

2007

## Biology and ecology of diadromous fishes in south eastern Australia

Nathan G. Miles  
*University of Wollongong*

Follow this and additional works at: <https://ro.uow.edu.au/theses>

**University of Wollongong**

**Copyright Warning**

You may print or download ONE copy of this document for the purpose of your own research or study. The University does not authorise you to copy, communicate or otherwise make available electronically to any other person any copyright material contained on this site.

You are reminded of the following: This work is copyright. Apart from any use permitted under the Copyright Act 1968, no part of this work may be reproduced by any process, nor may any other exclusive right be exercised, without the permission of the author. Copyright owners are entitled to take legal action against persons who infringe their copyright. A reproduction of material that is protected by copyright may be a copyright infringement. A court may impose penalties and award damages in relation to offences and infringements relating to copyright material.

Higher penalties may apply, and higher damages may be awarded, for offences and infringements involving the conversion of material into digital or electronic form.

Unless otherwise indicated, the views expressed in this thesis are those of the author and do not necessarily represent the views of the University of Wollongong.

---

### Recommended Citation

Miles, Nathan G, Biology and ecology of diadromous fishes in south eastern Australia, PhD thesis, School of Earth and Environmental Sciences, University of Wollongong, 2007. <http://ro.uow.edu.au/theses/727>

## **NOTE**

This online version of the thesis may have different page formatting and pagination from the paper copy held in the University of Wollongong Library.

## **UNIVERSITY OF WOLLONGONG**

### **COPYRIGHT WARNING**

You may print or download ONE copy of this document for the purpose of your own research or study. The University does not authorise you to copy, communicate or otherwise make available electronically to any other person any copyright material contained on this site. You are reminded of the following:

Copyright owners are entitled to take legal action against persons who infringe their copyright. A reproduction of material that is protected by copyright may be a copyright infringement. A court may impose penalties and award damages in relation to offences and infringements relating to copyright material. Higher penalties may apply, and higher damages may be awarded, for offences and infringements involving the conversion of material into digital or electronic form.

**Biology and ecology of diadromous fishes  
in south eastern Australia**

A thesis submitted in partial fulfilment of the  
requirements for the award of the degree

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

from

UNIVERSITY OF WOLLONGONG

by

NATHAN G. MILES

EARTH AND ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCES

2007

*Dedicated to my wife, Kim for my hours of  
neglect and time spent apart.*

*&*

*To my family, especially my late grandfather Geoff Rayner and  
my recently passed grandmother, Jean Miles,  
I hope I've made you all proud.*

**Certification**

I, Nathan G. Miles, declare that this thesis, submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the award of Doctor of Philosophy, in the Department of Earth and Environmental Science, University of Wollongong, is wholly my own work unless otherwise referenced or acknowledged. The document has not been submitted for qualifications at any other academic institution.

Nathan G. Miles

9 March 2007

## **Acknowledgments**

The desire to learn more about fish has influenced my life in more ways than I ever could have imagined. My keen interest in fish and the natural environment led me to university and opened my eyes to the possibility that I could make a contribution to conservation of fish in Australia. Throughout this period a number of people have influenced me on my way and I thank them all for their advice and support over the years. It was not long after my move from the country to the coast, that I developed an interest in the coastal freshwater fishes and their unique and seemingly mysterious lifecycles. As a result, I embarked on the adventure that has built up to this thesis. It has not always been a smooth journey, with logistical, administrative and technological obstacles seeming to forever hamper my progress, but the highlights have far outweighed the stumbles, and the past three and a half years have yielded so many memorable experiences, from the numerous nights talking around the camp fire to the excitement of finally finding an elusive species. As I look back over the past years I feel an overwhelming sense of gratitude to all the people, organisations and of course the fish, that have helped, guided and inspired me along the way. Thank you.

This thesis would not have been possible without the continued help and advice of my supervisor, Associate Professor Ron West. From the moment I contacted Ron his enthusiasm and support for this study has been unfailing. He has been a constant source of encouragement, and his experience and knowledge of fish biology has been invaluable. His patience with my ambitious field work schedules, laborious statistical analyses, numerous drafts and many other aspects of this study is very much appreciated.

I would also like to thank my co-supervisor Dr Tony Miskiewicz for his advice on the structure and layout of field work and his comments on this thesis. Tony is also thanked for his assistance with larval identification and comments on working with larval fish. I would also like to thank Julie Wright (Institute for Conservation Biology), Tracy Maddocks (Animal Research Facility), Mark O'Donnell, Marina McGlinn and Prof. John Morrison (Environmental Science) for their assistance and support over the years. I must also thank Steve and Greg (science workshop) for helping to construct some of the sampling equipment. A special thanks must go to Sandra Quinn, who was an invaluable resource for sorting out all things to do with the inner workings of UOW.

A very big and special thanks must go to the numerous people who volunteered to help with the fieldwork. Despite at times challenging working conditions in leaking boats, rain, heat, insect plagues, mud and raging rivers, the following people: James Tier, Matt Miles, Kim Nelson, Lauri Oikarinen, Grant Miles, Aimee Beardsmore, Kellie Parker, Marta Marchwicka, Mathew Misdale, Rylan Loemker, Jon Nottage, Melissa Gentz, Mark Hammond, Danielle Annese, Allan Scrymgeour, Ray Stevenson, Luke Collins, Hilary Johnson, Chris White and Dylan Van der Moulen, spent many hours out in the field with me, and without their help this study would not have been possible.

