



UNIVERSITY
OF WOLLONGONG
AUSTRALIA

University of Wollongong
Research Online

Faculty of Science, Medicine and Health - Papers

Faculty of Science, Medicine and Health

2013

Baseline dietary patterns are a significant consideration in correcting dietary exposure for weight loss

S.J. Grafenauer

University of Wollongong, sarag@uow.edu.au

L.C. Tapsell

University of Wollongong, ltapsell@uow.edu.au

E.J. Beck

University of Wollongong, eleanor@uow.edu.au

M.J. Batterham

University of Wollongong, marijka@uow.edu.au

Publication Details

Grafenauer, S. J., Tapsell, L. C., Beck, E. J. & Batterham, M. J. (2013). Baseline dietary patterns are a significant consideration in correcting dietary exposure for weight loss. *European Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 67 (4), 330-336.

Research Online is the open access institutional repository for the University of Wollongong. For further information contact the UOW Library: research-pubs@uow.edu.au

Baseline dietary patterns are a significant consideration in correcting dietary exposure for weight loss

Abstract

Background/objectives: Dietary pattern studies are traditionally the domain of epidemiological research. From a clinical perspective, there is a need to explore the effects of changing food and dietary patterns of individuals. The aim was to identify patterns of food choice in the context of a clinical weight loss trial. Cluster analysis based on reported serves of food groups revealed dietary patterns informative for the clinical setting.

Subjects/Methods: Cluster analysis was conducted using diet history data from two clinical trials at baseline, and outcomes at 3 months were reviewed based on these clusters ($n=231$). The cluster solution was analysed using defined food groups in serves and with respect to clinical parameters and requirements for selected nutrients.

Results: Two distinct dietary patterns were identified from the reported baseline dietary intakes. Subjects in Cluster 1 reported food patterns characterised by higher intakes of low-fat dairy and unsaturated oils and margarine and were generally more closely aligned to food choices encouraged in national dietary guidelines. Subjects in Cluster 2 reported a dietary pattern characterised by non-core foods and drinks, higher- and medium-fat dairy foods, fatty meats and alcohol. At 3 months, Cluster 2 subjects reported greater reductions in energy intake (-5317 kJ; $P<0.001$) and greater weight loss (-5.6 kg; $P<0.05$) compared with Cluster 1.

Conclusions: Overweight subjects with reported dietary patterns similar to dietary guidelines at baseline may have more difficulty in reducing energy intake than those with poor dietary patterns. Correcting exposure to non-core foods and drinks was key to successful weight loss.

Keywords

exposure, weight, significant, correcting, dietary, consideration, patterns, baseline, loss

Disciplines

Medicine and Health Sciences | Social and Behavioral Sciences

Publication Details

Grafenauer, S. J., Tapsell, L. C., Beck, E. J. & Batterham, M. J. (2013). Baseline dietary patterns are a significant consideration in correcting dietary exposure for weight loss. *European Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 67 (4), 330-336.

Baseline dietary patterns are a significant consideration in correcting dietary exposure for weight loss.

Sara J. Grafenauer, MSc(Nutr. & Diet.); PhD candidate, Smart Food Centre, University of Wollongong.
sjg930@uowmail.edu.au

Linda C. Tapsell, PhD, FDAA

Eleanor J. Beck, Adv APD, PhD

Marijka J. Batterham, Adv APD, PhD

Corresponding author: Sara Grafenauer sjg930@uowmail.edu.au

School of Health Sciences

University of Wollongong

Northfields Ave

Wollongong NSW 2522

AUSTRALIA

Ph: 61 408 238 138

Fax: 61 2 4221 4844

Baseline dietary patterns are a significant consideration in correcting dietary exposure for weight loss.

Abstract

Background: Dietary pattern studies are traditionally the domain of epidemiological research. From a clinical perspective, there is a need to explore the effects of changing food and dietary patterns of individuals. The aim was to identify patterns of food choice in the context of a clinical weight loss trial. Cluster analysis based on reported serves of food groups revealed dietary patterns informative for the clinical setting.

Methods: Cluster analysis was conducted using diet history data from two clinical trials at baseline and outcomes at three months were reviewed based on these clusters (n=231). The cluster solution was analysed using defined food groups in serves and with respect to clinical parameters and requirements for selected nutrients.

Results: Two distinct dietary patterns were identified from reported baseline dietary intakes. Subjects in Cluster 1 reported food patterns characterised by higher intakes of low fat dairy and unsaturated oils and margarine and were generally more closely aligned to food choices encouraged in national dietary guidelines. Subjects in Cluster 2 reported a dietary pattern characterised by non-core foods and drinks, higher and medium fat dairy foods, fatty meats and alcohol. At 3 months, Cluster 2 subjects reported greater reductions in energy intake (-5317kJ; $P<0.001$) and greater weight loss (-5.6kg; $P<0.05$) compared with Cluster 1.

Conclusions: Overweight subjects with reported dietary patterns similar to dietary guidelines at baseline may have more difficulty in reducing energy intake than those with poor dietary patterns. Correcting exposure to non-core foods and drinks was key to successful weight loss.

Keywords: Cluster analysis; dietary pattern; weight reduction; health outcome assessment; dietetic practice; food

1 Introduction

2 Dietary advice for weight loss is given in terms of foods or meals, therefore in the clinical setting, review of
3 dietary patterns may be most informative. Dietary pattern analysis is conceptually complex¹, and whole-of-
4 diet approaches have now been used in a variety of countries to study a range of diseases²⁻⁷ but studies
5 applied specifically to dietary interventions are limited^{8,9}. Togo et al 2007¹⁰ defined dietary patterns as
6 'the distribution (by frequency and/or amount) of foods in the habitual diet' (as distinct from meal
7 patterns). Knowing which foods or patterns in the habitual diet need to change to achieve clinically relevant
8 outcomes is an important adjunct for all dietary therapy.

9
10 In clinical practice and research, high quality dietary data is required in the initial dietary assessment.
11 Typically, the diet history interview and 7-day food record have interchangeably served as 'gold
12 standards'¹¹⁻¹⁴. While both provide records of foods consumed, the diet history 'tells the story' of foods and
13 meals *usually* consumed over a defined time period of a week or a month¹⁵, and captures this within the
14 narrative of the consultation performed by a skilled professional¹⁶. In the clinical setting, the interviewer-
15 administered diet history method may be more precise than a self-administered food record as it allows for
16 quantification of more individual items and greater flexibility to probe for less frequently consumed foods
17 that may be important for behaviour change. As a method of dietary data collection it is less affected by
18 education level as it is not reliant on written instruction, and the method of questioning maintains
19 respondent interest and helps build rapport¹². The narrative, including portion size and food frequency,
20 can then be distilled manually (in a typical practice setting) or using computer analysis, with data analysed
21 in terms of nutrients, foods or food groups. This output can be utilised to help correct dietary exposure and
22 inform tailored advice to facilitate dietary change¹⁷.

