

October 2002

On Full Orthogonal Designs in Order 56

S. Georgiou

National Technical University of Athens, Greece

C. Koukouvinos

National Technical University of Athens, Greece

Jennifer Seberry

University of Wollongong, jennie@uow.edu.au

Follow this and additional works at: <https://ro.uow.edu.au/infopapers>



Part of the [Physical Sciences and Mathematics Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Georgiou, S.; Koukouvinos, C.; and Seberry, Jennifer: On Full Orthogonal Designs in Order 56 2002.
<https://ro.uow.edu.au/infopapers/314>

On Full Orthogonal Designs in Order 56

Abstract

We find new full orthogonal designs in order 56 and show that of 1285 possible $OD(56; s_1, s_2, s_3, 56-s_1-s_2-s_3)$ 163 are known, of 261 possible $OD(56; s_1, s_2, 56-s_1-s_2)$ 179 are known. All possible $OD(56; s_1, 56-s_1)$ are known.

Keywords

: Construction, sequences, circulant matrices, amicable sets, orthogonal designs, AMS Subject

Classification: Primary 05B15, 05B20, Secondary 62K05.

Disciplines

Physical Sciences and Mathematics

Publication Details

This article was originally published as Georgiou, S, Koukouvinos, C and Seberry, J, On Full Orthogonal Designs in Order 56, *Ars Combinatoria* 65, 2002, 79-89.

On Full Orthogonal Designs in Order 56

S. Georgiou*, C. Koukouvinos*, and Jennifer Seberry†

September 1, 2000

Abstract

We find new full orthogonal designs in order 56 and show that of 1285 possible $OD(56; s_1, s_2, s_3, 56-s_1-s_2-s_3)$ 163 are known, of 261 possible $OD(56; s_1, s_2, 56-s_1-s_2)$ 179 are known. All possible $OD(56; s_1, 56-s_1)$ are known.

Key words and phrases: Construction, sequences, circulant matrices, amicable sets, orthogonal designs.

AMS Subject Classification: Primary 05B15, 05B20, Secondary 62K05.

1 Introduction

An *orthogonal design* of order n and type (s_1, s_2, \dots, s_u) ($s_i > 0$), denoted $OD(n; s_1, s_2, \dots, s_u)$, on the commuting variables x_1, x_2, \dots, x_u is an $n \times n$ matrix A with entries from $\{0, \pm x_1, \pm x_2, \dots, \pm x_u\}$ such that

$$AA^T = \left(\sum_{i=1}^u s_i x_i^2\right) I_n$$

Alternatively, the rows of A are formally orthogonal and each row has precisely s_i entries of the type $\pm x_i$. In [2], where this was first defined, it was mentioned that

$$A^T A = \left(\sum_{i=1}^u s_i x_i^2\right) I_n$$

and so our alternative description of A applies equally well to the columns of A . It was also shown in [2] that $u \leq \rho(n)$, where $\rho(n)$ (Radon's function) is defined by $\rho(n) = 8c + 2^d$, when $n = 2^a b$, b odd, $a = 4c + d$, $0 \leq d < 4$.

A weighing matrix $W = W(n, k)$ is a square matrix with entries $0, \pm 1$ having k non-zero entries per row and column and inner product of distinct rows zero. Hence W satisfies $WW^T = kI_n$, and W is equivalent to an orthogonal design $OD(n; k)$. The number k is called the *weight* of W . If $k = n$, that is, all the entries of W are ± 1 and $WW^T = nI_n$, then W is called an Hadamard matrix of order n . In this case $n = 1, 2$ or $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$.

*Department of Mathematics, National Technical University of Athens, Zografou 15773, Athens, Greece.

†Department of Computer Science, University of Wollongong, Wollongong, NSW, 2522, Australia.

Given the sequence $A = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$ of length n the *non-periodic autocorrelation function* $N_A(s)$ is defined as

$$N_A(s) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-s} a_i a_{i+s}, \quad s = 0, 1, \dots, n-1, \quad (1)$$

If $A(z) = a_1 + a_2 z + \dots + a_n z^{n-1}$ is the associated polynomial of the sequence A , then

$$A(z)A(z^{-1}) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n a_i a_j z^{i-j} = N_A(0) + \sum_{s=1}^{n-1} N_A(s)(z^s + z^{-s}), \quad z \neq 0. \quad (2)$$

Given A as above of length n the *periodic autocorrelation function* $P_A(s)$ is defined, reducing $i + s$ modulo n , as

$$P_A(s) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i a_{i+s}, \quad s = 0, 1, \dots, n-1. \quad (3)$$

The following theorem which uses four circulant matrices in the Goethals-Seidel array is very useful in our construction for orthogonal designs.

