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THE NUCLEAR DIMENSION OF GRAPH $C^*$-ALGEBRAS

EFREN RUIZ, AIDAN SIMS, AND MARK TOMFORDE

Abstract. Consider a graph $C^*$-algebra $C^*(E)$ with a purely infinite ideal $I$ (possibly all of $C^*(E)$) such that $I$ has only finitely many ideals and $C^*(E)/I$ is approximately finite dimensional. We prove that the nuclear dimension of $C^*(E)$ is 1. If $I$ has infinitely many ideals, then the nuclear dimension of $C^*(E)$ is either 1 or 2.

1. Introduction

The point of view that regards $C^*$-algebras as noncommutative topological spaces has led to a number of notions of topological dimension for $C^*$-algebras (see, for example, [6, 19, 28, 33]). Each of these captures important $C^*$-algebraic properties, and many of them have played an important role in the program of classification of $C^*$-algebras by $K$-theoretic data pioneered by Elliott (see, for example, [9, 10, 11]). In 2010, Winter and Zacharias introduced the nuclear dimension of a $C^*$-algebra as a noncommutative analogue of topological covering dimension [35]. Finite nuclear dimension is closely related to $Z$-stability [34] where $Z$ is the Jiang-Su algebra (Toms and Winter have conjectured that the two are equivalent for the class of simple, separable, infinite-dimensional, nuclear $C^*$-algebras), and so has important implications for classification theory.

Roughly speaking, the nuclear dimension of a $C^*$-algebra $A$ is the minimum number $d$ for which the identity map on $A$ can be approximately factored, on any finite set of elements, through a finite-dimensional $C^*$-algebra by a composition $\text{id} \sim \varphi \circ \psi$ where $\psi$ is a completely positive contraction and $\varphi$ decomposes as a direct sum of $d+1$ completely positive orthogonality-preserving contractions. As explained in [19, Section 1] (see also [35]), this relates to covering dimension as follows. Consider a compact Hausdorff space $X$ and the commutative $C^*$-algebra $C(X)$. Given positive $f_1, \ldots, f_h \in C(X)$, cover the union of their supports with open sets $U_1, \ldots, U_h$ so that each $f_i$ is approximately constant on each $U_i$. Fix a point $x_i$ in each $U_i$ and define $\psi : C(X) \to C^h$ by $\psi(f_i) = f(x_i)$ for all $1 \leq i \leq h$. Define $\varphi : C^h \to C(X)$ by $\varphi(a_1, \ldots, a_h) = \sum_{i=1}^h a_i u_i$ for some partition of unity $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^h$ subordinate to $U = \{U_1, \ldots, U_h\}$. So each $\varphi \circ \psi(f_i)$ is close to $f_i$ by construction.

Partitioning $U$ into subcollections $U = U^0 \sqcup \ldots \sqcup U^d$ so that distinct elements of any given $U^i$ are disjoint gives a decomposition of $C^h$ into $d+1$ direct summands on which $\varphi$...
preserves orthogonality. The smallest $d$ for which we can always do this (to a refinement of $\mathcal{U}$) is the covering dimension of $X$.

In [35], Winter and Zacharias established a number of fundamental properties of nuclear dimension. They showed that nuclear dimension behaves well with respect to stabilization, direct sums, tensor products, hereditary subalgebras, direct limits, and extensions. They also showed that for $2 \leq n < \infty$ the Cuntz algebras $\mathcal{O}_n$ have nuclear dimension 1. To do this, they employed elements of a construction used in [20] to realise $C^*$-algebras generated by weighted shift operators as direct limits of Toeplitz-Cuntz algebras. They constructed pairs $\varphi_m, \psi_m$ such that each $\psi_m : \mathcal{O}_n \to F$ is a completely positive contraction onto a finite-dimensional $C^*$-algebra, each $\varphi_m : F \to \mathcal{O}_n \otimes M_{d_m}$ is a direct sum of two orthogonality-preserving completely positive contractions, and $\varphi_m \circ \psi_m \rightarrow \kappa_m$ pointwise as $m \to \infty$, where $\kappa_m : \mathcal{O}_n \to \mathcal{O}_n \otimes M_{d_m}$ is a homomorphism that induces multiplication by $m$ in $K$-theory. Since $K_\varepsilon(\mathcal{O}_n) = (\mathbb{Z}_{n-1}, 0)$, choosing the $m$ appropriately ensures that the $\kappa_m$ induce the identity in $K$-theory, and then Kirchberg-Phillips’ classification results show that the $\varphi_m \circ \psi_m$ are asymptotically approximately conjugate to the identity map. Winter and Zacharias’ results about extensions then show that the Toeplitz-Cuntz algebras $\mathcal{T}\mathcal{O}_n$ have nuclear dimension at most 2, and hence $\mathcal{O}_\infty$, being a direct limit of the $\mathcal{T}\mathcal{O}_n$, also has nuclear dimension at most 2. Finally, using direct-limit decompositions of Kirchberg algebras and the behavior of nuclear dimension with respect to tensor products, Winter and Zacharias deduce that every Kirchberg algebra has nuclear dimension at most 5.

More recently, Enders [12] developed a technique for showing that $\mathcal{O}_\infty$ in fact has nuclear dimension 1. The rough idea of his argument is to proceed as in Winter and Zacharias’ proof for $\mathcal{O}_n$ up to the construction of the $\varphi_m \circ \psi_m$ that approximate homomorphisms $\kappa_m$ inducing multiplication by $m$ in $K$-theory. At this point, Enders uses that multiplication by $-1$ in $K_\varepsilon(\mathcal{O}_\infty)$ is an isomorphism, and so, by Kirchberg-Phillips’ results, is induced by an automorphism $\lambda$ of $\mathcal{O}_\infty$. He then constructs approximating pairs $\Phi_m, \Psi_m$ from the $\varphi_{m+1} \circ \psi_{m+1}$ and $\lambda \circ \varphi_m \circ \psi_m$ such that the $\Phi_m \circ \Psi_m$ approximate homomorphisms that induce the identity map in $K$-theory. He then argues using Kirchberg-Phillips’ results again that the $\Phi_m \circ \Psi_m$ are approximately conjugate to the identity. Enders actually shows that all Kirchberg algebras in the Rosenberg-Schochet bootstrap category $\mathcal{N}$ with torsion-free $K_1$ have nuclear dimension 1. Building upon these results, and the techniques developed in this paper, the first two authors and Sørensen [29] have shown that all UCT Kirchberg algebras have nuclear dimension 1. Using more direct techniques, Matui and Sato [23] have shown that all Kirchberg algebras (regardless of UCT) have nuclear dimension at most 3, and Bosa, Brown, Sato, Tikuisis, White and Winter have recently announced that in fact the exact value is always 1.

Here we address the nuclear dimension of nonsimple graph $C^*$-algebras; our cleanest results are for the purely infinite situation. Winter and Zacharias’ results imply that if $C^*(E)$ has at most $m$ primitive ideals, then the nuclear dimension of $C^*(E)$ is at most $6m - 1$, and Enders’ result improves this bound to $3m - 1$. We prove that in fact if $E$ is a directed graph and $C^*(E)$ is purely infinite and has finitely many ideals, then $\dim_{\text{nuc}}(C^*(E)) = 1$. In particular, every Cuntz-Krieger algebra with finitely many ideals has nuclear dimension 1. A key tool for us is a construction due to Kribs and Solel [21] that generalises Kribs’ construction of direct limits of Toeplitz Cuntz algebras [20] to a construction of direct limits of Toeplitz-Cuntz-Krieger algebras associated to directed graphs. Using an adaptation of a direct-limit decomposition of graph $C^*$-algebras due to Jeong and Park [16], we deduce that every purely infinite graph $C^*$-algebra has nuclear
dimension at most 2. We then consider graph $C^*$-algebras of “mixed” type. We show that if $I$ is an ideal of $C^*(E)$ for which the quotient is AF, then the extension is quasidiagonal in the sense that $I$ contains an approximate identity of projections that is asymptotically central in $C^*(E)$. Using this we deduce that the nuclear dimension of $C^*(E)$ is at most that of $I$. So if $I$ is purely infinite, then the nuclear dimension of $C^*(E)$ is at most 2, and if $I$ is purely infinite and has finitely many ideals, then the nuclear dimension of $C^*(E)$ is 1 (see [3] for an alternative, and more direct, approach to finding upper bounds for the nuclear dimension of a non-simple $O_{2n}$-absorbing $C^*$-algebras).

Let $A$ be a separable, nuclear, purely infinite, tight $C^*$-algebra over an accordion space $X$ (meaning that there is a homeomorphism $\psi: \text{Prim}(A) \to X$). Suppose that $K_1(A(x))$ is free and that $A(x)$ belongs to the Rosenberg-Schochet bootstrap category $\mathcal{N}$ for each $x \in X$. Recent results of Arkling, Bentmann, and Katsura ([1] and [2]), show that $A$ is stably isomorphic to $C^*(E)$ for some row-finite graph $E$. Since nuclear dimension is preserved by stabilization, these results imply that $A$ has nuclear dimension 1. Evidence suggests that, more generally, if $A$ is a separable, nuclear, purely infinite, tight $C^*$-algebra over any finite topological space $X$ and if $K_1(A(x))$ is free and $A(x)$ is in $\mathcal{N}$ for all $x \in X$, then $A$ is a purely infinite graph $C^*$-algebra. If so, then our results would imply that if $A$ is a separable, nuclear, purely infinite $C^*$-algebra with finitely many ideals such that every simple subquotient of $A$ belongs to $\mathcal{N}$ and has a free abelian $K_1$-group, then $A$ has nuclear dimension 1.

The paper is structured as follows. In Section 2, given a row-finite directed graph $E$ with no sinks, and the corresponding sequence of graphs $E(m)$ of [21], we describe homomorphisms $\iota_m : C^*(E) \to C^*(E(m))$ constructed by Rout, and adapt the approach of [35] Section 7] to show that the homomorphisms $\iota_m : C^*(E) \to C^*(E(m))$ approximatively factor through direct sums of two order-zero maps through finite-dimensional $C^*$-algebras. In Section 3, again following [35] Section 7], we construct homomorphisms $j_m : C^*(E(m)) \to C^*(E) \otimes \mathcal{K}$ and prove that if $C^*(E)$ has finitely many ideals, then the homomorphisms $j_m \circ \iota_m : C^*(E) \to C^*(E) \otimes \mathcal{K}$ induce multiplication by $m$ in the $K$-groups of every ideal and quotient of $C^*(E)$. In Section 4 we combine this with heavy machinery of [17, 24, 25] and a technique developed by Enders [12] to prove that $C^*(E)$ has nuclear dimension 1 when it is purely infinite and has finitely many ideals; we deduce that strongly purely infinite nuclear UCT $C^*$-algebras whose primitive ideal spaces are finite accordion spaces have nuclear dimension 1 using the classification results of [21, 1].

In a short section 5, we use our main result and a technique of Jeong-Park [16] to see that purely infinite graph $C^*$-algebras with infinitely many ideals have nuclear dimension at most 2. In Section 6 we show that the nuclear dimension of a quasidiagonal extension $0 \to I \to A \to A/I \to 0$ (see Definition 6.2) is the maximum of those of $I$ and $A/I$, and use this and a result of Gabe to investigate the nuclear dimension of $C^*(E)$ when it admits a purely infinite ideal $I$ such that $C^*(E)/I$ is AF.

2. APPROXIMATION BY ORDER-ZERO MAPS

In this section we construct homomorphisms from a graph algebra $C^*(E)$ into related graph algebras $C^*(E(m))$ that can be approximately factored through finite-dimensional $C^*$-algebras as sums of two order-zero maps. Our approach closely follows the technique developed by Winter and Zacharias in [35] Section 7] to compute the nuclear dimension of Cuntz algebras.
For a directed graph $E$, let $E^*$ denote the set of all finite paths in $E$, let $E^n$ denote the set of all paths in $E$ of length $n$, and let $E^{<n}$ denote the set of all paths in $E$ of length strictly less than $n$. We regard vertices as paths of length zero. For $\mu \in E^*$, we define

$$E^n \mu := \{ \alpha \mu : \alpha \in E^n \text{ and } r(\alpha) = s(\mu) \}$$

and we define $\mu E^n := \{ \mu \alpha : \alpha \in E^n \text{ and } s(\alpha) = r(\mu) \}$,

and we define $\mu E^*, \mu^* E^*, \mu^* \mu^* \mu$ similarly.

