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Abstract—The International Electrotechnical Committee Technical Report IEC 61000-3-13 is focused on the coordination of voltage unbalance (VU) in power systems by prescribing a methodology to determine individual VU emission allocation limits to installations. This paper provides an alternative VU emission allocation process to that of the IEC Technical Report, which is based on the concept of constrained bus voltage (CBV) method. The proposed methodology can be used in relation to radial distribution networks with symmetrical distribution lines. Several application examples of the proposed methodology are presented. It is shown that the proposed methodology is superior in comparison to the VU allocation methodology presented in IEC technical reports, as it enables the network VU absorption capacity to be fully utilised.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The International Electromechanical Committee (IEC) has published a series of technical reports providing guiding principles to system operators, on determining the requirements for the connection of disturbing installations such that adequate power quality (PQ) to all connected customers is ensured. Development of methodologies for calculating the PQ disturbance emission allocation limits for individual installations is an inherent part of the aforementioned process. Such methodologies should ensure that the network PQ disturbance absorption capacity is utilised as much as possible, while maintaining the PQ disturbance levels at any part of the network within the reference values known as compatibility levels [1].

With regard to voltage unbalance (VU), the IEC has recently published the Technical Report IEC TR 61000-3-13 [2]. This Technical Report is mainly focused on VU emission allocation for unbalanced installations connected to MV, HV and EHV networks. The concept of a $k_{CBV}$ factor is introduced in this Technical Report to account for VU which arises due to the asymmetry of the supply networks. Similar to its counterpart, IEC 61000-3-6 [3] for harmonics and IEC 61000-3-7 [4] for flicker, IEC 61000-3-13 provides a three-stage emission allocation process. Under stage one, installations which have low short-circuit ratios at the point of common coupling are exempted from emission limits and are allowed to connect without any detailed analysis. For larger installations (depending on their MVA capacity), the stage two emission allocation process is applicable, in which emission limits are derived based on the principle of apportioning the available distortion absorption capacity of the network with respect to the installation MVA capacity. Installations which do not fall into stage two category compliance limits, additional emission limits can be provided on temporary basis under stage three, which requires detailed investigation of the network.

In addition to the VU emission allocation methodology given in the IEC Technical Report, national level guidelines are available in some countries for coordination of VU. For instance, the Technical Report, “Technical Rules for the Assessment of Network Disturbances” is used in Austria, Switzerland, Czech Republic and Germany, in which a fixed VU emission allocation limit is prescribed for all installations irrespective of their MVA capacities and point of connection [5]. The constrained bus voltage method (CBV) has been proposed in [6] as an alternative methodology for harmonic and flicker emission allocation, in which emission levels at network busbars are explicitly forced to be set at reference levels when all installations are injecting their limits derived under the CBV methodology. Application of the CBV method for VU emission allocation in HV meshed networks is carried out in [1]. However, due to the meshed structure of the networks, considerable effort is required in deriving the emission allocation limits under the CBV method.

The main objective of the current research is to propose a simplified VU emission allocation methodology based on the concepts of CBV specifically for distribution networks with short MV distribution lines and completely transposed distribution lines where line impedance asymmetries are negligible [2]. The paper is organised as follows. The background details in relation to propagation of VU and the summation of VU from multiple unbalanced sources together with a general expression for estimating the VU at any location in a radial feeder is given in Section II. Section III presents the revised VU allocation methodology based on the concepts of CBV. Several case studies which illustrate the application of the proposed methodology is also given in Section III. A study which investigates the impact of mains connected three-phase induction motors on the VU emission allocation process is presented in Section IV. Conclusions are given in Section V.

II. ESTIMATION OF VOLTAGE UNBALANCE AT VARIOUS LOCATIONS OF A RADIAL FEEDER

![Fig. 1. Radial distribution network with multiple installations](image)

In order to establish a general expression for voltage unbalance factor (VUF) at various locations along a feeder
where multiple unbalanced installations are connected, consider the radial distribution network given in Fig. 1. Multiple unbalanced installations are connected to the MV busbar and intermediate busbars 1 to n. The distribution line sections are assumed to be symmetrical. Considering one installation at a time, VU attenuation when it propagates upstream from the point of connection (PCC) of the installation under consideration, can be expressed in terms of VU transfer coefficient (i.e. the ratio of VU at the upstream point to VU at the PCC of the installation). Referring to Appendix A, the VU transfer coefficient from downstream to upstream of the network can be approximated by the ratio of fault levels of two locations. The VU transfer coefficient from the PCC of the installation to a downstream point of the network will be equal to unity as there are no further installations connected at downstream. Thus, assuming that only the installation connected to i-th busbar is operating, the VU transfer coefficient from i-th busbar to the MV busbar \((T_{u,i}\text{- MV})\) and the VU transfer coefficient from i-th to the n-th busbar \((T_{u,i-n})\) can be given by (1) and (2) respectively,

\[
T_{u,i\text{- MV}} \approx \frac{S_{sc\text{- i}}}{S_{sc\text{- MV}}} \tag{1}
\]

\[
T_{u,i-n} = 1 \tag{2}
\]

