



UNIVERSITY  
OF WOLLONGONG  
AUSTRALIA

University of Wollongong  
Research Online

---

Faculty of Science, Medicine and Health - Papers

Faculty of Science, Medicine and Health

---

2013

# Effect of doll therapy in managing challenging behaviours in people with dementia: a systematic review protocol

Ritin Fernandez

*University of Wollongong, [ritin@uow.edu.au](mailto:ritin@uow.edu.au)*

Bronwyn Arthur

*Sutherland Hospital*

Richard Fleming

*University of Wollongong, [rfleming@uow.edu.au](mailto:rfleming@uow.edu.au)*

---

## Publication Details

Fernandez, R. S., Arthur, B. & Fleming, R. (2013). Effect of doll therapy in managing challenging behaviours in people with dementia: a systematic review protocol. *The JBI Database of Systematic Reviews and Implementation Reports*, 11 (9), 120-132.

Research Online is the open access institutional repository for the University of Wollongong. For further information contact the UOW Library:  
[research-pubs@uow.edu.au](mailto:research-pubs@uow.edu.au)

---

# Effect of doll therapy in managing challenging behaviours in people with dementia: a systematic review protocol

## **Abstract**

Review question/objective What is the effect of doll therapy on challenging behaviors (including agitation and verbal or physical aggression) in patients with dementia? Inclusion criteria Types of participants Adults (age >18years) diagnosed with dementia and living in any care setting will be included. Adults with dementia receiving antipsychotics will also be included and analyzed separately. Types of intervention(s)/phenomena of interest This review will include studies that evaluate the effects of giving dolls to persons with dementia for the management of BPSD. The review will include doll therapy delivered by either health professionals or carers. Studies will be included irrespective of the number and duration of doll therapy sessions. Studies will be excluded if the approach to giving dolls is not described.

## **Disciplines**

Medicine and Health Sciences | Social and Behavioral Sciences

## **Publication Details**

Fernandez, R. S., Arthur, B. & Fleming, R. (2013). Effect of doll therapy in managing challenging behaviours in people with dementia: a systematic review protocol. *The JBI Database of Systematic Reviews and Implementation Reports*, 11 (9), 120-132.

## Effect of doll therapy in managing challenging behaviours in people with dementia: a systematic review protocol

Ritin Fernandez RN, MN (Critical Care), PhD,<sup>1,4</sup>

Bronwyn Arthur RN,<sup>2</sup>

Richard Fleming,<sup>3</sup>

1. Professor of Nursing, University of Wollongong

2. Clinical Nurse Consultant, Sutherland Hospital

3. Director, New South Wales/Australian Capital Territory Dementia Training Study Centre

4. Centre for Evidence based Initiatives in Health Care – An Affiliate Centre of the Joanna Briggs Institute

### Corresponding author

Ritin Fernandez

[ritin@uow.edu.au](mailto:ritin@uow.edu.au)

### Review question/objective

What is the effect of doll therapy on challenging behaviors (including agitation and verbal or physical aggression) in patients with dementia?

### Background

It is estimated by the year 2050 that 115.6 million people<sup>1</sup> globally will have dementia, the majority of whom will be from developing countries. Dementia, often referred to as a disease, is a process of transition from a healthy, active state to a dependent state with progressive loss of memory, functional skills and independence.<sup>2</sup> Classic symptoms range from loss of ability to express the right words or understand what others are saying, personality changes and mood swings to decline in performing activities of daily living.<sup>3</sup> It has been suggested that over 50% of patients with dementia will experience behavioral and psychological symptoms of dementia (BSPD).<sup>4</sup> Symptoms can include agitation, wandering, altered sleeping patterns, disinhibited behavior which may include inappropriate sexual behavior and harmful behaviors such as aggression.<sup>5,6</sup>

It has been postulated that people with dementia exhibiting BSPD have some universal emotional needs that are often not fulfilled. These needs include: (1) being needed and feeling useful, (2) to be able to care for others, (3) having an increased sense of self-worth, (4) to love and be loved, and (5) to be able to convey their emotions without inhibition.<sup>7</sup> In clinical practice, BSPD is frequently treated with pharmacological interventions with antipsychotic medications being the treatment of choice.<sup>8,9</sup>