Recall that if $E$ is a row-finite directed graph, then its Toeplitz algebra $TC^*(E)$ is the universal $C^*$-algebra generated by mutually orthogonal projections $\{q_v : v \in \nu(E)^0\}$ and elements $\{ t_e : e \in \nu(E)^1 \}$ such that

$$(\text{TCK1}) \quad t_e^* t_e = q_{r(e)} \text{ for all } e \in \nu(E)^1,$$

and

$$(\text{TCK2}) \quad q_v \geq \sum_{e \in \nu(E)^1} t_e t_e^* \text{ for each } v \in \nu(E)^0.$$

There is a Toeplitz-Cuntz-Krieger $E$-family in $\ell^2(E^*)$ given by $T_e \xi_v = \delta_{r(e), s(v)} \xi_{e\mu}$ and $Q_v \xi_v = \delta_{v, s(v)} \xi_v$. Since $(Q_v - \sum_{e \in \nu(E)^1} T_e T_e^*) \xi_v = \xi_v$, we see that the inequality in (TCK2) is strict in $TC^*(E)$.

We recall some background from [35]. A completely positive map $\varphi : A \to B$ between $C^*$-algebras has order zero if, whenever $a, b$ are positive elements of $A$ with $ab = ba = 0$, we also have $\varphi(a)\varphi(b) = \varphi(b)\varphi(a) = 0$. A $C^*$-algebra $A$ has nuclear dimension at most $n \geq 0$ if there is a net $(F_\lambda, \psi_\lambda, \varphi_\lambda)$ such that the $F_\lambda$ are finite-dimensional $C^*$-algebras, and $\psi_\lambda : A \to F_\lambda$ and $\varphi_\lambda : F_\lambda \to A$ are completely positive maps satisfying

1. $\varphi_\lambda \circ \psi_\lambda(a) \to a$ for each $a \in A$;
2. $\|\psi_\lambda\| \leq 1$ for all $\lambda$; and
3. each $F_\lambda$ decomposes as $F_\lambda = \bigoplus_{i=0}^\mu F_\lambda^{(i)}$ with each $\varphi_\lambda|_{F_\lambda^{(i)}}$ a completely positive contraction of order zero.

Given a countable set $S$, the compact operators on $\ell^2(S)$ will be denoted by $K_S$. Equivalently, $K_S$ is the unique nonzero $C^*$-algebra generated by matrix units indexed by $S$; that is, elements $\{ \theta_{s,t} : s, t \in S \}$ such that $\theta_{s,t}^{s,t} = \theta_{t,s}$ and $\theta_{s,t} \theta_{u,v} = \delta_{t,u} \theta_{s,v}$.

**Lemma 2.1.** Let $E$ be a row-finite directed graph. For $\mu \in E^*$, let $\Delta_\mu := t_\mu t_\mu^* - \sum_{e \in \nu(E)^1} t_e t_e^* \in TC^*(E)$. Then $\Delta_\mu = t_\mu (\Delta_{r(\mu)} t_\mu^*)$ for each $\mu \in E^*$, and there is an isomorphism from $\text{span}\{ t_\mu \Delta_v t_\mu^* : v \in \nu(E)^0 \text{ and } \mu, \nu \in E^* v \}$ onto $\bigoplus_{\nu \in \nu(E)^0} K_{\nu(E)^*}$ that carries each $t_\mu \Delta_v t_\mu^*$ to $\theta_{\mu, \nu}$. For each $m \in \mathbb{N}$, the series $\sum_{\mu \in \nu(E)^<m} \Delta_\mu$ converges strictly to a projection $\Phi_m \in \mathcal{M}(TC^*(E))$. Moreover,

$$\Phi_m t_\alpha t^*_\beta \Phi_m = \sum_{\tau \in \nu(\alpha)^*} t_{\alpha \tau} \Delta_{r(\tau)} t^*_{\beta \tau},$$

and

$$\Phi_m TC^*(E) \Phi_m = \text{span}\{ t_\mu \Delta_{r(\mu)} t_\mu^* : |\mu|, |\nu| < m \}.$$

**Proof.** We have $t_\mu \Delta_{r(\mu)} t_\mu^* = t_\mu q_{r(\mu)} t_\mu^* - t_\mu \sum_{e \in \nu(E)^1} t_e t_e^* \Delta_\mu = \Delta_\mu$, proving the first assertion. We also have

$$\| t_\mu \Delta_v t_\mu^* \| \geq \| t_\mu t_\mu^* \Delta_v t_\mu^* \| = \| \Delta_v \| = 1$$

as discussed immediately after the definition of a Toeplitz-Cuntz-Krieger family above. Hence to establish the desired isomorphism from $\text{span}\{ t_\mu \Delta_v t_\mu^* : v \in \nu(E)^0 \text{ and } \mu, \nu \in E^* v \}$
$E^*v$ onto $\bigoplus_{v \in E^0} K_{E^*v}$, it suffices to show that the $t_\mu \Delta_v t^*_\nu$ are matrix units. Certainly $(t_\mu \Delta_v t^*_\nu)^* = t_\nu \Delta_v t^*_\mu$ because $\Delta_v$ is a projection. If $\mu, \nu \in E^*v$ and $\alpha, \beta \in E^*w$, then

\[
t_\mu \Delta_v t^*_\nu \alpha \Delta_w t^*_\beta = \begin{cases} t_\mu \Delta_v t^*_\alpha \Delta_w t^*_\beta & \text{if } \alpha = \nu \alpha' \\ t_\mu \Delta_v t^*_\nu \alpha \Delta_w t^*_\beta & \text{if } \nu = \nu \nu' \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
\]

If $\alpha = \nu \alpha'$ and $|\alpha'| > 0$, then $\alpha' = e \alpha''$ for some $e \in vE^1$, and since $\Delta_v \leq q_v - t_et^*_e$, we have $\Delta_v t^*_\alpha = 0$. Symmetrically, $t^*_\nu \Delta_w = 0$ if $\nu = \alpha \nu'$ and $|\nu'| > 0$. So if $t_\mu \Delta_v t^*_\nu \alpha \Delta_w t^*_\beta = 0$, then $\nu = \alpha$, and then $v = w$, and we obtain $t_\mu \Delta_v t^*_\nu \alpha \Delta_w t^*_\beta = t_\mu \Delta_v q_v \Delta_w t^*_\beta$. Hence $t_\mu \Delta_v t^*_\nu \alpha \Delta_w t^*_\beta = \delta_{\nu,\alpha} t_\mu \Delta_v t^*_\beta$ as required.

Since $E$ is row-finite, for any $v \in E^0$ we have $q_v \Delta_m = 0$ for all but finitely many $\mu \in E^{<m}$. Since $\sum_v q_v$ converges strictly to the identity in $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{T}C^*(E))$ and since $\{\sum_{\mu \in F} \Delta_\mu : F$ is a finite subset of $E^{<m}\}$ is bounded, the series $\sum_{\mu \in E^{<m}} \Delta_\mu$ converges strictly to a multiplier $\Phi_m$. This $\Phi_m$ is a projection because the preceding paragraph shows that the $\Delta_\mu$ are mutually orthogonal projections. For $\mu \in E^{<m}$ and $\alpha \in E^*$, we have

\[
\Delta_\mu t_\alpha = t_\mu [\Delta_\mu t_\alpha] = \begin{cases} t_\mu \Delta_\mu t_\alpha & \text{if } \alpha = \mu \alpha' \\ t_\mu \Delta_\mu t_\nu & \text{if } \mu = \mu \mu' \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
\]

Arguing as in the preceding paragraph, we see that the right-hand side is zero if $\alpha = \mu \alpha'$ and $|\alpha'| > 0$. So

\[
\Delta_\mu t_\alpha = \begin{cases} t_\mu \Delta_\mu t^*_\alpha & \text{if } \mu = \mu \mu' \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
\]

The formula given for $\Phi_m t_\alpha t^*_\beta \Phi_m$ now follows from the preceding paragraph. Since $\mathcal{T}C^*(E) = \bigoplus_{\alpha, \beta \in E^*} \{t_\alpha t^*_\beta : \alpha, \beta \in E^*\}$, this establishes the final assertion of the lemma as well.

\[\square\]

**Notation 2.2.** Following [35], for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we define $\kappa_m \in M_m([0, \infty))$ to be the matrix such that for $i, j \leq \left\lceil \frac{m}{2} \right\rceil$,

\[
\kappa_m(i, j) = \kappa_m(m + 1 - i, j) = \kappa_m(i, m + 1 - j) = \kappa_m(m + 1 - i, m + 1 - j) = \frac{1}{\left\lceil \frac{m}{2} \right\rceil + 1} \min\{i, j\};
\]

so for $l \in \mathbb{N},$

\[
\kappa_{2l} = \frac{1}{l + 1}
\]

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & 1 & \ldots & 1 & 1 & 1 & \ldots & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 2 & \ldots & 2 & 2 & 2 & \ldots & 2 & 1 \\
\vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
1 & 2 & \ldots & l - 1 & i - 1 & i - 1 & \ldots & 2 & 1 \\
1 & 2 & \ldots & l - 1 & l & l & \ldots & 2 & 1 \\
1 & 2 & \ldots & l - 1 & l & l & \ldots & 2 & 1 \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
1 & 2 & \ldots & 2 & 2 & 2 & \ldots & 2 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & \ldots & 1 & 1 & 1 & \ldots & 1 & 1
\end{pmatrix}
\]
As a notational convenience, we write \( \kappa_{m} = 0 \) whenever \((i, j) \notin \{1, \ldots, m\} \times \{1, \ldots, m\} \).

Fix \( m \in \mathbb{N} \). Recall that Schur multiplication in \( M_n(\mathbb{C}) \) is entrywise multiplication of matrices. For \( l \leq \lceil \frac{m}{2} \rceil \), let \( P_{m,l} = \sum_{i=1}^{m-l+1} \theta_{i,l} \) denote the projection onto \( \text{span}\{e_1, \ldots, e_{m-l+1}\} \).

Then Schur multiplication by \( \kappa_m \) is given by \( \kappa_m \ast A = \sum_{l=1}^{\lceil \frac{m}{2} \rceil} \frac{1}{l+2} P_{m,l} A P_{m,l} \). Hence Schur multiplication by \( \kappa_m \) is completely positive with completely bounded norm at most \( \lceil \frac{m}{2} \rceil / (\lceil \frac{m}{2} \rceil + 1) < 1 \).

**Lemma 2.3.** For each \( m \in \mathbb{N} \), there are completely positive contractions \( P_m, Q_m : TC^*(E) \to \mathbb{P}(E) \{ t_{\mu} \Delta(r(\mu)) t_{\nu} : \mu, \nu \in E^* \} \) such that, putting \( l = \lceil \frac{m}{2} \rceil \), we have

\[
P_m(t_{\mu} t_{\nu}^*) = \sum_{\substack{\tau \in r(\mu)E^*\, m \leq |\mu\tau|, |\nu\tau| < 2m}} \kappa_m(|\mu\tau| - m, |\nu\tau| - m)t_{\mu\tau} \Delta r(\tau) t_{\nu\tau}^* \quad \text{and} \quad Q_m(t_{\mu} t_{\nu}^*) = \sum_{\substack{\tau \in r(\mu)E^*\, m+l \leq |\mu\tau|, |\nu\tau| < 2m+l}} \kappa_m(|\mu\tau| - (m+l), |\nu\tau| - (m+l))t_{\mu\tau} \Delta r(\tau) t_{\nu\tau}^*.
\]

**Proof.** We argue the case for \( P_m \); the situation for \( Q_m \) is similar. The multiplier \( \Phi_{2m} - \Phi_m \) obtained from Lemma 2.1 is a projection, and so compression by this element determines a completely positive contraction. Let \( M \) be the block operator matrix \( M = \sum_{p,q} \kappa_m(p-m,q-m)1_{E_p \times E_q} \) where \( 1_{XY} \) denotes the matrix in \( M_{XY}(\mathbb{C}) \) whose entries are all 1.

Since Schur multiplication by \( \kappa_m \) is a completely positive complete contraction, Schur multiplication \( a \mapsto M \ast a \) by \( M \) is a completely positive contraction. So \( P_m : a \mapsto M \ast (\Phi_{2m} - \Phi_m) a (\Phi_{2m} - \Phi_m) \) is a completely positive contraction satisfying the desired formula. \( \square \)

For what follows, we need to recall a construction from Section 4 of [21]. If \( E \) is a directed graph and \( m \) is a positive integer, we define \( E(m) \) to be the directed graph with

\[
E(m)^0 = E^{<m}, \quad E(m)^1 = \{(e, \mu) : e \in E^1, \mu \in E^{<m}, r(e) = s(\mu)\},
\]

\[
r(e, \mu) = \mu, \quad s(e, \mu) = \begin{cases} e\mu & \text{if } |\mu| < m-1 \\ s(\mu) & \text{if } |\mu| = m-1. \end{cases}
\]

For \( \mu \in E^* \), we write \( [\mu]_m \) for the unique element of \( E^{<m} \) such that \( \mu = [\mu]_m \mu' \) with \( |\mu'| \in m\mathbb{N} \). There is an injection \( i_m : E^* \to E(m)^* \) given by \( i_m(v) = v \) for \( v \in E^0 \).
and
\[ i_m(\mu) = (\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3, \ldots | \mu_{|\mu|}) = \mu_1 \mu_2 \mu_3 \ldots \mu_{|\mu|} \] if 1 \leq |\mu| \leq m,
and recursively by
\[ i_m(\mu) = i_m([\mu_{|\mu|}] m(\mu') i_m(\mu'')) \]
when \( \mu \) is factorized as \( \mu = [\mu_1] m(\mu') m'' \)
with \( |\mu'| = m \). We have \( s_{E(m)}(i_m(\mu)) = [\mu]_m \)
and \( r_{E(m)}(i_m(\mu)) = r_E(\mu) \).