I owe thanks to Prof. Hiroshi Ueda (Hokkaido University, Sapporo, Japan), Prof. Katsumi Tsukamoto (Ocean Research Institute, Tokyo, Japan), Mr Ross Gardiner & Dr Malcolm Beveridge (Fisheries Research Services, Pitlochry, Scotland) and Dr Ronald Campbell (Tweed Foundation, Melrose, Scotland) for providing international advice and interest in my study, all welcomed me in their respective countries and offered me invaluable opportunities to present my work and gain much needed feedback.

I also thank Marc Norman for his invaluable assistance and expertise with LA-ICPMS and Mihail Ionescu for assistance with the unfortunately unsuccessful micro-PIXE analyses of fish otoliths. I must also thank Julian Hughes for his assistance with otolith preparation. I'm grateful to all those people who offered advice on otolith chemistry or preparation, including; Mike Shelley, David Crook, David Milton and John Stewart.

A thesis cannot be produced without substantial financial support, and I would like to thank the Australian Commonwealth Government for providing the Australian Postgraduate Award, which gave me the financial capacity to devote the past three and a half years to this research. The provision of research funds has allowed me to carry out extensive field work and use the latest technology to complete this project. For this I would like to thank the Australian Society for Fish Biology (ASFB) (Barry Johansen Award), NSW Fisheries Scientific Committee (Student Grants), Australian Institute of Nuclear Science and Engineering (AINSE) and Bureau of Rural Science and Fisheries Research and Development Corporation (Science and Innovation Award for Young People).

A number of other organisations provided much appreciated travel funds which have allowed me to present this work both internationally and domestically. I would like to thank the American Fisheries Society, for providing funding to attend the International Congress on Fish Biology in St. Johns Canada in 2006 and the ICB and University of Wollongong Research Office for providing funding to attend the ASFB conferences in Darwin 2005 and Hobart 2006.



I would like to extend my sincerest thanks to my family who have encouraged and supported me throughout university and especially, my PhD. Over the years I have missed many birthdays and celebrations and as a result, I thank you all for your understanding and patience on what has been a long journey. So, to my many siblings, who have taught me much in life, and my parents for always being there. Thank you.

Finally, to my wife Kim, thank you. To be honest, without her I wouldn't be where I am today, her encouragement, continued support, and patience has helped me through the tough times of this thesis. I especially thank her for marrying me at the end of this whole process despite the long hours and tough times that were ahead for the final and seemingly never ending preparation of this thesis. For the months of neglect, and lost time, I am sorry and for ever grateful.

I must also thank Kim's family who have also supported me throughout my university education. They are especially thanked for their understanding and patience over the last few months while I have been preparing this thesis, which have meant that our visits back home have been all too infrequent and rushed.

This exhaustive list of acknowledgements highlights the large scale of this study and immense task it was to achieve this thesis. However, as a result of all these people, I have produced a thesis which I'm proud of and which hopefully contributes to the conservation of a unique group of Australia fish. So on behalf of myself, and the diadromous fish of south eastern Australia, I thank you all.

Nathan Miles 2007

## Table of Contents

<b>ABSTRACT .....</b>	<b>XIX</b>
<b>CHAPTER 1.....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>Introduction</b>	
1.1 General background .....	1
1.2 Thesis objectives.....	4
1.3 Thesis structure.....	5
1.4 Definition of commonly used terms .....	6
1.5 Background .....	8
1.5.1 Description of the study area.....	8
1.5.2 Climate and river hydrology of south eastern Australia .....	11
1.5.3 Study species.....	12
1.5.4 Summary .....	22
<b>CHAPTER 2.....</b>	<b>23</b>
<b>A review of diadromy: an Australian perspective</b>	
2.1 Diadromy .....	23
2.1.1 Definitions and introduction.....	23
2.1.2 Problems with diadromous classifications and definitions.....	25
2.1.3 Diadromous fish and their adaptations .....	30
2.1.4 Ecological role of diadromous fish .....	37
2.1.5 Geographic distribution of diadromous fishes .....	39
2.2 Diadromy in Australian fishes .....	41
2.2.1 Introduction.....	41
2.2.2 History of diadromy in Australian fishes .....	47
2.2.3 Distribution and diversity of diadromous fish in Australia .....	48
2.2.4 Threats to Australian diadromous fish and their environments.....	51
2.2.5 Current status .....	56
2.2.6 Knowledge gaps.....	57
<b>CHAPTER 3.....</b>	<b>61</b>
<b>Pilot study: methods for sampling estuarine and freshwater reaches of coastal rivers</b>	
3.1 Introduction.....	61
3.2 Materials and methods .....	70
3.3 Results.....	74
3.3.1 Environmental data .....	74
3.3.2 General.....	75

3.3.3	Comparison of sampling and sorting times .....	76
3.3.4	Comparison of catch .....	77
<b>3.4</b>	<b>Discussion .....</b>	<b>81</b>