Concerns with the use of these medications include the risk of mortality,<sup>10</sup> their side effects and their effectiveness in relieving BPSD.<sup>1,11,12</sup> Extra pyramidal symptoms, falls, gait disturbances, sedation, tardive dyskinesia and cerebrovascular incidents have been widely reported in literature associated with the use of antipsychotics for people with BPSD.<sup>13</sup> Evidence-based guidelines have therefore been developed to advise on prescribing requirements for these drugs.<sup>14,15</sup> Living with dementia is not only distressing for the patient when they experience BPSD, it also has a negative impact on the quality of life of their carers.<sup>16</sup>

### Non-pharmacological management of BPSD in patients with dementia

Given the adverse effects associated with pharmacological management, various non-pharmacological approaches have been implemented to address the emotional needs that cause the inappropriate behaviors.<sup>17</sup> These include doll therapy,<sup>18</sup> physical activity programs,<sup>19</sup> music therapy,<sup>3</sup> aromatherapy,<sup>20</sup> massage and touch,<sup>21</sup> and art therapy.<sup>22</sup> Systematic reviews of literature published in the Cochrane Library have demonstrated reductions in BPSD following the use of physical activity, music therapy,<sup>3</sup> aromatherapy,<sup>20</sup> massage and touch,<sup>21</sup> and art therapy.<sup>22</sup> However there has been no review undertaken to investigate the effects of doll therapy in managing people with BPSD.

### Doll therapy

Doll therapy has been used for patients with dementia for over 20 years and is based on the principles of attachment theory.<sup>23</sup> Although the conceptual work on attachment focussed on children, the impact of attachment experiences is evident from childhood through adult life.<sup>24</sup> For people with dementia, attachment behavior can be observed at various stages of dementia, and parent fixation or searching for deceased relatives has been reported when attachment needs were not being met.<sup>25</sup>

The use of dolls for therapeutic purposes involves giving a doll to a person with dementia to care for and is purported to assist in overcoming some of the attachment needs.<sup>26</sup> For example, cuddling and caring behaviors towards the doll are said to be an expression of being needed, feeling useful and being able to care for others.<sup>27</sup> In addition, hugging a transitional object such as a doll is represents security during a period of uncertainty.

Doll therapy has been reported to reduce agitation, aggression and behaviors of concern in people with BPSD.<sup>28,29</sup> In contrast, doll therapy has been reported by staff and family members to be childish, demeaning and patronising.<sup>30</sup> Doll therapy as a strategy in managing challenging behaviors in people with dementia has not yet been quantified in a manner to enable clinicians to make an informed decision about its benefits. Therefore the aim of this review is to present the best available evidence relating to the effect of doll therapy in managing challenging behaviors in people with dementia.

## **Definition of terms**

For the purpose of this review dementia will be defined as a chronic or persistent disorder of mental processes caused by brain disease or injury and characterized by symptoms that include memory loss, mood changes, and problems with communication and reasoning.

## **Keywords**

dementia, Alzheimers, play therapy, doll, toys and alternate therapy

## **Inclusion criteria**

### ***Types of participants***

Adults (age >18years) diagnosed with dementia and living in any care setting will be included. Adults with dementia receiving antipsychotics will also be included and analyzed separately.

### ***Types of intervention(s)/phenomena of interest***

This review will include studies that evaluate the effects of giving dolls to persons with dementia for the management of BPSD. The review will include doll therapy delivered by either health professionals or carers. Studies will be included irrespective of the number and duration of doll therapy sessions. Studies will be excluded if the approach to giving dolls is not described.

The following comparisons will be made:

Doll therapy versus no intervention

Doll therapy versus other non-pharmacological interventions

Doll therapy versus pharmacological interventions.

Studies that use other toys such as teddy bears and mechanised pets will be excluded.