23
24 In dietary pattern research, the percent energy contribution from the food subgroups can be used for
25 cluster analysis but if consumption of a single macronutrient happens to be high, other values are
26 depressed¹⁸. Using foods for analysis lends itself to exploration of dietary patterns and may be more
27 sensitive in discerning contributions of food groups, particularly foods associated with positive (eg low

28 energy vegetables) or negative health outcomes (eg high saturated fat foods). Bailey et al¹⁸ found more
29 consistent results with a focus on food in serves, which mimics approaches in the practice setting and
30 allows easy translation of research to practice.

31

32 Cluster analysis can be used to segment and identify dietary patterns within the study population
33 independently of their associations with outcomes¹⁹. Cluster analysis is data driven, however the food
34 groupings, used to organise the data, are the result of a systematic, hypothesis driven approach. This
35 statistical method lends itself well to the concept of healthy diets, such that one would expect positive (and
36 negative) dietary patterns to cluster together²⁰. When applied to population samples, cluster analysis
37 'groups people who share similar frequency patterns for consumption of foods'²¹, such that an individual
38 can only belong to one cluster, for example, 'Prudent' or 'Western' diet patterns²². The specific food
39 choices of successful dieters, even those selected prior to a dietary intervention may reveal dietary
40 patterns that are informative for clinical practice.

41

42 This study applied cluster analysis to explore dietary patterns at baseline from participants in weight-loss
43 dietary interventions. The aim of the study was to identify patterns of food choice in the context of a
44 clinical weight loss trial.

45

46 Methods

47 Participant diet history records from two registered clinical weight loss trials (ACTRN 12608000425392 and
48 12610000784011) were analysed. The trials utilised were 12 month randomised controlled dietary trials in
49 healthy overweight adults drawn from the local area using newspaper advertisements. Participants in both
50 trials were blinded to the intervention and education was based on a similar approach to kilojoule
51 restriction for weight reduction. Each had a control and intervention group and exclusion criteria as
52 described in Table 1. For each clinical trial, diet history data reflective of a weekly pattern of intake was
53 collected at baseline and 3 months by accredited practising dietitians. Participants had completed an
54 estimated 4-day food record prior to interview which assisted with recall of types and amounts of foods

55 consumed. A checklist of the frequency of consumption of specific foods was also used for items that may
56 have been omitted from the history. All food records were analysed using a computerised food and
57 nutrient database, Foodworks™ Professional (Xyris, Brisbane, Australia, Version 6, 2009).

58

59 In many studies of dietary patterns and disease, little justification is provided for the food groups utilised²³
60 with food groupings predicated by the tool or method used to collect the data. Our work defined and
61 tested the food groups specific to the clinical setting in advance, using a sub-set of dietary data²⁴. In
62 defining the food groups, the number of categories was broadened from the usual five core food groups
63 and were based on (i) their biological characteristics to define categories of food (eg. fruit, or nuts and
64 seeds)²⁵, or (ii) by their means of production (eg. alcohol)²⁵, or (iii) by their nutrient composition including
65 energy density (eg. milk and milk alternatives), or (iv) by their culinary use, and (v) the evidence base for
66 relationships between food consumption patterns and health outcomes specifically with interest to weight
67 management. This resulted in seventeen groups including wholegrains, non-wholegrain cereal foods,
68 starchy vegetables, free vegetables, fruit, higher fat, medium fat and low fat milk and milk alternatives, lean
69 and fatty meat, eggs, legumes, fish, nuts and seeds, unsaturated oils and margarine were used for the
70 cluster analysis. Non-core energy dense foods and drinks were categorised separately to alcohol. Table 2
71 outlines the scope of foods included in each of the 17 groups. The dietary data collected at baseline and
72 three months were tabulated by food group and a ready reckoner (RR)^{26,27} was used to calculate the serves
73 of each food group consumed. In the clinical trial protocol, baseline and 3-month anthropometry and
74 fasting biochemistry were measured.

75

76 In order to explore the diverse nature of the non-core foods and drinks category, six additional groups were
77 created for use in subsequent analysis of the non-core food and drinks category specifically in relation to
78 consumption pattern by gender. These groups included juice (100% juice, juice drinks), soft drink (all types,
79 including cordial), sweet treats (chocolate, chocolate bars, sweet biscuits, cake, ice cream), savoury treats
80 (savory biscuits, dips, crisps), takeaway food items (commercial hamburgers and foods, takeaway meals,
81 fried foods like fish and chips) and other foods/ ingredients (sugar, butter, spreads, sauces). All non-core

82 foods and drinks were based on 600kJ as per the Australian Guide to Healthy Eating (AGHE)²⁸, so the weight
83 of the drinks would not influence the number of serves for the non-core foods and drinks category.

84

85 An independent samples t-test (IBM SPSS Statistics, version 19.0.0 IBM Corporation Armonk NY), was used
86 to determine any significant differences between the two trial datasets in terms of age of participants, BMI
87 and reported percent of macronutrients consumed, and a Chi-square test for gender differences
88 (categorical variables) between the baseline data sets and between clusters. In the dietary pattern analysis,
89 a two-step clustering procedure was used to allow the food group serve data to drive the clustering rather
90 than setting a predefined number of clusters. In the two-step procedure, pre-clusters are formed and then
91 re-clustered using a hierarchical process. A number of alternative cluster solutions were tested to ensure
92 that the natural group structure of the data was adequately defined. The clusters were established with
93 baseline data and were compared alongside changes at three months in serves of food, anthropometric
94 data, biochemical data and selected nutrient data from FoodworksTM using independent samples t-test
95 between the clusters and paired t-tests for within-cluster changes. All data were checked for normality
96 using Shapiro-Wilks and median and interquartile ranges (IQR 25th-75th percentile) were presented where
97 appropriate.

98

99 Results

100 Subjects

101 Combining two clinical trial data bases allowed for analysis of data from 231 participants. Baseline data
102 from each trial are provided in Table 1. Independent t-tests revealed no baseline differences between the
103 two sets of trial data in terms of age of participants or percent fat or carbohydrate intake yet there was a
104 significant difference in the percent protein (P 0.006) and the reported energy intake (P 0.006). At baseline
105 the reported macronutrients were within the accepted macronutrient distribution range (AMDR)²⁹ with the
106 exception of carbohydrate for Study 1, which was lower than the suggested target of 45-65% E. The
107 baseline BMI was significantly different (P 0.002) as the entry criteria differed for each study, though there

108 was no difference between trials in the weight lost by 3mo (P 0.639). Chi square analysis found no
109 difference in gender between the two groups.