## **CHAPTER 4.....88**

### **The role of diadromy in structuring fish assemblages in south eastern Australian coastal rivers**

<b>4.1</b>	<b>Introduction.....</b>	<b>88</b>
<b>4.2</b>	<b>Methods .....</b>	<b>92</b>
4.2.1	Study sites .....	92
4.2.2	Sampling methods.....	93
4.2.3	Categorisation of fishes.....	96
4.2.4	Environmental assessment .....	97
4.2.5	River and estuary classification.....	98
4.2.6	Statistical analysis .....	99
<b>4.3</b>	<b>Results .....</b>	<b>101</b>
4.3.1	Environmental data .....	101
4.3.2	Species distribution and abundance .....	103
4.3.3	Types of diadromy .....	107
4.3.4	Variations in abundance of selected diadromous species.....	108
4.3.5	Contribution of diadromy to fish assemblages .....	110
4.3.6	Fish community structure.....	119
<b>4.4</b>	<b>Discussion .....</b>	<b>132</b>
4.4.1	General .....	132
4.4.2	Current status .....	132
4.4.3	Contribution of diadromous fishes to communities .....	134
4.4.4	Variability in fish communities.....	138
4.4.5	Influence of environmental variables .....	140
4.4.6	Conclusions .....	142

## **CHAPTER 5.....143**

### **Temporal and spatial variation of diadromous fishes in the Shoalhaven and Clyde Rivers**

<b>5.1</b>	<b>Introduction.....</b>	<b>143</b>
<b>5.2</b>	<b>Methods .....</b>	<b>147</b>
5.2.1	General .....	147
5.2.2	Statistical analyses .....	150
<b>5.3</b>	<b>Results .....</b>	<b>153</b>
5.3.1	Environmental data .....	153
5.3.2	General .....	156
5.3.3	Temporal and spatial variation in life stages of diadromous species .....	158
5.3.4	Temporal and spatial variation in diadromous fishes.....	160
5.3.5	Temporal and spatial variation in diadromous fish communities.....	166
5.3.6	Influence of environmental variables on diadromous fishes .....	172
<b>5.4</b>	<b>Discussion .....</b>	<b>175</b>
5.4.1	General .....	175

5.4.2	Patterns in species diversity and abundance.....	176
5.4.3	Patterns in fish assemblages and the contribution of different life stages .....	177
5.4.4	Environmental variables.....	179
5.4.5	Conclusion .....	180

## **CHAPTER 6.....181**

### **Use of otolith microchemistry to examine diadromy in riverine fishes of south eastern Australia**

<b>6.1</b>	<b>Introduction.....</b>	<b>181</b>
<b>6.2</b>	<b>Methods .....</b>	<b>186</b>
6.2.1	Aquaria experiments .....	186
6.2.2	Collection of wild caught fish .....	189
6.2.3	Otolith removal and preparation .....	190
6.2.4	Microchemical analyses .....	191
<b>6.3</b>	<b>Results .....</b>	<b>194</b>
6.3.1	Aquaria experiments .....	194
6.3.2	Otolith microchemistry of wild caught fish .....	197
<b>6.4</b>	<b>Discussion .....</b>	<b>204</b>
6.4.1	Conclusions.....	209

## **CHAPTER 7.....211**

### **Overview of fish assemblages and the distribution, abundance and biology of diadromous species of south eastern Australia**

<b>7.1</b>	<b>Introduction.....</b>	<b>211</b>
<b>7.2</b>	<b>Methods .....</b>	<b>213</b>
7.2.1	General.....	213
7.2.2	Patterns in distribution and abundance of diadromous fishes .....	213
7.2.3	Additional biological information .....	214
<b>7.3</b>	<b>Results .....</b>	<b>216</b>
7.3.1	Summary of diadromous fish distribution and abundance .....	216
7.3.2	Freshwater herring, <i>Potamalosa richmondia</i> .....	219
7.3.3	Common jollytail, <i>Galaxias maculatus</i> .....	223
7.3.4	Bullrout, <i>Notesthes robusta</i> .....	227
7.3.5	Australian bass <i>Macquaria novemaculeata</i> .....	231
7.3.6	Freshwater mullet <i>Myxus petardi</i> .....	235
7.3.7	Striped gudgeon, <i>Gobiomorphus australis</i> .....	240
7.3.8	Cox's gudgeon, <i>Gobiomorphus coxii</i> .....	244
7.3.9	Summary of information on less abundant species .....	247
<b>7.4</b>	<b>Discussion .....</b>	<b>252</b>
7.4.1	General.....	252
7.4.2	Lifecycles and biology of diadromous fish of south eastern Australia.....	252
7.4.3	Conclusions.....	254

<b>CHAPTER 8.....</b>	<b>255</b>
<b>Conclusions and Recommendations</b>	
8.1 Introduction.....	255
8.2 Diadromy in Australia .....	255
8.3 Methods for sampling estuarine and freshwater riverine environments .....	256
8.4 Distribution, abundance and contribution of diadromous fishes .....	257
8.5 Temporal and spatial variation of diadromous fishes in the Shoalhaven and Clyde Rivers .....	258
8.6 Otolith microchemistry and diadromy.....	259
8.7 Overview of diadromous distribution and biology.....	260
8.8 Implications of diadromy for conservation and management .....	262
8.9 Recommendation for future research and management of diadromous fishes .....	265
8.10 Conclusion .....	267
<b>REFERENCES .....</b>	<b>268</b>
<b>APPENDIX.....</b>	<b>295</b>

