

University of Wollongong

Research Online

Faculty of Science, Medicine and Health -
Papers: part A

Faculty of Science, Medicine and Health

1-1-2015

Cyclic phosphine oxides and phosphinamides from di-grignard reagents and phosphonic dichlorides: modular access to annulated phospholanes

Aaron M. Gregson

University of Wollongong, amg707@uowmail.edu.au

Steven M. Wales

University of Wollongong, swales@uow.edu.au

Stephen Bailey

University of Wollongong, sjb106@uowmail.edu.au

Anthony C. Willis

Australian National University

Paul A. Keller

University of Wollongong, keller@uow.edu.au

Follow this and additional works at: <https://ro.uow.edu.au/smhpapers>



Part of the [Medicine and Health Sciences Commons](#), and the [Social and Behavioral Sciences Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Gregson, Aaron M.; Wales, Steven M.; Bailey, Stephen; Willis, Anthony C.; and Keller, Paul A., "Cyclic phosphine oxides and phosphinamides from di-grignard reagents and phosphonic dichlorides: modular access to annulated phospholanes" (2015). *Faculty of Science, Medicine and Health - Papers: part A*. 3299.

<https://ro.uow.edu.au/smhpapers/3299>

Research Online is the open access institutional repository for the University of Wollongong. For further information contact the UOW Library: research-pubs@uow.edu.au

Cyclic phosphine oxides and phosphinamides from di-grignard reagents and phosphonic dichlorides: modular access to annulated phospholanes

Abstract

The reaction between 1,4-di-Grignard reagents and phosphonous(III) dichlorides is a classical method for the direct synthesis of phospholanes. Reported here is an extension of this approach to the preparation of value-added, annulated phospholane oxides, achieved through the combination of carbocyclic-fused di-Grignard reagents and readily available phosphonic(V) dichlorides. The procedure is amenable to (benz)annulation at both the 2,3- and 3,4-positions of the phospholane ring, and a variety of aliphatic, cyclic and aryl P-electrophiles are tolerated in reasonable to excellent yields.

Disciplines

Medicine and Health Sciences | Social and Behavioral Sciences

Publication Details

Gregson, A. M., Wales, S. M., Bailey, S. J., Willis, A. C. & Keller, P. A. (2015). Cyclic phosphine oxides and phosphinamides from di-grignard reagents and phosphonic dichlorides: modular access to annulated phospholanes. *Journal of Organic Chemistry*, 80 (19), 9774-9780.


Cyclic Phosphine Oxides and Phosphinamides from Di-Grignard Reagents and Phosphonic Dichlorides: Modular Access to Annulated Phospholanes

Aaron M. Gregson,^{†,‡} Steven M. Wales,^{†,‡} Stephen J. Bailey,^{†,‡} Anthony C. Willis,[‡] and Paul A. Keller^{†,*}

[†]School of Chemistry, University of Wollongong, Wollongong, NSW 2522, Australia

[‡]Research School of Chemistry, The Australian National University, Canberra, ACT 2601, Australia

Corresponding author, *email: keller@uow.edu.au (PAK)



Abstract: The reaction between 1,4-di-Grignard reagents and phosphonous(III) dichlorides is a classical method for the direct synthesis of phospholanes. Reported here is an extension of this approach to the preparation of value-added, annulated phospholane oxides, achieved through the combination of carbocyclic-fused di-Grignard reagents and readily available phosphonic(V) dichlorides. The procedure is amenable to (benz)annulation at both the 2,3- and 3,4-positions of the phospholane ring, and a variety of aliphatic, cyclic and aryl P-electrophiles are tolerated in reasonable to excellent yields.

The phospholane ring system is a ubiquitous heterocycle in organic synthesis, upon which a plethora of P-ligands¹ and nucleophilic organocatalysts^{1c,2} are based. In particular, the privileged BPE and DuPhos families,³ bearing 2,5-disubstituted phospholanes (**1** and **2**, Figure 1), have seen extensive application in metal-mediated⁴ and metal-free asymmetric catalysis.² The P-stereogenic TangPhos/DuanPhos/ZhangPhos series (**3–5**),⁵ based on 1,1'-di-*tert*-butyl-2,2'-biphospholane and its 3,4-annulated derivatives, is another notable contribution, encompassing some of the most effective ligands ever reported for asymmetric hydrogenation.⁶





Figure 1. Exemplary P-ligands and organocatalysts containing the phospholane moiety.

Recently, phospholane oxides and their bi- and tricyclic derivatives (fused and bridged), have emerged as effective pre-catalysts for an expanding new area of organocatalysis involving the P(III): \leftrightarrow P(V)=O redox pair (e.g., **7–9**, Figure 1).⁷ The virtue of these heterocycles to participate in such a catalysis mode stems from their increased rate of reduction compared to their larger ring-homologues and acyclic counterparts.^{7,8} Current areas of development include catalytic Wittig,⁹ aza-Wittig¹⁰ and Appel reactions^{8,11} and very recently, deoxygenative condensations.¹²

The most common approach to assemble the phospholane system involves the combination of lithiated primary phosphines or phosphine-boranes with 1,4-bis-electrophiles, enabling consecutive inter- and intramolecular P–C bond formations in a single pot. This strategy has furnished a range of valuable phospholanes,^{1c} including bicyclic adducts,^{5a,b,13} and can be extended to secondary alkyl electrophiles in a stereospecific manner.^{3a,14} Despite these favourable aspects, the requirement for the handling and use of pungent, odorous and potentially pyrophoric primary phosphines, remains a significant drawback. Furthermore, this approach is not readily amenable to benzannulation at the phospholane 2,3-position,¹⁵ an emerging structural feature of useful ligands and organocatalysts (e.g., **6** and **9**, Figure 1).^{8,12a,15,16}

In our search for an alternate phospholane syntheses that would avoid the use of primary phosphines, while still circumventing acyclic organophosphorus intermediates¹⁷ and backbone redox manipulations,¹⁸ common to most available routes, we turned our attention to the classical umpolung approach, in which a 1,4-diorganometallic reagent is reacted with a P-electrophile. This method was first introduced by Grüttner for the preparation of phenyl phospholane from di-Grignard reagent **10** (Scheme 1a),¹⁹ and has since been applied to a variety of analogues **11** using phosphonous(III) dichlorides^{5c,14b,20} or other trivalent P reagents,²¹ typically by trapping the products as their stable borane or sulfide adducts.

Scheme 1. Proposed Synthesis of Annulated Phospholanes



Encouraged by these findings, and considering the fact that extensions of this umpolung synthetic strategy to mono-annulated phospholanes (i.e., **13**, Scheme 1b) have been limited,²² we were inspired to investigate in more detail the application of carbocyclic-fused di-Grignard reagents **12** in this setting.²³ From an additional standpoint, we were drawn to the potential of using higher oxidation level phosphonic(V) dichlorides as electrophiles, which have surprisingly remained an essentially unexplored class of reagents for phospholane synthesis,²⁴ or homologues thereof. Notably, the latter modification would open up a direct entry to phospholane oxides (Scheme 1a). Several practical

benefits could also be envisioned including the stability of P(V) reagents to oxygen, the wide range of commercially available phosphonic dichlorides and their phosphonic acid precursors,²⁵ and the avoidance of an oxidative work-up otherwise required to isolate the desired P-oxide.

