



UNIVERSITY
OF WOLLONGONG
AUSTRALIA

University of Wollongong
Research Online

Faculty of Social Sciences - Papers

Faculty of Social Sciences

2017

Personality disorder: A mental health priority area

Brin F. S. Grenyer

University of Wollongong, grenyer@uow.edu.au

Fiona Y. Ng

University of Wollongong, fionan@uow.edu.au

Michelle L. Townsend

University of Wollongong, mtownsen@uow.edu.au

Sathya Rao

Spectrum, The Personality Disorder Service For Victoria

Publication Details

Grenyer, B. F. S., Ng, F. Y., Townsend, M. L. & Rao, S. (2017). Personality disorder: A mental health priority area. *Australian & New Zealand Journal of Psychiatry*, 51 (9), 872-875.

Research Online is the open access institutional repository for the University of Wollongong. For further information contact the UOW Library:
research-pubs@uow.edu.au

Personality disorder: A mental health priority area

Abstract

Personality disorders have received limited recognition as a public health priority, despite the publication of treatment guidelines and reviews showing effective treatments are available. Inclusive approaches to understanding and servicing personality disorder are required that integrate different service providers. This viewpoint paper identifies pertinent issues surrounding early intervention, treatment needs, consumer and carer experiences, and the need for accurate and representative data collection in personality disorder as starting points in mental health care reform.

Keywords

disorder:, mental, priority, area, health, personality

Disciplines

Education | Social and Behavioral Sciences

Publication Details

Grenyer, B. F. S., Ng, F. Y., Townsend, M. L. & Rao, S. (2017). [Personality disorder: A mental health priority area](#). *Australian & New Zealand Journal of Psychiatry*, 51 (9), 872-875.

1 **Personality Disorder: A Mental Health Priority Area**

2

3 Grenyer, B.F.S., Ng, F.Y.Y., Townsend, M.L., Rao, S. (2017). Personality disorder: A mental
4 health priority area. *Australian and New Zealand Journal of Psychiatry*. Early online view.

5 DOI: 10.1177/0004867417717798

6

7 Personality disorder is a complex and severe mental illness, associated with high usage of
8 services and treatment cost (Leichsenring et al., 2011), where the economic benefits
9 associated with the provision of evidenced-based interventions has recently been established
10 (Meuldijk et al., 2017). Globally, personality disorders are estimated to affect approximately
11 6% of the population (Huang et al., 2009). Despite this, the disorder has received limited
12 recognition as a public health issue. Left untreated, individuals with the disorder may
13 experience disadvantage, including failing to be engaged in education or work (Ng et al.,
14 2016), have a high risk of suicide and experiencing comorbid mental health disorders
15 (Leichsenring et al., 2011).

16

17 Internationally, best practice guidelines have been published in a number of countries
18 acknowledging challenges associated with service provision, aiming to improve services for
19 individuals with personality disorder. Guidelines were first developed in 1999 in New
20 Zealand (Krawitz and Watson, 1999) followed by the United States of America, United
21 Kingdom and Australia (National Health and Medical Research Council, 2012). These
22 clinical practice guidelines provide a roadmap for reform and consistently recommend
23 psychological interventions as the first line of treatment. It is recommended that clinical
24 practice guidelines for the management of personality disorder should be read in conjunction
25 with the Royal Australian and New Zealand College of Psychiatrists practice guidelines for
26 mood disorders (Malhi et al., 2015) and deliberate self-harm (Carter et al., 2016), given the
27 high comorbidity.

28

29 There is an evidence base for the effectiveness of various psychological treatments for
30 Borderline Personality Disorder (BPD) (for example cognitive behavioural and
31 psychodynamic therapies), involving weekly sessions for one year, all with similar outcomes
32 (Cristea et al., 2017). Most health workers indicate a need for greater training in these
33 treatments for personality disorder (McCarthy et al., 2013). The underlying general skills that
34 are effective in all these models have been described and tested (Bateman et al., 2015;

1 Beatson and Rao, 2014), meaning any psychologist or psychiatrist can implement effective
2 care with support.

3

4 There is however workforce challenges to providing coverage of psychological therapies. For
5 example, in Australia access to psychiatrists is limited, with 17 private psychiatrists per 100
6 000 population practising in major cities, 6.2 per 100 000 in inner regional areas, 4.4 per 100
7 000 in outer regional areas and only 3 per 100 000 for outer regional and remote areas
8 (Australian Institute of Health and Welfare, 2014). Mental health nurses are a significant part
9 of the workforce but often are not trained in psychological therapies thus improving access to
10 funding psychologists is the most viable option. There is greater onus is placed on
11 psychologists to provide treatment and support to individuals with personality disorder, yet
12 the burden often falls to public services which may struggle to provide the community
13 services required for effective evidence-based care.