In the following, given \( p < q \in \mathbb{N} \), we shall write \( E^{[p,q]} \) for the set \( \{ \mu \in E^*: p \leq |\mu| < q \} \).

**Lemma 2.4.** Let \( E \) be a row-finite directed graph. For \( p, m \in \mathbb{N} \) there is a homomorphism
\[ \Lambda^{p+m} : \bigoplus_{v \in E^0} K_{E^{[p+p+m]}_v} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}C^*(E(m)) \]
such that
\[ \Lambda^{p+m}(\theta_{\mu,\nu}) = t_{i_m(\mu)} t_{i_m(\nu)}^* \]
for all \( \mu, \nu \in E^{[p+p+m]} \) with \( r(\mu) = r(\nu) \).

**Proof.** We clearly have \( (\Lambda_p \circ \mu) \Lambda^{p+m} = \mu_{p+m} \).
We show that
\[ t_{i_m(\mu)} t_{i_m(\nu)}^* t_{i_m(\alpha)} t_{i_m(\beta)} = \delta_{\nu,\alpha} t_{i_m(\mu)} t_{i_m(\beta)}^* \]
First suppose \( \nu = \alpha \). Then \( i_m(\nu) = i_m(\alpha) \). Hence \( (TCK1) \) gives
\[ t_{i_m(\mu)} t_{i_m(\nu)}^* t_{i_m(\alpha)} t_{i_m(\beta)} = t_{i_m(\mu)} t_{i_m(\nu)}^* t_{i_m(\beta)}^* \].
Since \( r(\mu) = r(\nu) \), we have \( r_{E(m)}(i_m(\mu)) = r_{E(m)}(i_m(\nu)) \), and so applying \( (TCK1) \)
again gives \( t_{i_m(\mu)} t_{i_m(\nu)}^* t_{i_m(\beta)}^* = t_{i_m(\mu)} t_{i_m(\nu)}^* t_{i_m(\beta)}^* \) as required. Now suppose that \( \nu \neq \alpha \). Assume
without loss of generality that \( |\nu| \geq |\alpha| \). We consider two cases. First suppose that \( \nu \neq \alpha' \) for any \( \alpha' \).
Then there exists \( i < |\alpha| \) such that \( \nu_i \neq \alpha_i \). Since \( i_m(\nu_i) = (\nu_i, \tau) \)
and \( i_m(\alpha_i) = (\alpha_i, \rho) \) for some \( \tau, \rho \in E^{<m} \), we have \( i_m(\nu_i) \neq i_m(\alpha_i) \). In particular \( i_m(\nu) \)
does not have the form \( i_m(\alpha) \beta \) for \( \beta \in (E(m))^* \), and so \( (TCK1) \) in \( \mathcal{T}C^*(E(m)) \) gives
\( t_{i_m(\nu)}^* t_{i_m(\alpha)} = 0 \). Now suppose that \( \nu = \alpha' \). Then \( |\nu'| > 0 \), and since \( \alpha, \nu \in E^{[p+p+m]} \),
we have \( |\nu'| < m \). Thus \( |\nu| \neq |\alpha| \mod m \), and in particular \( s(i_m(\nu)) = |\nu|_m \neq |\alpha|_m = s(i_m(\alpha)) \). So \( (TCK1) \) implies that \( t_{i_m(\nu)}^* t_{i_m(\alpha)} = 0 \).

Hence \( \{ t_{i_m(\mu)} t_{i_m(\nu)}^* : \mu, \nu \in E^{[p+p+m]} \} \) is a family of matrix units for each \( v \), and it follows from the universal properties of the \( K_{E^{m^*}} \) that there is a homomorphism \( \Lambda^{p+m} \)
as claimed. \( \square \)

The next lemma and its proof are due to James Rout, and will appear in his PhD thesis. We thank James for providing us with the details.

**Lemma 2.5** (Rout). If \( E \) is a row-finite directed graph and \( m \geq 0 \), then there is an injective homomorphism \( i_m : \mathcal{T}C^*(E) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}C^*(E(m)) \)
such that
\[ i_m(g_v) = \sum_{\mu \in E^{<m}} q^m_{\mu} \] and \[ i_m(t_e) = \sum_{(e,\mu) \in E(m)} t^m_{(e,\mu)}. \]

The map \( i_m \) descends to an injective homomorphism \( \tilde{i}_m : C^*(E) \rightarrow C^*(E(m)) \).

**Proof.** Routine calculations show that the \( i_m(g_v) \) and \( i_m(t_e) \) form a Toepplitz-Cuntz-Krieger \( E \)-family, and so induce a homomorphism \( i_m : \mathcal{T}C^*(E) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}C^*(E(m)) \) satisfying the desired formula. For \( v \in E^0 \) we have
\[ i_m(g_v - \sum_{e \in E^1} t_e t^*_e) = \sum_{\mu \in E^{<m}} \left( q^m_{\mu} - \sum_{s_{E(m)}(e,\nu) = \mu} t^m_{(e,\nu)} t^m_{(e,\nu)}^* \right). \]
This is nonzero because each \( q^m_{\mu} - \sum_{\lambda : \mu \in E(m)} t^m_{\lambda} t^m_{\lambda}^* \) is nonzero in \( \mathcal{T}C^*(E(m)) \). Hence \[ 13 \] Theorem 2.1] implies that \( i_m \) is injective.

The quotient map \( \pi_m : \mathcal{T}C^*(E(m)) \rightarrow C^*(E(m)) \) carries each term in the right-hand side of \( 2.2 \) to zero, and so \( \pi_m \circ i_m \) descends to a homomorphism \( \bar{i}_m : C^*(E) \rightarrow C^*(E(m)) \).
Now suppose that \( \iota_m(p_v) \) are all nonzero because the vertex projections \( \pi_m(q^n_\mu) \) in \( C^*(E(m)) \) are nonzero. The homomorphism \( \iota_m \) is clearly equivariant for the gauge actions on \( C^*(E) \) and \( C^*(E(m)) \), and so the gauge-invariant uniqueness theorem \([4, \text{Theorem 3.1}]\) implies that \( \iota_m \) is injective. \( \square \)

**Lemma 2.6.** Let \( E \) be a row-finite directed graph and let \( m \geq 1 \). Let \( q, t \) be the universal Toeplitz-Cuntz-Krieger family in \( \mathcal{T}C^*(E) \), and let \( Q, T \) be the universal Toeplitz-Cuntz-Krieger family in \( \mathcal{T}C^*(E(m)) \). For \( \mu \in E^* \), we have

\[
T_{\iota_m(\mu)} = \iota_m(t_\mu)Q_{r(\mu)} = Q_{|\mu|}{\iota_m(t_\mu)}.
\]

**Proof.** First suppose that \( l := |\mu| < m \). Then

\[
\iota_m(t_\mu)Q_{r(\mu)} = \iota_m(t_{\mu_1}) \cdots \iota_m(t_{\mu_{l-1}})\iota_m(t_\mu)Q_{r(\mu)} = \left( \sum_{(\mu_1, \nu) \in E(m)^1} T_{(\mu_1, \nu)} \right) \cdots \left( \sum_{(\mu_{l-1}, \nu) \in E(m)^1} T_{(\mu_{l-1}, \nu)} \right)Q_{r(\mu)} = T_{(\mu_1, \mu_2, \ldots, \mu_l)} \cdots T_{(\mu_{l-1}, \mu_l)} = T_{\iota_m(\mu)},
\]

and

\[
Q_{|\mu|}{\iota_m(t_\mu)} = Q_{t_\mu} \iota_m(t_\mu) = Q_{\mu} \iota_m(t_\mu) = \left( \sum_{(\mu_1, \nu) \in E(m)^1} T_{(\mu_1, \nu)} \right) \cdots \left( \sum_{(\mu_{l}, \nu) \in E(m)^1} T_{(\mu_{l}, \nu)} \right) = T_{(\mu_1, \mu_2, \ldots, \mu_l)} \cdots T_{(\mu_{l}, \nu)} = T_{\iota_m(\mu)}.
\]

Now suppose that \( |\mu| = m \). Then, using the above calculations, we have

\[
\iota_m(t_\mu)Q_{r(\mu)} = \left( \sum_{(\mu_1, \nu) \in E(m)^1} T_{(\mu_1, \nu)} \right)Q_{\mu_2, \ldots, \mu_m}T_{(\mu_2, \mu_3, \ldots, \mu_l)} \cdots T_{(\mu_{m}, r(\mu))} = Q_{s(\mu_1, \mu_2, \ldots, \mu_m)}T_{(\mu_1, \mu_2, \ldots, \mu_m)}T_{(\mu_2, \mu_3, \ldots, \mu_l)} \cdots T_{(\mu_{m}, r(\mu))} = T_{\iota_m(\mu)},
\]
Proposition 2.7. Let\[\Lambda_{m} = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \frac{|\mu| + i + m(j - 1)}{m} t_{\mu t_{\nu}}^{i} \delta_{\mu t_{\nu}} \sum_{\rho \in \mathcal{E}(E^{m})} t_{\rho t_{\nu}}^{i} \]
and
\[Q_{m}(t_{\mu} t_{\nu}) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \frac{|\mu| + i + m(j - 1)}{m} t_{\mu t_{\nu}}^{i} \delta_{\mu t_{\nu}} \sum_{\rho \in \mathcal{E}(E^{m})} t_{\rho t_{\nu}}^{i} \]
\[\text{Proof.} \] Identify each \(r \) and \(r = m > \nu\). Suppose that 2
Applying the definition of \(\Lambda_{m}\)
the convention that \(\kappa_{m}(i, j) = 0\) if \((i, j) \notin \{1, \ldots, m\} \times \{1, \ldots, m\}\), we have
\[\|q_{m}(\Lambda_{m}^{2m}(P_{m}(t_{\mu} t_{\nu}^*) + \Lambda_{m}^{2m}(Q_{m}(t_{\mu} t_{\nu}^*)) - q_{m}(t_{m}(t_{\mu} t_{\nu}^*)))\| \to 0\]
as \(m \to \infty\).

Proposition 2.7. Let \(E\) be a row-finite directed graph with no sinks. For each integer \(m \geq 1\), let \(q_{m} : \mathcal{T}C^{*}(E(m)) \to C^{*}(E(m))\) be the quotient map. Then for \(\mu, \nu \in E^{*}\) with \(r(\mu) = r(\nu) = 1\), we have
\[\|q_{m}(\Lambda_{m}^{2m}(P_{m}(t_{\mu} t_{\nu}^*) + \Lambda_{m}^{2m}(Q_{m}(t_{\mu} t_{\nu}^*)) - q_{m}(t_{m}(t_{\mu} t_{\nu}^*)))\| \to 0\]
as \(m \to \infty\).

