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Abstract

Objective: To validate a two-item food security questionnaire (FSQ) for use in a clinical setting to screen HIV-1 infected patients for food insecurity. Design: The present study was a questionnaire-based survey of forty-nine subjects attending an HIV clinic. Subjects completed a two-item questionnaire and a six-item validated FSQ contemporaneously. Results: A strong correlation was found between the two-item and six-item FSQ (r=0.895; 95% CI 0.821, 0.940; P<0.0001). Cronbach’s a coefficient was found to be 0.94 and 0.90 for the two-item and six-item FSQ, respectively. The two-item FSQ yielded a sensitivity of 100% (95% CI 75, 100) and a specificity of 78% (95% CI 61, 90). The negative predictive value was found to be 100% (95% CI 88, 100). Conclusions: The results of the present study suggest that the two-item FSQ is a valid, reliable and sensitive screening tool of food insecurity in people living with HIV in a clinical setting.
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Abstract

Objective: To validate a two-item food security questionnaire (FSQ) for use in a clinical setting to screen HIV-1 infected patients for food insecurity.

Design: The present study was a questionnaire-based survey of forty-nine subjects attending an HIV clinic. Subjects completed a two-item questionnaire and a six-item validated FSQ contemporaneously.

Results: A strong correlation was found between the two-item and six-item FSQ (r = 0.895; 95% CI 0.821, 0.940; P < 0.0001). Cronbach’s α coefficient was found to be 0.94 and 0.90 for the two-item and six-item FSQ, respectively. The two-item FSQ yielded a sensitivity of 100% (95% CI 75, 100) and a specificity of 78% (95% CI 61, 90). The negative predictive value was found to be 100% (95% CI 88, 100).

Conclusions: The results of the present study suggest that the two-item FSQ is a valid, reliable and sensitive screening tool of food insecurity in people living with HIV in a clinical setting.
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Food insecurity has been defined as the ‘limited or uncertain availability of nutritionally adequate and safe food, or limited or uncertain ability to acquire acceptable food in socially acceptable ways’(1). Food and nutrition insecurity have been linked worldwide to the transmission of HIV and poor outcomes related to HIV disease(2). Other consequences of food insecurity include poor nutritional status, which may hasten progression of the disease, undermine adherence and response to antiretroviral therapy (ART) and exacerbate socio-economic impacts of the virus(3). Despite this, food insecurity and nutrition remain a problem among people living with HIV (PLHIV)(4).

Food security (FS) can be assessed by a range of methodologies, including a face-to-face interview and questionnaires. A number of questionnaires have been used in the past(1–7). Some of these were long and time consuming for patients to complete, and a modified version six-item FS questionnaire (FSQ) was subsequently developed and validated to measure food security in American households(5,8). This tool, while useful, may be limited by time constraints on patients completing a multidisciplinary nutrition-screening tool (MNST) incorporating other medical and related specialities.

Nutritional deficiencies are common in HIV patients and are multifactorial, involving biological and psychosocial issues. In our centre, we manage PLHIV with a multidisciplinary approach involving medical, psychological and nutrition interventions. A MNST has been developed for ongoing patient care at our centre. The MNST incorporates screening for symptoms, malnutrition, cardiovascular and diabetes risk, food security, oral health and mental health.

The aim of the present study was to validate a two-item FSQ with the six-item questionnaire validated by Blumberg et al.(5) for the purposes of screening in a clinical setting for food insecurity in a population of adults living with HIV in Australia.

Method

The present study was approved by the Institutional Ethics Committee, in 2007. The study group consisted of a convenience sample of forty-nine participants attending the Albion Street Centre, a large multidisciplinary government funded HIV ambulatory care centre in Sydney, Australia, for routine HIV care between September 2007 and February 2009. The Centre provides care for HIV infected individuals representing a range of socio-economic backgrounds. Inclusion criteria for participation included people over the age of 18 years, with a known HIV infection.

Each participant completed the abridged two-item FSQ, as well as the six-item FSQ, contemporaneously.
The two-item FSQ was part of a larger study in which participants completed a variety of questionnaires including: (i) a Demographics questionnaire; and (ii) a Multi-disciplinary screening tool incorporating questionnaires on (a) Symptoms, (b) Malnutrition, (c) Cardiovascular and diabetes risk, (d) Food security, (e) Depression screening and (f) Oral health screening. As the aim of the larger study was to assess the usefulness of the larger MNST to screen for nutritional, dental and psychological problems, it was necessary to administer the two-item FSQ and the six-item FSQ as separate documents on the same visit to determine if the former was as reliable as the full six-item questionnaire in detecting difficulties with food security in this population.

The six-item FSQ consists of three compulsory screening questions with an affirmative answer requiring a patient to answer three additional questions. Scores were obtained by summing the responses according to the tool scoring guidelines. Each affirmative answer, either ‘sometimes true’ or ‘often true’, scored 1 and ‘never true’ scored 0. The scores ranged from 0 to 6 based on the number of affirmative responses. A score of ≥1 indicates food security, 2 to 4 food insecurity without hunger and ≥5 food insecurity with hunger. The two questions for food security (Appendix) were taken directly from the six-item FSQ and used the same scoring as the six-item questionnaire. The total score for the two questions ranged from 0 to 2. An affirmative answer to either question in the two-item FSQ indicated a dietetic referral.