Our initial synthetic investigations were based on phenyl phospholane oxide (**19** in Table 1) as a model system through the reaction of di-Grignard reagent **10** and commercially available POPhCl₂. A previous study of a related reaction between phosphate ester dichlorides (PO(OR)Cl₂) and **10** highlighted the difficulty in achieving cyclization at the P(V) oxidation state due to undesired oligomerization.²⁶ After experiencing similarly low yields of **19** (25–35%) under standard conditions (0 °C–rt),^{19,20,26} we progressed to examine the effect of lower temperatures which, surprisingly, to our knowledge, had never been investigated for the related esters.²⁶ In the event, by mixing the reactants at –78 °C (1:1 in THF, 0.1 M), a significant suppression of oligomerization was realized to give **19** in a highly respectable 75% yield (Table 1, entry 1), representing a notable improvement relative to previous syntheses of phenyl phospholane from diorganometallic and P(III) reagents (optimum lit. yields given in Table 1, entry 1).^{19,20a,b,27}

Table 1. Di-Grignard Scope^a

| entry | di-Grignard reagent ^b | product | yield (%) ^c | lit. yield (%) ^d |
|-------|----------------------------------|--|------------------------------------|--|
| 1 | 10 | 19 | 75 | 46 ^{e,20b} , 56 ^{f,27} (one step) |
| 2 | 14 | (±)- 20 | 87 | 80 ^{28a} (three steps) |
| 3 | 15 | (±)- 21 | 57 | 36 ³⁰ (two steps) |
| 4 | (±)- 16 | (±)- 22 | 57 | - |
| 5 | <i>meso</i> - 17 | <i>meso</i> -(s)- 23 <i>meso</i> -(r)- 23 | 43 ^g 16 ^g | - |
| 6 | 18 | 24 | 36 | 75 ³² (three steps) |

^a Reactions performed with 0.30–0.58 mmol of POPhCl₂ at 0.05–0.10 M. ^b Di-Grignard reagents were prepared in THF with 2.1 equiv of acid-washed Mg flakes and titrated with 1,10-phenanthroline/2-butanol prior to use. ^c Isolated yield after flash chromatography. ^d Highest yield reported among all previous methods. ^e Yield of the corresponding BH₃ adduct obtained from **10** and PPhCl₂. ^f Yield of deoxo-**19** obtained from Li(CH₂)₄Li and PPh(OMe)₂. ^g Diastereomers separated by flash chromatography.

With a practical and efficient procedure established, we submitted a series of carbocyclic-fused 1,4-di-Grignard reagents (**14–17**) to the reaction with POPhCl₂ (Table 1, entries 2–5). The known P-stereogenic benzo- and naphthophospholanes (±)-**20** and (±)-**21** were obtained in 87% and 57% yields, respectively, comparing favourably with previous multistep routes to these compounds (entries 2 and 3).^{28–30} Utilizing racemic di-Grignard reagent **16**, the novel bicyclic phospholane oxide (±)-**22** was isolated in a moderate 57% yield (entry 4). The rigid *trans*-cyclohexane-annulated chiral backbone of **22** represents an unsaturated monomeric analogue to the skeleton of ZhangPhos (**5**, Figure 1), which has been previously obtained by the inverse addition of a nucleophilic primary phosphine and the corresponding 1,4-bis-electrophile.^{5a} Translation to the homochiral variants of **22** can be readily envisioned, based on the commercial availability of the requisite enantiopure precursors.³¹

The corresponding *cis*-di-Grignard reagent **17** reacted similarly with PPhOCl₂ to give chromatographically separable *meso*-diastereomers (*s_P*)-**23** and (*r_P*)-**23** in a 59% combined yield (Table 1, entry 5), which bear additional P-stereocenters on the molecular symmetry plane. The major isomer was determined by X-ray crystallography to have the (*s_P*)-configuration at the phosphorus atom, in which the phenyl group lies in an *exo* relationship to the annulated cyclohexene ring (Figure 2). We also established that the method can be extended to six-membered homologues using exemplary di-Grignard reagent **18** (entry 6), however reduced yields should be expected due to a greater degree of oligomerization.³³





Figure 2. X-ray crystal structure of *meso*-(*s_P*)-**23** with an ellipsoid contour % probability level of 30%.

We next turned our attention to the scope of the process with respect to the phosphonic dichloride, targeting a series of novel naphthophospholane derivatives (Table 2). The requisite electrophiles were obtained commercially or by a simple chlorination procedure using oxalyl chloride,^{25,34} allowing access to a variety of products (**25–31**) in moderate to excellent yields (49–88%). Functionalized 2-methoxy- and 3-bromophenylphosphonic dichlorides provided (±)-**25** and (±)-**26** in yields comparable to their unsubstituted analogue (±)-**21** (Table 1, entry 3). The 1-naphthyl substrate performed particularly well, giving (±)-**27** in 79% yield, the structure of which was confirmed by X-Ray crystallography (Table 2, insert). Importantly, sterically encumbered aliphatic P-substituents commonly exploited in

phosphine ligands were well tolerated including *tert*-butyl and cyclohexyl moieties. A phosphoramidate dichloride (PO(NMe₂)Cl₂) was also accommodated, affording phosphinamide derivative (±)-**31** in high yield (88%).

Table 2. Electrophile Scope^a



^a Reactions performed with 0.32–0.50 mmol of PORCl_2 at 0.08–0.10 M. Yields are of isolated product after flash chromatography.

^b Chlorination conditions: $(\text{COCl})_2$, DMF (cat.), CH_2Cl_2 , rt–40 $^\circ\text{C}$, 1 h.²⁵ ^c PORCl_2 was obtained commercially. The ellipsoid contour % probability level of the X-ray structure of **27** is 30%.

In addition to having showcased the ability to tune the electronic and steric properties of the naphthophospholane scaffold via this methodology, it is noteworthy that versatile functional handles for further manipulation have been introduced (e.g., allyl, bromo and methoxy). Based on more specific precedents, the methoxy group could offer a potential means of resolving (±)-**25** via the diastereomeric menthyl carbonates,¹⁶ while the phosphinamide moiety in **31** should permit a facile entry into the corresponding phosphonic acid³⁵ which could, for instance, be reduced to the corresponding secondary phosphine oxide,^{35b,36} the secondary phosphine,^{3a,36} or diastereomerically resolved.^{35b}

In summary, we have established that annulated phospholane oxides can be obtained in a practical and efficient manner through the combination of phosphonic dichlorides and di-Grignard reagents. The significance of this method has been demonstrated by the synthesis of representative known compounds in superior yields than obtained with previous methods, its application to backbone-chiral and P-stereogenic phospholanes, and the straightforward electronic and steric modulation of a representative heterocycle through P-substituent variations. The latter is of particular importance in the context of analogue throughput in catalyst screening studies, which, as pointed out, could involve applications of the phospholane oxides as new organocatalysts or alternatively, as templates for P-ligand design, based on their well

precedented ability to undergo diastereoselective α -C(sp³)-H functionalization,^{18a,37} including oxidative dimerization to 1,2-bisphosphines.^{5b,16}

Experimental Section

General Methods and Materials. All reactions were carried out in standard laboratory glassware with magnetic stirring. Thin layer chromatography (TLC) was performed on aluminum-backed 0.20 mm silica gel plates. Visualization was accomplished with UV light. Flash chromatography was performed under positive air pressure using Silica Gel 60 of 230–400 mesh (40–63 μ m). Melting points (mp) are uncorrected. Proton and carbon magnetic resonance spectra (¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR) were recorded on a 300 MHz or 500 MHz spectrometer, as specified. Spectra were acquired in CDCl₃ and are reported relative to tetramethylsilane (¹H: δ = 0.00 ppm) and solvent resonance (¹³C: δ = 77.0 ppm). ¹H NMR data are reported as follows: chemical shift, multiplicity (abbreviations: d = doublet, dd = doublet of doublets, ddd = doublet of doublets of doublets, t = triplet, td = triplet of doublets, m = multiplet), coupling constant (Hz) and integration. Coupling constants listed in the ¹³C NMR spectral data refer to coupling between carbon and phosphorus nuclei. High resolution mass spectrometry (HRMS) was performed on a QTOF ESI spectrometer.

