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Abstract
The commissioning of treatment planning systems and beam modeling requires measured input parameters. The measurement of relative output in-air, Sc is particularly difficult for small fields. The purpose of this study was to investigate the influence of miniphantom design and detector selection on measured Sc values for small fields and to validate the measurements against Monte Carlo simulations. Measurements were performed using brass caps (with sidewalls) or tops (no sidewalls) of varying heights and widths. The performance of two unshielded diodes (60012 and SFD), EBT2 radiochromic film, and a fiber optic dosimeter (FOD) were compared for fields defined by MLCs (5-100 mm) and SRS cones (4-30 mm) on a Varian Novalis linear accelerator. Monte Carlo simulations were performed to theoretically predict Sc as measured by the FOD. For all detectors, Sc agreed to within 1% for fields larger than 10 mm and to within 2.3% for smaller fields. Monte Carlo simulation matched the FOD measurements for all size of cone defined fields to within 0.5%. Miniphantom design is the most important variable for reproducible and accurate measurements of the in-air output ratio, Sc, in small photon fields (less than 30 mm). Sidewalls are not required for fields less than or equal too 30 mm and tops are therefore preferred over the larger caps. Unlike output measurements in water, Scp, the selection of detector type for Sc is not critical, provided the active dosimeter volume is small relative to the field size
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Purpose: The commissioning of treatment planning systems and beam modeling requires measured input parameters. The measurement of relative output in-air, $S_c$, is particularly difficult for small fields. The purpose of this study was to investigate the influence of miniphantom design and detector selection on measured $S_c$ values for small fields and to validate the measurements against Monte Carlo simulations.

Methods: Measurements were performed using brass caps (with sidewalls) or tops (no sidewalls) of varying heights and widths. The performance of two unshielded diodes (60012 and SFD), EBT2 radiochromic film, and a fiber optic dosimeter (FOD) were compared for fields defined by MLCs (5–100 mm) and SRS cones (4–30 mm) on a Varian Novalis linear accelerator. Monte Carlo simulations were performed to theoretically predict $S_c$ as measured by the FOD.

Results: For all detectors, $S_c$ agreed to within 1% for fields larger than 10 mm and to within 2.3% for smaller fields. Monte Carlo simulation matched the FOD measurements for all size of cone defined fields to within 0.5%.

Conclusions: Miniphantom design is the most important variable for reproducible and accurate measurements of the in-air output ratio, $S_c$, in small photon fields (less than 30 mm). Sidewalls are not required for fields $\leq 30$ mm and tops are therefore preferred over the larger caps. Unlike output measurements in water, $S_{cp}$, the selection of detector type for $S_c$ is not critical, provided the active dosimeter volume is small relative to the field size.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Stereotactic radiosurgery (SRS) and intensity modulated radiation therapy (IMRT) have led to the increased use of radiotherapy fields with dimensions as small as 4 mm. In such small fields, dosimetry is complicated by both the beam characteristics and the detector design. The three conditions that are commonly used to classify a field as being small are when there is loss of lateral charged particle equilibrium, when there is partial blocking of the photon source by the collimators or when volume averaging across the detector is relatively large.$^1$ For 6 MV photon beams from medical accelerators this generally occurs below 30 mm.

It is conventional to separate the in-water output ratio, $S_{cp}$, into its components arising from changing head scatter conditions and phantom scatter:

$$S_{cp}(s) \approx S_c(s) \times S_p(s), \quad (1)$$
where $S_c$ is the in-air output ratio, $S_p$ is the phantom scatter factor, and $s$ is the field size at isocenter.

$S_c$ has historically been considered a “head scatter factor,” where the change in head scatter conditions affected the dose reaching the point of measurement at the isocenter. However, there are at least two other contributing factors to a variation in $S_c$: the backscatter to the Linac ionization chamber and the occlusion of the primary beam.