**Statistical analysis**
Statistical analysis was performed using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Validity was assessed using Spearman’s correlation coefficient test. Reliability was tested using Cronbach’s α coefficient. An α value of >0·70 was considered good internal reliability. Sensitivity and specificity were analysed using cross tabulation. The total score of the two-item and six-item FSQ was converted to a binary score using a cut-off of >0 equal 1 and ≥2 equal 1 for the two-item and six-item questionnaire, respectively. Concordance of identifying food insecurity was determined using the kappa statistic. All tests were two-sided with a significance level of <0·05.

**Results**
Forty-nine subjects (forty-seven males and two females) with a mean age of 44±6 (SD 9·5) years, and thirty-nine (80%) of Caucasian origin were enrolled to the study. The mean duration of HIV infection was 12±1 (SD 7·3) years, and thirty-six (74%) were currently receiving ART medication. Thirty-five (71%), of the participants had at least a tertiary (including technical) education, with thirty-one (63%) employed either full-time or part-time.

Only seven (14%) were living in assisted rent or rent-free accommodation.

There were no missing or refusal responses. A statistically significant correlation ($p=0·895$; 95% CI 0·821, 0·940; $P<0·0001$) was found between the abridged two-item FSQ and the six-item FSQ (Fig. 1). The $κ$ agreement of the responses between the two questionnaires for food insecurity was 0·650 ($P<0·0001$). The internal reliability of the two-item and six-item FSQ, as determined by Cronbach’s α coefficient, was found to be 0·94 and 0·90, respectively. The two-item FSQ yielded a sensitivity of 100% (95% CI 75, 100), specificity 78% (95% CI 61, 90) and a negative predictive value of 100% (95% CI 88, 100).

**Discussion**

The present study found a significant positive correlation between the two-item and the six-item FSQ completed by participants. The validity is further supported by a high level of concurrence between the questionnaires to detect food insecurity. This study also found the internal reliability of the two-item FSQ, to be 0·94, which was higher than the six-item FSQ, indicating excellent internal reliability. A single-item FSQ, previously tested, found very low sensitivity but high specificity indicating that it was not an ideal screener. In contrast, this two-item FSQ was found to be a highly sensitive tool with good specificity and high negative predictive value, suggesting that the two-item FSQ met the criteria for an ideal screening tool for food security in a clinical setting for PLHIV.

The prevalence of food insecurity worldwide ranges from less than 5% in some countries to greater than 35% in resource-poor countries. In developed countries such as Australia, the United States and Canada, the prevalence of food insecurity was reported as 5% to 8%, 10·9% and 14·9%, respectively. The HIV Futures 5
Survey reported 52% of PLHIV in Australia to be food insecure, an estimated seven times higher than the general population. In the same study, 14% of respondents reported paying for the cost of food as ‘very difficult’(14). Similar findings have been reported in a Canadian HIV cohort study(4). These findings are important as food insecurity is not considered a problem in developed countries such as Australia due to its abundant food supply and well-established social security safety net(15). The HIV Futures 5 has also reported that 28% of PLHIV are living below the poverty line in Australia, reinforcing the need to screen HIV patients for food insecurity in developed countries(14).

There are limitations to the present study in regards to the small sample size and the non-random method of sampling. However, it has been reported that a very large correlation of 0.7–0.9 requires a sample size of about forty-six if the CI is 0-20 or less(16). In the present study, the CI was found to be 0-12. Therefore, this finding with the similarity of the demographics of our cohort to a larger national Australian study, which is representative of adults living with HIV(14), are suggestive that this two-item FSQ may be used as a screener among PLHIV in Australia but may require further validation with a larger representative sample. We also acknowledge that a 5% bias in both sensitivity and specificity caused by data-driven selection on a small sample is a limitation. However, a simulation study has shown that the nearer the true values were to 100% the less room there is for an overestimation(17).

In conclusion, the present study found the two-item FSQ to be a valid, reliable, sensitive, simple and easy-to-use tool for clinical use in PLHIV to identify food insecurity. Appropriate referral to a dietitian for nutrition counselling can reduce the impact of food insecurity in the lives of PLHIV by providing individualised evidence-based advice.
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Appendix

Please read the following two statements and indicate whether the statement was OFTEN, SOMETIMES or NEVER true for you or other members of your household in the last 12 months.

1. The food I/we bought just didn’t last, and I/we didn’t have money to get more.
   
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Never true</th>
<th></th>
<th>Sometimes true</th>
<th></th>
<th>Often true</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

2. I/we couldn’t afford to eat balanced meals.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Never true</th>
<th></th>
<th>Sometimes true</th>
<th></th>
<th>Often true</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>