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1 Introduction

Ozone is an important oxidant and known as a primary gaseous component of photochemical smog. High concentrations at ground level are harmful to human health, ecosystems and agriculture whereas the ozone layer in the stratosphere protects life on Earth against hazardous ultraviolet radiation. In the upper troposphere and lower stratosphere region (UTLS) ozone changes can contribute relatively strongly to climate change since ozone is an important greenhouse gas with a radiative forcing of about 0.35 W m$^{-2}$ (IPCC, 2007). Furthermore, ozone is a precursor of the hydroxyl radical OH, which governs the oxidation power of the atmosphere.

In general, the downward transport of ozone from the stratosphere (Holton et al., 1995) and the photochemical production involving volatile organic compounds (VOCs), CO and NO are sources of tropospheric ozone (Crutzen, 1995; Stevenson et al., 2006). It is well known that electrical corona discharges produce O$_3$. However, experimental evidence from field studies is very sparse. It was first postulated by Shlanta and Moore (1972) that discharges might occur on cloud water droplets or ice particles in highly electrified environments. Griffing (1977) also linked corona discharges to streamer filaments or the surrounding area of lightning channels. Laboratory studies confirm that the production of ozone
in hot lightning channels is negligible (Wang et al., 1998). In contrast, corona discharge effectively produces ozone, as quantified by Peyroux and Lapeyre (1982) and Simek and Clupek (2002). Hill et al. (1988) estimated the production of NO and ozone as a function of energy dissipation by corona discharge, yielding a rate of $(1.4 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{16}$ molecules NO per joule and $(4 \pm 2) \times 10^{17}$ molecules O$_3$ per joule, respectively. Minschwaner et al. (2008) suggested a production rate of $1 \times 10^{17}$ O$_3$ molecules per flash from balloon measurements in an electrically active thunderstorm in New Mexico, USA. Corona discharge in the vicinity of a lightning flash can be an additional regional source of ozone in convectively active areas. The NO yield from cold discharge (temperature < 3000 K) in association with lightning or on cloud droplets is lower compared to hot channel lightning which was already postulated by Donohoe et al. (1977). In the hot flash channel the NO yield maximizes at 4000 K (Bhetanabhotla et al., 1985; Martinez and Brandvold, 1996; Navarro-González et al., 2001). As shown by many theoretical and experimental studies, hot lightning strokes are a significant global source of NOx with a production rate of $15 \times 10^{27}$ NO molecules per flash (Huntrieser et al., 2007, and references therein).

The vertical distribution of ozone in the tropical troposphere can typically be described with an S-shaped profile (Thompson et al., 2003). A 1-D model, with the ozone mixing ratio in the convective outflow treated as a free parameter, reproduces this profile reasonably well. In this model vertical advection, including downward transport from the stratosphere, convective transport and photochemical production of ozone are considered (Folkins et al., 2002). This generic ozone profile indicates a local minimum in the remote boundary layer caused by local ozone destruction under warm, moist and low NOx conditions (Lelieveld and Crutzen, 1994). This leads to ozone mixing ratios of only a few ppbv in the marine boundary layer (Johnson et al., 1990). In continental regions the O$_3$ mixing ratio typically varies between about 15 and 30 ppbv (Fortuin and Kelder, 1998; Folkins et al., 2002). At higher altitudes there is a local maximum in the profile around 6–7 km due to reduced ozone destruction compared to the boundary layer. A second local minimum at around 11 km is caused by convective outflow of ozone poor air, which is transported from the boundary layer to the free troposphere. This influence decreases with increasing altitude leading to increasing ozone above the second local minimum.

In continental areas the local minimum at around 11 km is not always present (Folkins et al., 2002). In the continental boundary layer ozone precursors from local emissions by vegetation and anthropogenic pollution can have high concentrations. In convective updrafts these precursors are transported into the free troposphere. NOx emitted at the surface and lifted to higher altitudes or directly produced from lightning in cumulonimbus clouds (Cb) contributes to photochemical O$_3$ production. This results in enhanced ozone mixing ratios, “filling” up the transport-related local minimum in ozone mixing ratios in the middle or upper troposphere.

During individual events of localized deep convection the atmospheric profile can deviate from the described average “background” situation. Dynamical and chemical mechanisms in association with deep cumulonimbus cloud (Cb) convection can alter the background such that the chemical composition of the middle and upper troposphere downwind is affected for days to weeks after the events. In the case study presented in this work, the influence of deep convection in the tropics on the vertical distribution of ozone is analysed. We observed mean O$_3$ mixing ratios of $(24.6 \pm 10.2)$ ppbv (median: 18.7 ppbv) in the boundary layer and $(55.2 \pm 2.2)$ ppbv (median: 55.7 ppbv) in the outflow of an isolated Cb cloud. This unexpectedly high O$_3$ mixing ratio in the outflow is investigated with respect to the photochemistry and dynamics of a thunderstorm cloud.