When multiple unbalanced installations are operating simultaneously, the VU emission of each unbalanced installation can be influenced by the other unbalanced installations connected to adjacent busbars. Hence, development of a deterministic approach to estimate the net VU at each busbar would be difficult [10]. A general summation law based on a statistical approach to estimate the net VU at each busbar would be influenced by the other unbalanced installations connected downstream to i-th busbar, and VU that propagates to i-th busbar from all other busbars located downstream of i-th busbar due to VU emission from unbalanced installations connected to those busbars \((\sum_{m=i+1}^{n} \frac{S_{sc\text{- m}}}{S_{sc\text{- MV}}} E_{VUF\text{- m}})\). Hence, using the general summation law, the total VUF at the i-th busbar \((VUF_{i\text{- total}})\) can be written as (3). Similarly, the VUF at the MV busbar \((VUF_{MV\text{- total}})\) and VUF at the n-th busbar \((VUF_{n\text{- total}})\) can be given by (4) and (5) respectively.

In (3) to (5), \(VUF_{i\text{- total}}\) is the magnitude of resultant VUF at MV busbar, \(VUF_{MV}\) is the magnitude of VUF transferred from the upstream network, \(VUF_{MV\text{- total}}\) is the magnitude of VUF emission from the unbalanced installations directly connected to the MV busbar, \(VUF_{m}\) is the magnitude of VUF emission from installations connected downstream to the MV busbar, and \(m = 1, 2, 3, ..., i-1, i+1, ..., n\), \(S_{sc\text{- m}}\) is the short-circuit level at any intermediate busbar \(m\), \(S_{sc\text{- MV}}\) is the short-circuit level at the MV busbar, \(\alpha\) is the general summation component for VU and is equal to 1.4.

### III. Voltage Unbalance Emission Allocation Using CBV Methodology for Radial Distribution Networks

#### A. General Principles

In the VU coordination process, the compatibility between system VU levels and equipment immunity levels is ensured by providing reference values known as compatibility level values [2]. These values are determined based on the 95% probability of VU in the entire power system. The compatibility value of VU at the public LV network is given in [7]. The system operators should ensure that VU levels at any part of the network do not exceed the compatibility level.

Based on the compatibility level value, planning level values are defined for different voltage levels. Planning level values are considered as internal quality objectives of respective network operators and depend on the structure of the network. Indicative values for planning levels for different voltage levels are given in [2]. Planning level values should always be equal to or lower than the compatibility level values.

Assume that the VU emission allocation limit of an unbalanced installation connected to the distribution network shown in Fig. 1 is related to the agreed power of the installations as given by (6),

\[
E_{VUF\text{- i}} = k \cdot \sqrt{S_i} \tag{6}
\]
where $E_{VUFI,i}$ is the emission allocation limit for the installation that is connected to the $i^{th}$ busbar (voltage unbalance factor), $S_i$ is the agreed power of the installation in per-unit, $\alpha$ is the general summation component and $k$ is an allocation constant, which is dependent on the distribution network under consideration.

When the MVA capacity of each installation and the short-circuit level of each busbar is known in advance and by substituting the VU emission in (3)-(5) by the VU emission for each installation (given by (6)) the net VUF at each busbar can be estimated using (3)-(6) as a function of allocation constant $k$. Considering that the VUF at any busbar should not exceed the set planning level for the distribution network, a suitable value for $k$ can be determined. For example, when the value of $k$ is increased from zero up to a certain value in (3)-(5), the VUF at one of the busbar (called as the critical busbar) will reach the set planning level. The value of $k$ in which the critical busbar reach its planning level is then selected as the allocation constant. Thereafter, the emission allocation limits for all installations can be calculated using (6). The acceptable negative-sequence current allocation limit ($E_{L_{-i}}$) for the installation under consideration can be determined by (7) [2].

$$E_{L_{-i}} = \frac{E_{VUFI,i}}{Z_{22i}} \quad (7)$$

where $Z_{22i}$ is the negative-sequence impedance at the $i^{th}$ busbar. In general, $Z_{22i}$ can be assumed to be equal to the positive-sequence impedance at the $i^{th}$ busbar $Z_{11i}$.