Proof. Identify each \(K_{E^{<p}}\) with \(\mathcal{K}_{E^{<p}} = \sum_{\mu, \nu \in E^{<p}} s_{\mu} \Delta_{r(\mu)} s_{\nu}^{*}\) as in Lemma 2.1. Fix \(\mu, \nu \in E^{<p}\) with \(r(\mu) = r(\nu) = 1\). Combining the formula for \(P_{m}(t_{\mu} t_{\nu}^*)\) from Lemma 2.3 with our convention that \(\kappa_{m}(i, j) = 0\) if \((i, j) \notin \{1, \ldots, m\} \times \{1, \ldots, m\}\), we have
\[P_{m}(t_{\mu} t_{\nu}^*) = \sum_{\tau \in r(\mu) E^{*}} \kappa_{m}(\mu \tau) m(\nu t_{\nu}^{*} - m) t_{\mu \tau} \Delta_{r(\tau)} t_{\nu}^{*}\]

Applying the definition of \(\Lambda_{m}^{2m}\) and then Lemma 2.6, we obtain
\[\Lambda_{m}^{2m}(P_{m}(t_{\mu} t_{\nu}^*)) = \sum_{\tau \in r(\mu) E^{*}} \kappa_{m}(\mu \tau) m(\nu t_{\nu}^{*} - m) t_{\mu \tau} \Delta_{r(\tau)} t_{\nu}^{*}\]
\[= \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \kappa_{m}(\mu + i + m(j - 1), \nu + i + m(j - 1)) \sum_{\alpha \in r(\mu) E^{*}} t_{\mu \alpha}(\sum_{\rho \in r(\alpha) E^{m}} t_{\rho t_{\nu}^{*}} Q_{m}(t_{\nu}^{*})).\]

Suppose that \(m > |\mu|, |\nu|\). Then for each \(i < m\) there exists a unique \(j(i) \in Z\) such that \(0 \leq |\mu| + i + m(j(i) - 1) < m\). So applying \(q_{m}\) to both sides of the preceding calculation
yields
\[
q_m(\Lambda_m^{2m}(P_m(t_\mu t_\nu^*)) \mid \rho)
\]
\[
= \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \kappa_m(|\mu| + i + m(j(i) - 1), |\nu| + i + m(j(i) - 1))
\]
\[
\times \left( \sum_{\alpha \in r(\mu)E^i} \tilde{t}_m(s_{\mu\alpha}) \tilde{t}_m \left( \sum_{\tau \in r(\alpha)E^{m(j(i))}} s_\tau s_\tau^* \right) \tilde{t}_m(s_\alpha^*) P_\alpha \tilde{t}_m(s_\nu^*) \right)
\]
\[
= \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \kappa_m(|\mu| + i + m(j(i) - 1), |\nu| + i + m(j(i) - 1))
\]
\[
\times \left( \sum_{\alpha \in r(\mu)E^i} \tilde{t}_m(s_{\mu\alpha}) P_\alpha \tilde{t}_m(s_\nu^*) \right),
\]
where the last equality comes from the Cuntz-Krieger relation and Lemma 2.6.

Similar reasoning gives
\[
q_m(\Lambda_m^{\frac{5m}{2}}(Q_m(t_\mu t_\nu^*)) \mid \rho)
\]
\[
= \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \kappa_m(|\mu| + i + \lceil m(k(i) - 3/2) \rceil, |\nu| + i + \lceil m(k(i) - 3/2) \rceil)
\]
\[
\times \left( \sum_{\alpha \in r(\mu)E^i} \tilde{t}_m(s_{\mu\alpha}) P_\alpha \tilde{t}_m(s_\nu^*) \right),
\]
where each \(k(i)\) is the unique integer such that \(0 \leq |\mu| + i + \lceil m(k(i) - 3/2) \rceil < m\). Since \(|\mu| + i + m(j(i) - 1)\) and \(|\mu| + i + \lceil m(k(i) - 3/2) \rceil\) differ by an odd multiple of \(\lceil \frac{m}{2} \rceil\), the sum
\[
K_{m,i} := \kappa_m \left( |\mu| + i + m(j(i) - 1), |\nu| + i + m(j(i) - 1) \right)
\]
\[
+ \kappa_m \left( |\mu| + i + \lceil m(k(i) - 3/2) \rceil, |\nu| + i + \lceil m(k(i) - 3/2) \rceil \right)
\]
is either \(\frac{m-|\mu|-|\nu|}{m+1}\) or \(\frac{m+1-|\mu|-|\nu|}{m+1}\) for each \(i \leq m\). In particular
\[
0 < 1 - K_{m,i} < \frac{|\mu| - |\nu|}{m+1}
\]
for all \(m\) and all \(i \leq m\).

So for large \(m\), we have
\[
q_m(\Lambda_m^{2m}(P_m(t_\mu t_\nu^*)) \mid \rho) + \Lambda_m^{\frac{5m}{2}}(Q_m(t_\mu t_\nu^*) \mid \rho)
\]
\[
= \tilde{t}_m(s_\mu) \left( \sum_{\alpha \in r(\mu)E^m} K_{m,|\alpha|} \tilde{t}_m(s_\alpha) P_\alpha \tilde{t}_m(s_\alpha^*) \right) \tilde{t}_m(s_\nu^*)
\]
\[
= \tilde{t}_m(s_\mu) \left( \sum_{\alpha \in r(\mu)E^m} K_{m,|\alpha|} S_{m,\alpha} S_{m,\alpha}^* \right) \tilde{t}_m(s_\nu^*),
\]
where we have once again used Lemma 2.6 in the last line. For \(0 < |\alpha| < m\), we have \(s_{E(m)}^{-1}(\alpha) = \{i_m(\alpha)\}\), and so \(S_{m,\alpha} S_{m,\alpha}^* = P_\alpha\). So the final line of the preceding display...
becomes
\[ \tilde{i}_m(s_\mu) \left( K_{m,0}S_{r(\mu)}S_{r(\mu)}^* + \sum_{\alpha \in r(\mu)E^{<m}\setminus \{r(\mu)\}} K_{m,[\alpha]}P_\alpha \right) \tilde{i}_m(s_{\nu}^*). \]

Since \( \tilde{i}(P_{r(\mu)}) = \sum_{\alpha \in r(\mu)E^{<m}} P_\alpha \), the estimate (2.3) shows that
\[ \left\| \left( K_{m,0}P_{r(\mu)} + \sum_{\alpha \in r(\mu)E^{<m}\setminus \{r(\mu)\}} K_{m,[\alpha]} \right) - \tilde{i}(P_{r(\mu)}) \right\| \leq \frac{||\mu| - |\nu||}{m + 1}, \]
and so
\[ \left\| q_m(\Lambda_{2m}(P_m(t_\mu t_\nu^*)) + \Lambda_{\frac{m}{2m}}(Q_m(t_\mu t_\nu^*))) - \tilde{i}_m(s_\mu s_{\nu}^*) \right\| \leq \frac{||\mu| - |\nu||}{m + 1} \]
as well. \( \square \)

**Definition 2.8.** Suppose that \( (\beta_m)_{m=1}^\infty \) is a sequence of \( C^* \)-homomorphisms \( \beta_m : A \to B_m \), and let \( C \) be a class of \( C^* \)-algebras. We say that a sequence \( (F_m, \psi_m, \varphi_m) \) is an asymptotic order-\( n \) factorization of the sequence \( (\beta_m) \) through elements of \( C \) if each \( F_m \) is a direct sum \( F_m = \bigoplus_{i=0}^n F_m^{(i)} \) of \( C^* \)-algebras \( F_m^{(i)} \in C \), each \( \psi_m \) is a completely positive contraction from \( A \) to \( F_m \), each \( \varphi_m \) is a map from \( F_m \) to \( B_m \) such that \( \varphi_m|_{F_m^{(i)}} \) is an order-zero completely positive contraction for each \( i \leq n \), and \( \| \varphi_m \circ \psi_m(a) - \beta_m(a) \| \to 0 \) for each \( a \in A \). We say that \( (F_m, \psi_m, \varphi_m) \) is an asymptotic order-\( n \) factorization of a fixed \( C^* \)-homomorphism \( \beta : A \to B \) if it is an asymptotic order-\( n \) factorization of the constant sequence \( (\beta, \beta, \ldots) \).

**Lemma 2.9.** For each \( m \in \mathbb{N} \), let \( \beta_m : A \to B_m \) be a homomorphism of separable \( C^* \)-algebras. If the sequence \( (\beta_m) \) has an asymptotic order-\( n \) factorization through \( AF \) algebras, then it has an asymptotic order-\( n \) factorization through finite-dimensional \( C^* \)-algebras.

**Proof.** Choose an asymptotic order-\( n \) factorization \( (F_m, \psi_m, \varphi_m) \) of \( (\beta_m) \) through \( AF \) algebras. Choose a dense sequence \( (a_j) \) in \( A \). By passing to a subsequence in \( m \), we may assume that \( \| \varphi_m \circ \psi_m(a_j) - \beta_m(a_j) \| < 1/2m \) whenever \( j \leq m \). For each \( m \), choose finite dimensional \( \bar{F}_m^{(i)} \subseteq F_m^{(i)} \) with \( d(\psi_m(a_j), \bigoplus_i \bar{F}_m^{(i)}) < 1/2m \) for all \( j \leq m \). Since \( \bar{F}_m := \bigoplus_i \bar{F}_m^{(i)} \) is finite dimensional there is a completely positive contraction \( \gamma_m : F_m \to \bar{F}_m \) fixing \( \bar{F}_m^{(i)} \) pointwise. So for \( j \leq m \), we have \( \| \gamma_m(\psi_m(a_j)) - \psi_m(a_j) \| < 1/2m \), and hence
\[ \| \varphi_m \circ \gamma_m \circ \psi_m(a_j) - \beta_m(a_j) \| \leq \| \varphi_m(\gamma_m(\psi_m(a_j)) - \psi_m(a_j)) \| + \| \varphi_m(\psi_m(a_j)) - \beta_m(a_j) \| < 1/m. \]

Now each \( \tilde{\psi}_m := \gamma \circ \psi_m : A \to \bar{F}_m \) is a completely positive contraction, each \( \varphi_m \) restricts to a completely positive order-zero contraction from \( \bar{F}_m^{(i)} \) to \( B_m \), and \( \| \tilde{\varphi}_m \circ \tilde{\psi}_m(a) - \tilde{\beta}_m(a) \| \to 0 \) for all \( a \in A \) because the \( a_i \) are dense. \( \square \)

**Corollary 2.10.** Let \( E \) be a row-finite directed graph with no sinks. For each \( m \), let \( \tilde{i}_m : C^*(E) \to C^*(E(m)) \) be the homomorphism induced by the homomorphism \( (\beta_m) \). Then the sequence \( (\tilde{i}_m) \) has an asymptotic order-1 factorization through finite-dimensional \( C^* \)-algebras.

**Proof.** Since \( C^*(E) \) is nuclear, there is a norm-1 completely positive splitting \( \sigma : C^*(E) \to TC^*(E) \) for the quotient map \( [7 \text{ Theorem 3.10}] \). Identify each \( K_{E^<p} \) with \( \text{span}\{s_\mu \Delta_{r(\mu)} s_{\nu}^* : \mu, \nu \in E^<\} \) as in Lemma \( [2.1] \). For each \( m \), define \( \psi_m : C^*(E) \to K_{E^<2m} \oplus K_{E^<(|\frac{m}{2m}|, |\frac{m}{2m}|)} \)
by \( \psi_m(a) = P_m(\sigma(a)) \oplus Q_m(\sigma(a)) \), and define \( \varphi_m : K_{E^{[m,2m]}} \oplus K_{E^{[\frac{3m}{2},4m]}} \to C^*(E(m)) \) by \( \varphi_m((a,b)) = q_m(\Lambda_m^a(a) + \Lambda_m^b(b)) \). Proposition 2.7 shows that \( ||\varphi_m \circ \psi_m(s_\mu s_\nu^*) - \iota_m(s_\mu s_\nu^*)|| \to 0 \) for all \( \mu, \nu \), and then an \( \varepsilon/3 \)-argument proves that \( ||\varphi_m \circ \psi_m(a) - \iota_m(a)|| \to 0 \) for each \( a \in C^*(E) \). Lemma 2.4 shows that each \( \varphi_m \) restricts to a homomorphism, and hence a completely positive contraction of order zero, on each of \( K_{E^{[m,2m]}} \) and \( K_{E^{[\frac{3m}{2},4m]}} \).

The corollary now follows from Lemma 2.9.

\[ \square \]

### 3. Reinclusion in K-theory

In this section we describe, for each row-finite graph \( E \) with no sinks, an inclusion

\[ C^*(E(m)) \to C^*(E) \otimes K \]

which induces the multiplication-by-\( m \) map on K-theory when composed with Rout’s inclusion \( \iota_m : C^*(E) \to C^*(E(m)) \).