## List of Figures

Figure 1.1. South eastern Australia, showing rivers sampled during this study. ....	9
Figure 2.1 Migration patterns of diadromous fish between marine and freshwater environments .....	24
Figure 2.2. Geographic variation in the percentage of anadromy, catadromy and amphidromy throughout the latitudes of the world (source: McDowall 1988). ....	40
Figure 2.3. Percentage contribution of anadromous, catadromous and amphidromous fishes to the number of diadromous fish in Australia. ....	46
Figure 2.4. General patterns in the diversity of diadromous fish throughout Australia. The number of species were estimated through reports of ‘typical’ distributions, but excludes obscure references and occasional specimens that are recorded outside the typical or preferred range. ....	49
Figure 2.5. Geographic variation in the percentage of anadromy, catadromy and amphidromy at various latitudes throughout Australia.....	50
Figure 2.6. Contribution of anadromy, catadromy and amphidromy at various latitudes throughout Australia.....	51
Figure 2.7. The catch and collapse of the Tasmanian white bait fishery. ....	53
Figure 2.8. Catchment condition for river basins in Australia.....	56
Figure 3.1. Lake Illawarra (NSW) showing sampling sites and the approximate location of the weir in each catchment. ....	70
Figure 3.2. Mean ( $\pm$ SE) water temperature (a and c) and mean salinity (b and d) at each site for winter and spring samples in Mullet Creek (top) and Macquarie Rivulet (bottom)..	74
Figure 3.3. Mean ( $\pm$ SE) time required to set and sample the drift net, fyke net, light trap, minnow trap, scoop net and seine net.....	76
Figure 3.4. (a) Number of species and (b) total catch for each sampling method during the pilot study undertaken in Mullet Creek and Macquarie Rivulet. ....	77
Figure 3.5. Mean number ( $\pm$ SE) of (a and b) species and (c and d) individuals recorded at each site for the six sampling methods. ....	78
Figure 3.6. Summary of (a) length range (TL) and (b) mean ( $\pm$ SE) lengths (TL) of fish caught using the six sampling methods during the pilot study in Macquarie Rivulet and Mullet Creek.....	80
Figure 4.1. Study area and sampling locations used to examine distributions of diadromous and riverine fish between April 2004 and May 2005. ....	93
Figure 4.2. Hierarchical sampling design used in this study. Showing replication within each catchment over seasons (samples) and river reach (sites). ....	94

Figure 4.3. Mean monthly percentage discharge for Macquarie Rivulet and Brogo, Murrah, Bemboka, Buckenbowra, Clyde, Deua, Towamba, Tuross and Hunter Rivers.....	103
Figure 4.4. (a) Number of species and (b) total catch for each of the 17 rivers sampled in this study, combined across samples and sites. ....	107
Figure 4.5. Total catch of (a) number of species and (b) numbers of individuals of anadromous, catadromous and amphidromous fish caught combined for all sites and seasons. Mean (c) number of species and (d) mean catch for the three diadromous fish types. ....	108
Figure 4.6. Mean ( $\pm$ SE) catch of <i>P. richmondia</i> , <i>G. australis</i> , <i>M. novemaculeata</i> , <i>M. petardi</i> , <i>G. maculatus</i> and <i>N. robusta</i> across bioregion, river sizes and estuary types.....	109
Figure 4.7. Number of (a) species and (b) individuals captured, categorised by fish lifecycle category combined overall all rivers and seasons.....	110
Figure 4.8. Number of species (left) and abundance (right) for freshwater (F), introduced (I), marine (M) and diadromous (D) fish for each river reach in Winter ■ and Summer □. Data has been presented for the Hunter River, Grose River, Macquarie Rivulet, Shoalhaven River, Clyde River and Buckenbowra River. ....	112
Figure 4.9. Number of species (left) and abundance (right) for freshwater (F), introduced (I), marine (M) and diadromous (D) fish for each river reach in Winter ■ and Summer □. Data has been presented for the Deua, Tuross, Brogo, Bemboka, Towamba and Wonboyn Rivers.....	113
Figure 4.10. Length frequencies (TL) for freshwater, diadromous, marine and introduced fish collected between May 2004 and April 2005.....	114
Figure 4.11. Mean ( $\pm$ SE) fish length for freshwater, introduced, marine and diadromous fish. Groups labelled with the same letter are not significantly different (SNK test).....	115
Figure 4.12. Mean ( $\pm$ SE) fish lengths for each reach within the Hunter, Grose, Minnamurra, Shoalhaven, Buckenbowra, Deua, and Clyde Rivers, and Macquarie Rivulet and Wandandian Creek, showing differences among freshwater, introduced, marine and diadromous species.....	116
Figure 4.13. Mean ( $\pm$ SE) fish lengths for each reach within the Tuross, Murrah, Brogo, Bemboka, Yowaka, Towamba, Wonboyn, and Merrica Rivers, showing differences among freshwater, introduced, marine and diadromous species. ....	117
Figure 4.14. Contribution of the number of freshwater, introduced, marine and diadromous fish species to reproductive, trophic and behavioural guilds.....	118
Figure 4.15. Non-metric MDS plots of (a) all fish community data and (b) diadromous fishes, showing differences in communities of the 17 rivers sampled in the current study based on the coastal bioregions. ....	120
Figure 4.16. Non-metric MDS plots of (a) all fish community data and (b) diadromous fishes showing differences in communities based on river size for the 17 rivers sampled in the current study. ....	123