14

15 Consumers and carers have both reported the difficulties in identifying and accessing services
16 (Lawn and McMahon, 2015). Current mental health schemes offered as part of universal
17 health care in Australia, such as the Better Access to Mental Health Scheme or the Access to
18 Allied Psychological Services (ATAPS) subsidises only 10 – 18 individual and 10 - 12 group
19 sessions per calendar year, which clinical guidelines and research considers insufficient for
20 meeting the treatment needs of some individuals with personality disorder (Beatson and Rao,
21 2014; National Health and Medical Research Council, 2012). More concerning, at present
22 personality disorders are not recognised on the general practitioner's mental health care
23 Medicare items list, suggesting that current universal mental health schemes are not suitably
24 designed to support the treatment of personality disorder. Other treatment access pathways
25 such as Australia's National Disability Insurance Scheme may not be a good match for most
26 people with personality disorder. The majority of people with personality disorder respond
27 well if provided effective evidence-based psychological treatment and therefore, recovery
28 and living a contributing life is achievable. Long term disability would mostly represent a
29 failure to access and receive evidence-based community psychological treatment. The
30 implementation of an alternative model for accessing community based treatment when
31 warranted by individuals is required.

32

33 At present, different state based initiatives in Australia – such as the Project Air Strategy in
34 New South Wales and Spectrum Personality Disorders Service in Victoria are available.

1 South Australia, through their state Mental Health Commission, has commenced the process
2 of reform. We outline a number of areas of priority which require careful consideration at this
3 time of reform.

4 5 1. Improving Treatment for Individuals with Personality Disorder

6
7 Individuals with personality disorder often access a variety of services, both clinical and
8 psychosocial, to assist with their recovery. A national commitment is needed to re-orient
9 clinical services to implement the NHMRC clinical practice guidelines. Stepped care models
10 for personality disorder have been developed using brief interventions to intervene rapidly at
11 the acute stage of illness, followed by additional longer term treatment as clinical need
12 dictates (Grenyer, 2014). The stepped care approach also acknowledges individuals who have
13 personality disorder who do not require or wish to engage in long term care, but can benefit
14 from immediate crisis care that provides specific focused personality disorder interventions
15 (Grenyer, 2014). Longer term evidence-based interventions designed for the treatment of
16 BPD have demonstrated their effectiveness in terms of outcomes and cost. A recent
17 systematic review identified the benefits of providing evidence-based interventions, with an
18 average cost saving of USD \$2987.82 per patient per year (Meuldijk et al., 2017).

19
20 Training all mental health staff in Australia to effectively work with individuals with
21 personality disorder and the implementation of brief and longer-term intervention services
22 around Australia is an urgent priority as such these models can lead to significant reductions
23 in inpatient hospitalisation and emergency department presentations (Grenyer, 2014). The
24 need to improve skills and knowledge of mental health staff has been supported by the need
25 for a whole of system approach such that staff working in specialist and non-specialist
26 organisations need to be equipped with the skills and knowledge in order to work with
27 individuals with personality disorder (Grenyer, 2013).

28 29 2. Assessing and Intervening Early

30
31 Increasing evidence has suggested that early intervention and diagnosis prior to the age of 18
32 and intervening with individuals who have emerging personality disorder is conducive to
33 improving outcomes (Chanen et al., 2009). The NHMRC clinical practice guidelines
34 (National Health and Medical Research Council, 2012) makes two pertinent

1 recommendations; first young people with emerging symptoms should be assessed for
2 possible BPD; and second, adolescents should receive structured psychological therapies. Yet
3 despite this clear guidance, there is ongoing reluctance from health professionals in
4 diagnosing individuals with BPD prior to the age of 18 years. This has potential to not only
5 limit the types of services individuals can access but also delays access to effective treatment.
6 Primary care that is well connected to schools and families provide good opportunities to
7 identify, intervene, and source additional support for individuals with these emerging
8 problems (Grenyer, 2013). Mental health staff working with adolescents similarly have the
9 skills to assess and treat young people with emerging symptoms if they are trained in
10 contemporary personality disorder treatment. Sadly, most experienced staff identify training
11 and knowledge gaps in treating these disorders (McCarthy et al., 2013).