**Proposition 3.1.** Let \( E \) be a row-finite directed graph with no sinks. For each \( m \in \mathbb{N} \) there is an injective homomorphism \( j_m : C^*(E(m)) \to C^*(E) \otimes K_{E^{[m,2m]}} \) such that

\[ j_m(p_\mu) = p_{r(\mu)} \otimes \theta_{p,\mu} \quad \text{and} \quad j_m(s_{(e,\mu)}) = \begin{cases} s_{e,\mu} \otimes \theta_{s(e),\mu} & \text{if } |\mu| = m - 1 \\ p_{r(\mu)} \otimes \theta_{p,\mu} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \]

**Proof.** We check the Cuntz-Krieger relations. For (CK1), we calculate:

\[ j_m(s_{(e,\mu)})^* j_m(s_{(e,\mu)}) = \begin{cases} s_{e,\mu}^* s_{e,\mu} \otimes \theta_{p,\mu} & \text{if } |\mu| = m - 1 \\ p_{r(\mu)} \otimes \theta_{p,\mu} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \]

For (CK2), we fix \( \mu \in E^{[m]} = E(m)^0 \), and consider two cases. First suppose that \( \mu = e\mu' \) for some \( e \in E^1 \) and \( \mu' \in E^* \). Then \( \mu E(m)^1 = \{(e, \mu')\} \) and \( |\mu'| < m - 1 \), so we have

\[ \sum_{(f,\nu) \in \mu E^{(m)^1}} j_m(s_{f,\nu}) j_m(s_{f,\nu})^* = j_m(s_{(e,\mu')}) j_m(s_{(e,\mu')})^* = p_{r(\mu')} \otimes \theta_{e,\mu',e,\mu} = j_m(p_{r(\mu')}). \]

Now suppose that \( |\mu| = 0 \) so that \( \mu = v \in E^0 \). We have \( v E(m)^1 = \{(e, \nu) : s(e) = v \} \) and \( \nu \in v E^{m-1} \}. Since \( E \) has no sinks, each \( p_v = \sum_{\lambda \in \nu E^m} s_\lambda s_\lambda^* \) in \( C^*(E) \), and so

\[ \sum_{(f,\nu) \in \nu E^{(m)^1}} j_m(s_{f,\nu}) j_m(s_{f,\nu})^* = \sum_{f,\nu \in \nu E^m} s_{f,\nu} s_{f,\nu}^* \otimes \theta_{s(f),s(f)} = \left( \sum_{f,\nu \in \nu E^m} s_{f,\nu} s_{f,\nu}^* \right) \otimes \theta_{v,v} = p_v \otimes \theta_{v,v} = j_m(p_v). \]

So the \( j_m(p_\mu) \) and the \( j_m(s_e) \) form a Cuntz-Krieger \( E(m) \)-family in \( C^*(E) \otimes K_{E^{[m,2m]}} \) and the universal property of \( C^*(E(m)) \) ensures that \( j_m \) extends to a homomorphism of \( C^* \)-algebras. The \( j_m(p_\mu) \) are clearly all nonzero. Let \( \gamma \) denote the gauge action on \( C^*(E) \), and let \( \beta \) denote the action of \( T \) on \( K_{E^{[m,2m]}} \) determined by \( \beta_z(\theta_{\mu,\nu}) = z^{||\mu||-||\nu||} \theta_{\mu,\nu} \). It is routine to check that \( (\gamma \otimes \beta)_z(j_m(p_\mu)) = j_m(\mu) \) for all \( \mu \) and that \( (\gamma \otimes \beta)_z(j_m(s_{(e,\mu)})) = z j_m(s_{(e,\mu)}) \) for all \( (e, \mu) \). So the gauge-invariant uniqueness theorem [4, Theorem 2.1] (see also [15, Theorem 2.3]) implies that \( j_m \) is injective. \( \square \)

Recall that there is an inclusion \( \iota_m : C^*(E) \to C^*(E(m)) \) satisfying the formula \( (2.1) \), and so we have an inclusion \( j_m \circ \iota_m : C^*(E) \to C^*(E) \otimes K_{E^{[m,2m]}} \).
Lemma 3.2. Let $E$ be a row-finite directed graph with no sinks. Identify $K_* (C^* (E) \otimes K_{E \prec m})$ with $K_* (C^* (E))$. Then the induced map $(j_m \circ i_m)_* : K_* (C^* (E)) \rightarrow K_* (C^* (E))$ is multiplication by $m$.

Proof. We first recall from [26] page 439 (Theorem 4.2.4 and the discussion immediately preceding it) the computation of the $K$-theory of $C^* (E)$. We can identify $K_0 (C^* (E))$ with $\lim_{\leftarrow} (Z^E, A^t)$ where $A$ is the $E^0 \times E^0$ matrix $A(v, w) = |vE^0 w|$. We then have $K_1 (C^* (E)) \cong \ker \phi$ and $K_0 (C^* (E)) \cong \coker \phi$ where $\phi : \lim_{\leftarrow} (Z^E, A^t) \rightarrow \lim_{\leftleftarrow} (Z^E, A^t)$ is the homomorphism induced by $1 - A^t$. Identify $\lim_{\leftleftarrow} (Z^E, A^t)$ with tail-equivalence classes of sequences $(a_j) \in (Z^E)^\infty$ such that $a_{j+1} = A^t a_j$ for large $j$, and define $\omega : Z^E \rightarrow \lim_{\leftleftarrow} (Z^E, A^t)$ by $\omega (x) = (x, A^t x, (A^t)^2 x, \ldots)$. Then Theorem 4.2.4 of [26] says that $\omega$ induces isomorphisms of $\ker (1 - A^t) \cong \ker \phi$ and $\coker (1 - A^t) \cong \coker \phi$.

It is routine to check that $j_m \circ i_m (p_v)$ carries $C^* (E)$ into $C^* (E) \otimes K_{E \prec m}$. We consider the effect of this map on $K_0 (C^* (E))$: fix $v \in E^0$ and calculate

$$j_m \circ i_m (p_v) = \sum_{\mu \in v E^m} j_m (p_{\mu}) = \sum_{\mu \in v E^m} p_{\mu} \otimes \theta_{\mu, \mu};$$

so composing with the isomorphism $K_0 (C^* (E)) \cong K_0 (C^* (E) \otimes K_{E \prec m})$, the induced map $(j_m \circ i_m)_* : K_0 (C^* (E)) \rightarrow K_0 (C^* (E))$ is given by

$$[p_v]_0 \mapsto \sum_{\mu \in v E^m} [p_{\mu}]_0 = \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \sum_{w \in E^0} A^t (w, v) [p_w]_0 = \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} (A^t)^j \cdot [p_v]_0.$$

Suppose that $x \in \ker (1 - A^t)$. Then $\omega (x) = (x, x, \ldots)$ and $A^t x = x$, and so we have

$$(j_m \circ i_m)_* (\omega (x)) = \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} ((A^t)^j x, (A^t)^j x, \ldots) = m \cdot (x, x, \ldots) = m \cdot \omega (x),$$

and we deduce that $(j_m \circ i_m)_*$ is multiplication by $m$ on $K_1 (C^* (E))$. To calculate the induced map on $K_0 (C^* (E))$, observe that for each $(a_i) \in \lim_{\leftleftarrow} (Z^E, A^t)$, we have $(a_i - A^t a_i)_{i=0}^\infty \in \operatorname{Im} \phi$, and so $(a_i) + \operatorname{Im} \phi = (A^t a_i) + \operatorname{Im} \phi$. So for $v \in E^0$,

$$(j_m \circ i_m)_* (\omega (\delta_v)) + \operatorname{Im} \phi = \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} ((A^t)^j \delta_v, (A^t)^j+1 \delta_v, \ldots) + \operatorname{Im} \phi = m\cdot (\delta_v, A^t \delta_v, \ldots) = m \cdot \omega (\delta_v),$$

and we deduce that $(j_m \circ i_m)_*$ is multiplication by $m$ on $K_1 (C^* (E))$. \hfill \square

It will be important for our main result that this inclusion restricts to an inclusion map of the same form on gauge-invariant ideals, and induces an inclusion map of the same form on the corresponding quotients.

Lemma 3.3. Let $E$ be a row-finite directed graph with no sinks. If $I$ is a gauge-invariant ideal of $C^* (E)$, then $(j_m \circ i_m)_*$ restricts to the multiplication-by-$m$ map $K_* (I) \rightarrow K_* (I)$ and induces the multiplication-by-$m$ map $K_* (C^* (E)/I) \rightarrow K_* (C^* (E)/I)$. Moreover, if $J$ is a gauge-invariant ideal of $C^* (E)$ with $J \subseteq I$, then the induced map $K_* (I/J) \rightarrow K_* (I/J)$ is multiplication by $m$.

Proof. Let $H = \{ v \in E^0 : p_v \in I \}$. By [3] Lemma 1.1, the multiplier projection $P_H := \sum_{v \in H} P_v$ is full in $I$, and there are canonical isomorphisms $P_H C^* (E) P_H \cong C^* (EH)$ and $C^* (E)/I \cong C^* (E \setminus EH)$. Let $H (m) := \{ \mu \in E^< m : s (\mu) \in H \} \subseteq E (m)^0$. This $H (m)$ is a
To prove this assertion, we begin by considering the top row of the diagram:

\[ C^*(HE) \xrightarrow{\approx} P_H C^*(E)P_H \subseteq C^*(E) \]

where the maps \( j_m^H \circ i_m^H \) and \( j_m^E \circ i_m^E \) are induced by multiplication by \( m \) in \( K \)-theory, and \( \iota_E \) is the multiplication-by-\( m \) in \( C^*(E) \). The map \( j_m \) restricts to a homomorphism from \( P_H C^*(E)P_H \) to \( P_H C^*(E)P_H \otimes K_{E<\mu} \), and we have \( \iota_E \) is the multiplication-by-\( m \) in \( C^*(E) \). By \( [4, \text{Theorem 4.1(c)}] \), there is a canonical isomorphism \( \theta \) that commutes. This map is the restriction of \( j_m^E \circ i_m^E \) to \( P_H C^*(E)P_H \). By \( [4, \text{Theorem 4.1}] \), there is a canonical isomorphism \( \iota_E \) that commutes. This map is the restriction of \( j_m^E \circ i_m^E \) to \( I_H \) also induces the multiplication-by-\( m \) map.

We have already showed that \( j_m \) carries I to the ideal \( I_{H(m)} \triangleleft C^*(E(m)) \) generated by \( \{ p_\mu : \mu \in H(m) \} \). Hence \( j_m \) induces a homomorphism \( \tilde{j}_m : C^*(E) \rightarrow C^*(E(m)) \) by \( [4, \text{Theorem 4.1}] \) there is a canonical isomorphism \( C^*(E) \otimes K_{E<\mu} \approx C^*(E \setminus EH) \). It is routine to check that the saturation of \( H(m) \) is the set \( K = \{ \mu \in E_{<\mu} : s(\mu) \in H \} \). So \( H(m) \) is canonically isomorphic to \( C^*(E(m)) \) as subsets of \( E(m) \).

By comparing formulas on generators, we see that the diagram:

\[ C^*(E) \xrightarrow{qI} C^*(E) \otimes K_{E<\mu} \approx (C^*(E) \otimes K_{E<\mu})/(I \otimes K_{E<\mu}) \xrightarrow{\approx} C^*(E \setminus EH) \]

commutes. Since \( C^*(E \setminus EH) \otimes K_{E<\mu} \) embeds as a full corner in \( C^*(E \setminus EH) \otimes K_{E<\mu} \), the corresponding inclusion map in \( K \)-theory is an isomorphism. So Lemma \( \text{3.2} \) implies that the vertical inclusion map \( j_m^E \circ i_m^E \) on the right of the diagram induces multiplication by \( m \) in \( K \)-theory. Thus the middle vertical map does too. Since this is precisely the map on the quotient induced by \( j_m^E \circ i_m^E \), we deduce that \( (j_m \circ \iota_m) \), restricts to \( m \cdot \text{id} \) on \( K_{E<\mu} \) and induces \( m \cdot \text{id} \) on \( K_{E<\mu} \).

For the final assertion, apply the preceding assertion to the gauge-invariant ideal \( P_H J P_H \) of \( P_H C^*(E)P_H \approx C^*(E \setminus EH) \), using that compression by the full projection \( P_H \) induces an isomorphism of the \( K \)-theory of \( I \).
4. A TECHNIQUE OF ENDERS

In this section we apply general results of Meyer-Nest and of Kirchberg to generalize a technique of Enders to see that, under suitable hypotheses, an endomorphism $\kappa_m$ of a strongly purely infinite nuclear C*-algebra $A$ whose image $FK(\kappa)$ in filtered K-theory is the times-$m$ map can be post-composed with an automorphism of $A$ so that the resulting map approximates the identity. We apply this result to prove the following theorem (the proof appears at the end of the section), which is our main result.

**Theorem 4.1.** Let $E$ be a directed graph. Suppose that $C^*(E)$ is purely infinite and has finitely many ideals. Then the nuclear dimension of $C^*(E)$ is 1.

We start by recalling some terminology and background from [24].

We write $\text{Prim}(A)$ for the primitive-ideal space of a C*-algebra $A$, and equip $\text{Prim}(A)$ with the hull-kernel topology. Recall from [24] Definition 2.3 that if $X$ is a topological space, then a C*-algebra over $X$ is a pair $(A, \psi)$ consisting of a C*-algebra $A$ and a continuous map $\psi : \text{Prim}(A) \to X$. As in [24] Definition 5.1, we say that $(A, \psi)$ is a tight C*-algebra over $X$ if $\psi$ is a homeomorphism.

Let $(A, \psi)$ be a C*-algebra over $X$. We write $\mathcal{O}(X)$ for the lattice of open subsets of $X$. Given $U \in \mathcal{O}(X)$, we write $A(U)$ for the corresponding ideal $\bigcap \{ I \in \text{Prim}(A) : \psi(I) \not\in U \}$. We then have $A(U \cup V) = A(U) + A(V)$ and $A(U \cap V) = A(U) \cap A(V)$ for $U, V \in \mathcal{O}(X)$ (in fact, a stronger statement is true [24 Lemma 2.8]).