110 Cluster analysis

111 All foods reported from the diet history records were able to be categorised using the outlined 17 food
112 groups. Two distinct dietary patterns were identified at baseline. Cluster 1 (n =193; 83.5%) represented
113 subjects consuming a significantly greater number of portions of low fat dairy foods (P 0.001) and
114 unsaturated oils and margarine (P 0.012). This cluster also represented a lower mean energy intake at
115 baseline compared to Cluster 2 (P <0.0001). Cluster 2 (n =38; 16.5%) represented subjects reporting
116 consumption of a significantly greater number of portions of non-core foods and drinks (P <0.0001), fatty
117 meat (P 0.031), higher fat dairy foods (P 0.003) and medium fat dairy foods (P <0.0001), alcohol (P 0.003),
118 non-wholegrain cereal foods (P <0.0001) and wholegrains (P 0.002). Based on these differences, Cluster 1
119 were referred to as the low-fat dairy pattern and Cluster 2 as the high-non-core food choices pattern as
120 these were dominant groups in the clustering process. These results are presented in Table 3.

121

122 At 3 months, there were no differences in any food groups between the two clusters. Between baseline and
123 3 months both clusters reported decreased consumption of non-wholegrain cereal foods, higher fat dairy
124 foods, fatty meat, alcohol and non-core foods and drinks and these within-group changes were significant.
125 Both clusters significantly increased consumption of legumes and low-fat dairy. Cluster 1 significantly
126 increased fruit, free vegetables and decreased lean meat, eggs, nuts and seeds, and unsaturated oils and
127 margarine. Cluster 2 significantly decreased medium-fat dairy which includes full cream milk. The changes
128 reported in dietary intake between time points resulted in significant differences between the clusters and
129 these are detailed in Table 3.

130

131 Non-core foods and drinks category were separated into juice, soft drink, sweet treats, savoury treats,
132 takeaway food items and other foods/ ingredients, shown in Table 3. Cluster 2 subjects consumed
133 significantly more soft drink/cordial (P 0.039), sweet treats (P 0.001), takeaway foods (P <0.0001) and other
134 non-core foods/ ingredients (P <0.0001) at baseline compared with Cluster 1 subjects. By 3 months, there

135 was no significant difference in non-core foods and drinks between groups. However within-clusters, all
136 non-core foods and drinks were significantly reduced with the exception of juice and soft drink for Cluster
137 2.

138

139 There was a gender difference between clusters, with proportionally more men in Cluster 2 ($P < 0.0001$),
140 however there were commonalities between sexes within each cluster with regard to serves from the food
141 groups. Males in each cluster report consuming significantly more alcohol (Cluster 1 P 0.032; Cluster 2 P
142 0.005) and females reported consuming significantly more unsaturated fat (Cluster 1 P 0.008; Cluster 2 P
143 0.034). Males reported more meat consumption than females; males consumed more lean meat and
144 poultry (P 0.041) in Cluster 1, and more fatty meat (P 0.005) and fish and seafood (P 0.04) in Cluster 2.
145 Compared with females, males also consumed more non-wholegrain cereal choices (P 0.021) in Cluster 1
146 but not in Cluster 2.

147

148 At baseline, Cluster 2 participants were heavier ($P < 0.001$), had a higher BMI (P 0.046), and a larger waist
149 measurement (P 0.005) than Cluster 1 subjects (Table 4). Cluster 1 subjects had a higher mean percent
150 body fat ($P < 0.001$) and a higher HDL-cholesterol level (P 0.011). There were no other significant differences
151 in clinical parameters between the clusters at baseline. By three months, Cluster 2 subjects had lost more
152 weight (-5.64kg; P 0.037) than Cluster 1 (-4.4kg). Cluster 2 had made greater changes in terms of energy
153 intake (-5317kJ; $P < 0.001$) in comparison to those in Cluster 1 (-2500kJ) (Table 4). Both clusters had
154 significant reductions in total cholesterol and there were significant within-group changes in LDL-
155 cholesterol, HDL-cholesterol, Glucose and Insulin for Cluster 1.

156

157 In order to assess the nutrient adequacy of the dietary patterns, nutrient values were compared to
158 Australian Nutrient Reference Values²⁹. The median (percent) macronutrient intake of Cluster 1 at baseline
159 reflected the AMDR, however for Cluster 2 the total fat was just above the range (35.7%) and the
160 carbohydrate was lower than the suggested target (40.7%). By 3 months, both clusters were more aligned

161 with the AMDR although carbohydrate remained lower in Cluster 2. Reported intakes of iron and calcium
162 were within estimated average requirements (EARs) and mean dietary fibre met the adequate intake (AI) of
163 25g defined for females at baseline and 3 months for both clusters.

164

165 Discussion

166 This research found that participants with poor dietary patterns at entry to the weight-loss interventions
167 achieved better results than those with established healthier dietary patterns. Subjects who reportedly
168 consumed larger amounts of non-core foods, higher fat and medium fat dairy foods and alcohol at baseline
169 (Cluster 2) were able to alter their dietary pattern more successfully to achieve an energy deficit. Cluster 2
170 subjects reduced energy (-5317kJ; $P<0.001$) and lost more weight (-5.64kg; $P<0.05$) over three months
171 compared with Cluster 1. At baseline, subjects in Cluster 1 reported consuming higher amounts of low fat
172 dairy and unsaturated oils and margarine and consumed amounts and types of each food group closer to
173 national dietary guideline recommendations particularly for grains and cereals, milk and alternatives³⁰.
174 Over the study period, Cluster 1 subjects achieved a weight loss of -4.37kg, the result of a reduction in
175 some higher energy food groups, though these subjects possibly found it more difficult to substantially alter
176 energy intake. Cluster 1 and 2 subjects were successful in losing weight, but Cluster 2 subjects, made
177 greater changes to their diet composition. Cluster 2 dietary patterns may be clinically meaningful,
178 representing participants with dichotomous, “all-or-nothing” thinking³¹ in relation to food choices,
179 particularly relevant to attempts for reduced energy intakes. This behavioural approach to food decision
180 making is known to be an unproductive method of long term weight control, and counselling is useful in
181 building strategies to alter this habitual behaviour³². The use of cluster analysis proved useful in
182 differentiating between subjects with respect to dietary patterns observed in the context of a weight loss
183 intervention, and is an approach known to relate to health indicators³³⁻³⁵ and behaviours⁸.