### B. Application Example of the Proposed Methodology

1) Case I: In order to demonstrate the application of the proposed methodology in Section III-A, consider the radial distribution network given in Fig. 2. The radial distribution network consists of six unbalanced installations with MVA capacities as given in Table I, connected via balanced distribution lines to the HV/MV transformer. The impedance data of the distribution lines and of the HV/MV transformer are given in Appendix B. The calculation procedure of emission limits for each unbalanced installation, using the proposed methodology is described in the following steps.

An HV planning level ($L_{uHV}$) of 1.35%, MV planning level ($L_{uMV}$) of 1.75% and a HV to MV transfer coefficient ($T_{HV/MV}$) of unity are assumed for the network [2]. The VU that propagates from the HV network to the MV network can be calculated as $VUF_{HV} = T_{HV/MV} \cdot L_{uHV}$. Each installation is given a VU emission allocation defined according to (6). Employing (6) and (3), the VUF at the PCC of each installation can be calculated for various values of $k$. The resultant VUF at each busbar when $k = 0.0061$ for base MVA of 10 MVA is given in Table I.

According to Table I, the resultant VUF at the PCC of the installation L5 (Bus ID E) is observed reach the planning level of 1.75%. Hence, the allocation constant can be selected as 0.0061 for the network. The resulting VU emission limits are for each installation are given in Table I column 4.

2) Case II: In certain situations, allocation of the VUF based on the unbalanced component (MVA rating) of the installation instead of its entire agreed power would be reasonable. This enables the system operator to provide an increased VUF emission limits to other unbalanced installations connected to the same network, while maintaining the net VU of the network within the network planning levels. Thus, the VU allocation in (6) for an installation can be modified as (8),

$$E_{VUFI,i} = k \cdot \sqrt{U_f \cdot S_i} \quad (8)$$

where $U_f$ is the ratio of MVA capacity of the unbalanced component ($S_i_{unbalanced}$) of the installation to the agreed power of the installation ($S_i$) (i.e. $U_f = S_i_{unbalanced}/S_i$).

Assume that that installation L3 connected to the distribution network given in Fig. 2 to be fully balanced ($U_f = 1.0$) and MVA capacity of the unbalanced component of installation L1, installation L4 and installation L5 are equal to 0.5. The

---

1The values for HV and MV planning levels are selected based on the indicative values given in IEC TR 61000-3-13 [2] for HV and MV networks. The HV to MV transfer coefficient is selected as unity as there is no induction motor loads connected to the MV network.
VU emission allocation limits for each installation in the distribution network of Fig. 2, when an allocation is made based on unbalanced MVA capacity of each installations are given in Table II.

As expected, the VU emission limits for installations L2 and L6 have increased to 0.53 % and 0.28 % respectively, compared to 0.37 % and 0.19 % in Case I.

C. The Impact of Single-phase/Two-phase Installations

The proposed methodology can be modified to estimate the VU emission limits for unbalanced installations connected to a distribution network in situations where there is a special installation such as a single or two-phase installation connected to the same network. For a single or two-phase installation the VU emission is given by (9),

\[ E_{VUF,i} = \frac{S_i}{S_{sc,i}} \cdot CUF_i \]  

(9)

where;

\[ E_{VUF,i} \] is the magnitude of VUF at the \( i^{th} \) busbar where the load is connected

\[ CUF_i \] is the magnitude of current unbalance factor (CUF) of the installation

\[ S_i \] is MVA capacity of the single or two-phase installation

\[ S_{sc,i} \] is the short-circuit level at the \( i^{th} \) busbar

The CUF for different configurations of single and two-phase installations are given in Table. III [8].

Referring to Table V, the highest attenuation of VU can be observed when induction motor load and an unbalanced installation is connected to the same PCC.

Due to the VU attenuation provided by induction motor loads, the net VU absorption capacity of the networks has now increased. This additional VU absorption capacity could be used to allocate for other unbalanced installation connected to the same network by modifying the VU emission allocation equation given in (8) to give (11).

\[ E_{VUF,i} = \beta \cdot E_{VUF,i} \]  

(11)

where \( E_{VUF,i} \) is the effective VUF emission from the unbalanced installation considering the attenuation provided by the induction motor installation, \( \beta \) is the influence factor, and \( E_{VUF,i} \) is the VU emission limit for the installation and equals \( k \cdot \sqrt{S_i} \). Substituting \( E_{VUF,i} \) in (3)-(5) with \( E_{VUF,i} \) and \( E_{VUF,i} \), the allocation constant can be determined subject to the condition specified in Section III-A.

