Values for Shrub Fuel Loads (t/ha) for Each Vegetation Type. ....                                                   | 29 |
| Table 3.7: (Mean ( $\pm$ se), Maximum and Minimum Values for Percentage Vegetation Cover for 0-0.5m, 0.5-1m 1-1.5m Shrub Layers for Each Vegetation Type. ....       | 29 |
| Table 3.8: Mean ( $\pm$ se), Maximum and Minimum Values Total Fuel Loads (t/ha) for Each Vegetation Type. ....                                                       | 31 |

## List of Figures

|                                                                                                                                                                              |    |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|
| Figure 2.1: Map of NSW and the Location of Coolah Township.                                                                                                                  | 12 |
| Figure 2.2: Coolah Tops National Park and Study Site                                                                                                                         | 13 |
| Figure 3.1: Example of Mammal Habitat Complexity Scores, in a Forest, of (a) 9, (b) 7 and (c) 4-5.                                                                           | 26 |
| Figure 3.2: Comparison of Litter Fuels in Different Vegetation Types.                                                                                                        | 28 |
| Figure 3.3: Comparison of Shrub Fuels in Different Vegetation Types.                                                                                                         | 30 |
| Figure 3.4: Comparison of Total Fuels in Different Vegetation Types.                                                                                                         | 31 |
| Figure 3.5: Comparisons of Mean Mammal Habitat Complexity Scores Between Different Vegetation Types.                                                                         | 32 |
| Figure 3.6: Percentage of Sites Found in Each Vegetation Type with Grouped Mammal Habitat Complexity Scores Less than 7, 7 and Greater than 7.                               | 33 |
| Figure 3.7: Comparisons of Mean Bird Habitat Complexity Scores Between Different Vegetation Types.                                                                           | 34 |
| Figure 3.8: Percentage of Sites Found in Each Vegetation Type with Grouped Bird Habitat Complexity Scores Less Than 6, and 6-12.                                             | 35 |
| Figure 3.9: Percentage of Sites in the Moderate Habitat Complexity Group with Habitat Complexity Scores in the 6-8, 9 and 10-12 Range.                                       | 35 |
| Figure 4.1: Average Mammal Habitat Complexity Scores for Each Vegetation Type Before and After the Low Intensity 40% and 80% and Moderate Intensity 40% and 80% Burn Models. | 40 |
| Figure 5.1: Six-step cycle defining the adaptive management process, as used by the Canadian Ministry of Forests (2000).                                                     | 58 |