Theorem 1 [3, Theorem 4.49] Suppose there exist four circulant matrices A, B, C, D of order n satisfying

$$AA^T + BB^T + CC^T + DD^T = fI_n$$

Let R be the back diagonal matrix. Then

$$GS = \begin{pmatrix} A & BR & CR & DR \\ -BR & A & D^T R & -C^T R \\ -CR & -D^T R & A & B^T R \\ -DR & C^T R & -B^T R & A \end{pmatrix}$$

is a $W(4n, f)$ when A, B, C, D are $(0, 1, -1)$ matrices, and an orthogonal design $OD(4n; s_1, s_2, \dots, s_u)$ on x_1, x_2, \dots, x_u when A, B, C, D have entries from $\{0, \pm x_1, \dots, \pm x_u\}$ and $f = \sum_{j=1}^u (s_j x_j^2)$. \square

Corollary 1 If there are four sequences A, B, C, D of length n with entries from $\{0, \pm x_1, \pm x_2, \pm x_3, \pm x_4\}$ with zero periodic or non-periodic autocorrelation function, then these sequences can be used as the first rows of circulant matrices which can be used in the Goethals-Seidel array to form an $OD(4n; s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4)$. We note that if the non-periodic autocorrelation function is zero, then there are sequences of length $n + m$ for all $m \geq 0$. \square

This method for constructing orthogonal designs was used in [1, 5].

Throughout this paper we will use the definition and notation of Koukouvinos, Mitrouli, Seberry and Karabelas [5].

A pair of matrices A, B is said to be amicable (anti-amicable) if $AB^T - BA^T = 0$ ($AB^T + BA^T = 0$). Following [7] a set $\{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_{2n}\}$ of square real matrices is said to be *amicable* if

$$\sum_{i=1}^n (A_{\sigma(2i-1)} A_{\sigma(2i)}^T - A_{\sigma(2i)} A_{\sigma(2i-1)}^T) = 0 \quad (4)$$

for some permutation σ of the set $\{1, 2, \dots, 2n\}$. For simplicity, we will always take $\sigma(i) = i$ unless otherwise specified. So

$$\sum_{i=1}^n (A_{2i-1}A_{2i}^T - A_{2i}A_{2i-1}^T) = 0. \quad (5)$$

Clearly a set of mutually amicable matrices is amicable, but the converse is not true in general. Throughout this paper R_k denotes the back diagonal identity matrix of order k .

Let $\{A_i\}_{i=1}^8$ be an amicable set of circulant matrices of order t , satisfying the additive property for (s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k) . Then the Kharaghani array

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & A_2 & A_4 R_n & A_3 R_n & A_6 R_n & A_5 R_n & A_8 R_n & A_7 R_n \\ -A_2 & A_1 & A_3 R_n & -A_4 R_n & A_5 R_n & -A_6 R_n & A_7 R_n & -A_8 R_n \\ -A_4 R_n & -A_3 R_n & A_1 & A_2 & -A_8^T R_n & A_7^T R_n & A_6^T R_n & -A_5^T R_n \\ -A_3 R_n & A_4 R_n & -A_2 & A_1 & A_7^T R_n & A_8^T R_n & -A_5^T R_n & -A_6^T R_n \\ -A_6 R_n & -A_5 R_n & A_8^T R_n & -A_7^T R_n & A_1 & A_2 & -A_4^T R_n & A_3^T R_n \\ -A_5 R_n & A_6 R_n & -A_7^T R_n & -A_8^T R_n & -A_2 & A_1 & A_3^T R_n & A_4^T R_n \\ -A_8 R_n & -A_7 R_n & -A_6^T R_n & A_5^T R_n & A_4^T R_n & -A_3^T R_n & A_1 & A_2 \\ -A_7 R_n & A_8 R_n & A_5^T R_n & A_6^T R_n & -A_3^T R_n & -A_4^T R_n & -A_2 & A_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

is an $OD(8t; s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k)$.

The Kharaghani array which uses amicable sets of eight matrices is also very useful in our constructions for orthogonal designs.

The following lemma applies a lemma given in Georgiou, Koukouvinos, Mitrouli and Seberry [1] to determine the number of possible tuples to be searched determining the size of search space for orthogonal designs in order 56.

Lemma 1 *Let $n = 4m = 56$ be the order of an orthogonal design then the number of cases which must be studied to determine whether all orthogonal designs exist is*

- (i) $\frac{1}{4}n^2 = 784$ when 2-tuples are considered;
- (ii) $\frac{n-2}{72}(2n^2 + 7n + 6) = 5004$ when 3-tuples are considered;
- (iii) $\frac{1}{576}(n^4 + 6n^3 - 2n^2 - 24n + 64) = 18890$ when 4-tuples are considered.

