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ADVERTISEMENT
Thermoelectric materials can be used for both cooling and electric power generation. Bismuth telluride, Bi$_2$Te$_3$, and its alloys are known to be the excellent thermoelectric materials around room temperature. They can be used in several applications, such as energy conversion, thermal sensors, thermoelectric coolers for laser diodes, etc. The performance of thermoelectric devices is determined by a figure of merit ($ZT$), given by

$$ZT = \left( \frac{S^2\rho}{kT} \right)$$

where $S$ is the Seebeck coefficient, $\rho$ is the electrical conductivity, and $k$ is the thermal conductivity, which contains contribution from electrons and phonons. Therefore, a material with a high $ZT$ depends on the combination of a high power factor ($S^2\rho$) and a low thermal conductivity $k$. Many efforts have been made to further increase the $ZT$ of Bi$_2$Te$_3$ or its alloys in nanocomposites, superlattices, and bulk materials. Although high $ZT$ values were achieved in superlattice structures, bulks with improved $ZT$ are ideal for large-scale energy-conversion applications due to their high efficiency of heat transfer and low cost. Enhancing $ZT$ in Bi$_2$Te$_3$ bulk materials by several possible methods has been reported, such as the use of a nanocomposite approach and melt spinning. Addition of nanopowders in bulk materials is also an effective approach for improving the thermoelectric efficiency of bulk materials. It has been reported that nanopowders of insulators, semiconductors, and metals, or nanosized cavities, can introduce crystal defects and increase the density of grain boundaries, causing strong scattering of long wavelength phonons and therefore, reducing the lattice thermal conductivity. Zhao et al. reported that the addition of SiC nanopowders resulted in a remarkable decrease in the thermal conductivity and improvement of mechanical properties of Bi$_2$Te$_3$-based alloys, leading to an increase of the figure of merit. However, SiC is an insulator, which may be detrimental to the electrical conductivity of bulk samples.

As is well known, single-walled carbon nanotubes (SWCNTs) exhibit a very high electrical conductivity at room temperature due to their very large mobility. This material also shows excellent thermoelectric properties because of its nanoscale, low dimensional, and holey structural features. Our motivations for this work on improving the thermal performance of Bi$_2$Te$_3$ by adding SWCNTs are based on the following considerations: (i) an increase in the density of states near the Fermi level is possible; (ii) both inclusions in SWCNTs and the local defects at the interface between SWCNTs and Bi$_2$Te$_3$ can result in additional lattice scattering. This implies that if a small quantity of single walled carbon nanotube can be embedded into the Bi$_2$Te$_3$ grains, the lattice thermal conductivity may decrease, while simultaneously maintaining the high electrical conductivity. In this paper, we investigate the electronic structure and the electrical/thermo transport properties of undoped and doped Bi$_2$Te$_3$ samples.

In materials preparation, $N$-type Bi$_2$Te$_3$ powders were ball-milled without any medium. The ball-milling process was carried out for 4h with a rotation speed of 600 rpm under air. As a carbon source, carbon nanotubes are predicted to be metallic or semiconducting depending on their diameter and the helicity of the arrangement of graphitic rings in their walls. Different structures and diameters will result in different electronic properties. In the present study, SWCNTs (Aldrich, > 90%, 0.7–1.4 nm, 0.5–2 µm) were mixed with Bi$_2$Te$_3$ after ball-milling. The doping concentrations of SWCNTs in the samples in this study were 0.5%, 1%, and 5 wt. %. They are denoted as samples A, B, and C, in the following context, respectively. The powders were carefully mixed by grinding in a mortar and then pressed into rectangular bars 18 × 3 × 1.5 mm$^3$ in size. All samples were sintered at the temperature of 520 °C for 6 h under high-purity argon gas prior to cooling to room temperature. Undoped Bi$_2$Te$_3$ samples made from ball-milled powders were also fabricated for comparison using the same sintering process.