The component arising from the changing head scatter conditions has been described by a number of terms including “head scatter factor,” “collimator scatter factor,” and “in-air output factor.” In this paper, this quantity will be referred to as the *in-air output ratio*, $S_c$. The in-air output ratio characterizes the photon fluence at a point in a therapeutic radiation beam as a function of field size. $S_c$ is defined as the ratio of primary collision water kerma in free space, $K_p$, per monitor unit between the collimator setting of interest, $c$, and a reference collimator setting, $c_{ref}$, (typically $100 \times 100 \text{ mm}^2$) at the same point,

$$S_c(c) = \frac{K_p(c; z_{ref})/\text{MU}}{K_p(c_{ref}; z_{ref})/\text{MU}},$$

where $z_{ref}$ is the source-to-detector distance. Measured in-air output ratios are useful in beam modeling and dose calculations and it is recommended they be part of the commissioning process for each linear accelerator. Zhu et al. state that a reasonable estimation of $S_c$ can be obtained by taking a ratio of ionization readings with a detector placed inside a miniphantom with sufficient thickness to eliminate electron contamination. For small fields below 30 mm width, there are few studies reporting values of $S_c$, either from measurements or Monte Carlo simulations. The smallest field for which $S_c$ has been successfully measured and reported has been 10 mm (Refs. 6 and 8) and for Monte Carlo 5 mm.

### 1.A. Miniphantoms

Various build-up caps and miniphantom designs have been used for measurement of $S_c$ in small fields. The AAPM TG-74 (Ref. 3) and IPEM Report 103 (Ref. 1) recommend the use of a water-equivalent miniphantom for field sizes larger than 50 mm and a miniphantom made of a high-dense material such as brass for field sizes below 50 mm. Normalizing the ratio obtained with the high-density miniphantom to an intermediate field size (30–50 mm) and multiplying the result values by the $S_c$ measured for the intermediate field size with a water-equivalent miniphantom will give values for $S_c$ with very little error. This approach has been colloquially termed “daisy chaining.”

There are four important considerations in miniphantom design: material composition, height, thickness of the sidewalls and overall width. It has been shown that measurement of $S_c$ is not dependent on the atomic number of high-density miniphantoms in field sizes less than 40 mm in a 6 MV photon beam. However, at larger field sizes and higher energies this is not the case due to the change in energy spectrum with field size.

The AAPM TG-74 (Ref. 3) argues that a miniphantom height equivalent to the depth of dose maximum ($d_{max}$) is insufficient to eliminate electron contamination and a water-equivalent height of 100 mm ($d_{100}$) is recommended, based on measurements of the electron contamination in a 24 MV photon beam. If electron contamination is not eliminated, the detector reading will erroneously increase, particularly at larger field sizes. There is no recommendation for the more commonly used 6 MV photon beam.

The AAPM TG-74 (Ref. 3) also recommends that the lateral dimensions of the miniphantom should be large enough to maintain lateral charged particle equilibrium (CPE), approximately 1.3 g/cm$^2$ for a 6 MV photon beam unless experimental verification shows a thinner wall is sufficient for local conditions. Li et al. showed that the error introduced into the value of $S_c$ due to a break down in lateral CPE is negligible and that the sidewall thickness of the miniphantom can be reduced below that required to achieve lateral CPE, provided that they are thick enough to prevent the effect of electron contamination. McKerracher and Thwaites have concluded that lateral electron contamination is small enough that no sidewalls are required for a 6 MV photon beam in field sizes below 40 mm. An equivalent height of $d_{max}$ is sufficient for this energy and range of field size.

In small fields, the width of the miniphantom must also be smaller than the high dose region (dose $\geq 99\%$) of the radiation field, so that the scatter generated in the miniphantom is constant across the range of fields measured. This necessarily means that for very small fields in clinical use (4 mm), even when using a high density miniphantom, it will be too large to maintain lateral CPE.