### ***Types of outcomes***

The primary outcomes of interest are changes in challenging behaviors measured using validated scales or through observation, and will include:

Agitation

Verbal aggression

Physical aggression

Secondary outcomes may include:

Interaction with staff.

Interaction with other patients/residents

Level of activity

Quality of life.

### ***Types of studies***

All randomized, quasi randomized and cluster randomized controlled trials evaluating the effect of doll therapy in managing challenging behaviors in people with dementia will be included in the review. In the absence of randomized controlled trials, cohort, case-control and descriptive studies will be included.

## **Search strategy**

The search strategy aims to find both published and unpublished studies. A three-step search strategy will be utilized in this review. An initial limited search of MEDLINE and CINAHL will be undertaken followed by an analysis of the text words contained in the title and abstract, and of the index terms used to describe the article. A second search using all identified keywords and index terms will then be undertaken across all included databases. Thirdly, the reference list of all identified reports and articles will be searched for additional studies. Studies published till July 2013 in the English language will be considered for inclusion in this review. Relevant conference proceedings will be searched and experts will be contacted to identify any further trials or research in progress.

The databases to be searched include: MEDLINE (1966-2013), CINAHL (1982-2013), EMBASE (1980-current) and the Cochrane Library up to and including 2013 Issue 7. As each database has its own indexing terms, individual search strategies will be developed for each database. During the development of the search strategy, consideration will be given to the diverse terminology used and the spelling of keywords as this would influence the identification of relevant trials. The search for unpublished studies will include: Dissertation Abstracts International, ProQuest Dissertation & Theses and MedNar.

The keywords to be used will be dementia, Alzheimers, play therapy, doll, toys and alternate therapy.

## **Assessment of methodological quality**

Quantitative papers selected for retrieval will be assessed by two independent reviewers for methodological validity prior to inclusion in the review using standardized critical appraisal instruments from the Joanna Briggs Institute Meta Analysis of Statistics Assessment and Review Instrument (JBI-MAStARI) (Appendix I). Any disagreements that arise between the reviewers will be resolved through discussion, or with a third reviewer.

## **Data collection**

Quantitative data will be extracted from papers included in the review using the standardized data extraction tool from JBI-MAStARI (Appendix II). The data extracted will include specific details about the interventions, populations, study methods and outcomes of significance to the review question, and specific objectives.

## **Data synthesis**

Quantitative papers will, where possible, be pooled in statistical meta-analysis using JBI-MAStARI. All results will be subject to double data entry. Effect sizes expressed as odds ratio (for categorical data) and weighted mean differences (for continuous data) and their 95% confidence intervals will be calculated. Heterogeneity will be assessed statistically using the standard Chi-square and also explored using subgroup analyses based on the different quantitative study designs included in this review. Where statistical pooling is not possible the findings will be presented in narrative form including tables and figures to aid in data presentation where appropriate.

## **Conflicts of interest**

None

## References

1. Rosenberg P, Drye LT, Martin BK, Frangakis C, Mintzer JE, Weintraub D, et al. Sertraline for the Treatment of Depression in Alzheimer Disease. *American Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry*. 2010;18: 136-45.
2. Möhler R, Renom A, Renom H, Meyer G. Personally-tailored activities for improving psychosocial outcomes for people with dementia in long-term care. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews. 2012; (4): Available from: <http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/14651858.CD009812/abstract>.
3. Vink A, C., Bruinsma M, S., Scholten Rob JPM. Music therapy for people with dementia. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews. 2003; (4): Available from: <http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/14651858.CD003477.pub2/abstract>.
4. Ballard C, G., Waite J, Birks J. Atypical antipsychotics for aggression and psychosis in Alzheimer's disease. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews. 2006; (1): Available from: <http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/14651858.CD003476.pub2/abstract>.
5. Restifo S, Lemon V, Waters F. Pharmacological treatment of behavioural and psychological symptoms of dementia in psychogeriatric inpatient units. *Australasian Psychiatry*. 2011;19: 59-63.
6. Pollock BG, Mulsant BH, Rosen J, Mazumdar S, Blakesley RE, Houck PR, et al. A Double-Blind Comparison of Citalopram and Risperidone for the Treatment of Behavioral and Psychotic Symptoms Associated With Dementia. *American Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry*. 2007;15: 942-52.
7. Dementia Care Australia. 5 Universal Emotional Needs 2013.
8. Wetzels RB, Zuidema SU, de Jonghe JF, Verhey FRJ, Koopmans RT. Course of Neuropsychiatric Symptoms in Residents with Dementia in Nursing Homes Over 2-Year Period. *American Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry*. 2010;18: 1054-65.