2 New full orthogonal designs from smaller orders

Theorem 2 *There are $OD(56; s_1, s_1, 65-s_1, 56-s_1)$ constructed using the full $OD(28; s_1, 28-s_1)$ given in [2, 5, 6] for:*

(1, 1, 27, 27)	(5, 5, 23, 23)	(9, 9, 19, 19)	(13, 13, 15, 15)
(2, 2, 26, 26)	(6, 6, 22, 22)	(10, 10, 18, 18)	(14, 14, 14, 14)
(3, 3, 25, 25)	(7, 7, 21, 21)	(11, 11, 17, 17)	
(4, 4, 24, 24)	(8, 8, 20, 20)	(12, 12, 16, 16)	

Proof. We use the amicable orthogonal designs of type $AOD(2; (1, 1), (1, 1))$ in order two with the two variable designs in order 28 to obtain the desired designs in order 56. \square

Theorem 3 *There are full $OD(56; s_1, s_2, s_3, 56 - s_1 - s_2 - s_3)$ constructed using the full $OD(28; s_1, s_2, 28 - s_1 - s_2)$ and $OD(28; s_1, s_2, s_3, 28 - s_1 - s_2 - s_3)$ designs in order 28 for the 4-tuples given in Table 2*

(1, 1, 2, 52)	(2, 2, 13, 39)	(2, 13, 13, 28)	(4, 8, 22, 22)	(7, 14, 14, 21)
(1, 1, 4, 50)	(2, 2, 14, 38)	(2, 13, 15, 26)	(4, 9, 9, 34)	(8, 8, 8, 32)
(1, 1, 6, 48)	(2, 2, 16, 36)	(2, 14, 14, 26)	(4, 12, 20, 20)	(8, 8, 10, 30)
(1, 1, 12, 42)	(2, 2, 18, 34)	(2, 16, 18, 20)	(4, 13, 13, 26)	(8, 8, 16, 24)
(1, 1, 16, 38)	(2, 2, 25, 27)	(2, 16, 19, 19)	(4, 14, 19, 19)	(8, 8, 18, 22)
(1, 1, 18, 36)	(2, 2, 26, 26)	(2, 18, 18, 18)	(4, 16, 18, 18)	(8, 8, 20, 20)
(1, 1, 26, 28)	(2, 3, 3, 48)	(3, 3, 12, 38)	(4, 17, 17, 18)	(8, 10, 10, 28)
(1, 2, 2, 51)	(2, 3, 12, 39)	(3, 3, 14, 36)	(5, 5, 10, 36)	(8, 10, 18, 20)
(1, 2, 3, 50)	(2, 3, 15, 36)	(3, 3, 20, 30)	(5, 5, 18, 28)	(8, 12, 18, 18)
(1, 2, 16, 37)	(2, 4, 25, 25)	(3, 5, 12, 36)	(5, 10, 18, 23)	(8, 14, 14, 20)
(1, 2, 17, 36)	(2, 6, 6, 42)	(4, 4, 4, 44)	(5, 15, 18, 18)	(8, 16, 16, 16)
(1, 2, 26, 27)	(2, 6, 12, 36)	(4, 4, 8, 40)	(6, 6, 6, 38)	(9, 9, 10, 28)
(1, 3, 16, 36)	(2, 6, 18, 30)	(4, 4, 12, 36)	(6, 6, 8, 36)	(9, 9, 18, 20)
(1, 3, 26, 26)	(2, 6, 24, 24)	(4, 4, 16, 32)	(6, 7, 7, 36)	(9, 10, 10, 27)
(1, 6, 12, 37)	(2, 8, 8, 38)	(4, 4, 20, 28)	(6, 10, 10, 30)	(9, 10, 18, 19)
(1, 6, 13, 36)	(2, 8, 10, 36)	(4, 7, 7, 38)	(6, 12, 18, 20)	(9, 11, 18, 18)
(1, 7, 12, 36)	(2, 9, 9, 36)	(4, 8, 8, 36)	(6, 12, 19, 19)	(10, 10, 16, 20)
(1, 18, 18, 19)	(2, 9, 18, 27)	(4, 8, 12, 32)	(6, 14, 18, 18)	(10, 10, 18, 18)
(2, 2, 2, 50)	(2, 12, 18, 24)	(4, 8, 18, 26)	(6, 15, 15, 20)	(10, 14, 14, 18)
(2, 2, 8, 44)	(2, 12, 21, 21)	(4, 8, 20, 24)	(7, 7, 14, 28)	(14, 14, 14, 14)

Table 1: Full 4-variable $OD(56; s_1, s_2, s_3, 56 - s_1 - s_2 - s_3)$ constructed from full three and four variable designs in order 28.