Therefore, the ideal characteristics of an ideal miniphantom are (a) to be physically smaller than the high dose region, (b) to be thick enough to remove contamination electrons, and (c) able to be positioned accurately and reproducibly.

Detectors used in measurements of $S_c$ in small fields need to have a small sensitive volume, to minimize volume averaging effects, and high sensitivity, to keep noise to an acceptable level. Thus, there is a trade-off between a sensitive volume small enough to achieve good spatial resolution and large enough to provide good signal to noise ratio.

The dimensions of the material surrounding the sensitive volume, i.e. the detector housing also limits the minimum field size for which $S_c$ can be accurately measured. Ideally the width of the detector housing should be smaller than the high dose region of the radiation field so that the scatter generated in the housing is constant for all field sizes under consideration. One way to achieve this is to take measurements at an extended source-to-surface distance (SSD). However, this is not recommended due to the different projections of the flattening filter onto the detector at various SSDs for the same collimator.

The three types of commercially available detectors that have commonly been used for small field dosimetry are small volume ionization chambers, diamond detectors, and unshielded silicon diodes. Ionization chambers and diamond detectors typically have sensitive volumes that are too wide.
for the smallest clinical fields. Diode detectors can have small sensitive volumes; however, their housings are typically 4–7 mm wide. Radiochromic film has a high spatial resolution and plastic scintillation detectors have small active volumes with minimal housing, ostensibly making them both suitable detectors for use in small fields.

The aim of this study is to investigate experimental factors affecting the measurement of $S_c$ for small fields, specifically the influence of miniphantom design features and detector selection. The measurements are compared to Monte Carlo simulations. The novelty of this study is that it reports the value of $S_c$ for a clinical beam, derived using Monte Carlo simulations and measured values, taken with a range of detectors and miniphantom designs for fields as small as 4 mm. These results will provide indicators of how to best measure $S_c$ in challenging small field conditions.

### 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### 2.A. Experimental design

Measurements were performed using the 6 MV SRS mode (1000 MU/min; SRS flattening filter) on a Varian Novalis Tx linear accelerator (Varian Oncology Systems, Palo Alto). Collimation was achieved using Varian high-definition (HD120) MLCs and BrainLab stereotactic cones. The manufacturers’ specified effective point of measurement of each detector was positioned at isocenter (1000 mm from the focal spot).

Two miniphantom designs (caps and tops) were used (Fig. 1). All miniphants were made from common brass (density 8.4 g/cm$^3$) with an equivalent height of either $d_{\text{max}}$ (2.2 mm brass) or $d_{100}$ (15 mm brass) in water. The caps have a physical diameter of 11 mm and sidewall equivalent thickness of $d_{\text{max}}$. The height of the cap miniphant is sufficiently large to surround both the sensitive volume and the housing for the diodes. The tops have zero sidewall thickness and physical diameters of 3, 5, and 7 mm (Fig. 1).

Table I summarizes the detectors used and their sensitive volumes and housing dimensions.

The 0.125 cm$^3$ PTW Semiflex ionization chamber is recommended for use in fields of widths 20–400 mm. The PTW Diode E (60012) and the IBA stereotactic field diode (SFD) are both unshielded p-type diodes specifically designed for use in small photon fields. For the above dosimeters, measurements were made with a PTW Unidos E electrometer.

The air-core fiber optic dosimeter (FOD) is a plastic scintillation detector developed for applications in megavoltage photon dosimetry where high spatial resolution is required.\textsuperscript{13} The detector makes use of an air core light guide to trans-