Section 2 presents a brief description of the GABRIEL measurement campaign and provides an overview of the instrumentation and the data set obtained for the evaluation of this case study. In Sect. 3, the photochemical ozone production in the convective outflow is estimated. The dynamical transport of air from the lower troposphere into the sampled outflow region and the role of entrainment is investigated in Sect. 4, and Sect. 5 discusses the excess amount of ozone and the potential sources.

2 GABRIEL 2005

2.1 Mission description

The aircraft measurement campaign GABRIEL 2005 was planned as a follow-up project of LBA-CLAIRE 1998, in Suriname, South America, to investigate the atmosphere–biosphere exchange and its impact on atmospheric chemistry in Amazonia. In 2005 an extended state-of-the-art scientific payload was used to quantify OH and HO$_2$ production and destruction over the tropical rainforest as a function of atmosphere–biosphere interactions and natural VOC emissions during the long dry season (August to November). The role of convective transport on the vertical distribution of primary and secondary gaseous species affecting tropospheric chemistry and trace gas budgets was a further goal of GABRIEL. The measurement platform was a Learjet 35A operated by the Gesellschaft für Flugziele-Darstellung (GFD, Hohn, Germany) and based at Johann A. Pengel International Airport at Zanderij (5° N, 55° W). Table 1 shows an overview of the various measured species and the related measurement techniques that were applied for the different instruments for the GABRIEL campaign. Further information of the measured species is reported in Stickler et al. (2007), Williams et al. (2007), Gebhardt et al. (2008), Eerdekens et al. (2009) and Martinez et al. (2010).
Table 1. Compendium of the measured species during the GABRIEL campaign.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Measurement technique</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NO, NO₂, O₃</td>
<td>Chemiluminescence detector (CLD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JNO₂</td>
<td>Filter radiometer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO, HCHO</td>
<td>Quantum cascade laser absorption spectrometer (QCLAS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H₂O₂, ROOH</td>
<td>Dual enzyme fluorescence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OH, HO₂</td>
<td>Laser-induced fluorescence (LIF)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO₂, H₂O</td>
<td>Non-dispersive infrared absorption detector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VOC, NMHC + OVOC (selected masses)</td>
<td>Proton transfer reaction mass spectrometer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMHC</td>
<td>Gas chromatography and mass spectrometry (canister and cartridge samples)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T, p, RH</td>
<td>Standard techniques</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aircraft position</td>
<td>GPS, avionics</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In 10 measurement flights, of 3 to 3.5 h each, the troposphere was probed in a region between 3.5 and 6° N and between 59 and 51° W (Lelieveld et al., 2008). Extensive measurements over the ocean and the pristine tropical rainforest enabled the study of atmosphere–biosphere interactions by contrasting these different environments. The flight patterns were designed to investigate longitudinal and latitudinal trace gas gradients in the boundary layer (BL) and the free troposphere (FT). Identical flight patterns during three different times of the day (morning, noon, afternoon) provide data for the identification of diurnal variations of the measured species.

2.2 Meteorological conditions

The location of the Intertropical Convergence Zone (ITCZ) determines to a large extent the weather in Suriname. During the time of the measurement campaign, the ITCZ was localized north of Suriname over the Atlantic and induced southeasterly winds in the lower troposphere caused by the trade wind circulation. The region was further influenced by an upper level trough in the Southern Hemisphere over South America. This induces convergence of air masses in the lower troposphere, whereas in the upper troposphere divergence prevailed. A tropical wave, which propagated westward a few days earlier, was the reason for a very moist lower troposphere. These conditions, and the induced winds, resulted in a potentially unstable troposphere, favourable for the development of single or multicell convection on 12 October, the day of the measurement flight considered here (GAB 08). A few isolated convective cells were observed over Suriname in the late afternoon. One of these single cells was located directly along the flight track. This system was remarkable because of its very rapid development within 3 h.

2.3 Measurement data

The flight track and the time series of different species measured on flight GAB 08 on 12 October are shown in Figs. 1 and 2. The time series data for various trace gas mixing ratios are obtained from a merged data set with a resolution of 30 s. During parts of the flight with no convective influence (17:01–18:42 UTC, 18:55–19:35 UTC), no distinctive features can be identified in the data set, except some peaks at an altitude of around 3 to 4 km observed for a number of species. These peaks indicate sampling of an air mass affected by biogenic and/or anthropogenic emissions. Enhanced mixing ratios of CO, NO, O₃ and acetonitrile suggest that biomass burning is at least partly responsible for the pollution.