12

13 One innovative example of early intervention in Australia is the HYPE (Helping Young
14 People Early) clinic based at the ORYGEN Youth Health (Chanen et al., 2009). This model
15 provides integrative care for adolescents between 15-25 years of age, offering psychotherapy,
16 case management, crisis care and support for families and carers.

17

18 3. Improving the experience of consumers, families, carers and partners

19

20 There is a need to support all those who embark on the treatment and recovery journey from
21 personality disorders, which includes the family, carers and partners of individuals with
22 personality disorder. Significant burden, higher rates of psychological distress, and reduced
23 levels of wellbeing have been associated with caring for loved ones with personality disorder
24 (Bailey and Grenyer, 2014).

25

26 The consumer voice in personality disorder has emerged in the past decade with the
27 development of organisations such as the Australian BPD Foundation. These organisations
28 play an instrumental role in advocating for consumers, carers and family members, and
29 increasing community awareness of personality disorder. Despite this work, considerable
30 stigma and discrimination continues to be reported by both individuals with lived experience
31 and their carers, within the community and the health system (Lawn and McMahon, 2015).

32 This has been suggested to be perpetuated by the attitudes and limited knowledge on
33 personality disorders held by health practitioners. Alongside an imperative to educate
34 clinicians already within the workforce, emphasis should also be placed on tertiary and

1 vocation education settings to incorporate evidence based knowledge regarding personality
2 disorder for all pre-workforce clinicians. In the community level, mental health literacy in
3 regards to personality disorder is limited. The development of population based awareness
4 campaigns, not dissimilar to those designed to improve awareness of depression and
5 schizophrenia, which involve individuals with personality disorder and their carers may
6 address stigma and increase awareness.

7
8 Research is also needed that includes multiple perspectives to provide a greater insight into
9 the experiences of consumers (Ng et al., 2016). This could be achieved through the
10 incorporation of differing methodologies in collective data, such as narrative methods,
11 ethnography, case studies, and participatory action research. The development of a peer
12 workforce for personality disorder may provide a unique opportunity for the co-production of
13 knowledge.

14

15 4. Accurate and representative collection and reporting of data

16

17 Improving the quality of health services and understanding outcomes for Australian's living
18 with personality disorder is driven by the accurate collection and reporting of data. Currently,
19 personality disorders are often not specifically reported upon within national reports
20 including those from the Australian Institute of Health and Welfare, but rather classed within
21 the 'other' category. Internationally, personality disorders have been excluded when reporting
22 on mental health morbidity (Tyrer et al., 2010).

23

24 In the recent report on Healthy Communities: Hospitalisations for mental health conditions
25 and intentional self-harm in 2013–14, the other category includes: BPD; Unspecified delirium
26 Eating disorders and Sleep disorders (Australian Institute of Health and Welfare, 2016).

27 There is a clear need to understand more about this 'other group' particularly given they
28 represent close to a fifth of all hospitalisations and 34% of all hospitalisations in individuals
29 under 25 years (Australian Institute of Health and Welfare, 2016). Given population data
30 estimates the prevalence of personality disorders at 6.5% of the Australian population
31 (Jackson and Burgess, 2000), it is likely a significant proportion of other is represented by
32 individuals with personality disorder. However, this data is more than 15 years old and
33 requires updating to reflect current trends.

34

1 Rates of suicide for people with personality disorder have been established through
2 examining longitudinal studies of individuals who have sought treatment and have been
3 estimated to be at approximately 10% (American Psychiatric Association, 2001). The
4 national calls for suicide prevention in Australia are silent on personality disorder, despite
5 this diagnosis being associated with a higher risk of self-harm and suicidal behaviours
6 (National Health and Medical Research Council, 2012). Where they exist, studies have
7 predominately been based within North America and no data is available for Australia. Also,
8 the data reflects individuals who have received treatment and it is unknown how this
9 translates to individuals who are not engaging in treatment. The establishment of a national
10 suicide registry may assist to understand mortality rates in Australia - if mental health
11 diagnoses that include personality disorder are linked.

12

13 Reforming the manner in which personality disorder is serviced, and viewed in Australia will
14 require a consistent national approach involving ongoing commitment from government. We
15 outline some of the pertinent issues surrounding personality disorder, however it is important
16 to recognise that ongoing changes as part of national reform is required in order to improve
17 services and outcomes for individuals with personality disorder and their carers and their
18 families.