![Fig. 1. The brass top and cap miniphantom designs used in this study. (a) and (b) 3, 5, and 7 mm diameter $d_{\text{max}}$ tops and 11 mm diameter $d_{\text{max}}$ cap and, (c) and (d) 7 mm diameter $d_{100}$ top and 11 mm diameter $d_{100}$ cap.](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Detector</th>
<th>Diameter (mm)</th>
<th>Thickness or Volume (mm$^3$)</th>
<th>External dimension (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PTW Semiflex Chamber (IC)</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTW diode E (60012)</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>0.0025</td>
<td>0.0025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IBA stereotactic diode (SFD)</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fiber optic dosimeter (FOD)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gafchromic EBT2 film</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>0.028</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The air-core fiber optic dosimeter (FOD) was supported by Styrofoam\textsupersoft{TM} (Dow) (density 0.03 g/cm$^3$), to increase the distance between the point of measurement and the metal scanning arm of the water tank, whilst ensuring a rigid and reproducible setup. To confirm that the tank and foam did not contribute excess scatter, measurements were taken under “scatter free” conditions, essentially at a point in air, supporting the detector on a minimal foam extension rod, removing all scattering bodies including the water tank. Differences between the two setups were less than 0.3%. The diode detectors were oriented with their stem axis parallel to the beam axis, whereas the FOD was oriented with its stem axis perpendicular to the beam axis.

The diodes and the FOD were centered in the radiation field by scanning in 0.1 mm increments to find the point of maximum reading. This was performed at the smallest field size setting for each collimation method (4 mm diameter cone, 5 mm MLC field size). The square MLC field sizes used were 5, 10, 20, 30, 40, 60, 80, and 100 mm with jaw settings as recommended by the vendor (8, 12, 22, 32, 42, 60, 80, and 100 mm, respectively). The cone diameters used were 4, 7.5, 10, 12.5, 15, 20, 25, and 30 mm with the jaws kept constant at $50 \times 50$ mm$^2$.

Gafchromic EBT2 film is a self-developing radiochromic film, designed for the measurement of absorbed dose of high-energy photons. EBT2 film pieces (50 $\times$ 50 mm$^2$) were positioned perpendicular to the beam axis in-air by placing them over a holder, the central section of which was cut out (45 mm internal diameter). This holder was extended 300 mm off the end of the treatment couch to minimize scatter. Each sheet of film used had its own calibration set. These calibration films were exposed at 15 mm depth in solid water.
at field size 100 × 100 mm² in a 6 MV photon beam at 1000 mm SSD. A minimum of 10 separate films were exposed for each cone. To minimize the uncertainty in conversion from optical density to dose, for each field size the monitor units were scaled up by the inverse of the nominal cone output factors, such that approximately the same dose was delivered to each film piece. The measured dose values were then scaled down by the same nominal factors. Films were kept in a low-light environment for 48 h after exposure before being scanned with an EPSON 10000 XL scanner. The scanner was used in transmission mode with 150 dpi (0.17 mm pixel size) and 48 bit color (16 bit per channel) RGB with all corrections turned off. Consistent film orientation was maintained (portrait). All films were scanned individually, using only the central portion of the scanner, eliminating the known off-axis nonuniformity response17 and only the red channel data were used.18 A circular region of interest (ROI) in Image J was used to determine the mean pixel value in the high dose region of field. The ROI was 1 mm in diameter to approximate the size of the sensitive volumes of the other detectors used in the study.

During exposure, the selected miniphantom was placed over the sensitive volume of the detector using the overhead lasers. Winston-Lutz positioning tests confirmed the coincidence between the radiation isocenter and the overhead lasers to within 0.3 mm.

The cap and top miniphantoms were used with the 60012 diode to assess the sidewall effects. Electron contamination removal was evaluated using miniphantoms with two equivalent heights, dmax and d100, and a range of top widths. The top width measurements were also made using the PTW Semiflex ionization chamber. Sc was then determined with the 60012 diode, SFD diode, FOD, and EBT2 film with a selected miniphantom, to compare detector performance. All Sc results were normalized at the 30 mm field size. This field size was chosen as the intermediate field size where the detector/miniphantom combinations were considered to perform accurately.