Theorem 4 *There are $OD(56; s_1, s_1, 2s_2, 2s_3, 56 - 2s_1 - 2s_2 - 2s_3)$ constructed using the Multiplication Theorem [3, Lemma 4.11] with the full $OD(28; s_1, s_2, s_3, 28 - s_1 - s_2 - s_3)$ given in [2, 5, 6] for the values given in Table 2.*

(1, 1, 2, 2, 50)	(2, 2, 8, 8, 36)	(2, 9, 9, 18, 18)	(7, 7, 14, 14, 14)
(1, 1, 2, 16, 36)	(2, 2, 13, 13, 26)	(4, 4, 4, 8, 36)	(8, 8, 8, 16, 16)
(1, 1, 2, 26, 26)	(2, 2, 16, 18, 18)	(4, 4, 8, 8, 32)	(8, 8, 10, 10, 20)
(1, 1, 6, 12, 36)	(2, 3, 3, 12, 36)	(4, 4, 8, 20, 20)	(9, 9, 10, 10, 18)
(1, 1, 18, 18, 18)	(2, 6, 6, 6, 36)	(4, 8, 8, 18, 18)	
(2, 2, 2, 25, 25)	(2, 6, 12, 18, 18)	(5, 5, 10, 18, 18)	

Table 2: Full 5-variable designs in order 56 from full 4-variable designs in order 28.

In table 3 we present the new amicable sets of eight matrices which can be used in the Kharaghani array to construct some new full orthogonal designs in order 56.

Type	A_1 A_3 A_5 A_7	A_2 A_4 A_6 A_8	ZERO
(1,1,25,29)	$(a, d, d, \bar{d}, d, \bar{d}, \bar{d})$ $(b, d, d, \bar{d}, d, \bar{d}, \bar{d})$ $(\bar{d}, d, d, d, d, d, d)$ $(b, b, b, \bar{b}, b, \bar{b}, \bar{b})$	$(\bar{b}, b, b, b, b, b, b)$ $(b, d, d, \bar{d}, d, \bar{d}, \bar{d})$ $(c, b, b, \bar{b}, b, \bar{b}, \bar{b})$ $(b, b, b, \bar{b}, b, \bar{b}, \bar{b})$	PAF n=7
(1,2,3,25,25)	$(a, d, d, \bar{d}, d, \bar{d}, \bar{d})$ $(a, d, d, \bar{d}, d, \bar{d}, \bar{d})$ $(\bar{d}, d, d, d, d, d, d)$ $(e, h, h, \bar{h}, h, \bar{h}, \bar{h})$	$(a, d, d, \bar{d}, d, \bar{d}, \bar{d})$ $(\bar{h}, h, h, h, h, h, h)$ $(g, h, h, \bar{h}, h, \bar{h}, \bar{h})$ $(e, h, h, \bar{h}, h, \bar{h}, \bar{h})$	PAF n=7
(1,2,8,45)	$(\bar{a}, b, b, a, b, a, a)$ $(a, b, b, \bar{a}, b, \bar{a}, \bar{a})$ $(d, b, b, \bar{b}, b, \bar{b}, \bar{b})$ $(c, b, b, \bar{b}, b, \bar{b}, \bar{b})$	$(b, b, b, \bar{b}, b, \bar{b}, \bar{b})$ $(b, b, b, \bar{b}, b, \bar{b}, \bar{b})$ $(d, b, b, \bar{b}, b, \bar{b}, \bar{b})$ $(\bar{b}, b, b, b, b, b, b)$	PAF n=7