184

185 Over the 3-month timeframe participants in both clusters increased consumption of vegetables and
186 consumed adequate amounts of low-fat dairy foods while reducing non-core foods and drinks and alcohol.
187 However, targeting non-core foods and drinks and limiting selection from this food group and making

188 appropriate substitutions appeared key to the greater weight loss achieved by Cluster 2 subjects. All non-
189 core food and drink categories were significantly reduced within Cluster 1, however for participants in
190 Cluster 2, reduced consumption of foods categorised as sweet treats and takeaway foods decreased the
191 baseline non-core food and drink consumption by half. A dietary intervention strategy focussing on
192 reducing the variety of non-core foods consumed was recently proposed and examined in a randomised
193 controlled trial in which the intervention strategy specifically targeted non-core foods on the basis that
194 they are non-nutrient, high energy choices^{36,37}. While participants achieved success in terms of compliance
195 with the diet prescription, there was no difference in percentage weight lost after 18 months as the overall
196 energy intake was not adequately reduced. The authors suggested that more than one energy-dense food
197 category needed to be targeted to achieve desired outcomes. In our study, Cluster 2 subjects reduced
198 intake of all problematic food groups that characterised the cluster at baseline. It has been reported³⁸ that
199 foods such as meat (processed and unprocessed), potatoes, potato chips and sugar-sweetened beverages
200 can be strongly associated with weight gain. Cluster 2 subjects reported consuming more of all of these
201 foods at baseline and by 3 months reduced lean and fatty meats by over 2 serves, and all non-core foods
202 and drinks by 5.75 serves or over 3400kJ.

203

204 It has been known for some time that Australians tend to consume large quantities of non-core foods, up to
205 36% of energy³⁹ and in this study, 31% (including alcohol), exceeding the maximum recommended limit of
206 20% for healthy individuals and the serves suggested in the AGHE³⁰. Non-core foods and drinks can
207 displace nutrient rich core foods in the diet and influence the overall nutritional profile of the diet. The
208 focus in weight loss needs to be around creatively substituting non-core foods and beverages with core
209 foods that positively influence diet quality and the nutritional profile of the diet. Due to the known
210 excessive consumption of non-core foods, practice and research based diet prescriptions need to prescribe
211 specific types and amounts of non-core foods and beverages, such that they are a recognised part of the
212 total energy prescription. This may be important in tailoring advice and maintaining compliance in those
213 wishing to reduce their weight.

214

215 As a check of diet quality, Wirfalt and Jeffery³³ suggest checking nutrient intakes in relation to food energy
216 between the clusters since a reduction in energy does not guarantee that nutrient density is high. This was
217 an important confirmatory step in our study, since nutrient analysis alongside food based analysis is
218 complementary and valuable for checking the adequacy of the reported diet. For example, there was a
219 decrease in the number of serves of unsaturated oils and margarine (Cluster 1) by 3 months. This was not
220 intended, although the change was easily noted via the food-level analysis, and highlights the importance
221 of providing very specific education around food sources of preferred fats in the dietary advice setting. It is
222 also possible that due to the dietary assessment methods, participants may have adjusted their reporting
223 as they became familiar with portion sizes and the requirements of the diet history process. Self-reporting
224 is known to be prone to systematic bias affected by factors such as age, gender, social desirability and
225 approval⁴⁰. Clinical data supports the dietary changes made by participants, particularly reductions in total
226 Cholesterol.

227

228 There are limitations to cluster analysis techniques. Cluster methods may involve a degree of investigator
229 subjectivity and this can influence the evaluation of the results, the naming of the cluster and the
230 conclusions made. While we note the limitations of the cluster sizes, the analysis defined only these groups
231 and these may define significant differences relevant in clinical practice. Kant, citing Jacobson and Stanton
232 suggests that ‘researchers should discard factors or clusters with due care because the obtuse
233 factor/cluster may be the one that leads to recognition of new knowledge’⁴¹. In the two-step clustering
234 used, we allowed the data to drive the groupings formed and clusters were named according to the most
235 dominant food groups, therefore the choice was less subjective. In previous studies, there has been a
236 tendency to simplify the naming of clusters for example, ‘More healthy’ and ‘Less healthy’^{42,43} although a
237 range of names have been used⁴¹. Importantly, dietary patterns are not dichotomous and permanent, and
238 on balance, quantitative naming of clusters as has been used is preferred⁴³. Few studies have investigated
239 dietary change using dietary pattern approaches. Reedy et al, defined five clusters relating to fruit and
240 vegetable consumption⁸. Importantly, this paper reinforces the value of dietary pattern research in moving
241 away from a single theoretical model of what defines “health protective” behaviour. Madlensky et al define

242 three clusters also based on dietary change and found that even those in the cluster with the poorest
243 dietary quality at baseline made major changes⁹.

244

245 It is known to be difficult to compare dietary pattern results across studies, since the patterns reflect the
246 actual practices within the population under study and as such, provide useful information for *that*
247 population⁴⁴. However, our study provides support for targeting non-core foods and drinks, and it is likely
248 that within a comparable overweight population, there may be some consistency, making these findings
249 ‘reasonably reproducible’⁴⁵ since specific foods can cluster, while the overall pattern may differ⁴⁶. The
250 results of this study provide useful information about the scope of dietary change under supervised
251 conditions and this method of analysis can be applied to other therapeutic areas of dietetics. It would also
252 be valuable to assess dietary patterns in an intervention context over longer periods of time, greater than 3
253 months.

254

255 Conclusion

256 Cluster analysis to derive dietary patterns from diet history data provides useful insights into the diets of
257 overweight study participants and the changes that are made at food group level within the context of a
258 dietary trial. Overweight subjects with dietary patterns that are similar to dietary guidelines at baseline may
259 have more difficulty in reducing energy intake than those with poor dietary patterns. Correcting exposure
260 to non-core foods and drinks was key to successful weight loss. Adequately quantifying discretionary food
261 items at baseline and ensuring advice is given specifically regarding these foods within the diet prescription,
262 may give participants greater awareness of appropriate food choices, serve size and assist with compliance.
263 The study highlights the importance of overall diet quality in the context of weight loss, and gives specific
264 insight for targeting non-core foods and drinks.