Anhydrous tetrahydrofuran was obtained commercially. Di-Grignard reagents were prepared from Mg flakes that were freshly washed with 1 M HCl, followed sequentially by water, EtOH, THF and Et₂O before being dried for 20 min under high vacuum. 1-Bromo-2-(2-chloroethyl)benzene,³⁸ 1-bromo-2-(2-chloroethyl)naphthalene,³¹ (\pm)-*trans*-4,5-bis(bromomethyl)cyclohex-1-ene³¹ and *cis*-4,5-bis(bromomethyl)cyclohex-1-ene³⁹ were prepared according to literature procedures. Phenylphosphonic dichloride (POPhCl₂), *tert*-butylphosphonic dichloride (PO(*t*-Bu)Cl₂) and *N,N*-dimethylphosphoramidate dichloride (PO(NMe₂)Cl₂) were obtained commercially. The remaining phosphonic dichlorides utilized in Table 2 were prepared from their corresponding known phosphonic acids via chlorination with oxalyl chloride.^{25,34} All other reagents and solvents were obtained reagent grade from commercial sources and used as received.

General Procedure A for the Preparation of Di-Grignard Reagents. A dry round-bottom flask was charged with the appropriate neat di-halo compound (0.24–0.58 mmol, 1.0 equiv) and Mg (2.1 equiv).⁴⁰ The flask was evacuated and refilled with N₂ (single cycle), then THF was added (0.50 M in di-halo compound) and the suspension was stirred rapidly for 2 h at a temperature dependent on the substrate. Aliphatic di-Grignard reagents (**10**, (\pm)-**16**, *meso*-**17** and **18**) were prepared at rt, while mixed aliphatic/aromatic substrates (**14** and **15**) were prepared by gradually heating from rt to 65 °C over 30 min, maintaining this temperature for 1 h, then returning to rt over 30 min (for these reactions, the flask was equipped with a condenser). In each case, titration of the resultant supernatant was carried out with a 1.00 M solution of 2-butanol in toluene using 1,10-phenanthroline as indicator⁴¹ to determine the total concentration of organomagnesium species, which was halved to obtain the di-Grignard reagent concentration quoted in the experimental descriptions below.

General Procedure B for the Synthesis of Cyclic Phosphine Oxides from Di-Grignard Reagents. To a solution of a phosphonic dichloride (0.30–0.58 mmol) in the specified volume of THF at –78 °C (liquid N₂/EtOAc slush bath) under N₂ was added dropwise over 2 min a solution of a freshly titrated di-Grignard reagent (1.0 equiv) in THF. The

resulting solution (generally 0.10 M in both reactants) was allowed to warm to rt with stirring over 20 h. The reaction was quenched with water (5 mL), then saturated NH_4Cl (5 mL) was added, followed by EtOAc (20 mL). The organic layer was separated and the aqueous layer further extracted with EtOAc (2×20 mL). The combined organic extracts were dried (MgSO_4) and concentrated under reduced pressure. Flash chromatography with the specified eluent provided the desired cyclic phosphine oxide.

1-Phenylphospholane-1-oxide (19). This was prepared according to General Procedure B using a solution of POPhCl_2 (97.5 mg, 0.50 mmol) in THF (3.31 mL) and a solution of di-Grignard reagent **10** (0.295 M in THF, 1.69 mL, 0.50 mmol). Flash chromatography (100% EtOAc to 2% $\text{NEt}_3/\text{EtOAc}$) gave the known phospholane **19** (67.6 mg, 75%) as a yellow oil. NMR data was in good agreement with that previously reported.⁸ TLC (2% $\text{NEt}_3/\text{EtOAc}$) R_F = 0.20; ^1H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 7.74 (dd, J = 11.4, 7.3 Hz, 2H), 7.56 – 7.46 (m, 3H), 2.27 – 1.88 (m, 8H); ^{13}C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 133.9 (d, J = 90.1 Hz), 131.4 (d, J = 2.9 Hz), 129.6 (d, J = 9.6 Hz), 128.4 (d, J = 11.6 Hz), 29.4 (d, J = 67.6 Hz), 25.0 (d, J = 8.1 Hz).

(racemic)-2,3-Dihydro-1-phenyl-1H-phosphindole-1-oxide (20). This was prepared according to General Procedure B using a solution of POPhCl_2 (97.5 mg, 0.50 mmol) in THF (3.61 mL) and a solution of di-Grignard reagent **14** (0.36 M in THF, 1.39 mL, 0.50 mmol). Flash chromatography (100% EtOAc to 2% $\text{NEt}_3/\text{EtOAc}$) gave the known benzophospholane **20** (99.8 mg, 87%) as a white solid. NMR data was in good agreement with that previously reported.^{28a} mp 86–88 °C; Lit.^{28c} mp 97–101 °C; TLC (2% $\text{NEt}_3/\text{EtOAc}$) R_F = 0.29; ^1H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 7.66 (t, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 7.62 – 7.48 (m, 4H), 7.47 – 7.34 (m, 4H), 3.49 – 3.37 (m, 1H), 3.25 – 3.14 (m, 1H), 2.54 – 2.37 (m, 2H); ^{13}C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 147.3 (d, J = 30.7 Hz), 133.1 (d, J = 97.7 Hz), 132.5 (d, J = 103.1 Hz), 132.4 (d, J = 2.5 Hz), 131.5 (d, J = 2.9 Hz), 130.2 (d, J = 10.5 Hz), 128.6 (d, J = 9.3 Hz), 128.3 (d, J = 12.0 Hz), 127.5 (d, J = 10.4 Hz), 126.1 (d, J = 11.4 Hz), 27.9 (d, J = 3.9 Hz), 27.8 (d, J = 71.0 Hz); IR (neat) ν 3442, 1593, 1434, 1195, 1136, 1115, 777, 765, 742 cm^{-1} ; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\text{C}_{14}\text{H}_{14}\text{OP}$ 229.0782 $[\text{M}+\text{H}]^+$, found 229.0790.