2.B. Monte Carlo simulations

Monte Carlo (MC) simulations of a Varian Novalis Tx linear accelerator treatment head were performed using the BEAMnrc MC code package. The geometry and composition of head components was provided in confidence by Varian. The accelerator was modeled operating in SRS mode, with the default jaw-defined field size of 50 × 50 mm². The accelerator was modeled operating in SRS mode, with the default jaw-defined field size of 50 × 50 mm². The photon and electron transport cut-offs (PCUT and ECUT) were 0.01 MeV and 0.521 MeV, respectively. A scoring plane was defined following the stereotactic cone collimators at a distance of 800 mm and phase-space files produced for 4, 7.5, 10, 12.5, 15, 20, and 30 mm cone diameters. Phase-space files contain information about the particle characteristic at the scoring plane, including a latch variable describing each particle’s interaction history.19 The number of particles in each of the phase-space files ranged from 23,521 for the 4 mm cone to 616,371 for the 30 mm cone diameter.

To validate the MC model, the produced phase-space files were used as inputs into a DOSXYZnrc (Refs. 20 and 21) Monte Carlo dose calculation. The dose was calculated in a water phantom with an SSD of 1000 mm and compared to beam profile measurements made with EBT2 Gafchromic film for all cone sizes. The energy transport cut-offs, PCUT and ECUT, were set to 0.01 and 0.521 MeV, respectively. The dose was calculated in the water phantom for all field sizes and beam profiles compared at phantom depths ranging from the surface to 200 mm. The characteristics of the electron beam from the guide were adjusted in the BEAMnrc simulation to achieve the best match possible between measured and simulated beam profiles for all cone diameters at depths ranging from the surface to 200 mm. BEAMnrc source number 19 with a focal spot size of 1.3 mm and an electron kinetic energy of 6.2 MeV ultimately gave the best fit. The term “characteristics” refers to the focal spot size and the initial electron energy. With the geometry used and selected initial settings for the beam, the model fitting was remarkably good. Therefore little tuning was needed, apart from small changes to spot size and beam energy.

To simulate the FOD detector the Geant4 Monte Carlo toolkit was used.22 The FOD active volume was defined as a cylinder with a diameter and height of 1 mm consisting of Bicron BC400 scintillator material (density 1.03 g/cm³). The cylindrical brass build-up cap was defined as common brass (63% Copper, 37% Zinc, density 8.55 g/cm³) with a diameter of 3 mm and a height of 2.3 mm. The center of the sensitive detector volume was positioned at the center of the simulation world volume with the brass build-up cap positioned directly on top of the detector volume. The default step size was set to 0.2 mm for all particle types and the secondary production lower energy cut was set to 250 eV. The physics processes were defined using a user specified physics list and included photoelectric effect, Compton scatter and gamma conversion for photons, electron multiple scattering, ionization, bremsstrahlung production, and electron annihilation for positrons and electrons.

The phase-space files that were produced using BEAMnrc were imported using a MATLAB (Mathworks, Natick) function and exported as a binary file in a format containing each particle’s type, energy, position, and direction cosines, which were then fired one by one at the detector geometry using Geant4’s PrimaryGeneratorAction class. The distance between the center of the detector active volume and the phase-space file plane, where each particle in the phase space file was reused in the Geant4 simulation, was 200 mm for all simulations reflecting the detector effective point of measurement being positioned at isocenter. The energy deposited in the scintillating material was recorded. Ten simulations for each cone diameter were completed using varying seed numbers and the total energy deposited within the detector volume averaged and a standard deviation for the ten simulations calculated. To calculate the Sc the energy deposited in the detector volume for each cone size was normalized to the number of electrons incident on the target in the BEAMnrc simulation.
and the recycle number, which corresponds to the number of
times each particle is fired within the Geant4 simulation. \( S_c \)
was then calculated as the ratio of the normalized energy per
incident electron for the respective cone size to that calculated
for the 30 mm cone size.

The theoretical \( S_c \) was calculated by determining the
KERMA from the spectra for each cone. The ratio of the
KERMA in air gives the theoretical \( S_c \).