19

1 **References**

- 2
- 3 American Psychiatric Association. (2001) Practice guidelines for the treatment of patients
4 with borderline personality disorder. *The American Journal of Psychiatry* 158: 2-52.
- 5 Australian Institute of Health and Welfare. (2014) *Mental Health Workforce*. Available at:
6 <https://mhsa.aihw.gov.au/resources/workforce/>.
- 7 Australian Institute of Health and Welfare. (2016) Hospitalisations for mental health
8 conditions and intentional self-harm in 2013–14. Canberra.
- 9 Bailey RC, and Grenyer, BFS. (2014) Supporting a person with personality disorder: A study
10 of carer burden and well-being. *Journal of Personality Disorders* 28: 796-810.
- 11 Bateman AW, Gunderson J, and Mulder R. (2015) Treatment of personality disorder. *The*
12 *Lancet* 385: 735-743.
- 13 Beatson, J, and Rao, S. (2014) Psychotherapy for borderline personality disorder.
14 *Australasian Psychiatry* 22: 529-532.
- 15 Carter G, Page A, Large M, Hetrick S, Milner AJ, Bendit N, Walton C, Draper B, Hazell P,
16 Fortune S, Burns J, Patton G, Lawrence M, Dadd L, Robinson J, and Christensen H.
17 (2016) Royal Australian and New Zealand College of Psychiatrists clinical practice
18 guideline for the management of deliberate self-harm. *Australian and New Zealand*
19 *Journal of Psychiatry* 50: 939-1000.
- 20 Chanen AM, McCutcheon LK, Germano D, Nistico H, Jackson H, and McGorry PD. (2009)
21 The HYPE clinic: An early intervention service for borderline personality disorder.
22 *Journal of Psychiatric Practice*. 15: 163-172.
- 23 Cristea IA, Gentili C, Cotet CD, et al. (2017) Efficacy of psychotherapies for borderline
24 personality disorder: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *JAMA Psychiatry*. Epub
25 ahead of print 1 March 2017. DOI: 10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2016.4287
- 26 Grenyer BFS. (2013) Improved prognosis for borderline personality disorder. *The Medical*
27 *Journal of Australia* 198: 464-465.
- 28 Grenyer BFS. (2014) An integrative relational step-down model of care: The Project Air
29 Strategy for personality disorders. *The ACPARIAN* 9: 8-13.
- 30 Huang Y, Kotov R, de Girolamo G, et al. (2009) DSM-IV personality disorders in the WHO
31 World Mental Health Surveys. *British Journal of Psychiatry* 195: 46-53.
- 32 Jackson HJ and Burgess PM. (2000) Personality disorders in the community: A report from
33 the Australian National Survey of Mental Health and Wellbeing. *Social Psychiatry*
34 *and Psychiatric Epidemiology* 35: 531-538.
- 35 Krawitz R, and Watson C. (1999) *Borderline Personality Disorder: Pathways to Effective*
36 *Service Delivery and Clinical Treatment Options*. Wellington, New Zealand.
- 37 Lawn S, and McMahon, J. (2015) Experiences of care by Australians with a diagnosis of
38 borderline personality disorder. *Journal of Psychiatric and Mental Health Nursing* 22:
39 510-521.
- 40 Leichsenring F, Leibing E, Kruse J, et al. (2011) Borderline personality disorder. *The Lancet*
41 377: 74-84.
- 42 Malhi GS, Bassett D, Boyce P, Bryant R, Fitzgerald PB, Fritz K, Hopwood M, Lyndon B,
43 Mulder R, Murray G, Porter R, and Singh AB. (2015) Royal Australian and New
44 Zealand College of Psychiatrists clinical practice guidelines for mood disorders.
45 *Australian and New Zealand Journal of Psychiatry* 49: 1-185.
- 46 McCarthy KL, Carter PE and Grenyer BFS. (2013) Challenges to getting evidence into
47 practice: Expert clinician perspectives on psychotherapy for personality disorders.
48 *Journal of Mental Health* 22: 482-491.

- 1 Meuldijk D, McCarthy A, Bourke ME, et al. (2017) The value of psychological treatment for
2 Borderline Personality Disorder: Systematic review and cost-offset analysis of
3 economic evaluations. *PLoS ONE* 12: e0171592.
- 4 National Health and Medical Research Council. (2012) Clinical practice guideline for the
5 management of borderline personality disorder. Melbourne: NHMRC.
- 6 Ng FYY, Bourke ME and Grenyer BFS. (2016) Recovery from borderline personality
7 disorder: A systematic reivew of the perspectives of consumers, clinicians, family and
8 carers. *PLoS ONE* 11: e0160515.
- 9 Tyrer P, Mulder R, Crawford M, et al. (2010) Personality disorder: A new global perspective.
10 *World Psychiatry* 9: 56-60.
11
12