265

266 The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

267

268 References

- 269 1. Hu FB. Dietary pattern analysis: a new direction in nutritional epidemiology. *Curr. Opin. Lipidol.*
270 2002; **13**(1): 3-9.
- 271
- 272 2. van Dam RM, Rimm EB, Willett WC, Stampfer MJ, Hu FB. Dietary Patterns and the Risk for Type 2
273 Diabetes in U.S. Men. *Ann. Intern. Med.* 2002; **136**: 201-209.
- 274
- 275 3. Fung TT, Willett WC, Stampfer MJ, Manson JE, Hu FB. Dietary Patterns and the Risk of Coronary
276 Heart Disease in Women. *Archive of Internal Medicine* 2001; **161**: 1857-1862.
- 277
- 278 4. Fung TT, Rimm EB, Spiegelman D, Rifai N, Tofler GH, Willett WC *et al.* Association between dietary
279 patterns and plasma biomarkers of obesity and cardiovascular disease risk. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* 2001;
280 **73**: 61-67.
- 281
- 282 5. Martinez-Gonzalez MA, Sanchez-Villegas A. The emerging role of Mediterranean diets in
283 cardiovascular epidemiology: Monounsaturated fats, olive oil, red wine or the whole pattern? *Eur.*
284 *J. Epidemiol.* 2004; **19**: 9-13.
- 285
- 286 6. Martinez-Ortiz JA, Fung TT, Baylin A, Hu FB, Campos H. Dietary patterns and risk of nonfatal acute
287 myocardial infarction in Costa Rican adults. *Eur. J. Clin. Nutr.* 2006; **60**: 770-777.
- 288
- 289 7. Hu FB, Rimm EB, Stampfer MJ, Ascherio A, Spiegelman D, Willett WC. Prospective study of major
290 dietary patterns and risk of coronary heart disease in men. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* 2000; **72**: 912-921.
- 291
- 292 8. Reedy J, Haines PS, Campbell MK. The influence of health behavior clusters on dietary change. *Prev.*
293 *Med.* 2005; **41**(1): 268-275.
- 294
- 295 9. Madlensky L, Natarajan L, Flatt SW, Faerber S, Newman VA, Pierce JP. Timing of dietary change in
296 response to a telephone counseling intervention: evidence from the WHEL study. *Health*
297 *psychology : official journal of the Division of Health Psychology, American Psychological*
298 *Association* 2008; **27**(5): 539-547.
- 299
- 300 10. Togo P, Osler M, Sorensen TI, Heitmann BL. Food intake patterns and body mass index in
301 observational studies. *Int. J. Obes. Relat. Metab. Disord.* 2001; **25**(12): 1741-1751.
- 302
- 303 11. Block G, Woods M, Potosky A, Clifford C. Validation of a self-administered Diet History
304 Questionnaire using multiple diet records. *J. Clin. Epidemiol.* 1990; **43**(12): 1327-1335.
- 305
- 306 12. Jain M, Howe GR, Rohan T. Dietary Assessment in Epidemiology: Comparison of a Food Frequency
307 and a Diet History Questionnaire with a 7-Day Food Record. *Am. J. Epidemiol.* 1996; **143**(9): 953-
308 960.
- 309
- 310 13. Willett W, Sampson L, Stampfer MJ, Rosner B, Bain C, Witschil J *et al.* Reproducibility and validity of
311 a semiquantitative food frequency questionnaire. *Am. J. Epidemiol.* 1985; **122**(1): 51-65.

- 312
313 14. Block G, Hartman AM, Dresser CM, Carroll MD, Gannon J, Gardner L. A Data-based approach to diet
314 questionnaire design and testing. *American Journal of Epidemiology* 1986; **124**(3): 453-469.
- 315
316 15. Sasaki S, Yanagibori R, Amano K. Self-administered diet history questionnaire developed for health
317 education: a relative validation of the test-version by comparison with 3-day diet record in women.
318 *J. Epidemiol.* 1998; **8**(4): 203-215.
- 319
320 16. Tapsell L, Pettengell K, Denmeade SL. Assessment of a narrative approach to the diet history. *Public*
321 *Health Nutr.* 1999; **2**: 61-67.
- 322
323 17. Ross LJ, Tapsell LC, Probst YC. Optimising dietary fat in a weight-loss trial requires advice based on a
324 structured "whole-of-diet" model. *Nutr. Res.* 2011; **31**: 683-690.
- 325
326 18. Bailey RL, Gutschall MD, Mitchell DC, Miller CK, Lawrence FR, Smicikls-Wright H. Comparative
327 Strategies for Using Cluster Analysis to Assess Dietary Patterns. *J. Am. Diet. Assoc.* 2006; **106**: 1194-
328 1200.
- 329
330 19. Anderson AL, Harris TB, Tyllavsky FA, Perry SE, Houston DK, Hue TF *et al.* Dietary Patterns and
331 Survival of Older Adults. *J. Am. Diet. Assoc.* 2011; **111**: 84-91.
- 332
333 20. Jacobs DR, Steffen LM. Nutrients, food and dietary patterns as exposures in research: a framework
334 for food synergy. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* 2003; **78**(3): 508S-513S.
- 335
336 21. Reedy J, Wirfalt E, Flood A, Mitrou PN, Krebs-Smith SM, Kipnis V *et al.* Comparing 3 Dietary Pattern
337 Methods—Cluster Analysis, Factor Analysis, and Index Analysis—With Colorectal Cancer Risk. The
338 NIH–AARP Diet and Health Study. *Am. J. Epidemiol.* 2009; **171**(4): 479-487.
- 339
340 22. Hu FB, Rimm F, Smith-Warner SA, Feskanich D, Stampfer MJ, Ascherio A *et al.* Reproducibility and
341 validity of dietary patterns assessed with a food-frequency questionnaire. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* 1999;
342 **69**: 243-249.
- 343
344 23. Smithers LG, Golley RK, Brazionis L, Lynch JW. Characterizing whole diets of young children from
345 developed countries and the association between diet and health: a systematic review. *Nutr. Rev.*
346 2011; **69**(8): 449-467.
- 347
348 24. Grafenauer SJ, Tapsell LC, Beck EJ. Beyond Nutrients: Classification of foods to identify dietary
349 patterns for weight management. In: Nutrition and Dietetics, (ed) *International Congress of*
350 *Dietetics*,. Sydney, 2012. p 78.
- 351
352 25. Scarborough P, Rayner M, Stockley L. Developing nutrient profile models: a systematic approach.
353 *Public Health Nutr.* 2007; **10**(04): 330-336.
- 354