Figure 4.17. Non-metric MDS plots of (a) overall fish community data and (b) diadromous fish assemblages showing differences in communities between estuary types. ....	126
Figure 4.18. Non-metric MDS plots of (a) all fish community data and (b) diadromous fishes from the 17 rivers showing differences between reach. ....	128
Figure 4.19. Non-metric MDS plots of (a) all fish community data and (b) diadromous fishes from the 17 rivers showing differences between seasons (samples). ....	131
Figure 5.1. Shoalhaven and Clyde Rivers showing sites sampled between November 2004 and April 2006. ....	148
Figure 5.2. Hierarchical sampling structure employed for the current study. ....	149
Figure 5.3. Shoalhaven River and Clyde River salinity measurements for all six sites between November 2004 and April 2006. ....	153
Figure 5.4. Shoalhaven River (black) and Clyde River (grey) mean ( $\pm$ SE) (a) temperature, (b) dissolved oxygen (c) pH and (d) turbidity. ....	154
Figure 5.5. Water level and discharge for the Shoalhaven River (Grassy Gully) and Clyde River (Brooman) for study period. ....	155
Figure 5.6. The total catch for (a) all fish and (b) diadromous fish for each of the individual sampling methods. Dip net refers to the larval dip net and drift net includes the catch from the drift and tow nets. ....	158
Figure 5.7. Bimonthly percentage contribution of larvae, juveniles and adults to the total catch of diadromous fish.* s. ....	159
Figure 5.8. Percentage contribution of individual species to the total catch of diadromous fish in the Shoalhaven River for each sampling period. Number of diadromous fish captured for each period is also shown. ....	161
Figure 5.9. Percentage contribution of individual species to the total catch of diadromous fish in the Clyde River for each sampling period. Number of diadromous fish captured for each period is also shown. ....	162
Figure 5.10. Mean ( $\pm$ SE) number of diadromous species caught in the Shoalhaven and Clyde Rivers at the three river reaches in spring, summer and autumn. ....	164
Figure 5.11. Mean ( $\pm$ SE) catch of diadromous fish at the three river reaches in spring, summer and autumn for the Shoalhaven and Clyde Rivers. ....	165
Figure 5.12. Non metric MDS plot of diadromous fish assemblages for Sites 1-6 in the Shoalhaven River (▲) and the Clyde River (◻). ....	166
Figure 5.13. Non metric MDS plot comparing diadromous fish assemblages in the F/S interface, Lowland and Slope reaches. ....	167
Figure 5.14. Non metric MDS plot comparing rivers, seasons and sites within the F/S interface, Lowland and Slope sections of the river. ....	168



- Figure 5.15. Shoalhaven River (a) water temperatures, showing measured mean water temperatures for Site 6 and Site 3 (further downstream) and the mean daily temperature recorded below Tallow Dam, courtesy of Sydney Catchment Authority. Also shown is (b) fish diversity and abundance at Site 6 directly below Tallow Dam and (c) the abundance of *M. novemaculeata* at site 6. .... 174
- Figure 6.1. Summary of temperature and salinity regime used for Tanks 1, 2 and 3 for the 62 day aquarium trial. .... 188
- Figure 6.2. Sr:Ca and Ba:Ca transects on the edges of (H17a) *P. richmondia*, (M18a) *M. petardi* and (B3a) *N. robusta* otoliths over the 62 day trial period. Also shown is the pattern of the salinity regime for Experiment 1 over the trial period ..... 195
- Figure 6.3. Sr:Ca and Ba:Ca transects on the edges of (S8a) *G. australis*, (C3a) and *G. coxii* otoliths over the 62 day trial period (Experiment 2a) and the transects for otoliths of *P. richmondia* held for the last 27 days of the trial (days 35-62). Also shown are the patterns for the salinity regimes for Experiments 2a and 2b over the trial period (see Figure 6.1, Tank 3 for values). .... 196
- Figure 6.4. Sr:Ca and Ba:Ca values from line transects of a representative sub-set of *P. richmondia* otoliths. Fish codes refer to individual fish as outlined in Tables 6.2 and 6.3 The x-axis shows otolith/scan length, lengths are scaled so each increment represents 50µm. .... 198
- Figure 6.5. Sr:Ca and Ba:Ca values from line transects of a representative sub-set of *N. robusta* otoliths. .... 199
- Figure 6.6. Sr:Ca and Ba:Ca values from line transects of a representative sub-set of *M. petardi* otoliths. .... 201
- Figure 6.7. Sr:Ca and Ba:Ca values from line transects of a representative sub-set of *G. australis* otoliths. .... 202
- Figure 6.8. Sr:Ca and Ba:Ca values from line transects of a representative sub-set of *G. coxii* otoliths. .... 203
- Figure 7.1. Summary of rivers where the seven most abundant diadromous species were recorded. .... 218
- Figure 7.2. Mean ( $\pm$ SE) relative abundance of *P. richmondia* from three riverine reaches (F/S interface, Lowland and Slope) of the Shoalhaven and Clyde Rivers recorded for each season (spring summer, autumn, winter) averaged for samples collected between 2004 and 2006. .... 220
- Figure 7.3. Seasonal length frequency diagrams for *P. richmondia* in the Shoalhaven and Clyde Rivers. .... 221
- Figure 7.4. Mean ( $\pm$ SE) relative abundance of *G. maculatus* from three riverine reaches (F/S interface, Lowland and Slope) of the Shoalhaven and Clyde Rivers recorded for each season (spring summer, autumn, winter) averaged for samples collected between 2004 and 2006. .... 224