(racemic)-1-Phenyl-2,3-dihydro-1H-benzo[g]phosphindole-1-oxide (21). This was prepared according to General Procedure B using a solution of POPhCl_2 (113.0 mg, 0.58 mmol) in THF (3.80 mL) and a solution of di-Grignard reagent **15** (0.29 M in THF, 2.00 mL, 0.58 mmol). Flash chromatography (100% EtOAc to 2% $\text{NEt}_3/\text{EtOAc}$) gave the known compound **21** (91.4 mg, 57%) as a white solid. Previously, no ^1H NMR data and only partial ^{13}C NMR data has been reported for **21**. Our ^{13}C NMR data was in good agreement with the available information.³⁰ mp 177–178 °C; Lit.³⁰ mp 200–202 °C; TLC (2% $\text{NEt}_3/\text{EtOAc}$) R_F = 0.37; ^1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 8.13 – 8.05 (m, 1H), 7.95 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.86 – 7.78 (m, 1H), 7.63 (dd, J = 12.3, 7.3 Hz, 2H), 7.46 – 7.31 (m, 6H), 3.57 – 3.38 (m, 1H), 3.37 – 3.20 (m, 1H), 2.67 – 2.38 (m, 2H); ^{13}C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 147.9 (d, J = 31.1 Hz), 133.8 (d, J = 96.7 Hz), 133.7 (d, J = 2.7 Hz), 132.5 (d, J = 8.0 Hz), 131.7 (d, J = 9.1 Hz), 131.5 (d, J = 3.0 Hz), 130.1 (d, J = 10.4 Hz), 128.4 (d, J = 12.0 Hz), 128.2 (d, J = 1.6 Hz), 128.0 (d, J = 101.5 Hz), 127.6, 126.1, 125.1 (d, J = 4.8 Hz), 123.8 (d, J = 12.7 Hz), 28.6 (d, J = 4.6 Hz), 27.9 (d, J = 71.4 Hz); IR (neat) ν 2360, 1201, 1186, 1111, 818, 784, 740, 691 cm^{-1} ; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\text{C}_{18}\text{H}_{16}\text{OP}$ 279.0939 $[\text{M}+\text{H}]^+$, found 279.0931.

(racemic)-*Trans*-2-phenyl-2,3,3a,4,7,7a-hexahydro-1*H*-isophosphindole 2-oxide (**22**). This was prepared according to General Procedure B using a solution of POPhCl₂ (97.5 mg, 0.50 mmol) in THF (3.20 mL) and a solution of di-Grignard reagent **16** (0.13 M in THF, 3.85 mL, 0.50 mmol). Flash chromatography (100% EtOAc to 2% NEt₃/EtOAc) gave **22** (66.4 mg, 57%) as a white solid. mp 88–92 °C; TLC (2% NEt₃/EtOAc) *R*_F = 0.38; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.81 – 7.71 (m, 2H), 7.59 – 7.45 (m, 3H), 5.72 (s, 2H), 2.56 – 2.35 (m, 4H), 2.30 – 2.19 (m, 1H), 2.09 – 1.98 (m, 1H), 1.98 – 1.77 (m, 3H), 1.62 (ddd, *J* = 15.0, 12.5, 7.9 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 134.4 (d, *J* = 90.1 Hz), 131.6 (d, *J* = 2.9 Hz), 129.8 (d, *J* = 9.9 Hz), 128.6 (d, *J* = 11.6 Hz), 126.2 (d, *J* = 1.9 Hz), 126.1 (d, *J* = 1.9 Hz), 40.4 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz), 38.9 (d, *J* = 7.7 Hz), 37.6 (d, *J* = 66.4 Hz), 36.9 (d, *J* = 67.6 Hz), 33.2 (d, *J* = 9.3 Hz), 33.0 (d, *J* = 9.8 Hz); IR (neat) ν 3408, 2887, 1437, 1223, 1187, 927, 852, 786, 726, 692, 655 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ESI) calcd for C₁₄H₁₈OP 233.1095 [M+H]⁺, found 233.1087.

(*meso*)-(2*s*,3*aR*,7*aS*)-2-Phenyl-2,3,3*a*,4,7,7*a*-hexahydro-1*H*-isophosphindole 2-oxide ((*s_P*)-**23**) and (*meso*)-(2*r*,3*aR*,7*aS*)-2-phenyl-2,3,3*a*,4,7,7*a*-hexahydro-1*H*-isophosphindole 2-oxide ((*r_P*)-**23**). The title compounds were prepared according to General Procedure B using a solution of POPhCl₂ (58.0 mg, 0.30 mmol) in THF (3.00 mL) and a solution of di-Grignard reagent **17** (0.06 M in THF, 4.00 mL, 0.24 mmol). Flash chromatography (100% EtOAc to 5% NEt₃/EtOAc) gave (*s_P*)-**23** (24.0 mg, 43% based on **17**) as a white solid. mp 76–79 °C; TLC (5% NEt₃/EtOAc) *R*_F = 0.55; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.81 – 7.74 (m, 2H), 7.55 – 7.46 (m, 3H), 5.69 (s, 2H), 2.50 – 2.32 (m, 4H), 2.31 – 2.20 (m, 2H), 2.17 – 2.09 (m, 2H), 2.09 – 1.99 (m, 2H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 134.9 (d, *J* = 89.6 Hz), 131.2 (d, *J* = 2.9 Hz), 129.5 (d, *J* = 9.6 Hz), 128.3 (d, *J* = 11.5 Hz), 123.9, 35.1 (d, *J* = 7.3 Hz), 34.9 (d, *J* = 66.4 Hz), 27.3 (d, *J* = 7.7 Hz); IR (neat) ν 3400, 2904, 1653, 1436, 1407, 1180, 911, 845, 792, 744, 717, 696, 661 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ESI) calcd for C₁₄H₁₈OP 233.1095 [M+H]⁺, found 233.1085. Further elution (10% NEt₃/EtOAc) gave (*r_P*)-**23** (9.0 mg, 16% based on **17**) as an amorphous solid. TLC (5% NEt₃/EtOAc) *R*_F = 0.18; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.83 – 7.75 (m, 2H), 7.57 – 7.47 (m, 3H), 5.64 (s, 2H), 2.78 – 2.66 (m, 2H), 2.37 – 2.27 (m, 2H), 2.24 – 2.12 (m, 2H), 2.11 – 2.02 (m, 2H), 1.94 – 1.85 (m, 2H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 134.6 (d, *J* = 90.0 Hz), 131.6 (d, *J* = 2.8 Hz), 130.0 (d, *J* = 9.7 Hz), 128.7 (d, *J* = 11.8 Hz), 124.4, 34.2 (d, *J* = 67.0 Hz), 34.1 (d, *J* = 7.2 Hz), 27.5 (d, *J* = 7.9 Hz); IR (neat) ν 3407, 2910, 1647, 1436, 1405, 1178, 934, 846, 797, 737, 728, 694, 661 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ESI) calcd for C₁₄H₁₈OP 233.1095 [M+H]⁺, found 233.1095.

1-Phenylphosphinane oxide (**24**). This was prepared according to General Procedure B using a solution of POPhCl₂ (97.5 mg, 0.50 mmol) in THF (8.68 mL) and a solution of di-Grignard reagent **18** (0.38 M in THF, 1.32 mL, 0.50 mmol). Flash chromatography (100% EtOAc to 10% NEt₃/EtOAc) gave **24** (34.6 mg, 36%) as a white solid. NMR data was in good agreement with that previously reported.⁴² mp 114–117 °C; Lit.^{17a} mp 125–127 °C; TLC (2% NEt₃/EtOAc) *R*_F = 0.15; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.82 – 7.70 (m, 2H), 7.57 – 7.43 (m, 3H), 2.25 – 1.70 (m, 9H), 1.59 – 1.41 (m, 1H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 133.2 (d, *J* = 94.4 Hz), 131.6 (d, *J* = 2.5 Hz), 129.9 (d, *J* = 9.2 Hz),

128.6 (d, $J = 11.5$ Hz), 28.2 (d, $J = 65.2$ Hz), 26.6 (d, $J = 6.8$ Hz), 22.0 (d, $J = 5.6$ Hz); HRMS (ESI) calcd for $C_{11}H_{16}OP$ 195.0939 $[M+H]^+$, found 195.0946.