- 355 26. Curtin University of Tecnology. *Dietitians' Pocket Book*, Dept. of Nutrition and Dietetics and Food
356 Science, School of Public Health, Curtin University of Technology: Perth, Western Australia, 2002.
- 357
358 27. Stewart R (ed) *Griffith Handbook of Clinical Nutrition and Dietetics*. Griffith University. School of
359 Public Health.: South Port, Queensland, Australia, 2009.
- 360
361 28. Australian Government, Department of Health and Aging. The Australian Guide to Healthy Eating.
362 In, 1998.
- 363
364 29. Australian Government. *Nutrient Reference Values for Australia and New Zealand*. Department of
365 Health and Ageing, Ministry of Health, 2006.
- 366
367 30. Australian Government. The Australian Guide to Healthy Eating (Draft). In: National Health and
368 Medical Research Council, Department of Health and Aging, (eds). Draft ed, 2011.
- 369
370 31. Johnson F, Pratt M, Wardle J. Dietary restraint and self-regulation in eating behavior. *Int. J. Obes.*
371 2012; **36**(5): 665-674.
- 372
373 32. Riet Jvt, Sijtsema SJ, Dagevos H, De Bruijn G-J. The importance of habits in eating behaviour. An
374 overview and recommendations for future research. *Appetite* 2011; **57**(3): 585-596.
- 375
376 33. Wirfalt AKE, Jeffery RW. Using cluster analysis to examine dietary patterns: nutrient intakes,
377 gender, and weight status differ across food pattern clusters. *J. Am. Diet. Assoc.* 1997; **97**: 272-279.
- 378
379 34. Huijbregts PPCW, Feskens EJM, Kromhout D. Dietary patterns and cardiovascular risk factors in
380 elderly men: the Zutphen Elderly Study. *Int. J. Epidemiol.* 1995; **24**: 313-320.
- 381
382 35. Dallal GE, Rush D, Tucker KL. Dietary patterns of elderly Boston-area residents defined by cluster
383 analysis. *J. Am. Diet. Assoc.* 1992; **92**(12): 1487-1491.
- 384
385 36. Raynor HA. Can limiting dietary variety assist with reducing energy intake and weight loss? *Physiol.*
386 *Behav.* 2012; **106**: 356-361.
- 387
388 37. Raynor HA, Steeves EA, Hecht J, Fava JL, Wing RR. Limiting variety in non-nutrient-dense, energy-
389 dense foods during a lifestyle intervention: a randomized controlled trial. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* 2012;
390 **95**: 1305-1314.
- 391
392 38. Mozaffarian D, Hao T, Rimm EB, Willett WC, Hu FB. Changes in Diet and Lifestyle and Long-Term
393 Weight Gain in Women and Men. *The New England Journal of Medicine* 2011; **364**(25): 2392-2404.
- 394
395 39. Rangan AM, Schindeler S, Hector DJ, Gill TP, Webb KL. Consumption of 'extra' foods by Australian
396 adults: types, quantities and contribution to energy and nutrient intakes. *Eur. J. Clin. Nutr.* 2009; **63**:
397 865-871.

- 398
399 40. Kuhnle GGC. Nutritional biomarkers for objective dietary assessment. *J. Sci. Food Agric.* 2012; **92**(6):
400 1145-1149.
- 401
402 41. Kant AK. Dietary patterns and health outcomes. *J. Am. Diet. Assoc.* 2004; **104**(4): 615-35.
- 403
404 42. Wirfalt E, Mattisson I, Gullberg B, Berglund G. Food patterns defined by cluster analysis and their
405 utility as dietary exposure variables: a report from the Malmo Diet and Cancer Study. *Public Health*
406 *Nutr.* 2000; **3**(2): 159-173.
- 407
408 43. Newby PK, Tucker KL. Empirically Derived Eating Patterns Using Factor or Cluster Analysis: A
409 Review. *Nutr. Rev.* 2004; **62**(5): 177-203.
- 410
411 44. Jacques PF, Tucker KL. Editorial: Are dietary patterns useful for understanding the role of diet in
412 chronic disease? . *American Journal Clinical Nutrition* 2001; **73**(1): 1-2.
- 413
414 45. Newby P, Muller D, Hallfrisch J, Qiao N, Andres R, Tucker KL. Dietary patterns and changes in body
415 mass index and waist circumference in adults. *The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 2003;
416 **77**(6): 1417-1425.
- 417
418 46. Iqbal R, Anand S, Ounpuu S, Islam S, Zhang X, Rangarajan S *et al.* Dietary Patterns and the Risk of
419 Acute Myocardial Infarction in 52 Countries. *Circulation* 2008; **118**(19): 1929-1937.
- 420
421 47. Baecke JA, Burema J, Frijters JE. A short questionnaire for the measurement of habitual physical
422 activity in epidemiological studies. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* 1982; **36**(936-942).
- 423
424 48. Baghurst K, Cobiac L, Baghurst P, Magarey A. A New food guidance system for Australia -
425 Foundation and total diets. Revised Draft Report for Public Consultation. In: NHMRC, 2011.
- 426
427
428
429
430
431
432
433

434 Table 1. Baseline characteristics (Median and IQR) of clinical trial participants

	Study 1 (n=118)	Study 2 (n=113)	P value
Males	31	28	0.795
Females	87	85	
Age (mean ±SD)	45±8.4yrs	49.8±9.4yrs	<0.0001
Weight (kg)	88.1 (79.2-97.9)	83.4 (76.6-91.4)	0.007
BMI (kg/m ²)	30.7 (28.5-34.4)	29.9 (27.8-31.9)	0.002
Percent Body fat	40.3 (34.4-45.0)	39.2 (35.4-43.0)	0.417
Waist (cm)	104.0 (97.9-111.0)	97.8 (91-103.5)	<0.0001
Weight lost by 3mo (kg)	n=87 -4.7±3.1	n=107 -4.5±3.0	0.639
Total Cholesterol (mmol/L)	5.2 (4.5-5.9)	5.3 (4.6-5.9)	0.608
Triglycerides (mmol/L)	1.3 (1.0-1.9)	1.13 (0.8-1.6)	0.015
HDL (mmol/L)	1.4 (1.2-1.7)	1.4 (1.2-1.6)	0.316
Chol:HDL (mmol/L)	3.5 (2.9-4.4)	3.7 (3.0-4.6)	<0.0001
LDL (mmol/L)	3.2 (2.5-3.7)	3.2 (2.6-3.8)	<0.0001
Glucose (mmol/L)	5.0 (4.6-5.3)	5.3 (4.9-5.6)	<0.0001
Insulin (mU/L)	10.3 (8.0-14.2)	10.9 (7.9-14.6)	0.934
Energy (kJ)	9404.2 (7603.4-11069.6)	8404.3 (7296.1-9886.5)	0.006
% Protein	18.2 (16.1-20.3)	19.5 (17.9-21.1)	0.006
% Carbohydrate	41.9 (37.5-46.9)	43.0 (38.4-47.2)	0.155
% Fat	33.6 (30.0-38.9)	32.4 (28.8-35.8)	0.423
Inclusion criteria	18-60yo and BMI 25-≤37kg/m ²	18-65yo and BMI 25-35kgm ² .	
Common exclusion criteria	Major illnesses, Type 1 and Type 2 diabetes mellitus, thyroid abnormalities, pregnancy/lactation, recent acute or chronic disease, medications that may affect body weight, unstable body weight, food allergies or avoidance of major food groups.		
Other exclusion criteria	Low density lipoprotein > 6 mmol/L, and an inability to take fish oil supplements	Heavy alcohol intake, fluctuating or strenuous exercise > 1hr/day, dietary avoidance (including extreme vegetarianism) or dislike of vegetables.	
Diet prescription	Energy deficit (-2MJ) based on core food groups excluding non-core foods and drinks and alcohol.		
Control diet(s) (referencing national dietary guidelines)	Low calorie + placebo supplement (olive oil)	Low calorie with 5 serves of vegetables (75g/serve)	
Intervention diet(s) (referencing national dietary guidelines)	Low calorie including fish (180g/day); Low calorie including fish oil supplements (EPA+DHA)	Low calorie with 10 serves of vegetables (75g/serve)	
Education level	64% Tertiary education	85% Tertiary education	
Smoking status	7/118 smokers	2/113 smokers	
Physical activity	Habitual physical activity assessed by questionnaire*at Baseline and 12months		

435 Interquartile range (IQR); *Baecke et al 1982⁴⁷; Independent samples t-test, 95% CI; Chi Square for categorical variables (gender); Acceptable
 436 Macronutrient Distribution Range (AMDR) Protein 15-25%, Fat 20-35%, Carbohydrate 45-65%²⁹.