Figure 7.5. Seasonal length frequency diagrams for <i>G. maculatus</i> in the Shoalhaven and Clyde Rivers.....	226
Figure 7.6. Mean ( $\pm$ SE) relative abundance of <i>N. robusta</i> from three riverine reaches (F/S interface, Lowland and Slope) of the Shoalhaven and Clyde Rivers recorded for each season (spring summer, autumn, winter) averaged for samples collected between 2004 and 2006.....	228
Figure 7.7. Seasonal length frequency diagrams for <i>N. robusta</i> in the Shoalhaven and Clyde Rivers.....	230
Figure 7.8. Mean ( $\pm$ SE) relative abundance of <i>M. novemaculeata</i> from three riverine reaches (F/S interface, Lowland and Slope) of the Shoalhaven and Clyde Rivers recorded for each season (spring summer, autumn, winter) averaged for samples collected between 2004 and 2006.....	232
Figure 7.9. Seasonal length frequency diagrams for <i>M. novemaculeata</i> in the Shoalhaven and Clyde Rivers. ....	234
Figure 7.10. Mean ( $\pm$ SE) relative abundance of <i>M. petardi</i> from three riverine reaches (F/S interface, Lowland and Slope) of the Shoalhaven and Clyde Rivers recorded for each season (spring summer, autumn, winter) averaged for samples collected between 2004 and 2006.....	236
Figure 7.11. Total catch of <i>M. petardi</i> larvae, juvenile, sub adult and adults from the Shoalhaven and Clyde Rivers .....	238
Figure 7.12. Mean ( $\pm$ SE) relative abundance of <i>G. australis</i> from three riverine reaches (F/S interface, Lowland and Slope) of the Shoalhaven and Clyde Rivers recorded for each season (spring summer, autumn, winter) averaged for samples collected between 2004 and 2006.....	241
Figure 7.13. Seasonal length frequency diagrams for <i>G. australis</i> in the Shoalhaven and Clyde Rivers.....	243
Figure 7.14. Mean ( $\pm$ SE) relative abundance of <i>G. coxii</i> from three riverine reaches (F/S interface, Lowland and Slope) of the Shoalhaven and Clyde Rivers recorded for each season (spring summer, autumn, winter) averaged for samples collected between 2004 and 2006.....	245
Figure 7.15. Seasonal length frequency diagrams for <i>G. coxii</i> in the Shoalhaven and Clyde Rivers.....	246

## List of Tables

Table 1.1. Summary of rivers sampled in the current study .....	10
Table 2.1. Summary of Australian fish species which display a diadromous lifecycle. ....	42
Table 2.2. Summary of diadromous species thought to have arisen from Gondwana. ....	47
Table 2.3. Threatened diadromous species of Australia .....	57
Table 2.4. Summary of available information on the biology of Australian diadromous fish species.. ....	59
Table 3.1. Methods commonly used for the capture of riverine and diadromous species. Examples of regions/ continents where these methods have been used are shown along with the references.....	62
Table 3.2. Sample of studies and methods used in coastal streams of eastern Australia examining diadromous or migratory fish. ....	69
Table 3.3. Description of sampling gear and methodology used in the pilot study. ....	71
Table 3.4. Summary of replicates and sample size/effort for methods used in the current study. ....	72
Table 3.5. Summary of species, size range and catch for Mullet Creek and Macquarie Rivulet in winter and spring. ....	75
Table 3.6. Results of ANOVA testing for differences in the number of species and mean catch between environment, season and method in Mullet Creek and Macquarie Rivulet. ....	79
Table 3.7. Overview of advantages and disadvantages of fish capture methods trialled in the current study. ....	81
Table 3.8. Selection of sampling methods suggested for larger scales studies on diadromous fish.....	87
Table 4.1. Traits and definitions used for classification of fish into reproductive, trophic and behavioural fish guilds. ....	97
Table 4.2. Mean environmental and habitat information for sampling sites.....	102
Table 4.3. Catch summary for fish recorded in the survey of NSW south coast streams in 2004-2005.....	104
Table 4.4. Summary of the number of fishes caught by gear type and season. ....	105
Table 4.5. Summary of fish species collected from southern NSW coastal rivers. ....	106
Table 4.6. SIMPER results showing species contributing to at least 75% in differences between bioregions.. ....	121