(1,2,13,40)	$(\bar{a}, b, b, a, b, a, a)$ $(\bar{b}, \bar{a}, \bar{a}, b, \bar{a}, b, b)$ $(c, a, a, \bar{a}, a, \bar{a}, \bar{a})$ $(d, b, b, \bar{b}, b, \bar{b}, \bar{b})$	$(a, a, a, \bar{a}, a, \bar{a}, \bar{a})$ $(a, a, a, \bar{a}, a, \bar{a}, \bar{a})$ $(c, a, a, \bar{a}, a, \bar{a}, \bar{a})$ $(\bar{a}, a, a, a, a, a, a)$	PAF n=7
(1,2,14,39)	$(a, b, b, \bar{b}, b, \bar{b}, \bar{b})$ $(d, b, b, \bar{b}, b, \bar{b}, \bar{b})$ $(c, b, b, \bar{b}, b, \bar{b}, \bar{b})$ $(\bar{b}, \bar{a}, \bar{a}, b, \bar{a}, b, b)$	$(d, a, a, \bar{a}, a, \bar{a}, \bar{a})$ $(b, b, b, \bar{b}, b, \bar{b}, \bar{b})$ $(\bar{b}, b, b, b, b, b, b)$ $(\bar{a}, b, b, a, b, a, a)$	PAF n=7
(1,2,19,34)	$(\bar{a}, b, b, a, b, a, a)$ $(c, b, b, \bar{b}, b, \bar{b}, \bar{b})$ $(d, b, b, \bar{b}, b, \bar{b}, \bar{b})$ $(b, a, a, \bar{a}, a, \bar{a}, \bar{a})$	$(a, b, b, \bar{a}, b, \bar{a}, \bar{a})$ $(a, a, a, \bar{a}, a, \bar{a}, \bar{a})$ $(\bar{a}, a, a, a, a, a, a)$ $(c, a, a, \bar{a}, a, \bar{a}, \bar{a})$	PAF n=7
(1,3,8,19,25)	$(\bar{a}, b, b, a, b, a, a)$ $(a, b, b, \bar{a}, b, \bar{a}, \bar{a})$ $(e, h, h, \bar{h}, h, \bar{h}, \bar{h})$ $(d, b, b, \bar{b}, b, \bar{b}, \bar{b})$	$(e, h, h, \bar{h}, h, \bar{h}, \bar{h})$ $(e, h, h, \bar{h}, h, \bar{h}, \bar{h})$ $(b, b, b, \bar{b}, b, \bar{b}, \bar{b})$ $(\bar{h}, h, h, h, h, h, h)$	PAF n=7
(1,3,13,14,25)	$(a, d, d, \bar{d}, d, \bar{d}, \bar{d})$ $(\bar{f}, \bar{e}, \bar{e}, f, \bar{e}, \bar{f}, \bar{f})$ $(f, f, f, \bar{f}, f, \bar{f}, \bar{f})$ $(\bar{d}, d, d, d, d, d, d)$	$(\bar{e}, f, f, e, f, e, e)$ $(a, d, d, \bar{d}, d, \bar{d}, \bar{d})$ $(a, d, d, \bar{d}, d, \bar{d}, \bar{d})$ $(g, e, e, \bar{e}, e, \bar{e}, \bar{e})$	PAF n=7
(1,10,18,27)	$(a, d, d, \bar{d}, d, \bar{d}, \bar{d})$ $(a, d, d, \bar{d}, d, \bar{d}, \bar{d})$ $(c, d, d, \bar{d}, d, \bar{d}, \bar{d})$ $(\bar{a}, b, b, a, b, a, a)$	$(d, b, b, \bar{b}, b, \bar{b}, \bar{b})$ $(d, b, b, \bar{b}, b, \bar{b}, \bar{b})$ $(\bar{d}, d, d, d, d, d, d)$ $(a, b, b, \bar{a}, b, \bar{a}, \bar{a})$	PAF n=7