The crystal structures and microstructures were examined by x-ray diffraction (XRD) in a Philips PW1730 Model...
The diffractometer using Cu K$_\alpha$ radiation ($\lambda = 1.541838$ Å) and transmission electron microscopy (TEM; JOEL 3000 F, operation at 300 kV). The XRD patterns were collected over a 20 range from $10^\circ$ to $80^\circ$ with a step size of 0.02$. TEM samples were prepared using an FEI dual beam focused ion beam (FIB) and the ex-situ lift-up technique. Electrical and thermal conductivity, the Seebeck coefficient, and the magnetoresistance were measured over a wide range of temperature from 2 K to 340 K in fields up to 13 T using a quantum design physical properties measurement system (PPMS).

In Figure 1(a), we show the XRD patterns of the single-wall carbon nanotube (SWCNT) doped and undoped Bi$_2$Te$_3$ samples. All samples seem to be well-developed Bi$_2$Te$_3$ single phase. According to our Rietveld refinement, the lattice parameters for samples A, B, C, and the undoped reference sample are 4.357 (Å), 4.357 (Å), 4.266 (Å), and 4.361 (Å), respectively. They are almost the same for the undoped and the SWCNT doped samples. This implies that the SWCNTs did not substitute into the crystal lattice of Bi$_2$Te$_3$, but can be embedded in grains and the matrix formed by Bi$_2$Te$_3$ the grains, as shown in Fig. 1(b). From the single electron diffraction pattern shown in the inset in Fig. 1(b), we can see that the individual grains in the doped sample show good crystallinity, despite the reduction in their sizes, implying that good electric conductivity is retained at least inside individual grains. Therefore, the grain boundaries and inclusions of SWCNTs should be the dominating factor controlling both the overall electric and the thermal conductivity. For SWCNT doped samples A, B, and C, however, the c lattice parameter is 30.45 (Å), 30.46 (Å), and 30.46 (Å), respectively, being slightly greater than the one of the undoped sample with $c = 30.37$ (Å).

The experimental data of the thermal conductivity, electrical resistivity, Seebeck coefficient, and ZT are shown in Figure 2. As expected, the thermal conductivity is reduced greatly by incorporating the nano-sized SWCNT particles into the matrix of Bi$_2$Te$_3$ through enhancement of phonon scattering. The thermal conductivity, K, at room temperature is about 1.38 W K$^{-1}$ m$^{-1}$ for the undoped Bi$_2$Te$_3$, and it is reduced to 1.20 W K$^{-1}$ m$^{-1}$ for 0.5% doping. The K for both the 0.5% and the 1% doped samples remains lower than for the undoped sample between 100 and 300 K, as shown in Figure 2(a). This indicates that the thermal conductivity was efficiently restrained by the inclusions of SWCNTs and nano-defects induced by the SWCNTs. However, a high doping level (5 wt. %) raises the thermal conductivity, owing to the extraordinary thermal conductivity from the SWCNTs.

The resistivity curves shown in Figures 2(b) reveals that SWCNT doping can enhance scattering for both charge carriers and lattice vibrations/phonons. The undoped sample...
shows typical metallic behaviour, with resistivity of 0.025 Ω-mm at room temperature. 0.5% SWCNT doping slightly increases the resistivity to 0.05 Ω-mm at the same temperature. However, the higher doping levels raise the resistivity to 0.375 Ω-mm and 0.4 Ω-mm for both the 1% and the 5% doped samples. It should be noted that the 1% doped sample shows a metal-insulator (MI)-like transition at 200 K, while the 5% doped sample exhibits a sudden increase in resistivity for T < 5 K. This metal-insulator transition has not been observed in any other bulk Bi2Te3 reported so far. Furthermore, both the 1% and the 5% doped samples have similar values of resistivity between 250 and 390 K, as indicated in the inset of Fig. 2(b). The non-monotonic temperature dependence in this doping range is due to the interplay of two different transport mechanisms: (i) The intra-grain transport is mainly diffusive and dominates the low temperature regime. This diffusive transport is weakly metallic. (ii) As the temperature increases, inter-grain transport becomes more dominant. The inter-grain transport is over-the-barrier (grain boundary) activation type transport. When the doping concentration is higher than 5%, the electrical resistivity of the sample increases as the temperature decreases. The sample changes into a semiconductor under heavy doping.