(racemic)-1-(2-Methoxyphenyl)-2,3-dihydro-1H-benzo[g]phosphindole-1-oxide (25). This was prepared according to General Procedure B using a solution of $PO(2-OMePh)Cl_2$ (71.9 mg, 0.32 mmol) in THF (2.13 mL) and a solution of di-Grignard reagent **15** (0.30 M in THF, 1.07 mL, 0.32 mmol). Flash chromatography (100% EtOAc to 2% NEt_3 /EtOAc) gave **25** (51.2 mg, 52%) as an off-white solid. mp 168–169 °C; TLC (2% NEt_3 /EtOAc) $R_F = 0.27$; 1H NMR (500 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 8.16 (dd, $J = 13.2, 7.7$ Hz, 1H), 8.10 (dd, $J = 6.2, 3.4$ Hz, 1H), 7.92 (d, $J = 8.4$ Hz, 1H), 7.85 – 7.79 (m, 1H), 7.47 – 7.37 (m, 4H), 7.12 (t, $J = 7.5$ Hz, 1H), 6.75 (dd, $J = 8.3, 5.5$ Hz, 1H), 3.55 – 3.43 (m, 4H), 3.39 – 3.29 (m, 1H), 2.74 – 2.64 (m, 1H), 2.50 – 2.37 (m, 1H); ^{13}C NMR (125 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 160.4 (d, $J = 4.5$ Hz), 148.0 (d, $J = 33.5$ Hz), 134.1 (d, $J = 6.2$ Hz), 133.7 (d, $J = 2.0$ Hz), 133.1 (d, $J = 2.7$ Hz), 132.5 (d, $J = 8.1$ Hz), 132.0 (d, $J = 9.1$ Hz), 128.7 (d, $J = 104.4$ Hz), 128.2 (d, $J = 1.4$ Hz), 127.5, 125.9, 125.3 (d, $J = 4.7$ Hz), 123.9 (d, $J = 13.3$ Hz), 121.4 (d, $J = 95.6$ Hz), 120.9 (d, $J = 11.1$ Hz), 110.9 (d, $J = 6.3$ Hz), 55.3, 29.2 (d, $J = 5.1$ Hz), 26.7 (d, $J = 73.6$ Hz); IR (neat) ν 2914, 1589, 1507, 1477, 1273, 1241, 1200, 1148, 1017, 816, 803, 783, 750, 740 cm^{-1} ; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $C_{19}H_{17}NaO_2P$ 331.0864 $[M+Na]^+$, found 331.0876.

(racemic)-1-(3-Bromophenyl)-2,3-dihydro-1H-benzo[g]phosphindole-1-oxide (26). This was prepared according to General Procedure B using a solution of $PO(3-BrPh)Cl_2$ (136.9 mg, 0.50 mmol) in THF (3.21 mL) and a solution of di-Grignard reagent **15** (0.28 M in THF, 1.79 mL, 0.50 mmol). Flash chromatography (100% EtOAc to 2% NEt_3 /EtOAc) gave **26** (88.3 mg, 49%) as a white solid. mp 114–116 °C; TLC (2% NEt_3 /EtOAc) $R_F = 0.45$; 1H NMR (500 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 8.09 – 8.03 (m, 1H), 7.99 (d, $J = 8.5$ Hz, 1H), 7.89 – 7.80 (m, 2H), 7.57 (d, $J = 8.1$ Hz, 1H), 7.52 – 7.40 (m, 4H), 7.27 – 7.21 (m, 1H), 3.58 – 3.46 (m, 1H), 3.39 – 3.26 (m, 1H), 2.65 – 2.42 (m, 2H); ^{13}C NMR (125 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 148.2 (d, $J = 31.7$ Hz), 136.7 (d, $J = 93.4$ Hz), 134.7 (d, $J = 2.6$ Hz), 134.2 (d, $J = 2.6$ Hz), 133.0 (d, $J = 11.1$ Hz), 132.7 (d, $J = 7.8$ Hz), 131.7 (d, $J = 9.0$ Hz), 130.2 (d, $J = 12.6$ Hz), 128.7 (d, $J = 10.2$ Hz), 128.4 (d, $J = 1.4$ Hz), 128.0, 127.5 (d, $J = 102.6$ Hz), 126.4, 125.1 (d, $J = 4.7$ Hz), 123.9 (d, $J = 12.9$ Hz), 123.2 (d, $J = 15.2$ Hz), 28.7 (d, $J = 4.8$ Hz), 27.9 (d, $J = 71.8$ Hz); IR (neat) ν 2975, 2350, 1559, 1507, 1395, 1185, 1123, 1066, 824, 777, 681, 664 cm^{-1} ; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $C_{18}H_{14}(^{79}Br)NaOP$ 378.9863 $[M+Na]^+$, found 378.9868.

(racemic)-1-(1-Naphthyl)-2,3-dihydro-1H-benzo[g]phosphindole-1-oxide (27). This was prepared according to General Procedure B using a solution of $PO(1-naphthyl)Cl_2$ (122.5 mg, 0.50 mmol) in THF (3.33 mL) and a solution of di-Grignard reagent **15** (0.30 M in THF, 1.67 mL, 0.50 mmol). Flash chromatography (100% EtOAc to 1% NEt_3 /EtOAc) gave **27** (129.8 mg, 79%) as a white solid. mp 208–210 °C; TLC (2% NEt_3 /EtOAc) $R_F = 0.77$; 1H NMR (300 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 8.68 (d, $J = 8.3$ Hz, 1H), 8.31 (d, $J = 7.5$ Hz, 1H), 7.99 (d, $J = 8.5$ Hz, 1H), 7.95 – 7.80 (m, 3H), 7.60 – 7.30 (m, 6H), 7.24 (t, $J = 7.7$ Hz, 1H), 3.55 – 3.35 (m, 1H), 3.22 – 3.04 (m, 1H), 2.74 – 2.55 (m, 2H); ^{13}C NMR (75 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 147.9 (d, $J = 31.1$ Hz), 133.8 (d, $J = 2.5$ Hz), 133.7 (d, $J = 8.7$ Hz), 132.8 (d, $J = 9.1$ Hz), 132.6 (d, $J = 2.7$ Hz), 132.5, 132.4, 131.5 (d, $J = 11.2$ Hz), 129.5 (d, $J = 93.7$ Hz), 128.9, 128.4 (d, $J = 1.5$ Hz), 127.83, 127.82 (d, $J = 103.5$ Hz), 127.3, 126.3, 126.2, 125.9 (d, $J = 5.4$ Hz), 125.6 (d, $J = 4.6$ Hz), 124.4 (d, $J = 13.8$ Hz), 124.1 (d, $J = 12.7$

Hz), 28.7 (d, $J = 4.9$ Hz), 28.4 (d, $J = 70.6$ Hz); IR (neat) ν 2990, 1507, 1199, 1187, 1160, 1058, 872, 836, 801, 775, 732, 702, 672 cm^{-1} ; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\text{C}_{22}\text{H}_{17}\text{NaOP}$ 351.0915 $[\text{M}+\text{Na}]^+$, found 351.0904.