Table 3: New full orthogonal designs in order 56 constructed from new amicable sets of eight matrices.

Type	A_1 A_3 A_5 A_7	A_2 A_4 A_6 A_8	ZERO
(1,14,14,27)	$(a, d, d, \bar{d}, d, \bar{d}, \bar{d})$ $(b, d, d, \bar{d}, d, \bar{d}, \bar{d})$ $(c, d, d, \bar{d}, d, \bar{d}, \bar{d})$ $(\bar{b}, \bar{a}, \bar{a}, b, \bar{a}, b, b)$	$(d, a, a, \bar{a}, a, \bar{a}, \bar{a})$ $(d, b, b, \bar{b}, b, \bar{b}, \bar{b})$ $(\bar{d}, d, d, d, d, d, d)$ $(\bar{a}, b, b, a, b, a, a)$	PAF n=7
(1,20,35)	$(\bar{a}, a, a, a, a, a, a)$ $(a, a, a, \bar{a}, a, \bar{a}, \bar{a})$ $(a, b, b, \bar{a}, b, \bar{a}, \bar{a})$ $(b, b, b, \bar{b}, b, \bar{b}, \bar{b})$	$(d, a, a, \bar{a}, a, \bar{a}, \bar{a})$ $(\bar{a}, b, b, a, b, a, a)$ $(a, a, a, \bar{a}, a, \bar{a}, \bar{a})$ $(b, b, b, \bar{b}, b, \bar{b}, \bar{b})$	PAF n=7
(2,2,8,8,18,18)	$(\bar{a}, b, b, a, b, a, a)$ $(a, b, b, \bar{a}, b, \bar{a}, \bar{a})$ $(d, b, b, \bar{b}, b, \bar{b}, \bar{b})$ $(h, f, f, \bar{f}, f, \bar{f}, \bar{f})$	$(\bar{e}, f, f, e, f, e, e)$ $(e, f, f, \bar{e}, f, \bar{e}, \bar{e})$ $(d, b, b, \bar{b}, b, \bar{b}, \bar{b})$ $(h, f, f, \bar{f}, f, \bar{f}, \bar{f})$	PAF n=7
(2,4,22,28)	$(\bar{a}, a, a, a, \bar{a}, a, a)$ $(f, e, h, \bar{h}, \bar{h}, h, h)$ $(a, \bar{a}, a, a, a, \bar{a}, \bar{a})$ $(a, a, \bar{a}, a, \bar{a}, a, a)$	$(\bar{f}, h, h, h, \bar{h}, h, h)$ $(a, a, a, \bar{a}, \bar{a}, a, a)$ $(f, \bar{e}, h, h, h, \bar{h}, \bar{h})$ $(f, h, \bar{h}, h, \bar{h}, h, h)$	PAF n=7
(3,22,31)	$(\bar{a}, b, b, a, b, a, a)$ $(a, b, b, \bar{a}, b, \bar{a}, \bar{a})$ $(d, a, a, \bar{a}, a, \bar{a}, \bar{a})$ $(\bar{a}, b, b, a, b, a, a)$	$(d, b, b, \bar{b}, b, \bar{b}, \bar{b})$ $(d, b, b, \bar{b}, b, \bar{b}, \bar{b})$ $(a, b, b, \bar{b}, b, \bar{b}, \bar{b})$ $(\bar{b}, \bar{a}, \bar{a}, b, \bar{a}, b, b)$	PAF n=7
(4,4,4,4,10,10,10,10)	$(b, c, a, c, d, d, \bar{d})$ $(b, \bar{c}, a, \bar{c}, \bar{d}, \bar{d}, d)$ $(b, d, \bar{a}, d, \bar{c}, \bar{c}, c)$ $(b, \bar{d}, \bar{a}, \bar{d}, c, c, \bar{c})$	$(f, g, e, g, h, h, \bar{h})$ $(f, \bar{g}, e, \bar{g}, \bar{h}, \bar{h}, h)$ $(f, \bar{h}, \bar{e}, \bar{h}, g, g, \bar{g})$ $(f, h, \bar{e}, h, \bar{g}, \bar{g}, g)$	NPAF n=7
(4,6,46)	$(c, \bar{c}, \bar{c}, c, c, b, \bar{a})$ $(c, \bar{c}, c, c, c, \bar{c}, c)$ $(\bar{c}, c, \bar{c}, c, a, b, c)$ $(\bar{c}, c, c, c, c, b, \bar{c})$	$(\bar{c}, c, \bar{c}, c, a, b, c)$ $(\bar{c}, c, c, c, c, b, \bar{c})$ $(c, \bar{c}, \bar{c}, c, c, b, \bar{a})$ $(c, \bar{c}, c, c, c, \bar{c}, c)$	PAF n=7
(4,7,21,24)	$(a, a, \bar{a}, a, a, a, d)$ $(f, f, \bar{f}, f, \bar{e}, f, \bar{f})$ $(f, f, \bar{f}, f, \bar{e}, \bar{f}, f)$ $(\bar{d}, \bar{d}, d, a, \bar{a}, a, a)$	$(f, f, \bar{f}, f, e, f, f)$ $(\bar{a}, \bar{a}, a, a, d, a, \bar{a})$ $(\bar{a}, \bar{a}, a, \bar{d}, a, \bar{d}, a)$ $(f, f, \bar{f}, f, e, f, f)$	NPAF n=7
(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)	$(\bar{a}, a, a, g, a, e, c)$ $(\bar{g}, g, g, \bar{a}, g, c, \bar{e})$ $(\bar{e}, e, e, \bar{c}, e, \bar{a}, g)$ $(\bar{b}, b, b, d, b, f, \bar{h})$	$(\bar{f}, f, f, \bar{h}, f, b, \bar{d})$ $(\bar{h}, h, h, f, h, d, b)$ $(\bar{d}, d, d, \bar{b}, d, \bar{h}, f)$ $(\bar{c}, c, c, e, c, \bar{g}, \bar{a})$	NPAF n=7

Table 3 (cont.)