Table 4.7. SIMPER results showing species contributing to at least 75% of the differences among river sizes.....	124
Table 4.8. SIMPER results showing species contributing to at least 70% in differences between estuary types. ....	127
Table 4.9. SIMPER results showing species contributing to at least 70% in differences between river reach.....	129
Table 5.1. Summary of the method, habitat, and use and target fish sizes adopted in the study of the Shoalhaven and Clyde Rivers (NSW). ....	148
Table 5.2. Fish species caught from the Shoalhaven and Clyde Rivers in 2004-2006. ....	157
Table 5.3. Results of ANOVAs testing for differences in the number of species and the number of individuals for all diadromous species combined. ....	163
Table 5.4. Results of ANOSIM testing for differences in fish assemblages between the Clyde and Shoalhaven Rivers and between river reaches and seasons in each river. ....	170
Table 5.5. SIMPER results showing species contributing the most to differences in assemblages between the Clyde and Shoalhaven Rivers.....	170
Table 5.6. SIMPER results showing species contributing the most to differences in assemblages between seasons.....	170
Table 5.7. SIMPER results showing species contributing the most to differences in assemblages between river reaches in the Shoalhaven and Clyde Rivers. ....	172
Table 5.8. Significant relationships between environmental data and diadromous fish diversity and relative abundances.....	173
Table 6.1. Numbers and collection locations of fish species used in the current study. Numbers used in aquarium trials are also shown.....	186
Table 6.2. Fish used for aquaria trials on the uptake of strontium into the otoliths under variable salinities. S.....	187
Table 6.3. Summary of information for wild caught fish, showing lengths, weights, dates and locations of collection.....	190
Table 6.4. Summary of results for Sr:Ca and Ba:Ca transects for fish from aquaria trials and those from the wild.....	205
Table 7.1. Summary of catch data showing abundances and length (TL) of fish caught over the entire period of the study from 2003 to 2006. ....	216
Table 7.2. Summary of effort (number of samples), number of species and number of diadromous species sampled at each river for the period of the study between 2003 to 2006.....	217
Table 7.3. Summary of distribution and abundance data for <i>P. richmondia</i> . ....	219

Table 7.4. Summary of distribution and abundance data for <i>G. maculatus</i> .....	224
Table 7.5. Results of ANOVA testing for differences in the mean number of <i>G. maculatus</i> between reach, site nested in reach and season. ....	224
Table 7.6. Variables contributing to differences in relative abundance of <i>G. maculatus</i> in the Shoalhaven and Clyde Rivers.....	225
Table 7.7. Summary of distribution and abundance data for <i>N. robusta</i> . ....	228
Table 7.8. Results of ANOVA testing for differences in the mean number of <i>N. robusta</i> between reach, site nested in reach and season.....	228
Table 7.9. Variables contributing to differences in relative abundance of <i>N. robusta</i> in the Shoalhaven and Clyde Rivers.....	229
Table 7.10. Summary of distribution and abundance data for <i>M. novemaculeata</i> .....	231
Table 7.11. Results of ANOVA testing for differences in the mean number of <i>M. novemaculeata</i> between reach, site nested in reach and season. ....	232
Table 7.12. Variables contributing to differences in relative abundance of <i>M. novemaculeata</i> in the Shoalhaven and Clyde Rivers.....	232
Table 7.13. Summary of distribution and abundance data for <i>M. petardi</i> . ....	235
Table 7.14. Results of ANOVA testing for differences in the mean number of <i>M. petardi</i> between reach, site nested in reach and season. ....	236
Table 7.15. Variables contributing to differences in relative abundance of <i>M. petardi</i> in the Shoalhaven and Clyde Rivers.....	236
Table 7.16. Distribution and abundance data for <i>G. australis</i> in south eastern New South Wales. ....	241
Table 7.17. Results of ANOVA testing for differences in the mean number of <i>G. australis</i> between reach, site nested in reach and season. ....	241
Table 7.18. Variables contributing to differences in relative abundance of <i>G. australis</i> in the Shoalhaven and Clyde Rivers.....	242
Table 7.19. Summary of distribution and abundance information for <i>G. coxii</i> . ....	244
Table 7.20. Distribution and abundance data for <i>M. mordax</i> , <i>A. reinhardtii</i> , <i>P. maraena</i> and <i>P. urvillii</i> in south eastern New South Wales. ....	247
Table 7.21. Summary of spawning activity, represented as a spawning calendar showing monthly activity for the diadromous species encountered during this study.....	253
Table 7.22. Summary of migration or movement activity, represented as a migration calendar showing monthly activity for the diadromous species encountered during this study. ....	254

## Abstract

Diadromous fishes are a frequent but poorly understood component of coastal riverine fish communities in Australia. Many of Australia's coastal riverine fish, including diadromous species, have undergone declines in abundance and distribution since European settlement. Fish that were once plentiful are now generally rare or appear to be extinct in some catchments or parts of their range. However, as a group, diadromous fish species have complex lifecycles, the details of which have not been well investigated. The various environments and habitats used by diadromous fish are also under threat, through poor land practices, land reclamation and river regulation. The current study aimed to fulfil a number of gaps in the current knowledge of diadromous fishes in Australia. The primary objective was to examine the current distribution, abundance and biology of diadromous fish species of south eastern Australia.

As information on diadromous fishes in Australia was limited, an extensive review of the literature has been conducted as a basis for identifying knowledge gaps. From this review, it was estimated that 33 Australian fish species display diadromous lifecycles, of which only seven have information available for most life stages (*Lates calcarifer*, *Macquaria novemaculeata*, *Galaxias maculatus*, *G. brevipinnis*, *G. truttaceus*, *Geotria australis* and *Mordacia mordax*). Diadromy in Australian fish species is dominated by amphidromy (45.5%) and catadromy (42.4%), with only a small proportion of the diadromous species anadromous (12.1%). The geographical distribution of diadromy in Australia showed that the highest species richness occurred in the north east and south east of the continent, with lowest species richness in the south west. Latitudinally, northern latitudes of Australia are dominated by amphidromy, the central latitudes by catadromy and the southern latitudes have relatively even numbers of all three

diadromous types. The lack of information on diadromous fishes and the high level of environmental degradation and river regulation in south eastern Australia highlight the urgent need for further research on diadromous species in this region.