(racemic)-1-tert-Butyl-2,3-dihydro-1H-benzo[g]phosphindole-1-oxide (28). This was prepared according to General Procedure B using a solution of $\text{PO}(t\text{-Bu})\text{Cl}_2$ (87.5 mg, 0.50 mmol) in THF (3.21 mL) and a solution of di-Grignard reagent **15** (0.28 M in THF, 1.79 mL, 0.50 mmol). Flash chromatography (2% $\text{NEt}_3/\text{EtOAc}$) gave **28** (74.7 mg, 58%) as an off-white solid. mp 138–140 $^\circ\text{C}$; TLC (2% $\text{NEt}_3/\text{EtOAc}$) $R_F = 0.44$; ^1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 8.52 (d, $J = 8.2$ Hz, 1H), 7.93 (d, $J = 8.4$ Hz, 1H), 7.85 (d, $J = 7.8$ Hz, 1H), 7.61 – 7.45 (m, 2H), 7.33 (dd, $J = 8.4, 2.3$ Hz, 1H), 3.40 – 3.20 (m, 1H), 3.16 – 3.01 (m, 1H), 2.62 – 2.48 (m, 1H), 2.36 – 2.14 (m, 1H), 1.20 (d, $J = 15.0$ Hz, 9H); ^{13}C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 147.4 (d, $J = 28.3$ Hz), 133.4 (d, $J = 2.8$ Hz), 133.2 (d, $J = 8.6$ Hz), 132.7 (d, $J = 7.6$ Hz), 128.2, 127.3, 126.6 (d, $J = 2.9$ Hz), 126.1, 125.3, 123.9 (d, $J = 11.7$ Hz), 34.9 (d, $J = 67.9$ Hz), 29.2 (d, $J = 4.5$ Hz), 24.7 (d, $J = 1.4$ Hz), 23.4 (d, $J = 62.7$ Hz); IR (neat) ν 2954, 1511, 1464, 1179, 1148, 873, 832, 815, 779, 735, 667, 640 cm^{-1} ; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\text{C}_{16}\text{H}_{19}\text{NaOP}$ 281.1071 $[\text{M}+\text{Na}]^+$, found 281.1077.

(racemic)-1-Cyclohexyl-2,3-dihydro-1H-benzo[g]phosphindole-1-oxide (29). This was prepared according to General Procedure B using a solution of POCyCl_2 (77.6 mg, 0.39 mmol) in THF (2.61 mL) and a solution of di-Grignard reagent **15** (0.31 M in THF, 1.25 mL, 0.39 mmol). Flash chromatography (100% EtOAc to 2% $\text{NEt}_3/\text{EtOAc}$) gave **29** (78.9 mg, 72%) as an off-white solid. mp 133–134 $^\circ\text{C}$; TLC (2% $\text{NEt}_3/\text{EtOAc}$) $R_F = 0.15$; ^1H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 8.44 (d, $J = 8.2$ Hz, 1H), 7.91 (d, $J = 8.4$ Hz, 1H), 7.86 (d, $J = 8.2$ Hz, 1H), 7.58 (t, $J = 7.6$ Hz, 1H), 7.50 (t, $J = 7.6$ Hz, 1H), 7.32 (d, $J = 8.5$ Hz, 1H), 3.32 (td, $J = 17.0, 10.0$ Hz, 1H), 3.15 – 3.02 (m, 1H), 2.55 – 2.44 (m, 1H), 2.29 – 2.08 (m, 3H), 1.87 – 1.76 (m, 1H), 1.76 – 1.58 (m, 3H), 1.45 – 1.31 (m, 1H), 1.31 – 1.10 (m, 4H); ^{13}C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 146.7 (d, $J = 28.6$ Hz), 133.1 (d, $J = 2.8$ Hz), 132.7 (d, $J = 8.7$ Hz), 132.4 (d, $J = 7.7$ Hz), 128.3 (d, $J = 1.0$ Hz), 127.5, 127.0 (d, $J = 93.5$ Hz), 126.1, 125.5 (d, $J = 3.6$ Hz), 123.9 (d, $J = 11.9$ Hz), 39.9 (d, $J = 68.0$ Hz), 28.5 (d, $J = 4.6$ Hz), 26.1 (d, $J = 5.5$ Hz), 26.0 (d, $J = 6.2$ Hz), 25.8 (d, $J = 1.6$ Hz), 25.6 (d, $J = 1.7$ Hz), 25.4 (d, $J = 2.8$ Hz), 23.1 (d, $J = 65.0$ Hz); IR (neat) ν 2923, 2845, 1507, 1198, 1175, 828, 809, 779, 740 cm^{-1} ; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\text{C}_{18}\text{H}_{22}\text{OP}$ 285.1408 $[\text{M}+\text{H}]^+$, found 285.1404.

(racemic)-1-Allyl-2,3-dihydro-1H-benzo[g]phosphindole-1-oxide (30). This was prepared according to General Procedure B using a solution of $\text{PO}(\text{allyl})\text{Cl}_2$ (79.5 mg, 0.50 mmol) in THF (4.95 mL) and a solution of di-Grignard reagent **15** (0.29 M in THF, 1.72 mL, 0.50 mmol). Flash chromatography (100% EtOAc to 5% $\text{NEt}_3/\text{EtOAc}$) gave **30** (75.3 mg, 62%) as a pale yellow gum. TLC (2% $\text{NEt}_3/\text{EtOAc}$) $R_F = 0.10$; ^1H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 8.44 (d, $J = 8.3$ Hz, 1H), 7.94 (d, $J = 8.4$ Hz, 1H), 7.89 (d, $J = 8.2$ Hz, 1H), 7.61 (t, $J = 7.6$ Hz, 1H), 7.53 (t, $J = 7.8$ Hz, 1H), 7.33 (dd, $J = 8.5, 2.3$ Hz, 1H), 5.60 – 5.50 (m, 1H), 5.12 – 5.03 (m, 2H), 3.36 (td, $J = 16.7, 10.0$ Hz, 1H), 3.15 – 2.96 (m, 3H), 2.53 – 2.45 (m, 1H), 2.36 – 2.23 (m, 1H); ^{13}C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 146.5 (d, $J = 30.3$ Hz), 133.3, 132.4 (d, $J = 7.7$ Hz), 132.1 (d, $J = 9.1$ Hz), 128.4, 127.7, 127.6 (d, $J = 104.6$ Hz), 127.3 (d, $J = 7.9$ Hz), 126.2, 124.9 (d, $J = 4.1$ Hz), 123.8 (d, $J = 12.4$ Hz), 119.9 (d, $J = 11.8$ Hz), 37.0 (d, $J = 62.4$ Hz), 28.4 (d, $J = 4.6$ Hz), 24.2 (d, $J = 68.9$ Hz); IR

(neat) ν 3410, 2927, 1510, 1204, 1176, 1150, 1116, 1010, 924, 818, 749 cm^{-1} ; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\text{C}_{15}\text{H}_{15}\text{NaOP}$ 265.0758 $[\text{M}+\text{Na}]^+$, found 265.0759.