9. Gauthier S, Cummings J, Ballard C, Brodaty H, Grossberg G, Robert P, et al. Management of behavioral problems in Alzheimer's disease. *International Psychogeriatrics*. 2010;22: 346-72.
10. Huybrechts KF, Rothman KJ, Silliman RA, Brookhart MA, Schneeweiss S. Risk of death and hospital admission for major medical events after initiation of psychotropic medications in older adults admitted to nursing homes. *Canadian Medical Association Journal*. 2011;183: E411-E9.
11. Sink K, Holden K, Yaffe K. Pharmacological treatment for neuropsychiatric symptoms of dementia: a review of the evidence. *JAMA: Journal of the American Medical Association*. 2005;293: 596-608.
12. Weintraub D, Rosenberg PB, Drye LT, Martin BK, Frangakis C, Mintzer JE, et al. Sertraline for the treatment of depression in Alzheimer disease: week-24 outcomes. *Am J Geriatr Psychiatry*. 2010;18: 332-40.
13. Ballard C. Agitation and psychosis in dementia. *American Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry*. 2007;15: 913-7.
14. Gareri P, Marigliano N, De Fazio S, Lacava R, Castagna A, Costantino D, et al. Antipsychotics and dementia. *BMC Geriatrics*. 2010;10: A93.
15. The Royal Australian and New Zealand College of Psychiatrists. Antipsychotic medications as a treatment of behavioural and psychological symptoms in dementia. Melbourne 2009 [21st April 2013 ].
16. Amano N, Inuzuka S, Ogihara T. Behavioral and psychological symptoms of dementia and medical treatment. *Psychogeriatrics*. 2009;9: 45-9.
17. Cohen-Mansfield J. Nonpharmacologic Interventions for Inappropriate Behaviours in Dementia. *American Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry*. 2001;9: 361-81.
18. James I, Mackenzie L, Mukaetova-Ladinska E. Doll use in care homes for people with dementia. *International Journal Of Geriatric Psychiatry*. 2006;21: 1093-8.

19. Forbes D, Forbes S, Morgan Debra G, Markle-Reid M, Wood J, Culum I. Physical activity programs for persons with dementia. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* [serial on the Internet]. 2008; (3): Available from:  
<http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/14651858.CD006489.pub2/abstract>.
20. Holt F, Birks T, Thorgrimsen L, Spector A, Wiles A, Orrell M. Aroma therapy for dementia (Review). *The Cochrane Collaboration*. 2009.
21. Hansen Niels V, Jørgensen T, Ørtenblad L. Massage and touch for dementia. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* [serial on the Internet]. 2006; (4): Available from:  
<http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/14651858.CD004989.pub2/abstract>.
22. Beard R. Art therapies and dementia care: A systematic review. *Dementia*. 2011;11: 633-56.
23. Bowlby J. *Attachment and Loss*. London: Hogarth Press; 1969.
24. Purnell C. *Attachment Theory and Attachment-Based Therapy*. Green M, Scholes S, editors: Karnac Books; 2004.
25. Nelis SM, Clare L, Whitaker CJ. Attachment in people with dementia and their caregivers: A systematic review. *Dementia*. 2013 April 25, 2013.
26. Mitchell G, O'Donnell H. The therapeutic use of doll therapy in dementia. *British Journal of Nursing*. 2013;22: 329.
27. Bisiani L, Angus J. Doll therapy: A therapeutic means to meet past attachment needs and diminish behaviours of concern in a person living with dementia – a case study approach. *Dementia*. 2012 February 15, 2012.
28. James IA, Mackenzie L, Mukaetova-Ladinska E. Doll use in care homes for people with dementia. *International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry*. 2006;21: 1093-8.
29. James IA, Mackenzie L, Pakrasi S, Fossey J. Non-pharmacological treatments of challenging behaviours... first of a two-part paper. *Nursing & Residential Care*. 2008;10: 228-32.