Type	A_1 A_3 A_5 A_7	A_2 A_4 A_6 A_8	ZERO
(7,7,18,24)	$(a, a, \bar{a}, a, c, a, d)$ $(b, b, \bar{b}, \bar{b}, a, \bar{b}, \bar{b})$ $(b, b, \bar{b}, \bar{b}, \bar{a}, \bar{b}, b)$ $(b, b, \bar{b}, b, a, b, b)$	$(b, b, \bar{b}, b, \bar{a}, b, \bar{b})$ $(\bar{a}, \bar{a}, a, a, d, a, \bar{c})$ $(\bar{c}, \bar{c}, c, \bar{d}, a, \bar{d}, a)$ $(\bar{d}, \bar{d}, d, c, \bar{a}, c, a)$	NPAF n=7
(8,11,37)	$(\bar{a}, b, b, a, b, a, a)$ $(a, b, b, \bar{a}, b, \bar{a}, \bar{a})$ $(b, b, b, \bar{b}, b, \bar{b}, \bar{b})$ $(c, b, b, \bar{c}, b, \bar{c}, \bar{c})$	$(c, b, b, \bar{b}, b, \bar{b}, \bar{b})$ $(c, b, b, \bar{b}, b, \bar{b}, \bar{b})$ $(c, b, b, \bar{b}, b, \bar{b}, \bar{b})$ $(\bar{c}, b, b, c, b, c, c)$	PAF n=7
(11,14,31)	$(\bar{a}, b, b, a, b, a, a)$ $(c, a, a, \bar{a}, a, \bar{a}, \bar{a})$ $(\bar{c}, b, b, c, b, c, c)$ $(c, b, b, \bar{c}, b, \bar{c}, \bar{c})$	$(\bar{b}, \bar{a}, \bar{a}, b, \bar{a}b, b)$ $(a, b, b, \bar{b}, b, \bar{b}, \bar{b})$ $(c, b, b, \bar{b}, b, \bar{b}, \bar{b})$ $(c, b, b, \bar{b}, b, \bar{b}, \bar{b})$	PAF n=7

Table 3 (cont.)

Remark 1 We note that amicable sets of eight matrices of type $(4, 4, 4, 4, 10, 10, 10, 10)$ and $(7, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7)$ which are used for constructing OD's in order 56 are also found in [4].

(1, 2, 3, 50)	(1, 14, 16, 25)	(2, 18, 18, 18)	(4, 4, 20, 28)	(4, 12, 20, 20)	(8, 10, 14, 24)
(1, 2, 25, 28)	(2, 2, 8, 44)	(3, 3, 25, 25)	(4, 4, 24, 24)	(4, 13, 14, 25)	(8, 10, 18, 20)
(1, 3, 8, 44)	(2, 2, 16, 36)	(3, 8, 19, 26)	(4, 8, 8, 36)	(4, 14, 14, 24)	(8, 12, 18, 18)
(1, 3, 13, 39)	(2, 2, 18, 34)	(3, 8, 20, 25)	(4, 8, 10, 34)	(4, 14, 18, 20)	(8, 14, 14, 20)
(1, 3, 14, 38)	(2, 2, 26, 26)	(3, 9, 19, 25)	(4, 8, 14, 30)	(4, 16, 18, 18)	(10, 10, 10, 26)
(1, 3, 19, 33)	(2, 3, 25, 26)	(3, 13, 14, 26)	(4, 8, 18, 26)	(7, 7, 7, 35)	(10, 10, 12, 24)
(1, 3, 25, 27)	(2, 4, 25, 25)	(3, 13, 15, 25)	(4, 8, 19, 25)	(7, 7, 14, 28)	(10, 10, 14, 22)
(1, 5, 25, 25)	(2, 8, 8, 38)	(3, 14, 14, 25)	(4, 8, 20, 24)	(7, 7, 21, 21)	(10, 10, 16, 20)
(1, 8, 19, 28)	(2, 8, 10, 36)	(4, 4, 4, 44)	(4, 10, 10, 32)	(7, 14, 14, 21)	(10, 10, 18, 18)
(1, 8, 22, 25)	(2, 8, 18, 28)	(4, 4, 8, 40)	(4, 10, 12, 30)	(8, 8, 10, 30)	(10, 12, 14, 20)
(1, 11, 19, 25)	(2, 8, 20, 26)	(4, 4, 10, 38)	(4, 10, 14, 28)	(8, 8, 18, 22)	(10, 14, 14, 18)
(1, 13, 14, 28)	(2, 10, 18, 26)	(4, 4, 14, 34)	(4, 10, 18, 24)	(8, 8, 20, 20)	(14, 14, 14, 14)
(1, 13, 17, 25)	(2, 16, 18, 20)	(4, 4, 18, 30)	(4, 10, 20, 22)	(8, 10, 10, 28)	

Table 4: Full 4-variable $OD(56; s_1, s_2, s_3, 56 - s_1 - s_2 - s_3)$ constructed from full designs presented in table 2.