During the intensive operation period (IOP) of the campaign no major biomass burning events were observed in Suriname or adjacent countries. But plumes of small localized fires could still produce these biomass burning peaks, which had been observed previously over Suriname (Williams et al., 2001). Furthermore, a layer between 3 and 4 km with enhanced mixing ratios of CO and O₃ was identified on 4 out of 10 measurement flights during the campaign. Above the boundary layer, the so-called trade wind inversion (TWI) leads to an accumulation of emissions from vegetation and local fires (Browell et al., 1988; Jacob and Wofsy, 1988; Krejci et al., 2003, 2005).

Both O₃ and OH have lower mixing ratios in the BL compared to the FT during this flight. In general the BL represents a sink for these species due to reactions with isoprene and other VOCs, which lead to destruction of O₃ and OH at low NOₓ concentrations (Crutzen et al., 1985; Lelieveld et al., 2002; Goldstein et al., 2004; Lelieveld et al., 2008). This is different for other species whose source is at the surface (e.g. CO, acetone, methanol, isoprene), which show high mixing ratios in the BL and a decrease with altitude. The high mixing ratios result from emissions of these species or...
their precursors from vegetation and anthropogenic sources followed by the accumulation in the boundary layer during convectively inactive time periods. (Fehsenfeld et al., 1992; Guenther et al., 1995; Kesselmeier and Staudt, 1999).

The convectively influenced part of this flight was between 18:42 UTC and 18:54 UTC during a profile with an ascent to the maximum reachable altitude of 11.6 km and the subsequent descent back to Zanderij airport. This profile was obtained in the afternoon (local time = UTC − 3 h) when several distinct convective cells had already formed over Suriname. The aircraft approached one of the still developing systems located along the flight track from a southeasterly direction at low altitudes (Fig. 1). The prevailing wind direction on this day in the lower troposphere was also from the southeast, hence part of the flight track transects the inflow region of this cumulonimbus cloud. Flying over this system in a northerly direction, the outflow region was probed. During the ascent almost all species show an enhancement in mixing ratios starting at an altitude of around 9.5 km (Fig. 3). The mixing ratios stay almost constant (except for some small variations) up to 11 km. On a further ascent a strong decrease in the mixing ratios was observed. The enhancement of the different long-lived, and on the timescales of convective transport, chemically inert trace gases compared to background values was between 20.2 % (O₃) and 38.6 % (CO) (see Table 2). NO was even enhanced by a factor of two. The background values were determined as a mean of all data points sampled during a 5–7 min time interval before and after the convective event respectively. The level of CO in the outflow (116.0 ± 4.3 ppbv) was only 12 % less than in the lower troposphere (LT) (131.7 ± 10.1 ppbv), the layer between 0.4 and 2.8 km that is capped by the trade wind inversion. Figure 3 shows these comparable mixing ratios for CO, and similar findings are obtained for acetone and acetonitrile, supporting the assumption of convective transport to the upper troposphere with only little dilution. The difference in the mixing ratios in these two altitude regions can therefore be attributed to entrainment of air during the convective uplift of the lower tropospheric air.

A biomass burning plume as a cause of the upper-level trace gas enhancement can be excluded because acetonitrile,

Figure 1. “GOES-12 Regional View” satellite image on 12 October 2005, 19:15 UTC. The operation area of the Learjet 35A during the GABRIEL campaign is indicated with the black rectangle. On the flight track the altitude (a) and the measured CO (b) on flight GAB 08 are colour coded.

Figure 2. Time series of different species during flight GAB 08. The red dotted lines mark the time interval, where samples were taken in the outflow region of the cumulonimbus cell. The interval spans about 10 min.
a distinct tracer for biomass burning, is not significantly enhanced (Lobert et al., 1990; Holzinger et al., 1999; Sanhueza et al., 2004).

The mixing ratios of O₃, NO, OH and HO₂ are remarkably high. The strong enhancement in NO is most probably due to lightning activity. The Lightning Imaging Sensor (LIS) on board the TRMM satellite indicated lightning activity during a flight over the region of interest exactly during the time interval of the measurement flight. As mentioned in the Introduction, lightning flashes (intra-cloud and cloud-to-ground) play a considerable role as a source of NOₓ (NOₓ = NO + NO₂) in the upper troposphere (Ridley et al., 1996; Pickering et al., 1998; DeCaria et al., 2000). Especially in the tropics meteorological conditions over land are conducive for convective activity and thunderstorms. Whereas the elevated mixing ratios of the radicals OH and HO₂ can be linked to enhanced photochemical activity and the recycling of these species in a high NO environment in the outflow region of the convective system, the unusual high value of ozone needs to be investigated more deeply. One would expect comparable levels for the mixing ratio in the LT and the outflow, as e.g. observed for CO and other longer-lived species. In Sects. 3 and 4 we will investigate different processes that potentially enhance ozone, i.e. photochemical ozone production in the outflow during the lifetime of the system and dynamical transport of ozone-rich air from different parts of the troposphere. These processes contribute 35 ppbv to the observed O₃ mixing ratio in the outflow region leading to an excess ozone of 20 ppbv, which will be investigated in Sect. 5.