**3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The effect of top and cap miniphantom design on the mea-
sured \( S_c \) is shown in Fig. 2. For field sizes from 20 to 100 mm,
the measured output factors for all designs agree to within
0.3%. McKerracher and Thwaites\(^8\) found a similar agreement
between in-air output measurements for tops and caps with
equivalent heights of \( d_{\text{max}} \) and \( d_{50} \) in a 6 MV photon beam.
However, for fields smaller than 20 mm, the measured \( S_c \) is
critically dependent upon the miniphantom design. There is a
feature of approximately 0.2% for the 10 mm cone that ap-
pears not to align with a visual extrapolation of the line. We
do not speculate on the origin of this feature. If the high dose
region of the field becomes smaller than the miniphantom,
the \( S_c \) for these fields will appear to be lower, as the scatter
generated in the miniphantom will not be constant with
field size relative to the normalization field size. This effect
will be termed *loss of phantom scatter constancy* and is more
significant for the caps, because they are physically wider.
Therefore, tops were used for all subsequent measurements.

Figure 2 also depicts the effect of miniphantom height.
As the field size decreases, the fall in \( S_c \) is greater when the
miniphantom height is increased (from \( d_{\text{max}} \) to \( d_{100} \)). This may
be explained by two phenomena. First, in very small fields
beam divergence causes a greater proportion of scattering
material to lie outside the high dose region of the field for tall
miniphantoms. Second, there is a greater difficulty in aligning
a tall and narrow miniphantom with the beam axis, increasing
the uncertainty in measurement. For these reasons tops of
height \( d_{\text{max}} \) were used for all subsequent measurements.

The effect of varying the width of the tops on the mea-
sured \( S_c \) is shown for MLCs and cones in Figs. 3(a) and 3(b),
respectively. For MLC fields larger than 30 mm, \( S_c \) for the
diode with the 3 mm wide top deviates significantly from that
measured with the ionization chamber and diode with wider
tops. This is interpreted as the effect of insufficient lateral
shielding, as removing sidewalls means that side scatter asso-
ciated with the larger fields is able to reach the sensitive
volume of the detector.\(^3\) Figure 3 shows that between 10 and
30 mm the measured \( S_c \) with all miniphantom tops agree to
within 1%. For fields smaller than 10 mm, \( S_c \) decreases with
an increase in the top width [Figs. 3(a) and 3(b)], due to a loss
of *phantom scatter constancy* as defined above. Even a 3 mm
top will be wider than the high dose region of the 4 mm field.
However attempts to further reduce the top width to 2 mm
were unreliable, because the uncertainty of positioning is too
great for the results to be of value. From the results shown in
Figs. 3(a) and 3(b), the 3 mm top was considered to be the
best compromise to measure \( S_c \) in small fields and was used
for all subsequent measurements.

The experimental and Monte Carlo values of \( S_c \), derived
as described in the methods section, agreed to within 2.3%
at the smallest field size (Fig. 4). Radiochromic film mea-
surements of \( S_c \) agree with the POD measurements to within
0.5%, except for the smallest field size of 4 mm. At this field

![Fig. 2. \( S_c \) for MLCs measured using 60012 diode with 11 mm wide caps and 7 mm wide tops. The equivalent heights of the caps and tops are either \( d_{\text{max}} \) or \( d_{100} \). The uncertainty bars are smaller than the symbols used.](image-url)
FIG. 3. $S_c$ for (a) MLCs and (b) cone collimation measured using 60012 diode with tops of width 3, 5, and 7 mm and an ionization chamber with 11 mm cap. The equivalent heights of the tops and cap are $d_{\text{max}}$. The uncertainty bars are smaller than the symbols used.
size the Monte Carlo derived values of $S_c$ for the dosimeter most closely match those of the FOD (0.5% difference). Between 10 and 15 mm there is a 0.8% difference and the shapes of the curves appear different. The theoretical value of $S_c$ calculated from the ratio of KERMA for each cone at a point in air overlay the measured FOD values of $S_c$ to within 0.5%.