As in [24] Definition 2.10, if $(A, \psi)$ and $(B, \rho)$ are C*-algebras over $X$, we say that a homomorphism $\phi : A \to B$ is $X$-equivariant if $\phi(A(U)) \subseteq B(U)$ for all $U \in \mathcal{O}(X)$. If, in addition, $A$ is a tight C*-algebra over $X$, we say that $\phi$ is full if, whenever $a$ generates $A(U)$ as an ideal, $\phi(a)$ generates $B(U)$ as an ideal.

Given homomorphisms $\phi, \psi : A \to B$ between C*-algebras, we say that $\phi$ and $\psi$ are asymptotically unitarily equivalent, and write $\phi \sim_{au} \psi$, if there is a continuous family of unitaries $U_t$ ($t \in [1, \infty)$) in $\mathcal{M}(B)$ such that $\text{Ad} U_t \circ \phi(a) \to \psi(a)$ as $t \to \infty$ for all $a \in A$. We say that $\phi$ and $\psi$ are approximately unitarily equivalent, and write $\phi \sim_u \psi$, if there exists a sequence $U_n$ of unitaries in $\mathcal{M}(B)$ such that $U_n \phi(a) U_n^* \to \psi(a)$ for all $a \in A$.

**Lemma 4.2.** Let $X$ be a finite topological space, and let $(A, \psi)$ be a tight C*-algebra over $X$. Fix a unital homomorphism $j_1 : \mathcal{O}_X \to \mathcal{O}_2$ and a non-zero homomorphism $j_2 : \mathcal{O}_2 \to \mathcal{O}_\infty$, and let $j = (j_2 \circ j_1) \otimes \text{id}_K : \mathcal{O}_\infty \otimes K \to \mathcal{O}_\infty \otimes K$. Suppose that $A$ is separable and nuclear, and define $j_X^A := \text{id}_A \otimes j : A \otimes \mathcal{O}_\infty \otimes K \to A \otimes \mathcal{O}_\infty \otimes K$. Then

1. $\text{id}_A \otimes j_X^A \sim_{au} \text{id}_A \otimes \mathcal{O}_\infty \otimes K$;
2. $j_X^A \otimes j_X^A \sim_{au} j_X^A$; and
3. $j_X^A$ is a full $X$-equivariant homomorphism.

If $(B, \rho)$ is a second tight C*-algebra over $X$ and if $\phi : A \otimes \mathcal{O}_\infty \otimes K \to B \otimes \mathcal{O}_\infty \otimes K$ is a homomorphism, then $(j_X^B \circ \phi) \oplus (j_X^B \circ \phi) \sim_{au} j_X^B \circ \phi$.

**Proof.** Since $1 \otimes \mathcal{M}(K) \cong 1 \otimes B(\ell^2) = 1 \otimes B \subseteq 1 \otimes \mathcal{O}_\infty \otimes K$, there exist isometries $s_1, s_2 \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{O}_\infty \otimes K)$ such that $s_1 s_1^* + s_2 s_2^* = 1_{\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{O}_\infty \otimes K)}$. Hence the isometries $t_i := 1_{\mathcal{M}(A)} \otimes s_i \in \mathcal{M}(A \otimes \mathcal{O}_\infty \otimes K)$ satisfy $t_1 t_1^* + t_2 t_2^* = 1$ as well.

We have $KK(j_1) \subseteq KK(\mathcal{O}_\infty, \mathcal{O}_2) = \{0\}$, and hence $KK(j) = 0$. So [27] Theorem 4.13 implies that

1. $\text{id}_{\mathcal{O}_\infty \otimes K} \otimes j \sim_{au} \text{id}_{\mathcal{O}_\infty \otimes K}$,
2. $j(a \otimes x) = j_2(1) a j_2(1) \otimes x$, and
(j3) \( j \oplus j \sim_{au} j \).

We have
\[
\text{id}_A \oplus j^A_X = \text{Ad}(t_1) \circ \text{id}_A \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{O}_\infty} \otimes \mathcal{K} + \text{Ad}(t_2) \circ j^A_X = \text{id}_A \otimes \left( \text{Ad}(s_1) \circ \text{id}_{\mathcal{O}_\infty} \otimes \mathcal{K} + \text{Ad}(s_2) \circ j \right).
\]

Property (j1) of \( j \) therefore gives \( \text{id}_A \oplus j^A_X \sim_{au} \text{id}_A \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{O}_\infty} \otimes \mathcal{K} \). Likewise, using property (j2) at the last step, we obtain
\[
j^A_X \oplus j^A_X = \text{Ad}(t_1) \circ j^A_X + \text{Ad}(t_2) \circ j^A_X
\]
\[
= \text{id}_A \otimes \left( \text{Ad}(s_1) \circ j + \text{Ad}(s_2) \circ j \right) \sim_{au} \text{id}_A \otimes j = j^A_X.
\]

For \( U \in \mathcal{O}(X) \), we have
\[
j^A_X((A \otimes \mathcal{O}_\infty \otimes \mathcal{K})(U)) = A(U) \otimes j(\mathcal{O}_\infty \otimes \mathcal{K})
\]
\[
= A(U) \otimes j_2(1)\mathcal{O}_\infty j_2(1) \otimes \mathcal{K} \subseteq A(U) \otimes \mathcal{O}_\infty \otimes \mathcal{K},
\]
so that \( j^A_X \) is \( X \)-equivariant. To see that it is full, fix a full element \( a \in (A \otimes \mathcal{O}_\infty \otimes \mathcal{K})(U) \) and let \( e_{ij} \) be the canonical matrix units in \( \mathcal{K} \). Then \( a \otimes 1 \otimes e_{11} \) belongs to the ideal generated by \( y \), and so \( a \otimes j_2(1) \otimes e_{11} \) belongs to the ideal generated by \( j^A_X(y) \). Since \( j_2(1) \) is nonzero and \( \mathcal{O}_\infty \) and \( \mathcal{K} \) are simple, the ideal generated by \( a \otimes j_2(1) \otimes e_{11} \) is \( AaA \otimes \mathcal{O}_\infty \otimes \mathcal{K} = (A \otimes \mathcal{O}_\infty \otimes \mathcal{K})(U) \).

Now suppose that \( (B, \rho) \) is a second tight \( C^* \)-algebra over \( X \) and that \( \phi : A \otimes \mathcal{O}_\infty \otimes \mathcal{K} \to B \otimes \mathcal{O}_\infty \otimes \mathcal{K} \) is a homomorphism. The above argument shows that \( j^B_X \oplus j^B_X \sim_{au} j^B_X \), so there exists a continuous family of unitaries \( U_t \) such that \( U_t(j^B_X \oplus j^B_X)(b)U_t^* \to j^B_X(b) \) for all \( b \). Hence
\[
U_t((j^B_X \circ \phi) \oplus (j^B_X \circ \phi))(a)U_t^* = U_t(j^B_X \circ \phi)(a)U_t^* \to j^B_X(\phi(a)).
\]

\[\text{Box}1\]

**Lemma 4.3.** Suppose that \((A, \psi)\) and \((B, \rho)\) are separable, nuclear, tight \( C^* \)-algebras over a finite topological space \( X \). For \( i = 1, 2 \), suppose that \( \phi_i : A \otimes \mathcal{O}_\infty \otimes \mathcal{K} \to B \otimes \mathcal{O}_\infty \otimes \mathcal{K} \) is a full \( X \)-equivariant homomorphism such that \( \phi_i \oplus \phi_i \sim_{au} \phi_i \). Then \( \phi_1 \sim_{au} \phi_2 \).

**Proof.** This follows from [17] Hauptsatz 2.15.  \[\text{Box}2\]

We can now deduce that, amongst full equivariant homomorphisms between strongly purely infinite \( C^* \)-algebras, asymptotic unitary equivalence is characterized by equivariant \( KK \)-equivalence.

**Theorem 4.4.** Let \((A, \psi)\) and \((B, \rho)\) be separable, nuclear, tight \( C^* \)-algebras over a finite topological space \( X \). For \( i = 1, 2 \), let \( \phi_i : A \otimes \mathcal{O}_\infty \otimes \mathcal{K} \to B \otimes \mathcal{O}_\infty \otimes \mathcal{K} \) be a full \( X \)-equivariant homomorphism. Then \( KK(X; \phi_1) = KK(X; \phi_2) \) if and only if \( \phi_1 \sim_{au} \phi_2 \).

Consequently, if \( KK(X; \phi_1) = KK(X; \phi_2) \), then \( \phi_1 \approx_u \phi_2 \).

**Proof.** If \( \phi_1 \sim_{au} \phi_2 \), then they are \( KK(X; \cdot) \)-equivalent by definition of the \( KK(X; \cdot) \) functor.

Suppose that \( KK(X; \phi_1) = KK(X; \phi_2) \). By [17] Hauptsatz 4.2, there are full \( X \)-equivariant homomorphisms \( h_1, h_2 : A \otimes \mathcal{O}_\infty \otimes \mathcal{K} \to B \otimes \mathcal{O}_\infty \otimes \mathcal{K} \) such that \( h_i \oplus h_i \sim_{au} h_i \) for \( i = 1, 2 \) and such that \( \phi_i \oplus h_i \sim_{au} \phi_2 \oplus h_2 \).

The final statement of Lemma [14] implies that each \( j^B_X \circ \phi_i \) satisfies \( j^B_X \circ \phi_i \circ j^B_X \circ \phi_i \sim_{au} j^B_X \circ \phi_i \), and so we may apply Lemma [13] twice to see that \( j^B_X \circ \phi_i \sim_{au} h_i \) for \( i = 1, 2 \). Lemma [12][14] implies that \( \text{id}_B \oplus j^B_X \sim_{au} \text{id}_B \), and so for each \( i \) we have
\[
\phi_i \sim_{au} (\text{id}_B \oplus j^B_X) \circ \phi_i = \phi_i \oplus j^B_X \circ \phi_i \sim_{au} \phi_i \oplus h_i.
\]
Hence, Proposition 4.15 implies that $KK$ compatible with $\text{Folgerung 4.3}$ implies that there is an automorphism one can show that every separable, nuclear, purely infinite $C^*$-algebra $A$ over $X$ to the $K$-groups of the subquotients of $A$ corresponding to locally closed subsets of $X$, together with all the natural transformations between these groups. Morphisms between $FK(A)$ and $FK(B)$ respect the natural transformations induced by $KK$ elements between the subquotients of $A$ and $B$ corresponding to any given locally closed subset of $X$. For details, see [25].

**Proposition 4.5.** Suppose that $(A, \phi)$ is a tight $C^*$-algebra over a finite topological space $X$. Suppose that $A$ is stable, nuclear, separable and purely infinite. Suppose that there is a sequence $\kappa_m$ of full $X$-equivariant homomorphisms $\kappa_m : A \to A$ such that

1. $FK(\kappa_m) = m \cdot FK(id_A)$
2. $\text{the sequence } (\kappa_m)$ has an asymptotic order-$n$ factorization through elements of $C$.

Then $id_A$ has an asymptotic order-$n$ factorization through elements of $C$.

**Proof.** Using [32, Theorem 4.3] and [18, Theorem 3.15], and a simple induction argument, one can show that every separable, nuclear, purely infinite $C^*$-algebra with finitely many ideals is $O_\infty$-absorbing. Thus, $A \cong A \otimes O_\infty$. Let $(F_m, \psi_m, \varphi_m)$ be an asymptotic order-$n$ factorization through elements of $C$. The element $x = -KK(X; id_A)$ is a $KK$-equivalence, so [17, Folgerung 4.3] implies that there is an automorphism $\lambda$ of $A$ such that $KK(X; \lambda) = -KK(X; id_A)$. We then have $FK(\lambda) = -FK(id_A)$ because the filtered $K$ functor is compatible with $KK$. Since $A$ is stable, $\mathcal{M}(A)$ contains isometries $s_1$ and $s_2$ such that $s_1^* s_1 + s_2^* s_2 = 1$. Define $\beta_m : A \to A$ by $\beta_m(a) = s_1 \kappa_{m+1}(a) s_1^* + s_2 \lambda(\kappa_m(a)) s_2^*$. Since each $\kappa_i$ is a full $X$-equivariant homomorphism, the same is true of each $\beta_m$, and we have

$$FK(\beta_m) = FK(\kappa_{m+1}) + FK(\lambda \circ \kappa_m) = (m + 1)FK(id_A) - mFK(id_A) = FK(id_A).$$

Hence [24, Proposition 4.15] implies that $KK(X; \beta_m)$ is a $KK(X; \cdot)$-equivalence. Now [17, Folgerung 4.3] implies that there is an automorphism $\gamma_m$ of $A$ such that $KK(X; \gamma_m \circ \beta_m) = KK(X; id_A)$. Theorem [4.4] then implies that $\gamma_m \circ \beta_m \simeq u m id_A$; fix a sequence $u_n \in \mathcal{M}(A)$ of unitaries implementing the approximate unitary equivalence, so $\|u_n \gamma_m \circ \beta_m(a) u_n^{-1} - a\| \to 0$ for each $a \in A$.