3 Net photochemical ozone production in the outflow

Convection plays a crucial role in the vertical transport of different species from the lower to the upper troposphere and is especially important in the Tropics. The tropical rainforest is a significant source region for different species and their emissions are important for the chemistry in the troposphere (Guenther et al., 1995). With respect to ozone production in the outflow of a convective system, emissions of ozone precursors become very important. Volatile organic compounds (VOCs), CO and nitrogen oxides are emitted into the BL and transported to the free troposphere by convection within a few hours (Colomb et al., 2006). At these altitudes, the lifetime of VOCs and NOₓ is extended (Dickerson et al., 1987; Barth et al., 2007). The tropical solar radiation further provides the energy for intense photochemistry. Thus in the outflow region, photochemical ozone production can lead to O₃ mixing ratios significantly higher than during background conditions (Pickering et al., 1992a).

For this study, the net ozone production rate (NOPR) in the sampled outflow of the cumulonimbus cloud is estimated, thus providing information on the contribution of in situ photochemical ozone production to the measured mixing ratio. To calculate the net ozone production, the relevant reactions for ozone production and ozone destruction are considered. In the troposphere ozone is mainly formed by the reaction of NO with the hydroperoxy radical HO₂ and higher-order peroxyradicals RₓO₂, while photolysis of O₃ and subsequent reaction of O(¹D) with H₂O as well as reaction of O₃ with OH and HO₂ are the major destruction pathways. Further sinks of ozone are the reaction with alkenes, e.g. isoprene and terpenes. The net ozone production rate can be approximated with the following equation (Thompson et al., 1997), if reactions of O₃ with alkenes are neglected:

\[
\frac{d}{dt} [O₃] = k₁ [HO₂] [NO] + \sum_i k_i [RₓO₂] [NO] - j_{O(¹D)} [O₃] [H₂O] m - k₂ [OH] [O₃] - k₃ [HO₂] [O₃]
\]  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where \(j_{O(¹D)}\) is the photolysis frequency for ozone decomposition from photo dissociation and the \(k_i\) describe the temperature dependent rate coefficients for the different reactions.
taken from Atkinson et al. (2004). Ozone destruction from photolysis in this equation is a combination of two processes. First, ozone is photolysed forming reactive oxygen O(1D), which is converted to atomic oxygen O by quenching. In this study an explicit description of the quenching with the concentrations of molecular oxygen O2 and molecular nitrogen N2 is used. O then reacts immediately with O2 to reform ozone. In this so-called null cycle no ozone is destroyed. The prefactor $m$ describes the fraction of O(1D) that reacts with H2O to form OH radicals, leading to a destruction of ozone since no reformation of ozone is possible. In the troposphere around 3–10% of O(1D) reacts with H2O depending on altitude (Regelin et al., 2013, and references therein).

With the exception of R2O2 all relevant species for this estimation were measured. Their mixing ratios in the outflow air are shown in Table 2. The most prominent species of the higher-order peroxy radicals contributing to ozone production is CH3O2. The contribution of the conversion of NO to NO2 through CH3O2 to the net ozone production amounts to 50% in the boundary layer and could be reduced to 10% in the upper troposphere, also depending on regional influence (Davis et al., 1996). The mixing ratio of CH3O2 can be estimated based on the following assumption. Model simulations by Stickler et al. (2007) and Jöckel et al. (2006) showed that the ratio of the production rates of HO2 and CH3O2 is almost equal to the ratio of their concentrations. We estimated the CH3O2 mixing ratio from the oxidation of methane using the measured CH4 and OH mixing ratios.

Based only on measured species a median net ozone production rate of 0.20 ppbv h$^{-1}$ is estimated. The mean value amounts to $(0.27 \pm 0.13)$ ppbv h$^{-1}$ leading to a range of 0.14 to 0.40 ppbv h$^{-1}$ which accounts for the atmospheric variability of the mixing ratios of these species implemented in the calculation.

To estimate the contribution of photochemical ozone production to the measured O3 mixing ratio in the outflow it is important to consider the life cycle of the convective system, in particular the time interval during which ozone could be produced before the aircraft crossed the outflow. Assuming the maximum estimated ozone production rate of 0.40 ppbv h$^{-1}$ and a time interval of around 3 to 3.5 h for the active phase of the system, an ozone production of only 1.4 ppbv can be estimated. This is around 2.5% of the observed value, indicating that ozone production in the outflow has only a small influence on the build-up of ozone during the active phase of this Cb cloud.