A comparison of the resulting VU emission limits for an unbalanced installation without and with considering the attenuation of induction motor installations are given in Fig. 3. All installations were considered to be totally unbalanced (\( U_f = 1 \)). However, no allocation was made to the induction motor installation. As expected, the allocation limits have slightly increased latter case, compared to the former.
V. CONCLUSION

A revised methodology for VU allocation based on the CBV methodology in radial distribution networks is presented in this paper. The proposed methodology provides a robust and flexible approach for VU allocation, which can accommodate constraints such as the presence of single and two-phase installations. The impact of induction motor installations on the VU allocation process is also investigated in the paper. The main difficulty with the proposed methodology is that the fault level at the PCC and the MVA capacities of each installation are required to be known in advance. However, such difficulties can be overcome by intuitive, good engineering judgment and planning. Furthermore, with appropriate adjustments the proposed methodology can be easily used for stage three emission allocation process to supplement the IEC emission allocation process.

APPENDIX A

DERIVATION OF EQUATION (1)

Considering a radial distribution network given in Fig. 1 in Section II and assuming that only load $i$ is operating, VUF at the $i$th busbar ($VUF_i$) and MV busbar ($VUF_{MV}$) can be given by (A.1) and (A.2) respectively.

$$VUF_i = \left(-\frac{Z_{11:tr} + Z_{11:line}}{Z_{11:load}}\right) \cdot \frac{CUF_{load}}{\bar{U}_{1:MV}} \quad (A.1)$$

$$VUF_{MV} = \left(-\frac{Z_{11:tr}}{Z_{11:load}}\right) \cdot \frac{U_{1:i}}{\bar{U}_{1:MV}} \cdot \frac{CUF_{load}}{\bar{U}_{1:MV}} \quad (A.2)$$

where $Z_{11:tr}$, $Z_{11:line}$, $Z_{11:load}$, $CUF_{load}$ are the positive-sequence impedance of the transformer, positive-sequence impedance of distribution line from MV busbar to the $i$th busbar, positive-sequence impedance of the load and the magnitude of current unbalance factor of the load connected to $i$th busbar respectively. $U_{1:MV}$ and $U_{1:i}$ stand for the positive-sequence voltage at the MV busbar and positive-sequence voltage at the intermediate busbar $i$ respectively.

Hence, the VUF transfer coefficient from $i$th busbar to MV busbar $T_{u_i-MV}$ ($VUF_{MV}/VUF_i$), can be established as given by (A.3),

$$T_{u_i-MV} = \left(-\frac{Z_{11:tr}}{Z_{11:load}}\right) \cdot \frac{CUF_{load}}{\bar{U}_{1:MV}} \cdot \frac{U_{1:i}}{\bar{U}_{1:MV}} \quad (A.3)$$

Assuming that $U_{1:MV} \approx U_{1:i}$, $T_{u_i-MV}$ can be expressed as (A.4),

$$T_{u_i-MV} \approx \frac{Z_{11:tr}}{Z_{11:tr} + Z_{11:line}} \quad (A.4)$$

Equation (A.4) can be further simplified to (A.5) where $S_{sc:MV}$ short-circuit capacity of the MV busbar and $S_{sc:i}$ is the short-circuit capacity of the $i$th busbar.

$$T_{u_i-MV} \approx \frac{S_{sc:i}}{S_{sc:MV}} \quad (A.5)$$

Equation (A.5) implies that when only one installation is operating at a time, the VU transfer coefficient from the PCC of the considered installation to an upstream point of the network can be approximated by the ratio of short-circuit level of two locations. The reader should note due to the assumption $U_{1:MV} \approx U_{1:i}$, (A.5) provides a slight over-estimation of VU propagation [10] from $i$th busbar to MV busbar.

APPENDIX B

NETWORK DATA

The network parameter of the MV distribution network in Section III-B.

Line parameters: The phase impedance matrix of the 12.47 kV distribution line sections in Section III-B in $\Omega/km$

$$0.2494 + j0.8748 \quad 0.0592 + j0.4811 \quad 0.0592 + j0.4811 \quad 0.2494 + j0.8748$$

Transformer parameters:

138/12.47 kV transformer: 20 MVA, 60 Hz, 0.0048+j0.0998 pu impedance

12.47/2.3 kV transformer: 5 MVA, 60 Hz, 0.014+j0.07937 pu impedance

Induction motor parameters:

2.3 kV, 2250 HP, 60 Hz, $r_s=0.0269 \, \Omega$, $X_s=0.226 \, \Omega$, $X_M = 13.04 \, \Omega$, $X_{tr} = 0.226 \, \Omega$, $R_{tr} = 0.022 \, \Omega$ and $J = 63.87 \, \text{kg} \cdot \text{m}^2$
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