Write $\Psi_m := \psi_m \oplus \psi_{m+1} : A \to F_m \oplus F_{m+1}$; this is a completely positive contraction because each $\psi_i$ is. Define $\Phi_m : F_m \oplus F_{m+1} \to A$ by

$$\Phi_m(a, b) = u_m \gamma_m \left(s_1 \varphi_{m+1}(b) s_1^* + s_2 \lambda(\varphi_m(a)) s_2^* \right) a u_m^*.$$ 

Each of $\varphi_m$ and $\varphi_{m+1}$ is completely positive by definition, and then $\lambda \circ \varphi_m$ is completely positive too because $\lambda$ is a homomorphism. Conjugation by an isometry is likewise completely positive, so $(a, b) \mapsto s_1 \varphi_{m+1}(b) s_1^* + s_2 \lambda(\varphi_m(a)) s_2^*$ is also completely positive. Since $Ad(u_m) \circ \gamma_m$ is a homomorphism, it follows that $\Phi_m$ is completely positive. Since $\lambda$, $Ad(u_m)$ and $\gamma_m$ are homomorphisms, and therefore norm-decreasing, and since $s_1 s_1^* \perp s_2 s_2^*$, we have $\|\Phi_m(a, b)\| = \max\{|\langle\varphi_{m+1}(b)\rangle\|, |\langle\varphi_m(a)\rangle|\}$; since $\varphi_{m+1} \oplus \varphi_m$ is contracting on each $F_m \oplus F_{m+1}$, the same is true of $\Phi_m$. Fix $k \in \{0, 1, \ldots, n\}$ and suppose that $a = (a_m, a_{m+1})$ and $b = (b_m, b_{m+1})$ are orthogonal elements of $F_m \oplus F_{m+1} \subseteq F_m \oplus F_{m+1}$; so $a_i b_i = b_i a_i = 0$ for $i = m, m + 1$. Since $s_i^* s_j = \delta_{i,j} 1$, we have

$$\gamma_m^{-1}(u_m^* \Phi_m(a) \Phi_m(b) u_m) = s_1 \varphi_{m+1}(a_{m+1}) \varphi_{m+1}(b_{m+1}) s_1^* + s_2 \lambda(\varphi_m(a_m)) \varphi_m(b_m)) s_2^* = 0.$$
Let $C$ order-1 factorization through finite dimensional $C^*$-algebras. So $\Phi_m$ restricts to an order-0 contraction on $F_m^{(k)} \oplus F_{m+1}^{(k)}$. Fix $a \in A$. It now suffices to show that $\|\Phi_m \circ \Psi_m(a) - a\| \to 0$. By definition of $\Phi_m$ and $\Psi_m$, we have

$$
\Phi_m(\Psi_m(a)) = u_m\gamma_m\left(s_1\varphi_{m+1}(\psi_{m+1}(a))s_1^* + (s_2\lambda(\varphi_m(\psi_m(a)))s_2^*)\right)u_m^*.
$$

Hence

$$
\|\Phi_m(\Psi_m(a)) - a\|
\leq \|u_m\gamma_m\left(s_1\varphi_{m+1}(a)s_1^* + s_2\lambda(\kappa_m(a))\right)u_m^* - a\|
+ \|u_m\gamma_m\left(s_1\varphi_{m+1}(a)s_1^* + s_2\lambda(\kappa_m(a))\right)u_m - a\|
\leq \max\{\|\varphi_{m+1}(a) - \kappa_m(a)\|, \|\varphi_m(a) - \kappa_m(a)\|\}
+ \|u_m\gamma_m \circ \beta_m(a)u_m - a\|
\to 0,
$$
completing the proof.

**Proof of Theorem 4.1.** Let $E'$ be a Drinen-Tomforde desingularization of $E$ as in [5], and let $G$ be a graph as in [31] such that $C^*(G) \cong C^*(E') \otimes \mathcal{K}$. The nuclear dimension of $C^*(G)$ is equal to that of $C^*(E)$ by [35] Corollary 2.8(i)]. Since $C^*(E)$ is not an AF-algebra, the nuclear dimension of $C^*(E)$ (and hence the nuclear dimension of $C^*(G)$) is at least 1 (see [35] Remarks 2.2(iii)]). We must show that it is at most 1.

Since $G$ is row-finite, Corollary 2.10 implies that $\tilde{i}_m$ has an asymptotic order-1 factorization through finite dimensional $C^*$-algebras. Using again that $G$ is row-finite and has no sinks, we can apply Proposition 3.1 to obtain a map $j_m : C^*(G(m)) \to C^*(G) \otimes K_{G < m}$ satisfying (3.1). Since $C^*(G)$ is stable, there is an isomorphism $\rho : C^*(G) \otimes K_{G < m} \to C^*(G)$ such that $FK(\rho) = id$. By the construction of $\tilde{i}_m$ and $j_m$, the map $j_m \circ \tilde{i}_m$ is an $X$-equivariant homomorphism and $j_m \circ \tilde{i}_m(a)$ is full in $C^*(E)(U)$ whenever $a$ is full in $C^*(E)(U)$. Thus, $j_m \circ \tilde{i}_m$ is a full $X$-equivariant homomorphism. Lemma 3.3 implies that the maps $\kappa_m := \rho \circ j_m \circ \tilde{i}_m : C^*(G) \to C^*(G)$ satisfy $FK(\kappa_m) = m \cdot FK(id_{C^*(G)})$. Since $j_m$ and $\rho$ are *-homomorphisms, $\kappa_m$ has an asymptotic order-1 factorization through finite dimensional $C^*$-algebras. So Proposition 4.1 implies that $id_{C^*(G)}$ has an asymptotic order-1 factorization through finite dimensional $C^*$-algebras, and thus the nuclear dimension of $C^*(G)$ is at most 1.

**Corollary 4.6.** Let $X$ be an accordion space as defined in [5] and let $A$ be a separable, nuclear, purely infinite, tight $C^*$-algebra over $X$. Suppose that $K_1(A(x))$ is free abelian and that $A(x)$ is in the Rosenberg-Schochet bootstrap category $\mathcal{N}$ for each $x \in X$. Then the nuclear dimension of $A$ is 1.

**Proof.** By [1] Corollary 7.16] (see also [2]), there exists a row-finite directed graph $E$ such that $C^*(E) \otimes \mathcal{K} \cong A \otimes \mathcal{K}$. Now [35] Corollary 2.8(i)] and Theorem 4.1 imply that the nuclear dimension of $A$ is 1. \qed
5. Purely infinite graph \( \mathcal{C}^* \)-algebras with infinitely many ideals

In [10], Jeong and Park show how to describe the \( \mathcal{C}^* \)-algebra of a row-finite graph with no sources as a direct limit of \( \mathcal{C}^* \)-algebras of graphs with finitely many ideals. Here we adapt their technique to see that if \( E \) satisfies Condition (K) and every vertex of \( E \) connects to a cycle, then \( \mathcal{C}^*(E) \) has nuclear dimension at most 2.

By a first-return path at a vertex \( v \) in a directed graph \( E \), we mean a path \( e_1 \ldots e_n \) such that \( r(e_i) = s(e_{i+1}) = v \) and \( r(e_i) \neq v \) for \( i \geq 2 \). Recall that a graph \( E \) satisfies Condition (K) if, whenever there is a first-return path in \( E \) at \( v \), there are at least two distinct first-return paths at \( v \) (see [22, Section 6]).

**Theorem 5.1.** Let \( E \) be a graph that satisfies Condition (K), and suppose that each vertex of \( E \) connects to a cycle in \( E \). Then \( 1 \leq \dim_{\text{nuc}}(\mathcal{C}^*(E)) \leq \dim_{\text{nuc}}(\mathcal{T}\mathcal{C}^*(E)) \leq 2. \)

**Proof.** Since \( E \) contains cycles, \( \mathcal{C}^*(E) \) is not AF and therefore has nuclear dimension at least 1 by [35, Remark 2.2(iii)]. Since \( \mathcal{C}^*(E) \) is a quotient of \( \mathcal{T}\mathcal{C}^*(E) \), Proposition 2.9 of [35] implies that \( \dim_{\text{nuc}}(\mathcal{C}^*(E)) \leq \dim_{\text{nuc}}(\mathcal{T}\mathcal{C}^*(E)) \). It remains to show that \( \dim_{\text{nuc}}(\mathcal{T}\mathcal{C}^*(E)) \leq 2. \)

Fix a finite set \( V \subseteq E^0 \) and a finite set \( F \subseteq E^1 \). We claim that there exists a finite subgraph \( G_{V,F} \) of \( E \) such that \( V \subseteq G_{V,F}^0, F \subseteq G_{V,F}^1 \), every vertex in \( G_{V,F} \) connects to a cycle in \( G_{V,F} \), and \( G_{V,F} \) satisfies Condition (K). Since every vertex in \( E \) connects to a cycle, there exists, for each \( v \in V \cup r(F) \), a path \( \lambda^v = \lambda^v_0 \ldots \lambda^v_{|v^v|} \) in \( vE^* \) such that \( s(\lambda^v_n) = r(\lambda^v) \) for some \( n \leq |\lambda^v| \). Let \( G \) be the subgraph of \( E \) given by \( G_0^0 := F \cup \{ \lambda^v_i : v \in V \cup r(F), i \leq |\lambda^v| \} \), and let \( G_0^1 := r(G_0^0) \cup s(G_1^0) \). By construction, every vertex in \( G_0 \) connects to a cycle in \( G_0 \). For each \( w \in G_0^0 \) that lies on exactly one cycle, say \( \mu^w \), in \( G_0 \), condition (K) in \( E \) implies that there exists \( n \leq |\mu^w| \) and a cycle \( \nu^w \) in \( E \) based at \( s(\mu^w) \) such that \( \nu^w \neq \mu^w \). We let \( G_{V,F}^1 = G_0^1 \cup \{ s(\nu^w_i) : w \text{ lies on exactly one cycle in } G_0, 1 \leq i \leq |\nu^w| \} \), and \( G_{V,F}^0 = r(G_{V,F}^1) \cup s(G_{V,F}^1) \). Then \( G_{V,F} \) has all the desired properties, establishing the claim.

Proposition 5.3 of [4] implies that \( \mathcal{C}^*(G_{V,F}) \) is purely infinite, and [4, Theorem 4.4] implies that it has finitely many ideals. So Theorem [11] implies that \( \mathcal{C}^*(G_{V,F}) \) has nuclear dimension 1.

The kernel of the quotient map \( q : \mathcal{T}\mathcal{C}^*(G_{V,F}) \to \mathcal{C}^*(G_{V,F}) \) is AF. (This is well-known, but to verify it, recall that the path-space representation \( \pi_{T,Q} \) of \( \mathcal{T}\mathcal{C}^*(E) \) on \( \ell^2(E^*) \) is faithful by [13, Theorem 4.1], and observe that each \( \pi_{T,Q}(q_v - \sum_{e \in E^1} t_e s(e)) \) is the rank-one projection onto the basis element \( \delta_v \), so that \( \pi_{T,Q}(\ker q) \) is contained in \( K_{E^*} \).) Hence Remark 2.2 of [35] (see also Example 4.1 of [19]) implies that \( \dim_{\text{nuc}}(\ker q) = 0 \). So \( \mathcal{T}\mathcal{C}^*(G_{V,F}) \) is an extension of an algebra of nuclear dimension 1 by an algebra of nuclear dimension 0, and [35, Proposition 2.9] then implies that \( \mathcal{T}\mathcal{C}^*(G_{V,F}) \) has nuclear dimension at most 2.

The generators \( \{ q_v : v \in G_{V,F}^0 \} \cup \{ t_f : f \in G_{V,F}^1 \} \) constitute a Toeplitz-Cuntz-Krieger \( G_{V,F} \)-family in \( \mathcal{T}\mathcal{C}^*(E) \), and so induce a homomorphism \( \iota_{G_{V,F}} : \mathcal{T}\mathcal{C}^*(G_{V,F}) \to \mathcal{T}\mathcal{C}^*(E) \). Since the preceding paragraph implies that \( \mathcal{T}\mathcal{C}^*(G_{V,F}) \) has nuclear dimension at most 2, and since \( \iota_{G_{V,F}}(\mathcal{T}\mathcal{C}^*(G_{V,F})) \) is isomorphic to a quotient\(^2\) of \( \mathcal{T}\mathcal{C}^*(G_{V,F}) \), Proposition 2.9 of [35] implies that \( \iota_{G_{V}}(\mathcal{T}\mathcal{C}^*(G_{V,F})) \) also has nuclear dimension at most 2. Hence \( \mathcal{T}\mathcal{C}^*(E) = \)

\(^2\)In fact, [12, Theorem 4.1] can be used to show that \( \iota_{G_{V}} \) is injective, but this is not necessary for our argument.
Theorem 5.2. Suppose that $E$ is a directed graph such that $C^*(E)$ is purely infinite. Then $\dim_{\text{nuc}}(C^*(E)) \leq 2$. 