(racemic)-1-Dimethylamino-2,3-dihydro-1H-benzo[g]phosphindole-1-oxide (**31**). This was prepared according to General Procedure B using a solution of $\text{PO}(\text{NMe}_2)\text{Cl}_2$ (81.0 mg, 0.50 mmol) in THF (3.33 mL) and a solution of di-Grignard reagent **15** (0.30 M in THF, 1.67 mL, 0.50 mmol). In this case, due to the potential susceptibility of the NMe_2 group to hydrolysis, the reaction was quenched by the addition of crushed ice (5 g) instead of water. Otherwise, work-up was performed as described. Flash chromatography (2% $\text{NEt}_3/\text{EtOAc}$) gave **31** (107.9 mg, 88%) as an off-white solid. mp 123–125 $^\circ\text{C}$; TLC (2% $\text{NEt}_3/\text{EtOAc}$) R_F = 0.17; ^1H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 8.28 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 7.89 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 7.84 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 7.56 (t, J = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 7.48 (t, J = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 7.29 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 3.32 – 3.20 (m, 1H), 3.20 – 3.08 (m, 1H), 2.61 (d, J = 10.4 Hz, 6H), 2.26 – 2.12 (m, 2H); ^{13}C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 147.4 (d, J = 35.3 Hz), 133.1 (d, J = 2.8 Hz), 132.3 (d, J = 7.6 Hz), 131.5 (d, J = 8.4 Hz), 128.1 (d, J = 1.2 Hz), 127.4, 126.4 (d, J = 117.9 Hz), 126.0, 124.9 (d, J = 4.5 Hz), 124.1 (d, J = 13.8 Hz), 35.2 (d, J = 4.1 Hz), 26.9 (d, J = 6.8 Hz), 22.1 (d, J = 85.8 Hz); IR (neat) ν 2910, 1507, 1455, 1282, 1199, 974, 824, 752, 601, 647 cm^{-1} ; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\text{C}_{14}\text{H}_{16}\text{NNaOP}$ 268.0867 $[\text{M}+\text{Na}]^+$, found 268.0862.

Associated Content

Supporting Information

^1H and ^{13}C NMR spectra for all compounds, selected gCOSY and gHSQC NMR spectra, and X-ray crystallographic information for compounds (*sp*)-**23** and **27**. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

Author Information

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: keller@uow.edu.au (P.A.K.).

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

References

- (1) (a) Lühr, S.; Holz, J.; Börner. *ChemCatChem* **2011**, *3*, 1708–1730. (b) Klosin, J.; Landis, C. R. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2007**, *40*, 1251–1259. (c) Clark, T. P.; Landis, C. R. *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* **2004**, *15*, 2123–2137. (d) Tang, W.; Zhang, X. *Chem. Rev.* **2003**, *103*, 3029–3069.
- (2) (a) Voituriez, A.; Marinetti, A.; Gicquel, M. *Synlett* **2015**, *26*, 142–166. (b) Xiao, Y.; Sun, Z.; Guo, H.; Kwon, O. *Beilstein J. Org. Chem.* **2014**, *10*, 2089–2121. (c) Wei, Y.; Shi, M. *Chem.–Asian. J.* **2014**, *9*, 2720–2734. (d) Fan, Y. C.; Kwon, O. *Chem. Commun.* **2013**, *49*, 11588–11619.
- (3) (a) Pilkington, C. J.; Zanolli-Gerosa, A. *Org. Lett.* **2003**, *5*, 1273–1275. (b) Burk, M. J. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2000**, *33*, 363–372.

- (4) Recent examples: (a) Yu, Z.; Eno, M. S.; Annis, A. H.; Morken, J. P. *Org. Lett.* **2015**, *17*, 3264–3267. (b) Zheng, H.; Felix, R. J.; Gagné, M. R. *Org. Lett.* **2014**, *16*, 2272–2275. (c) Yang, J.; Yoshikai, N. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2014**, *136*, 16748–16751. (d) Matsuda, N.; Hirano, K.; Satoh, T.; Miura, M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2013**, *135*, 4934–4937.
- (5) (a) Zhang, X.; Huang, K.; Hou, G.; Cao, B.; Zhang, X. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2010**, *49*, 6421–6424. (b) Liu, D.; Zhang, X. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2005**, 646–649. (c) Tang, W.; Zhang, X. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2002**, *41*, 1612–1614.
- (6) Recent examples: (a) Jiang, J.; Lu, W.; Lv, H.; Zhang, X. *Org. Lett.* **2015**, *17*, 1154–1156. (b) Song, B.; Yu, C.-B.; Huang, W.-X.; Chen, M.-W.; Zhou, Y.-G. *Org. Lett.* **2015**, *17*, 190–193. (c) Wang, Q.; Huang, W.; Yuan, H.; Cai, Q.; Chen, L.; Lv, H.; Zhang, X. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2014**, *136*, 16120–16123. (d) Jiang, J.; Wang, Y.; Zhang, X. *ACS Catal.* **2014**, *4*, 1570–1573. (e) Zhou, M.; Liu, T.-L.; Cao, M.; Xue, Z.; Lv, H.; Zhang, X. *Org. Lett.* **2014**, *16*, 3484–3487.
- (7) van Kalker, H. A.; van Delft, F. L.; Rutjes, F. P. J. T. *ChemSusChem* **2013**, *6*, 1615–1624.
- (8) van Kalker, H. A.; Leenders, S. H. A. M.; Hommersom, R. A.; Rutjes, F. P. J. T.; van Delft, F. L. *Chem.–Eur. J.* **2011**, *17*, 11290–11295.
- (9) (a) Coyle, E. E.; Doonan, B. J.; Holohan, A. J.; Walsh, K. A.; Lavigne, F.; Krenke, E. H.; O’Brien, C. J. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2014**, *53*, 12907–12911. (b) O’Brien, C. J.; Nixon, Z. S.; Holohan, A. J.; Kunkel, S. R.; Tellez, J. L.; Doonan, B. J.; Coyle, E. E.; Lavigne, F.; Kang, L. J.; Przeworski, K. C. *Chem.–Eur. J.* **2013**, *19*, 15281–15289. (c) O’Brien, C. J.; Lavigne, F.; Coyle, E. E.; Holohan, A. J.; Doonan, B. J. *Chem.–Eur. J.* **2013**, *19*, 5854–5858.
- (10) (a) Wang, L.; Wang, Y.; Chen, M.; Ding, M.-W. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2014**, *356*, 1098–1104. (b) van Kalker, H. A.; te Grotenhuis, C.; Haasjes, F. S.; Hommersom, C. A.; Rutjes, F. P. J. T.; van Delft, F. L. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2013**, 7059–7066.
- (11) Denton, R. M.; An, J.; Adeniran, B.; Blake, A. J.; Lewis, W.; Poulton, A. M. *J. Org. Chem.* **2011**, *76*, 6749–6767.
- (12) (a) Fourmy, K.; Voiturez, A. *Org. Lett.* **2015**, *17*, 1537–1540. (b) Zhao, W.; Yan, P. K.; Radosevich, A. T. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2015**, *137*, 616–619.
- (13) Huang, K.; Zhang, X.; Emge, T. J.; Hou, G.; Cao, B.; Zhang, X. *Chem. Commun.* **2010**, 8555–8557.
- (14) (a) Henry, C. E.; Xu, Q.; Fan, Y. C.; Martin, T. J.; Belding, L.; Dudding, T.; Kwon, O. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2014**, *136*, 11890–11893. (b) Hoge, G. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2003**, *125*, 10219–10227. (c) Vedejs, E.; Daugulis, O.; Harper, L. A.; MacKay, J. A.; Powell, D. R. *J. Org. Chem.* **2003**, *68*, 5020–5027.
- (15) For a rare example of a transition metal-free, one-pot synthesis of a 2,3-benzannulated phospholane via sequential S_N2 and S_NAr P–C bond constructions, see: Shimizu, H.; Saito, T.; Kumobayashi, H. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2003**, *345*, 185–189.
- (16) Xu, G.; Fu, W.; Liu, G.; Senanayake, C. H.; Tang, W. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2014**, *136*, 570–573.
- (17) (a) Wetzel, R. B.; Kenyon, G. L. *J. Org. Chem.* **1974**, *39*, 1531–1535. (b) Davies, J. H.; Downer, J. D.; Kirby, P. *J. Chem. Soc. C.* **1966**, 245–247.
- (18) (a) Polniaszek, R. P. *J. Org. Chem.* **1992**, *57*, 5189–5195. (b) McCormack, W. B. *Org. Synth.* **1963**, *43*, 73–76.
- (19) Grüttner, G.; Krause, E. *Chem. Ber.* **1916**, *49*, 437–444.
- (20) (a) Lall-Ramnarine, S. I.; Mukhlall, J. A.; Wishart, J. F.; Engel, R. R.; Romeo, A. R.; Gohdo, M.; Ramati, S.; Berman, M.; Suarez, S. N. *Beilstein J. Org. Chem.* **2014**, *10*, 271–275. (b) Kobayashi, S.; Shiraishi, N.; Lam, W.-L.; Manabe, K. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2001**, *42*, 7303–7306. (c) Fell, B.; Bahrmann, H. *Synthesis* **1974**, 119–120.