Photochemical net ozone production (NOP) in convective outflow is limited mainly by the amount of available NO (Chameides et al., 1992). In a low-NOx environment, up to a few 100 pptv, the NOP increases with increasing NOx mixing ratios. The formation of ozone eventually becomes less efficient in a high-NOx environment (Liu et al., 1987; Lin et al., 1988). In general, ozone production in convective outflow regions vary over a wide range, from ozone destruction or small net production in remote marine regions (Wang and Prinn, 2000; Mari et al., 2003) to significant net ozone production of several tens of ppbv d$^{-1}$ in biomass burning.
plumes transported into the free troposphere (Roelofs et al., 1997; Andreae et al., 2001).

In the literature several studies that address photochemical ozone production in convective outflow in tropical and mid-latitudinal regions can be found. Most studies are based on cloud-scale modelling to estimate the ozone production rates, since in situ measurements of HOₓ and ROₓ are in general missing. To compare our results, being exclusively based on experimental data, with literature data, Table 3 lists results from several case studies in different regions. In Table 3, depending on the availability of the information either a convective enhancement factor (CEF), which describes the ratio of 24 h post-convective ozone production relative to undisturbed or background conditions, or a net 24 h ozone production rate is used for comparison.

Since our measurements were performed over tropical South America, in particular a comparison with the studies in this area is interesting, showing that our result falls in the wide range of documented post-convective ozone production in the free troposphere. Our average value tends to be at the lower end of that range.

In our case photochemistry plays only a minor role in the build-up of O₃ mixing ratios in the convective outflow. Assuming the upper estimate of the net ozone production rate of 0.40 ppbv h⁻¹, 1–2 ppbv O₃ is formed during convective activity up to the time of the measurement. DeCaria et al. (2005) calculated an ozone production of around 2 ppbv during the lifetime of a thunderstorm cloud (~ 150 min) over North America in the framework of a model simulation of an observed thunderstorm during the STERAO-A mission. The enhanced ozone production in their study is mainly due to high NO mixing ratios caused by lightning activity. Furthermore, a maximum ozone production of 13 ppbv in the first 24 h downstream of theCb cloud was estimated in the STERAO-A study. Assuming no further dilution of the outflow air in our case study, a downstream NOP of nearly 5 ppbv d⁻¹ would be possible. With a net ozone production calculated to be less than 2 ppbv due to photochemistry within the plume, the large enhancement of ozone within the plume cannot be accounted for by photochemistry alone. We will next examine the role of convective transport to the ozone enhancement in the outflow region.

4 Convective transport of ozone-rich air and the role of entrainment

Vertical transport in isolated convective cells or organized convection in multiple cells is not restricted to air mass displacement from the boundary layer to the upper troposphere, which is in general one of the main transport pathways (Chattfield and Crutzen, 1984; Pickering et al., 1996; McGee and van den Heever, 2014). During convective transport, air from different layers throughout the whole troposphere can be mixed into the updraft region and lifted to the upper troposphere (UT), a process called entrainment, which can contribute a fraction of more than 50 % to the total mass flux (Dickerson et al., 1987; Fischer et al., 2003). Additionally, detrainment is possible, which means that the outflow of air from the updraft region can be transported outside the cloud into the troposphere below the cloud top (Lawrence and Rasch, 2005).

In the present case the vertical profiles of different low-solubility tracers such as CO, acetone and acetonitrile (see Fig. 3) clearly indicate vertical transport of air masses from the lower troposphere (0.4–2.8 km altitude) to the outflow region in the convective updraft. To characterize the inflow we focus on the region in the lower troposphere between 0.4–2.8 km altitude, and the data points in the lower BL (below 0.4 km) were excluded, since they were collected over coastal areas and are thus not representative for the tropical rainforest. The BL is capped by an inversion layer at 3.0 km, which was observed on several flights during the campaign. This so-called trade wind inversion has been reported in different studies on tropical regions and is known to act as an effective transport barrier so that trace species can accumulate in the BL (Schubert et al., 1995; Gouget et al., 1996; Andreae et al., 2001). The vertical profile of CO shows a high mixing ratio of (131.7 ± 10.1) ppbv in the lower troposphere and a slightly lower value of (116.0 ± 4.3) ppbv in the outflow region. The difference in the observed mixing ratios might be due to entrainment of air during vertical transport or mixing with background air in the outflow region. In general observed O₃ mixing ratios in the troposphere below the convective outflow are not high enough to balance the more than 20 ppbv unaccounted ozone in the outflow. Highest O₃ values in the background air close to the outflow region and the structure of the vertical profile of CO support the assumption that lower tropospheric air is diluted in the upper troposphere after convective upward transport in the thunderstorm cloud.