Diadromous fishes use a range of marine and freshwater environments at different life history stages. As a result, a variety of fish sampling methods were trialled and their efficiency in catching a wide range of species and fish sizes in marine and freshwater environments was assessed. The examined methods included: seine net, scoop net, fyke net, plankton nets, light traps and fish traps. Each method proved useful for capturing certain fish species and fish sizes. Overall, the seine net caught the greatest number of individuals and species, and this method was also time efficient for catching and sorting the catch. As a result, seine nets were adopted for all of the larger scale studies that were conducted in the current research. The broadscale study (Chapter 4) conducted over a large spatial scale also incorporated scoop nets, bait traps and multi panel gill nets, as these provided a range of active and passive methods which allowed rapid assessment of fish at each site. The more detailed study (Chapter 5) aimed to examine a wide range of fish sizes and life stages over larger temporal scales, and used all the methods that were trialled in the pilot study, as the use of these methods ensured that the entire lifecycle of diadromous species could be sampled.

The distribution and abundance patterns of diadromous and riverine fishes were investigated over large spatial scales, by sampling at 47 sites in 17 catchments between 2004 and 2005. A total of 13 269 fish from 31 species were recorded and these were dominated in number by native freshwater fishes (10 252 fish, 8 species), when compared with introduced freshwater fishes (1 570 fish, 3 species), marine fishes (777

fish, 10 species) and diadromous fishes (670 fish, 10 species). Although diadromous fish were only recorded in limited abundance, they were important in that they constituted: a large number of species to the assemblages; larger sized fish to the community; and, key species to reproductive, trophic and behavioural guilds. In particular, diadromous fish made up the majority of carnivorous species which feed on allochthonous inputs and they also contributed to herbivorous and omnivorous guilds. Multivariate analyses (MDS and ANOSIM) significantly delineated fish assemblages based on marine and coastal bioregions, river size, estuary type and river reach. SIMPER revealed that a range of freshwater, introduced, marine and diadromous fish contributed to these differences in the fish communities. *Galaxias maculatus*, *Gobiomorphus australis*, *Potamalosa richmondia* and *M. novemaculeata* were the diadromous species which most often contributed to these differences.

The temporal and spatial variability of diadromous fish assemblages were examined in more detail in the Shoalhaven and Clyde Rivers. Differences in fish assemblages were influenced spatially by the longitudinal gradients throughout the catchment and by the migrational patterns and recruitment of diadromous fishes. Temporal variation in diadromous fish assemblages were also complicated by the lifecycle of diadromous fishes as many species have migrating larvae, juveniles and/ or adults which mean they can be present in the stream throughout all seasons but in different life stages. As a result, diadromous fish assemblages in the Shoalhaven and Clyde Rivers exhibited complex spatial and temporal patterns which reflected their lifecycles and migratory requirements. Longitudinal changes in diadromous fish assemblages in the Shoalhaven River were also influenced by the presence of Tallowa Dam. Fish communities below this structure were more similar to those further downstream, but diadromous fishes



were also affected by cold water pollution below this structure. The abundance of diadromous fish were also negatively correlated with distance from the tidal limit, but were generally positively correlated with instream habitats such as woody debris and undercut bank.

The migratory history of five species were examined through otolith microchemistry. In order to ensure that otolith Sr:Ca and Ba:Ca values in wild fish were the result of ambient salinities, a manipulative experiment was conducted. Fish were held in various salinities and temperatures for a period of up to 62 days. The resulting Sr:Ca values showed patterns which reflected that of the salinity regime. However, for some species the Ba:Ca values did not appear to reflect changes in salinity. Transects across otoliths from wild caught fish also revealed distinct variations in otolith Sr:Ca values, with patterns that indicated a diadromous lifecycle in freshwater mullet (*Myxus petardi*) and striped gudgeon (*Gobiomorphus australis*). Freshwater herring (*Potamalosa richmondia*), Cox's gudgeon (*Gobiomorphus coxii*) and bullrout (*Notesthes robusta*) displayed less obvious patterns in Sr:Ca values, perhaps reflecting more complex patterns of movement between marine and freshwater environments. Although, some of these species were previously thought to be diadromous, the current study suggested that, for some species, the type of diadromy (or range of movements) may differ from those previously documented. The most important finding was the apparent amphidromous lifecycle of *M. petardi*, in at least for these populations from the Shoalhaven and Clyde Rivers.

This research provided new information on the biology and status of a number of diadromous fish species of south eastern Australia. Of particular importance was the

collection of new information about *M. petardi* and *P. richmondia*, including the capture of larvae and juveniles from brackish water. These data supported the diadromous lifecycles, which were indicated by otolith microchemistry. Age estimates confirmed longevity in *P. richmondia* (~10 years) and *M. petardi* (~5 years) and from the specimens of *N. robusta* that were examined it appears that they have longevity in excess of five years. This study also highlighted an apparent decline in distribution and abundance of *Prototroctes maraena* and *Pseudaphritis urvillii* on the NSW south coast, which may be a cause for concern. More research is required to determine the status and threats for these species, in particular.

The current study has provided important new information about the distribution and abundance patterns, as well as the biology, for a number of diadromous species in this region. It has also highlighted the need for further research and management of this important group of fish.