437

438

Table 2. Scope of foods included in each food group.

Category	Scope of food items
1. Wholegrain foods	Wholemeal or wholegrain breads or crispbreads, wholegrain English muffins, dark 'seedy' breads, wholegrain breakfast cereals, wholegrain pasta, brown rice, polenta, barley, puffed whole grains, bulgar, couscous, popcorn and oatmeal. Added brans- wheatgerm, oat, psyllium.
2. Non-wholegrain cereal foods	White bread including fibre enriched, Turkish bread, White flour tortillas and other flat bread, white flour English muffins and crumpets; Refined breakfast cereals, white rice, noodles and pasta.
3. Fruit	Fresh, canned, dried, frozen fruit.
4. Free vegetables	All vegetables except potato, sweet potato, corn, pumpkin, parsnip.
5. Starchy vegetables	Potato, sweet potato, corn, pumpkin, parsnip; no added fat in cooking.
6. Legumes	Split peas, cannellini beans, borlotti beans, lentils (all types), chick peas, kidney beans, broad beans, butter beans, baked beans in tomato sauce (navy beans), bean mixes.
7. Low fat dairy foods: <3.5% fat	All fat reduced or skim bovine milks and yoghurts (including soy-based).
8. Medium fat dairy foods: 3.5-10% fat	Regular full cream milks and regular fat yoghurts (including soy based). Cottage cheese, ricotta, evaporated milk, condensed milk and custard were placed in a group depending on their fat composition* .
9. Higher fat dairy foods: >10% fat	Soft and hard cheese* .
10. Lean Meat & poultry	Red meat- beef, lamb, pork, veal, venison, rabbit, kangaroo, goat; Poultry- chicken, turkey or duck; Cut noted to assist in classification into lean or fatty cut; skin or fat trimmed; low fat cooking method.
11. Fatty meat	Fatty cuts of meat, processed meat, luncheon meat, crumbed and fried meat; poultry skin intact.
12. Fish & seafood	Fresh, tinned, smoked fish and seafood; not fried.
13. Eggs	Eggs, all types; in cooking or as part of the meal.
14. Nuts (& seeds)	Tree nuts and peanuts; seeds and seed mixtures (each 30g).
15. Unsaturated oils & margarine	Monounsaturated and Polyunsaturated oils and margarines; olives and avocado.
16. Alcohol	All alcoholic beverages (each 400kJ)
17. Non-Core foods & drinks	Including foods and drinks of low nutrient density and food sources of saturated fat (butter, cream), added sugar, soft drink/cordial, juice, fruit drinks, snack foods, takeaway including commercial hamburgers, fried foods, sauces, spreads (each 600kJ)

Table 3. Core and Non-core food and drinks consumed at baseline, 3 months and change between and within Cluster 1 and 2.

Food Group	Baseline					3 Months					Change		
	Amount consumed by Cluster 1 (n=193)		Amount consumed by Cluster 2 (n=38)		P value	Amount consumed by Cluster 1 (n=165)		Amount consumed by Cluster 2 (n=30)		P value	Change by Cluster 1 (n=165)	Change by Cluster 2 (n=30)	P value
	Median (IQR)	Mean Serves	Median (IQR)	Mean Serves		Median (IQR)	Mean Serves	Median (IQR)	Mean Serves		Mean Serves	Mean Serves	
Wholegrain foods	78 g/d (48-117)	2.87	102 g/d (31-162)	4.23	0.077	77 g/d (48-100)	2.68	113 g/d (92-158)	4.24	0.001	-0.19	0.36	0.488
Non-wholegrain cereal foods	90 g/d (53-142)	3.34	158 g/d (71-202)	5.20	0.001	50 g/d (24-85)	2.08	73 g/d (35-174)	3.30	0.042	-1.24**	-1.86*	0.202
Fruit	165 g/d (96-256)	1.24	152 g/d (73-299)	1.49	0.286	223 g/d (158-279)	1.46	228 g/d (154-314)	1.70	0.218	0.21*	0.34	0.658
Free vegetables	214 g/d (145-317)	3.15	194 g/d (132-349)	3.33	0.627	372 g/d (266-469)	5.00	289 g/d (228-348)	4.10	0.014	1.81**	0.72	0.013
Starchy vegetables	53 g/d (27-85)	0.87	54 g/d (11-131)	1.03	0.382	61 g/d (35-91)	0.93	41 g/d (27-68)	0.80	0.375	0.05	-0.30	0.071
Legumes	0 g/d (0-27)	0.25	0 g/d (0-14)	0.14	0.052	26 g/d (2.8-59)	0.52	13 g/d (0-36)	0.35	0.155	0.27**	0.27*	0.982
Low fat dairy foods: <3.5% fat	252 g/d (115-468)	2.08	51 g/d (0-276)	1.01	0.001	365 g/d (238-510)	2.55	325 g/d (210-619)	2.51	0.878	0.46*	1.33*	0.021
Medium fat dairy foods: 3.5-10% fat	0 g/d (0-56)	0.27	373 g/d (0-693)	2.98	<0.0001	0 g/d (0-3.3)	0.21	0 g/d (0-37)	0.40	0.355	-0.04	-2.37**	<0.0001
Higher fat dairy foods: >10% fat	14 g/d (5.7-23)	0.56	24 g/d (4.6-65)	1.25	0.003	3 g/d (0-8)	0.22	3 g/d (0-12)	0.26	0.655	-0.34**	-0.80**	0.018
Lean Meat & poultry	102 g/d (69-145)	3.91	117 g/d (77-175)	4.47	0.203	82 g/d (63-120)	3.19	99 g/d (60-158)	3.72	0.221	-0.60*	-0.46	0.815
Fatty meat	33 g/d (10-61)	1.37	36 g/d (11-79)	1.96	0.137	8 g/d (0-22)	0.51	8 g/d (0-38)	0.68	0.363	-0.81**	-1.25*	0.119
Fish & seafood	35 g/d (13-57)	1.37	33 g/d (14-70)	1.78	0.221	33 g/d (20-54)	1.39	44 g/d (24-64)	1.65	0.251	0.02	-0.23	0.440
Eggs	12 g/d (3.8-20)	0.50	20 g/d (6.6-25)	0.71	0.039	9 g/d (3.6-14)	0.33	11 g/d (6.3-16)	0.46	0.033	-0.18**	-0.24	0.611
Nuts (& seeds)	11 g/d (1.8-28)	0.65	21 g/d (3.8-56)	1.09	0.051	8 g/d (1-13)	0.30	13 g/d (3-29)	0.56	0.023	-0.34**	-0.59	0.381
Unsaturated oils & margarine	12 g/d (3.3-32)	4.63	7 g/d (0.9-20)	2.15	<0.0001	6 g/d (1.3-14)	1.91	5 g/d (0-13)	2.60	0.409	-2.81**	0.30	0.008
Alcohol	175 kJ/d (10-424)	0.75	389 kJ/d (33-1456)	1.96	0.003	103 kJ/d (0-284)	0.50	202 kJ/d (0-522)	0.92	0.114	-0.27*	-1.03*	0.005
Non-Core foods and drinks	1932 kJ/d (1195-2953)	3.65	3080 kJ/d (1780-5731)	6.73	<0.0001	572 kJ/d (226-958)	1.17	587 kJ/d (358-1047)	1.35	0.406	-2.44**	-4.78**	0.003
Juice	137 kJ/d (66-240)	0.10	132 kJ/d (79-388)	0.17	0.148	111kJ/d (56-155)	0.05	277 kJ/d (104-414)	0.09	0.365	-0.06*	-0.06	0.928