3 Full designs with even parameters

We note that Seberry [8] showed that if all $OD(n; x, y, n-x-y)$ exist then all $OD(2n; z, w, 2n-z-w)$ exist for $s \geq 0$ an integer. In particular if all $OD(2^t p; x, y, 2^t p - x - y)$ exist, for some odd integer p , then all $OD(2^{t+s} p; z, w, 2^{t+s} p - z - w)$ exist for $s \geq 0$ an integer we observe

Lemma 2 *If all $OD(2^t p; 2x, 2y, 2^t p - 2x - 2y)$ exist, for some odd integer p , then all $OD(2^{t+s} p; 2z, 2w, 2^{t+s} p - 2z - 2w)$ exist for $s \geq 0$ an integer.*

s_1, s_2, s_3	s_1, s_2, s_3	s_1, s_2, s_3	s_1, s_2, s_3	s_1, s_2, s_3	s_1, s_2, s_3
(1, 9, 46)	(3, 24, 29)	(5, 19, 32)	(7, 15, 34)	(9, 15, 32)	(11, 22, 23)
(1, 23, 32)	(4, 5, 47)	(5, 20, 31)	(7, 16, 33)	(9, 16, 31)	(12, 13, 31)
(1, 24, 31)	(4, 11, 41)	(5, 21, 30)	(7, 17, 32)	(9, 17, 30)	(12, 15, 29)
(2, 5, 49)	(4, 15, 37)	(5, 22, 29)	(7, 19, 30)	(9, 21, 26)	(12, 17, 27)
(2, 7, 47)	(4, 23, 29)	(5, 24, 27)	(7, 20, 29)	(9, 23, 24)	(13, 16, 27)
(2, 11, 43)	(5, 6, 45)	(6, 9, 41)	(7, 22, 27)	(10, 11, 35)	(13, 19, 24)
(2, 23, 31)	(5, 7, 44)	(6, 11, 39)	(7, 23, 26)	(10, 13, 33)	(13, 20, 23)
(3, 4, 49)	(5, 8, 43)	(6, 16, 34)	(8, 9, 39)	(10, 15, 31)	(13, 21, 22)
(3, 6, 47)	(5, 9, 42)	(6, 17, 33)	(8, 13, 35)	(10, 17, 29)	(15, 17, 24)
(3, 7, 46)	(5, 11, 40)	(6, 21, 29)	(8, 15, 33)	(10, 21, 25)	(15, 19, 22)
(3, 10, 43)	(5, 13, 38)	(6, 23, 27)	(8, 17, 31)	(11, 12, 33)	(16, 17, 23)
(3, 11, 42)	(5, 14, 37)	(7, 8, 41)	(8, 21, 27)	(11, 13, 32)	(17, 19, 20)
(3, 21, 32)	(5, 16, 35)	(7, 9, 40)	(9, 12, 35)	(11, 15, 30)	
(3, 22, 31)	(5, 17, 34)	(7, 10, 39)	(9, 14, 33)	(11, 16, 29)	

Table 5: The existence of these 82 full $OD(56; s_1, s_2, 72 - s_1 - s_2)$ is not yet established.

Corollary 2 *If $OD(56; 6, 16, 34)$ exist then all $OD(2^{s+3}7; 2z, 2w, 2^{s+3}7 - 2z - 2w)$ exist for $s \geq 0$ an integer.*

Proof. A search of full $OD(56; x, y, 56 - x - y)$ show only the parameters indicated are as yet unsolved. \square

4 Summary

We have found new designs in order 56 and shown that of 1285 possible $OD(56; s_1, s_2, s_3, 56 - s_1 - s_2 - s_3)$ 163 are known: of 261 possible $OD(56; s_1, s_2, 56 - s_1 - s_2)$ 179 are known; and all possible $OD(56; s_1, 56 - s_1)$ are known.

References

- [1] S. Georgiou, C. Koukouvinos, M. Mitrouli and J. Seberry, Necessary and sufficient conditions for three and four variable orthogonal designs in order 36, *J. Statist. Plann. Inference*, (to appear).
- [2] A.V.Geramita, J.M.Geramita, and J.Seberry Wallis, Orthogonal designs, *Linear and Multilinear Algebra*, 3 (1976), 281-306.
- [3] A.V.Geramita, and J.Seberry, *Orthogonal Designs: Quadratic Forms and Hadamard Matrices*, Marcel Dekker, New York-Basel, 1979.
- [4] W.H. Holzmann, and H. Kharaghani, On the Plotkin arrays, *Australas. J. Combin.*, to appear.
- [5] C.Koukouvinos, M.Mitrouli, J.Seberry, and P.Karabelas, On sufficient conditions for some orthogonal designs and sequences with zero autocorrelation function, *Australas. J. Combin.*, 13 (1996), 197-216.
- [6] C. Koukouvinos and J. Seberry, New orthogonal designs and sequences with two and three variables in order 28, *Ars Combinatoria*, 54 (2000), 97-108.

- [7] H. Kharaghani, Arrays for orthogonal designs, *J. Combin. Designs*, to appear.
- [8] J. Seberry Wallis, On the existence of Hadamard matrices, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, 21 (1976), 188-195.