30. Andrew A. The ethics of using dolls and soft toys in dementia care. *Nursing & Residential Care*. 2006;8: 419-21.

## Appendix 1 Appraisal instruments

### JBI Critical Appraisal Checklist for Experimental Studies

Reviewer \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

Author \_\_\_\_\_ Year \_\_\_\_\_ Record Number \_\_\_\_\_

	Yes	No	Unclear
1. Was the assignment to treatment groups truly random?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2. Were participants blinded to treatment allocation?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3. Was allocation to treatment groups concealed from the allocator?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4. Were the outcomes of people who withdrew described and included in the analysis?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5. Were those assessing outcomes blind to the treatment allocation?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
6. Were the control and treatment groups comparable at entry?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
7. Were groups treated identically other than for the named interventions?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
8. Were outcomes measured in the same way for all groups?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
9. Were outcomes measured in a reliable way?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
10. Was appropriate statistical analysis used?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Overall appraisal:      Include       Exclude       Seek further info.

Comments (Including reasons for exclusion)

---



---



---



---

**JBI Critical Appraisal Checklist for Comparable Cohort/ Case Control**

Reviewer \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_  
 Author \_\_\_\_\_ Year \_\_\_\_\_ Record Number \_\_\_\_\_

	Yes	No	Unclear
1. Is sample representative of patients in the population as a whole?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2. Are the patients at a similar point in the course of their condition/illness?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3. Has bias been minimised in relation to selection of cases and of controls?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4. Are confounding factors identified and strategies to deal with them stated?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5. Are outcomes assessed using objective criteria?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
6. Was follow up carried out over a sufficient time period?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
7. Were the outcomes of people who withdrew described and included in the analysis?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
8. Were outcomes measured in a reliable way?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
9. Was appropriate statistical analysis used?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Overall appraisal: Include  Exclude  Seek further info

Comments (Including reason for exclusion)

---



---



---

**JBI Critical Appraisal Checklist for Descriptive/ Case Series**

Reviewer \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_  
 Author \_\_\_\_\_ Year \_\_\_\_\_ Record Number \_\_\_\_\_

	Yes	No	Unclear
1. Was study based on a random or pseudo-random sample?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2. Were the criteria for inclusion in the sample clearly defined?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3. Were confounding factors identified and strategies to deal with them stated?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4. Were outcomes assessed using objective criteria?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5. If comparisons are being made, was there sufficient descriptions of the groups?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
6. Was follow up carried out over a sufficient time period?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
7. Were the outcomes of people who withdrew described and included in the analysis?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
8. Were outcomes measured in a reliable way?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
9. Was appropriate statistical analysis used?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Overall appraisal: Include  Exclude  Seek further info

Comments (Including reason for exclusion)

---



---



---

## Appendix II: Data extraction instruments

### MAStARI data extraction instrument

**JBI Data Extraction Form for  
Experimental / Observational Studies**

Reviewer ..... Date .....

Author ..... Year .....

Journal ..... Record Number .....

**Study Method**

RCT                       Quasi-RCT                       Longitudinal   
 Retrospective                       Observational                       Other

**Participants**

Setting \_\_\_\_\_

Population \_\_\_\_\_

**Sample size**

Group A \_\_\_\_\_ Group B \_\_\_\_\_

**Interventions**

Intervention A \_\_\_\_\_

Intervention B \_\_\_\_\_

Authors Conclusions:  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_

Reviewers Conclusions:  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_

**Study results**

**Dichotomous data**

<b>Outcome</b>	<b>Intervention ( ) number / total number</b>	<b>Intervention ( ) number / total number</b>

**Continuous data**

<b>Outcome</b>	<b>Intervention ( ) number / total number</b>	<b>Intervention ( ) number / total number</b>