Soft Drink/cordial	54 kJ/d (4-328)	0.20	114 kJ/d (8-575)	0.42	0.037	8kJ/d (1-74)	0.04	2 kJ/d (1-9)	0.04	0.912	-0.17**	-0.30*	0.484
Sweet Treats	728 kJ/d (369-1460)	1.62	1008 kJ/d (577-2434)	2.54	0.030	117 kJ/d (10-288)	0.39	170 kJ/d (0-390)	0.43	0.768	-1.23**	-2.00**	0.082
Savoury Treats	84 kJ/d (0-272)	0.35	159 kJ/d (0-456)	0.55	0.201	9 kJ/d (0-150)	0.17	0 kJ/d (0-102)	0.11	0.346	-0.19**	-0.46*	0.177
Takeaway Foods	284 kJ/d (60-632)	0.76	683 kJ/d (326-1716)	1.96	0.001	0 kJ/d (0-128)	0.19	87 kJ/d (0-265)	0.34	0.861	-0.06**	-1.03**	0.011
Other Non-Core items	299 kJ/d (136-519)	0.63	557 kJ/d (184-809)	1.11	0.018	141 kJ/d (60-253)	0.34	82 kJ/d (22-248)	0.28	0.849	-0.69**	-0.40**	0.386

Interquartile range (IQR); Grams (g) used to calculate serves per day for each core food group; Kilojoules (kJ) used for Alcohol and Non-Core Foods and Drinks; Kilojoules (kJ); Independent samples t-test, 95% CI; Paired T-test for within cluster differences at 3mo * $P < 0.05$; ** $P < 0.001$

Table 4. Anthropometric, clinical and nutrient intake data at baseline, 3 months and changes within and between Cluster 1 and 2.

	Baseline			3 months			Change		
	Cluster 1 n=193 (83.5%)	Cluster 2 n=38 (16.5%)	<i>P</i> value	Cluster 1 n=165	Cluster 2 n=30	<i>P</i> value	Cluster 1 n=165	Cluster 2 n=30	<i>P</i> value
Weight (kg)	85.4±11.3	94.4±12.7	<0.001	80.9±11.2	88.1±12.4	0.002	-4.4**	-5.6**	0.037
BMI	30.5±3.2	31.6±3.2	0.046	28.8±3.0	29.3±2.9	0.417	-1.6**	-1.9**	0.156
Body fat (%)	39.6±6.5	35.4±6.7	<0.001	37.6±6.8	33.2±8.5	0.002	-1.9**	-2.1**	0.808
Waist (cm)	100.8±10.8	106.2±10.3	0.005	95.8±9.6	99.8±10.9	0.051	-5.2**	-6.0*	0.653
Cholesterol (mmol/L)	5.24±0.9	5.21±0.9	0.831	5.04±0.5	4.8±0.9	0.211	-0.3**	-0.3**	0.971
Triglycerides (mmol/L)	1.3±0.6	1.6±1.1	0.094	1.2±0.5	1.4±0.7	0.178	-0.2**	-0.2	0.802
HDL (mmol/L)	1.5±0.4	1.3±0.3	0.011	1.4±0.4	1.3±0.3	0.030	-0.1*	-0.1	0.481
Chol:HDL (mmol/L)	3.8±1.3	4.1±1.1	0.093	3.8±1.02	4.0±1.0	0.261	-0.1	-0.1	0.967
LDL (mmol/L)	3.2±0.9	3.1±0.8	0.768	3.1±0.76	2.9±0.82	0.387	-0.2*	-0.2	0.816
Glucose (mmol/L)	5.2±0.6	5.0±0.5	0.164	5.0±0.46	5.0±0.6	0.524	-0.3**	-0.1	0.425
Insulin (mU/L)	11.9±6.5	12.2±5.5	0.840	9.9±4.51	10.5±5.8	0.550	-2.3**	-1.5	0.445
Energy (kJ)	8659±1845	13464±4291	<0.0001	6130±1251	7599±1567	<0.0001	-2500**	-5317**	<0.001
Protein (g)	97±21.7	139±46.6	<0.0001	82±17.5	99±23.2	0.001	-14.6**	-34.7**	<0.001
Fat (g)	79±25.2	130±51.8	<0.0001	43±14.5	55±14.6	<0.0001	-35.6**	-67.0**	<0.001
Carbohydrate (g)	217±54.9	322±108.5	<0.0001	165±38.2	198±40.1	<0.0001	-51.2**	-113.6**	0.001
Dietary Fibre (g)	27±7.8	33±14.7	0.009	27±6.9	29±5.4	0.253	0.5	-3.3	0.050
Calcium (mg)	921±320.4	1499±670.0	<0.001	847±257.7	983±387.7	0.078	-61.1*	-468.3*	0.003
Iron (mg)	13±3.4	19±8.7	<0.001	11±3.03	13±3.01	0.010	-1.5**	-4.9*	0.050

Mean±SD; Independent samples t-test, 95% CI; Paired T-test for within cluster differences **P*<0.05; ** *P*<0.001;