The uncertainty bars in this study represent one standard deviation for multiple measurement sessions. The number of measurement sessions for each dosimeter ranged from three, for the diodes and FOD, up to at least five for the Gafchromic EBT2 film as it had the highest variability of the dosimeters employed. Within each measurement session a minimum of three readings were taken for each data point. The uncertainty bars represent Type A uncertainties\(^2\) and include detector reproducibility, setup variation between separate measurement sessions and variation in beam characteristics. The Type A uncertainties were within 1.4% for the FOD, 0.2% for the 60012 and 0.3% for the SFD for all field sizes. The uncertainty in the EBT2 film was determined to be within 2.0% (1 SD) and is consistent with that reported in the literature.\(^1\) Although the overall maximum difference in $S_c$ is 2.3% for the 4 mm cone, this is acceptable given the difficulty of the measurements. The agreement between the $S_c$ measurements obtained using EBT2 film, the FOD and the diodes, with their relative densities of 1.30, 1.03, and 2.33 g/cm\(^3\), respectively, shows that the dosimetric water equivalence of a detector’s sensitive volume over this range is not a consideration in the measurement of $S_c$. This is in contrast to in-water output factors, $S_{cp}$, where the density of the detector affects the phantom scatter component\(^4\) and correction factors are required.\(^5\)

From the Monte Carlo simulation, we determined that almost the entire dose deposited in the FOD scintillator arises from primary radiation (>99% for the 30 mm cone), not scattered radiation (from the flattening filter or other components in the Linac head). This is reflected in the measured data in Fig. 3, which shows that between 10 and 30 mm the measured $S_c$ with all miniphantom tops of widths 3, 5, and 7 mm, agree to within 1%, confirming that below 30 mm there must be minimal contribution to the dose at the detector from lateral scatter. Cap design miniphantoms are therefore not necessary in small fields and may even lead to errors stemming from loss of phantom scatter constancy.

Many treatment planning systems use a combination of $S_c$ and $S_p$ to model the scattering conditions within a patient or phantom. $S_p$ is usually determined by dividing the known total scatter factor $S_{cp}$ by the measured value of $S_c$. This works well for large fields; however, the recommendation by AAPM (Ref. 3) to use caps in the measurement of $S_c$ can introduce errors as large as 10% for fields smaller than 10 mm width (Fig. 2). This study has validated an accurate method of measuring $S_c$ in small fields using tops instead of caps, establishing their use in routine clinical measurement.

### 4. CONCLUSION

This study identifies that the miniphantom design is the most important variable for reproducible and accurate measurements of the in-air output ratio, $S_c$, in small photon fields (less than 30 mm). Three key features of the miniphantom design that need to be considered are: sidewall thickness, height above the detector, and overall width.

---

**Fig. 4.** $S_c$ for cone collimation measured using the 60012 diode, SFD diode, FOD and EBT2 film with 3 mm wide tops with equivalent height $d_{\text{max}}$. Monte Carlo calculated $S_c$ are also shown. The uncertainty bars represent one standard deviation.
that miniphantom sidewalls are not necessary for small fields, since most of the dose deposited is from the primary beam and not incident laterally on the detector. Furthermore, sidewalls can lead to significant errors in the determination of $S_c$ when the field becomes smaller than the physical size of the miniphantom. Whilst the height of the miniphantom had a negligible effect on $S_c$, tall narrow miniphantoms are difficult to position and are susceptible to alignment uncertainties. Therefore, we recommend using the minimum height necessary for removal of electron contamination. The overall width of the miniphantom should be smaller than the high dose region of the field, but sufficiently wide to be positioned accurately and reproducibly. It is only necessary for the top to cover the diameter of the sensitive detector element and not the entire detector housing. The approach we describe enables reliable and accurate measurement of $S_c$ for the smallest fields in routine clinical use.
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