In addition to the reduction of thermal conductivity by SWCNT doping, it is remarkable that the SWCNT doping also shows significant effects on both the type of charge carriers and on the magnitude of the Seebeck coefficient, as shown in Fig. 2(c). The undoped Bi2Te3 is n-type with a Seebeck coefficient, S, of ~140 μV/K, in agreement with that of the n-type Bi2Te3 bulks reported so far.26 0.5% SWCNT doping significantly increases S up to ~231.2 μV/K, being the highest value among all the n-type Bi2Te3 bulk samples reported so far. However, 1% doping reduces S down to ~135.8 μV/K. It is interesting to note that the sign of S changes from negative to positive (~71.8 μV/K at 300 K) for 5% SWCNT doping for T > ~200 K. It should be noted that the positive S of ~71.8 μV/K at 300 K is much greater than that of SWCNT, which has a S of ~30 μV/K at 300 K.26 This means that SWCNT doping can tune Bi2Te3 from n to p type. As we expected, the ZT of Bi2Te3 has been enhanced by the SWCNTs at the optimum doping level of 0.5 wt. %. The ratios of the ZT enhancement, normalized by the value for the undoped sample, are shown in Fig. 2(d). It can be seen that the 0.5% SWCNT doped sample has a larger ZT value than the others, and the ZT is enhanced by 20%–35% over a wide temperature range from low temperature up to room temperature.

Apart from enhancing thermoelectric properties, SWCNT doping gives rise to another remarkable feature in the n-Bi2Te3. Bi2Te3 exhibits a giant and positive magnetoresistance (MR) in the 0.5% SWCNT doped sample at the low temperature of 2 K. The MR measured at 2 K (shown in Fig. 3) for the 0.5% doped sample is greater than for all the other samples, with MR over 110% at 13 T. It should noted that the undoped sample has a MR of 60%, while the MR for the 1% and 5% doped samples is reduced to 20% to 30%. The temperature and field dependence of MR depends on the transport regime. MR is sensitive to disorder and electron interactions and, unlike resistivity, can provide not only the temperature dependence of relevant scattering mechanisms, but also the corresponding length scales. It is believed that the grain boundaries play a key role in electron scattering in our SWCNT doped samples. Furthermore, the trend in MR as a function of the SWCNT content is the same as that of the Seebeck coefficient. Our results on electrical, thermal, and MR properties indicate that there is a qualitative difference between the low and high doping levels. Under low doping concentrations, the electronic structure of the host material is unaffected, as indicated by the high crystallinity seen in TEM. CNTs act as additional scattering centres which only affect the transport properties. This has led to enhancement of both electrical and thermal resistivity, as well as of the thermal power. Heavy doping can lead to band structure variations and distortion of the density of states near the Fermi level, which, in turn, causes an entirely different temperature dependence of both the Seebeck coefficient and the MR, or a possible MI transition.

In summary, SWCNT doping into n-type Bi2Te3 can effectively enhance the thermal power to as high as ~231 μV/K and reduce the thermal conductivity, as well as producing giant magnetoresistance of over 110% at low temperature in high field. The sign of S for n-type Bi2Te3 can be tuned from n to p type, depending on the SWCNT concentration. Low SWCNT(0.05%) doped Bi2Te3 has the potential to enhance the performance of Bi2Te3-based thermoelectric devices; an increase of around 25% in ZT can result in an improvement of the thermodynamic efficiency of the thermoelectric modules by around 10%–15% depending on the operating temperature.
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