By means of a budget of the different insoluble long-lived trace gases, the fraction of air from the different layers contributing to the outflow mixing ratio can be investigated. Following Fischer et al. (2003) the mixing ratio of an inert trace gas in the outflow of the Cb cloud can be described with the following equation:

\[
X_{\text{Out}} = a \cdot X_{\text{LT}} + (1 - a) \cdot X_{\text{Entr}}.
\]  

With this equation an ideal situation is investigated, i.e. when mixing involves only two layers. \(X_{\text{Out}}\) describes the mixing ratio in the convective outflow and \(X_{\text{LT}}\) the corresponding mixing ratio in the lower troposphere (LT). The mixing ratio in the second layer, the entrainment layer, is denoted by \(X_{\text{Entr}}\). The parameter \(a\) stands for the fraction of air that enters the updraft region of the Cb from the lower troposphere. It is calculated from Eq. (2):

\[
a = \frac{X_{\text{Out}} - X_{\text{Entr}}}{X_{\text{LT}} - X_{\text{Entr}}}
\]
Table 3. Convective ozone production enhancement (adopted and modified from Pickering et al., 1992a).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Mid and upper troposphere outflow CEF</th>
<th>OPR [ppbv d(^{-1})]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tropical regions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>ABLE 2A, 3 Aug 1985; Pickering et al. (1991)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>ABLE 2A storm, savanna burning; Pickering et al. (1992b)</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>7.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>ABLE 2A storm, forest burning; Pickering et al. (1992b)</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>8.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>ABLE 2B, 6 May 1987; Scala et al. (1990)</td>
<td>(\sim 1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>STEP/EMEX, 2 Feb 1987; Pickering et al. (1993)</td>
<td>&lt; 1</td>
<td>&lt; 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>ABLE 2B, 26 Apr 1987; Pickering et al. (1992a) Rural air</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>1.5–1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Urban air</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>16.5–17.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Indonesia, BIBLE-A, Oct 1998; Kita et al. (2003)</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Pacific, PEM-Tropics, Oct 1992; Schultz et al. (1999)</td>
<td></td>
<td>1–1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Western Pacific, BIBLE-C, Dec 2000; Koike et al. (2007)</td>
<td>3.7/2.9</td>
<td>1.95/1.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>South America, TROCCINOX 2004 and 2005; Huntrieser et al. (2007)</td>
<td>indication for strong ozone production</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>This study; median (range) GABRIEL, 12 Oct 2005</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>2.40 (1.68–4.80)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid-latitudinal regions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Oklahoma, PRESTORM, 15 Jun 1985; Pickering et al. (1990)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>(\sim 15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Oklahoma, PRESTORM, 10 Jun 1985; Pickering et al. (1992a) Rural air</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>5.7–6.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Urban air</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>9.4–9.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>North America, STERAO-A, 12 Jul 1996; DeCaria et al. (2005)</td>
<td></td>
<td>10–13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Central Europe, EULINOX, 21 Jul 1998 Ott et al. (2007)</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.5 (cloud outflow) max: 5 (at 5.5 km a.s.l.)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The corresponding factor \((1-a)\) gives the fraction of entrainment into the updraft or outflow region. Values for \(a\) were calculated from the data points in the outflow, where trace gas mixing ratios exceed background values by \(3\sigma\) standard deviations.

For the calculation of the fraction \(a\) the assumption is made that air in the outflow consists of lower tropospheric air (0.4–2.8 km altitude) and entrained air from outside the cloud at the same altitude as the outflow (Fig. 3). From the observed mean mixing ratios in Table 4 using CO and acetone, the fraction \(a\) of \((56 \pm 29)\%\) of outflow air originating in the lower troposphere was calculated. Correspondingly, \((44 \pm 29)\%\) of outflow air was entrained from the upper troposphere. Using these numbers to calculate the outflow mixing ratio of ozone we obtain with Eq. (2) a value of \((34.0 \pm 16.0)\) ppbv. That is \((62 \pm 29)\%\) of the observed.
mixing ratio. The range given for each parameter represents
the atmospheric variability of the different species especially in
the lower troposphere which is due to the different emission
regimes within the tropical rain forest (see Fig. 1) that
were probed. The measurement uncertainty of the different
instruments is much smaller compared to the variability of
these species in the measurement regimes. To account for the
variability, we additionally calculate the range of the different
parameters. Thus the estimated \( O_3 \) mixing ratio is be-
tween 18.0 and 50.0 ppbv, which then could explain between
33 and 91\% of the observed outflow value.

Taking together the mean contributions from photochem-
ical ozone production in the convective outflow and dynamical
transport including entrainment, (65 ± 29)\% of the ob-
served \( O_3 \) value in the convective outflow could be explained,
thus there are (35 ± 29)\% of ozone unaccounted for in the budget. There are different mechanisms and processes includ-
ing a more complex chemistry, complex mixing or transport
processes or entrainment of ozone-rich air as well as ozone
production directly from electrical discharges that can
contribute to the excess ozone. The different processes are
considered in the next section.

### 5 Missing ozone source

A more complex chemistry can be excluded as an additional
ozone source in the convective outflow. As shown in Sect. 3,
photochemical ozone production tends to be too slow to signi-
ficantly contribute to the observed outflow value. Also heter-
genous chemistry inside the thunderstorm cloud is not
known to produce significant amounts of ozone.