- (21) (a) Boga, C.; Micheletti, G.; Delpivo, C.; Mazzacurati, M. *Heteroatom Chem.* **2013**, *24*, 392–397. (b) Baccolini, G.; Boga, C.; Buscaroli, R. A. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2001**, 3421–3424. (c) Baccolini, G.; Boga, C.; Negri, U. *Synlett* **2000**, 1685–1687.
- (22) (a) Longeau, A.; Durand, S.; Spiegel, A.; Knochel, P. *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* **1997**, *8*, 987–990. (b) Imamoto, T.; Yoshizawa, T.; Hirose, K.; Wada, Y.; Masuda, H.; Yamaguchi, K.; Seki, H. *Heteroatom Chem.* **1995**, *6*, 99–104. (c) Schmidbaur, H.; Mörtl, A. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1983**, *250*, 171–182.
- (23) For exemplary alternative routes to phospholanes of type **13** that utilize primary phosphines and/or require multistep sequences, see refs 5a,b,14c,15,16 and: (a) Mohar, B.; Cusak, A.; Modéc, B.; Stephan, M. *J. Org. Chem.* **2013**, *78*, 4665–4673. (b) Bruner, T. J.; Anderson, B. J.; Blank, N. F.; Glueck, D. S.; Rheingold, A. L. *Org. Lett.* **2007**, *9*, 1109–1112.
- (24) To our knowledge, only a single unoptimized example exists of a reaction between a diorganometallic reagent (**10**) and a phosphonic dichloride (POPhCl₂, 40% yield of **19**), see: Hanaya, T.; Kawase, S.; Yamamoto, H. *Heterocycles* **2005**, *66*, 251–261.
- (25) Rogers, R. S. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1992**, *33*, 7473–7474.
- (26) Polniaszek, R. P.; Foster, A. L. *J. Org. Chem.* **1991**, *56*, 3137–3146.
- (27) Baber, R. A.; Haddow, M. F.; Middleton, A. J.; Orpen, A. G.; Pringle, P. G.; Haynes, A.; Williams, G. L.; Papp, R. *Organometallics* **2007**, *26*, 713–725.
- (28) (a) Carr, D. J.; Kudavalli, J. S.; Dunne, K. S.; Müller-Bunz, H.; Gilheany, D. G. *J. Org. Chem.* **2013**, *78*, 10500–10505. (b) Collins, D. J.; Rowley, L. E.; Swan, J. M. *Aust. J. Chem.* **1974**, *27*, 831–839. (c) Chan, T. H.; Wong, L. T. L. *Can. J. Chem.* **1971**, *49*, 530–531.
- (29) For a recent eight step stereospecific synthesis of enantiopure deoxo-(S_p)-**20** in 55% overall yield, see: Rast, S.; Mohar, B.; Stephan, M. *Org. Lett.* **2014**, *16*, 2688–2691.
- (30) Orton, W. L.; Mesch, K. A.; Quin, L. D. *Phosphorus, Sulfur Silicon Relat. Elem.* **1979**, *5*, 349–357.
- (31) Gregson, A. M.; Wales, S. M.; Bailey, S. J.; Keller, P. A. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **2015**, *785*, 77–83 and references cited therein.
- (32) Lawrence, N. J.; Beynek, H. *Synlett* **1998**, 497–498.
- (33) Previously reported yields of deoxo-**24** (or its BH₃ adduct) from **18** and PPhCl₂ range from 18–37%. For examples, see ref 20b and: (a) Lambert, J. B.; Sun, H.-n. *J. Org. Chem.* **1977**, *42*, 1315–1321. (b) Grüttner G.; Wiernik, M. *Chem. Ber.* **1915**, *48*, 1473–1486.
- (34) It is noteworthy that in all cases, no special precautions were required to exclude atmospheric moisture during the preparation and handling of the phosphonic dichlorides. The electrophiles thus obtained were dried under high vacuum for ca. 30 min and used directly without any further purification.
- (35) (a) Hintermann, L.; Schmitz, M.; Maltsev, O. V.; Naumov, P. *Synthesis* **2013**, *45*, 308–325. (b) Guillen, F.; Rivard, M.; Toffano, M.; Legros, J.-Y.; Daran, J.-C.; Fiaud, J.-C. *Tetrahedron* **2002**, *58*, 5895–5904.
- (36) Fox, M. E.; Jackson, M.; Lennon, I. C.; Klosin, J.; Abboud, K. A. *J. Org. Chem.* **2008**, *73*, 775–784.
- (37) Sieber, J. D.; Chennamadhavuni, D.; Fandrick, K. R.; Qu, B.; Han, Z. S.; Savoie, J.; Ma, S.; Samankumara, L. P.; Grinberg, N.; Lee, H.; Song, J. J.; Senanayake, C. H. *Org. Lett.* **2014**, *16*, 5494–5497.

- (38) Baker, R. W.; Foulkes, M. A.; Griggs, M.; Nguyen, B. N. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2002**, 43, 9319–9322.
- (39) Ochiai, H.; Ohtani, T.; Ishida, A.; Kishikawa, K.; Yamamoto, S.; Takeda, H.; Obata, T.; Nakai, H.; Toda, M. *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* **2004**, 39, 555–571.
- (40) The scale of Grignard formation in this study was between 0.24 and 0.58 mmol. Aliquots of the titrated Grignard solutions were then used for General Procedure B. Care should be exercised when attempting the Grignard reactions on larger scales due to the possibility of highly exothermic reactions.
- (41) Watson, S. C.; Eastham, J. F. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1967**, 9, 165–168.
- (42) Gray, G. A.; Cremer, S. E.; Marsi, K. L. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1976**, 98, 2109–2118.