Proof. By (b) implies (e) of [13] Theorem 2.3, the graph $E$ satisfies Condition (K) and every vertex in $E$ connects to a cycle. So the result follows from Theorem 5.1. 

6. Quasidiagonal extensions and nuclear dimension

In this section we show that the nuclear dimension of a quasidiagonal extension $0 \to I \to A \to A/I \to 0$ is equal to the maximum of the nuclear dimension of $I$ and the nuclear dimension of $A/I$. By showing that ideals in graph $C^*$-algebras for which the quotient is AF are quasidiagonal (we are indebted to James Gabe for this argument), we deduce the following extension of Theorem 5.1.

Theorem 6.1. Suppose that $E$ is a directed graph, that $I$ is a purely infinite gauge-invariant ideal of $C^*(E)$, and that $C^*(E)/I$ is AF. Then $\dim_{\text{nuc}}(C^*(E)) \leq 2$. If $I$ has finitely many ideals, then $\dim_{\text{nuc}}(C^*(E)) = 1$.

We prove Theorem 6.1 at the end of the section. Prior to the proof we need to establish some preliminary results on quasidiagonal extensions.

Definition 6.2. Let $A$ be a separable $C^*$-algebra and $I$ an ideal of $A$. We say that $0 \to I \to A \to A/I \to 0$ is a quasidiagonal extension if there is an approximate identity $(p_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of projections in $I$ such that $\|p_n a - ap_n\| \to 0$ for all $a \in A$. We refer to the sequence $(p_n)$ as quasicentral in $A$.

Proposition 6.3. Suppose that $A$ is a separable nuclear $C^*$-algebra and $0 \to I \to A \to A/I \to 0$ is a quasidiagonal extension. Then $\dim_{\text{nuc}}(A) = \max\{\dim_{\text{nuc}}(I), \dim_{\text{nuc}}(A/I)\}$.

Proof. We modify the argument of [19] Proposition 6.1 using the first part of the argument of [35] Proposition 2.9.

Suppose that both $\dim_{\text{nuc}}(A/I)$ and $\dim_{\text{nuc}}(I)$ are at most $d$. We must show that $\dim_{\text{nuc}}(A) \leq d$. Fix normalized positive elements $e_1, \ldots, e_L$ of $A$, and fix $\varepsilon > 0$.

Choose a finite-dimensional $F = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{d} F^{(i)}$, a completely positive contraction $\eta : A/I \to F$ and a completely positive $\rho : F \to A/I$ which is an order-0 contraction on each $F^{(i)}$ so that $\|\rho(\eta(q_i(e_l))) - q_i(e_l)\| < \varepsilon/5$ for each $l$. Let $P = \{p_\alpha\}$ be an approximate identity of projections in $I$ which is quasicentral in $A$. We follow the proof of [35] Proposition 2.9 as far as the middle of the top of page 472 first to lift $\rho$ to a completely positive $\overline{\rho} : F \to A$ which is an order-0 contraction on each $F^{(i)}$, and then to find $p \in P$ such that, with $\delta$ as in [19] Proposition 2.6,

\begin{enumerate}
  \item $\|(1-p)\overline{\rho}(x)\| < \delta\|x\|$ for all $x \in F$,
  \item each $\|(pe(p + (1-p)e_i(1-p))) - e_i\| < \varepsilon/5$, and
  \item each $\|(1-p)(\overline{\rho}(\eta(e_l)) - e_l)(1-p)\| < 2\varepsilon/5$.
\end{enumerate}

Now using Proposition 1.4 of [35] and property (1) of $\overline{\rho}$ above, we obtain completely positive order-0 contractions $\hat{\rho}^{(i)} : F^{(i)} \to (1-p)A(1-p)$ such that, putting $\hat{\rho} = \sum_i \hat{\rho}^{(i)}$, we have

$$\|\hat{\rho}(x) - (1-p)\overline{\rho}(x)(1-p)\| < \varepsilon\|x\|/5 \quad \text{for all } x \in F.$$
Now choose a finite-dimensional $G = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{d} G^{(i)}$, a completely positive contraction $\psi : I \to G$ and a completely positive $\varphi : G \to I$ which is an order-0 contraction on each $G^{(i)}$ such that $\|\varphi(\psi(e_i p)) - pe_i p\| < \varepsilon/5$ for each $l$. Define $H = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{d}(F^{(i)} \oplus G^{(i)})$, and define $\Phi : A \to F \oplus G \cong H$ by $a \mapsto (\eta(q_I(a)), \psi(p_a p))$. For each $i$, define $\Phi^{(i)} : F^{(i)} \oplus G^{(i)} \to A$ by $\Phi^{(i)}(x, y) = \hat{\rho}(x) + \varphi(y)$. Since each $\hat{\rho}(x) \in (1 - p)A(1 - p)$ and each $\varphi(y) \in pAp$, the $\Phi^{(i)}$ are order-zero contractions. The final calculation of the proof of [35, Proposition 2.9] applies verbatim to show that $\|\Phi(\Psi(e_i)) - e_i\| < \varepsilon$ for all $l$.

To prove Theorem 6.1, we show next that if $E$ is row-finite and $I$ is a gauge-invariant ideal of $C^*(E)$ such that $C^*(E)/I$ is AF, then $0 \to I \to C^*(E) \to C^*(E)/I \to 0$ is quasidiagonal.

We thank James Gabe for providing us with the following result.

**Theorem 6.4** (Gabe). Let $E$ be a row-finite graph, $H$ a hereditary and saturated set such that the quotient graph $E/H = (E^0 \setminus H, r^{-1}(E^0 \setminus H), r, s)$ has no cycles. Then $0 \to I_H \to C^*(E) \to C^*(E)/I_H \to 0$ is a quasidiagonal extension.

**Proof.** Let $\{p_v, s_e : v \in E^0, e \in E^1\}$ be the universal generating Cuntz-Krieger $E$-family in $C^*(E)$. Define

$$F_1(H) = H \cup \{\alpha \in E^* : \alpha = e_1 \cdots e_n \text{ with } r(e_n) \in H, s(e_n) \notin H\}.$$ 

Recall that if $F$ is a directed graph, then any increasing sequence of finite subsets $V_n$ of $F^0$ such that $\bigcup V_n = F^0$ yields an approximate identity $\left(\sum_{v \in V_n} p_v\right)_n$ of projections in $C^*(F)$.

We construct an approximate identity $\{e_X : X \text{ is a finite subset of } E^0\}$ for $I_H$ that is quasicentral in $C^*(E)$ as follows. By [30, Theorem 5.1], the elements $\{Q_\alpha : \alpha \in F_1(H)\}$ given by

$$Q_\alpha = \begin{cases} 
 p_v & \text{if } v \in H \\
 s_\alpha s^*_\alpha & \text{if } \alpha \in F_1(H)
\end{cases}$$

are mutually orthogonal projections in $I_H$ and any sequence of increasing sums of these which eventually exhausts all $\alpha \in F_1(H)$ is an approximate identity of projections for $I_H$.

For a finite $X \subseteq E^0$, let

$$F_1(H)_X = (X \cap H) \cup \{\alpha \in F_1(H) : \alpha = e_1 \cdots e_k \text{ and } s(e_1), \ldots, s(e_k) \in X \setminus H\}.$$ 

Since $E$ is row-finite and $E/H$ has no cycles, $F_1(H)_X$ is finite. We have $\bigcup_X F_1(H)_X = F_1(H)$. For $X \subseteq E^0$, set

$$e_X = \sum_{\alpha \in F_1(H)_X} Q_\alpha.$$ 

Since $\bigcup_X F_1(H)_X = F_1(H)$, [30, Theorem 5.1] implies that the $e_X$ (ordered by set-inclusion on finite subsets $X$ of $E^0$) constitute an approximate identity for $I$ consisting of projections. We show that it is quasicentral.

Let $\beta, \gamma \in E^*$ with $r(\beta) = r(\gamma) = w$. If $w \in H$, then $s_\beta s^*_\gamma \in I_H$, and hence

$$\lim_X \|e_X s_\beta s^*_\gamma - s_\beta s^*_\gamma e_X\| = 0.$$
Suppose \( w \notin H \). Note that \( p_{s} s_{\beta} s_{\gamma}^{*} = s_{\beta} s_{\gamma}^{*} p_{v} = 0 \) for \( v \in H \). Let \( \alpha \in F_{1}(H) \). Since \( r(\alpha) \in H \) and \( r(\beta) = r(\gamma) = w \notin H \), we have
\[
s_{\alpha} s_{\beta} s_{\gamma}^{*} = \begin{cases} 
  s_{\alpha} s_{\beta} s_{\gamma}^{*} & \text{if } \alpha = \beta \alpha' \\
  0 & \text{otherwise} 
\end{cases}
\]
and
\[
s_{\beta} s_{\gamma} s_{\alpha} s_{\gamma}^{*} = \begin{cases} 
  s_{\beta} s_{\gamma} s_{\alpha} s_{\gamma}^{*} & \text{if } \alpha = \gamma \alpha' \\
  0 & \text{otherwise} 
\end{cases}
\]

Choose a finite \( X_{0} \subseteq E^{0} \) such that \( r(\beta_{i}), s(\beta_{i}), r(\gamma_{i}) \) and \( s(\gamma_{i}) \) belong to \( X \) for all \( i \). For any \( X \) containing \( X_{0} \), we have \( \beta', \gamma \in F_{1}(H)_{X} \) for each \( \alpha' \in F_{1}(H)_{X} \) with \( r(\alpha') = w \). Hence,
\[
e_{X} s_{\beta} s_{\gamma}^{*} = \sum_{\alpha' \in F_{1}(H), r(\alpha') = w} s_{\beta} s_{\gamma} s_{\alpha} s_{\gamma}^{*} = s_{\beta} s_{\gamma} e_{X}.
\]
The claim now follows since \( C^{*}(E) = \text{span}\{s_{\beta} s_{\gamma}^{*} : \beta, \gamma \in E^{*}, r(\beta) = r(\gamma)\} \).

**Corollary 6.5.** Let \( E \) be a graph and let \( I \) be a gauge-invariant ideal of \( C^{*}(E) \) such that \( C^{*}(E)/I \) is an AF-algebra. Then \( \text{dim}_{\text{nuc}}(C^{*}(E)) = \text{dim}_{\text{nuc}}(I) \).

**Proof.** Let \( F \) be a Drinen-Tomforde desingularization of \( E \) [8], so that \( C^{*}(F) \) is stably isomorphic to \( C^{*}(E) \) and \( F \) is row-finite with no sinks. Rieffel induction over the Morita equivalence coming from a Drinen-Tomforde desingularization carries gauge-invariant ideals to gauge-invariant ideals [8]. So the ideal \( J \) of \( C^{*}(F) \) corresponding to \( I \) is gauge invariant and is stably isomorphic to \( I \). The quotient \( C^{*}(F)/J \) is stably isomorphic to the AF algebra \( C^{*}(E)/I \) and therefore itself AF. Corollary 2.8(i) of [35] implies that \( \text{dim}_{\text{nuc}}(I) = \text{dim}_{\text{nuc}}(I \otimes K) = \text{dim}_{\text{nuc}}(J \otimes K) = \text{dim}_{\text{nuc}}(J) \). Theorem 6.4 implies that \( 0 \to J \to C^{*}(F) \to C^{*}(F)/J \to 0 \) is quasidiagonal, and so Proposition 6.3 implies that \( \text{dim}_{\text{nuc}}(C^{*}(F)/J) = \max\{\text{dim}_{\text{nuc}}(C^{*}(F)/J), \text{dim}_{\text{nuc}}(J)\} \). Since \( C^{*}(F)/J \) is AF, Remark 2.2 of [35] (see also Example 4.1 of [19]) implies that \( \text{dim}_{\text{nuc}}(C^{*}(F)/J) = 0 \), and we deduce that \( \text{dim}_{\text{nuc}}(C^{*}(F)) = \text{dim}_{\text{nuc}}(J) \). To finish off, we observe as above that since \( C^{*}(F) \) and \( C^{*}(E) \) are stably isomorphic, they have the same nuclear dimension. □

**Proof of Theorem 6.1.** The result follows directly from Corollary 6.5 combined with Corollary 5.2 and Theorem 4.1. □
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