Potentially more important is the enhancement of \( O_3 \) in
the upper troposphere due to complex mixing or transport
processes that were not considered. As mentioned in Sect. 4,
entrainment can play an important role within a convective
system. Dickerson et al. (1987) and Fischer et al. (2003)
showed that during strong convection events not exclusively
air masses from the boundary layer are lifted to the upper tro-
posphere or even into the stratosphere. Entrainment from var-
ious layers in the troposphere influences the trace gas mixing
ratios in the convective outflow. However, as shown in Fig. 3
there are no regions in the vertical \( O_3 \) profile that can lead to
a significant enhancement of the outflow mixing ratio due to
entrainment of ozone-rich air during upward transport.

### Table 4. Average mixing ratios and associated standard deviation of different trace gases in the considered layers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lower troposphere (0.4–2.8 km)</td>
<td>131.7 ± 10.1</td>
<td>1.05 ± 0.13</td>
<td>0.24 ± 0.04</td>
<td>24.6 ± 10.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Entrainment</td>
<td>83.7 ± 1.5</td>
<td>0.57 ± 0.07</td>
<td>0.20 ± 0.04</td>
<td>46.0 ± 3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outflow</td>
<td>116.0 ± 4.3</td>
<td>0.78 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.25 ± 0.03</td>
<td>55.2 ± 2.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Several previous studies discussed downward vertical
transport in association with deep convection. In our case,
downward transport from the stratosphere into the anvil re-

region as observed by Wang et al. (1995), Poulida et al. (1996),
Stenchikov et al. (1996) and Dye et al. (2000) can be ex-
cluded because the tropopause was situated more than 3 km
above the cloud top, as derived from temperature and ozone
profiles from radio soundings in Paramaribo (see Fig. 4).
Scala et al. (1990) and Salzmann et al. (2004) suggested rear
inflow in mesoscale convective systems taking place in as-

sociation with downward transport in the troposphere. This
mechanism and cloud top entrainment (Boatman and Auer,
1983; Blyth et al., 1988) seem to be potential processes that
could mix ozone-rich air in the anvil region from the layer
above.
As can be seen in Fig. 5, another isolated thunderstorm with a cloud top height of ∼ 13 km was located around 100 km south of the investigated Cb for this case study. The dynamics of this more intensive convective system may have affected the trace gas mixing ratios in the outflow of the Cb under investigation. The southern Cb cloud top reached up to a layer with ozone-rich air and larger scale subsidence in the vicinity of its updraft core may lead to a downward transport and subsequent mixing of ozone-rich air into the outflow region of the system within the white square.

A strong argument against this additional ozone source is the mixing ratio of the longer-lived species as for example CO. The mixing ratio of CO is expected to decrease with increasing altitude leading to a decrease of the outflow mixing ratios in cases of strong entrainment of upper tropospheric ozone-rich air. But since there are no measurements in that altitude region, we do not have further information on CO and other trace gas mixing ratios in the upper troposphere, which makes this mechanism still possible, although unlikely.

Detainment was not included in this idealized argument. The transport of air from the updraft core into the environment in different layers below the outflow region is not expected to influence the trace gas mixing ratios in the outflow (Lawrence and Rasch, 2005). Nevertheless, detainment can influence the chemical composition of the free troposphere below the outflow region (Pickering et al., 1992a; Ott et al., 2007).

Another yet poorly quantified mechanism is ozone production directly from electrical discharges associated with lightning. Laboratory studies indicate possible ozone formation from corona discharges (Levine et al., 1981; Peyrrous and Lapeyre, 1982; Simek and Clupek, 2002). Ozone formation in inlet lines or on the fuselage of aircrafts has been documented to lead to measurement artefacts (Ridley et al., 2006, and references therein) whereas experimental evidence for ozone production by electrical discharges in the free troposphere is inconclusive. Shlanta and Moore (1972), Winterrath et al. (1999) and Zahn et al. (2002) suggested direct ozone production from corona discharge to explain the observed enhanced mixing ratios in a thunderstorm cloud and convectively active areas, respectively. In these studies no yield of O$_3$ molecules per flash is given. A study by Hill et al. (1988) states a range of 0.2–2.0 × 10$^{27}$ molecules O$_3$ per flash whereas Minschwaner et al. (2008) showed a significant amount of ozone formed by corona discharge in a thunderstorm cloud with an estimated value of 0.35–1.6 × 10$^{27}$ molecules O$_3$ per flash.

In this study we considered different processes to explain the missing ozone source. None of these processes can close the gap between the observed O$_3$ mixing ratio in the outflow and the contribution from photochemical production and convective transport. Hence based on the assumption that the excess ozone of (19.8 ± 16.0) ppbv is produced from corona discharge in the vicinity of lightning flashes we estimate a production rate of molecules O$_3$ per flash. If we further assume that the enhanced NO mixing ratios in the convective outflow also result from lightning, we can compare the ratio of the known values of excess O$_3$ and enhanced NO with the ratio of O$_3$ per flash and NO per flash:

$$\frac{O_3 \ \text{[excess]}}{NO \ \text{[enhancement]}} = \frac{O_3 \ \text{flash}^{-1}}{NO \ \text{flash}^{-1}}. \quad (4)$$

With the rate of 1.5 × 10$^{26}$ molecules NO flash$^{-1}$ being the best estimate proposed by Schumann and Huntrieser (2007) and with Eq. (4), a rate of 5.12 × 10$^{28}$ molecules O$_3$ flash$^{-1}$ (median: 8.80 × 10$^{28}$ molecules O$_3$ flash$^{-1}$) is estimated. Including the atmospheric variability affecting the excess ozone mentioned in Sect. 4, a range of 4.18 × 10$^{27}$–9.82 × 10$^{28}$ molecules O$_3$ flash$^{-1}$ is calculated. The lower limit of that range is based on the maximum contribution

---

**Table 1**: GOES-12 Channel 4 IR Cloud Top Colour Calibration

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>degrees c</th>
<th>approx. ISA Height</th>
<th>height in km</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Below -75</td>
<td>Above FL450</td>
<td>&gt; 13.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-69 to -75</td>
<td>FL420-FL450</td>
<td>12.8 - 13.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-63 to -69</td>
<td>FL390-FL420</td>
<td>11.9 - 12.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-57 to -63</td>
<td>FL360-FL390</td>
<td>11 - 11.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-51 to -57</td>
<td>FL330-FL360</td>
<td>10 - 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-45 to -51</td>
<td>FL300-FL330</td>
<td>9.1 - 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-39 to -45</td>
<td>FL270-FL300</td>
<td>8.2 - 8.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-33 to -39</td>
<td>FL240-FL270</td>
<td>7.3 - 8.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 5**: GOES-12 infrared channel satellite image of the GABRIEL observation area from 12 October, 18:45 UTC. The cloud top height is colour coded as described in the table above the image. The white square marks the investigated isolated thunderstorm cloud.
of dynamical processes (50.0 ppbv) and photochemical production (1.4 ppbv) to the observed outflow mixing ratio leading to only 6.9 % of unaccounted ozone. Compared to known values in the literature, our corresponding lower limit of the value for the rate of \( \text{O}_3 \text{ flash}^{-1} \) is of the same order of magnitude but nevertheless a factor of 5 higher compared to the values proposed by Minschwaner et al. (2008). Following the maximum contribution of dynamical processes and using the maximum observed NO value of 231 pptv in the convective outflow, the contribution of photochemical ozone production enhances to 3.1 ppbv and the lower limit for the range of lightning \( \text{O}_3 \) decreases to \( 9.89 \times 10^{26} \) molecules \( \text{O}_3 \text{ flash}^{-1} \).

6 Conclusions

Based on in situ observations from the airborne field measurement campaign GABRIEL in 2005, including the first \( \text{HO}_x \) measurements over the tropical rainforest, we investigated trace gas budgets in the convective outflow of a mature thunderstorm cloud. The ozone budget was analysed in detail by differentiating between photochemical net ozone production and dynamical processes to explain the strong enhancement of ozone mixing ratios in the outflow. The analysis shows that predominantly dynamical, rather than photochemical processes determine the trace gas mixing ratios in the outflow region during the first hours of a developing Cb cloud. The fraction of 91 % for the maximum contribution of convective transport of air from the lower tropopause and entrainment of ozone-rich air into the outflow region in the upper troposphere, respectively, exceeds the maximum fraction of 5.6 % from net photochemical ozone production (based on 231 pptv NO) by at least a factor of 16. The contribution of photochemical net ozone production increases when the evolution of the mixing ratio downstream of the system is considered during the first 24 h after the development. Assuming no further entrainment into the outflow, approximately 5–11 ppbv of \( \text{O}_3 \) can be produced within 24 h depending on the abundance of NO.

Even by considering the upper part of the uncertainty range of the estimated dynamical transport and photochemical ozone production their integral cannot close the ozone budget in the outflow. Different processes to explain the excess ozone have been considered. Whereas an additional photochemical source of ozone can be precluded on the short timescales of Cb development, unknown mixing and transport processes could not be fully excluded, although they seem rather unlikely. Consequently, ozone formation in corona discharges appears to be the most probable source of \( \text{O}_3 \). This case study therefore corroborates that ozone from corona discharge associated with lightning strikes is not negligible and is perhaps a larger source than previously assumed. To better quantify the range of the rate of \( \text{O}_3 \) per flash and to estimate the global influence of this ozone source additional measurements in electrified thunderstorm clouds are necessary.
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