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A career in mental health can be both emotionally demanding and rewarding, being linked to psychological distress (Harris, Cumming & Campbell, 2006) and burnout (Leiter & Harvie, 1996; Maslach, 1982) but also to positive outcomes such as wellbeing (Graham & Shier, 2010; Ragusa & Crowther, 2012). The wellbeing of mental health practitioners is vital to quality service delivery, and consequently, job satisfaction (Rose & Glass, 2006; Salyers, Rollins, Kelly, Lysaker & Williams, 2013). However, mental health workers tend to experience high levels of burnout, and this has been implicated as contributing to staff turnover (see Paris & Hoge, 2010 for a review). High levels of turnover in community-based mental health service organisations impacts on the quality of service delivery and staff morale (Aarons, Sommerfeld, Hecht, Silovsky & Chaffin, 2009). In an extensive review of studies of burnout amongst mental health practitioners, Leiter and Harvey (1996) concluded that burnout was most evident when workplace issues impacted on the worker’s ability to address the needs of his or her clients; that is, when workers were unable to realize their values through their work (Leiter & Harvey, 1996).

Values can be seen as guiding principles that give meaning to our actions and behaviours (Rokeach, 1973; Schwartz & Bilsky, 1987). Rokeach (1973) distinguished between terminal values (desirable end-states, e.g. self respect, wisdom), and instrumental values (modes of conduct in the service of terminal values, e.g. helpfulness, broad-mindedness). Successful pursuit of values has been shown to be an important predictor of wellbeing (Elliot & Sheldon, 1997; Ferssizidis, Adams, Kashdan, Plummer, Mishra, & Ciarrochi, 2010). Prioritising social values, in particular, has been linked to wellbeing (Ferssizidis, Adams, Kashdan, Plummer, Mishra & Ciarrochi, 2010; Konow & Earley, 2008), with the prioritising of friendship and love associated with enhanced emotional wellbeing (Ciarrochi & Bailey, 2008).
Values have been categorised into ten universal value domains, which vary in degree of conflict or compatibility with one another (Schwartz & Bilsky, 1987). The pursuit of conflicting values may therefore result in exposure to negative internal experiences (thoughts, emotions, sensations), which people may seek to avoid (Ciarrochi & Bailey, 2008). Such experiential avoidance can become problematic when it develops into a pattern against valued action (Soriano, Valverde & Martinez, 2004). Indeed, experiential avoidance (Kashdan, Breen & Julian., 2010), and thought and emotion suppression (Haga, Kraft, Corby, 2009; Wegner, Schneider, Knutson & McMahon, 1991) have been linked to negative wellbeing outcomes, as has attempting to prevent aversive outcomes by pursuing avoidance goals (e.g. Elliot & Sheldon, 1997). The use of escape-avoidance coping strategies has been linked to higher burnout amongst mental health workers (Leiter & Harvie, 1996), whilst conversely, psychological acceptance and values-based action was found to be associated with lower burnout and higher wellbeing amongst physical rehabilitation staff (McCracken & Yang, 2008).

One source of such conflict occurs when an individual’s personal values are at odds with the values of their work environment. The congruence between workplace values and an individual’s personal values has implications for wellbeing and burnout (Maslach, Schaufeli & Leiter, 2001; Sagiv, Roccas & Hazan, 2004). For example, congruence between business and psychology students’ values and those of their academic environment was associated with enhanced wellbeing (Sagiv & Schwartz, 2000), while conflict between personal values and organisational values has been found to be related to burnout (Maslach & Leiter, 1997) and to stress (Bouckenooghe, Buelens, Fontaine & Vanderheyden, 2005). This study is novel in that it looks at an individual’s personally-held work values, rather than the values of
the organization. In one of the few studies comparing personally-held work values and personal (life-in-general) values, Leuty and Hansen (2012) concluded that work values were related to, but distinct from personal values, and that the two should be assessed separately. They asserted that while work values were important to job satisfaction, there was a need for more research into the role of personal values in work outcomes (Leuty & Hansen, 2012).

In the current study, we examined the congruence between personal life values and personal work values, and their relationships to wellbeing and burnout amongst mental health practitioners. Leuty and Hansen (2011) identified six domains common to extant measures of work values: work environment, competence, autonomy, status, organizational culture and relationships. Rather than using pre-defined a sets of work and life values, we asked participants to choose from a broad range of values to identify their important work and life values. In addition, we examined perceived success in pursuing important values – a dimension frequently found in the goals literature (e.g. Elliot & Sheldon, 1997) but rarely in the values literature (Veage, Ciarrochi & Heaven, 2011).

Congruence between important life and work values was expected to be associated with higher wellbeing and lower burnout (e.g. Bouckenooghe et al., 2005; Sagiv, Roccas & Hazan, 2004), as was successful pursuit of life and work values (Ciarrochi et al., 2010; Leiter & Harvie, 1996). Those who endorse and are successful in the pursuit of pro-social values, in keeping with a caring profession, were expected to report greater wellbeing and less burnout (Ferssizidis et al., 2010; Kasser & Ahuvia, 2002; Sagiv, Roccas & Hazan, 2004).

**Method**
**Participants**

Participants were 106 mental health professionals comprising psychologists, social workers, occupational therapists, welfare workers and registered nurses (72 female, 25 male, 9 unidentified) from five non-government mental health organisations in Australia. Ages ranged from 18-60 years (median = 38 years). The participants were involved in a larger intervention study focusing on facilitating the transfer of training in a service delivery model (Deane et al., 2010). The data reported here is from the baseline data collection.

**Measures**

*Maslach Burnout Inventory-Human Services Survey (MBI; Maslach & Jackson, 1981)*

The MBI comprises three subscales, Emotional Exhaustion (EE), Personal Accomplishment (PA) and Depersonalisation (DP). It consists of 22 items, rated on a 7-point Likert scale from 0 (*never*) to 6 (*everyday*), with some items reverse-scored. An example item is “I feel emotionally drained” (EE), “I can easily understand how my recipients feel about things” (DP) and “I feel I’m positively influencing other people’s lives through my work” (PA). Higher scores on the EE and DP scales indicate higher burnout, while high scores on the PA scales indicate lower burnout. The reliability and validity of the MBI has been well described (Maslach & Jackson, 1981) and it is used extensively within mental health settings (Leiter & Harvie, 1996).

*Psychological Well-Being Scales (PWB; Ryff & Keyes, 1995)*

An 18-item version of the PWB scales was used to measure six dimensions of wellbeing: Personal Growth, Positive Relations with Others, Autonomy, Self Acceptance, Environmental Mastery and Purpose in Life (Ryff & Keys, 1995). Items
are scored using a 7-point Likert scale from 1 (*agree strongly*) to 7 (*disagree strongly*), with some items reverse-scored. Example items are: “When I look at the story of my life, I am pleased with how things have turned out so far” and “I like most parts of my personality”. Higher scores indicate higher wellbeing. PWB has been widely used and has demonstrated construct and concurrent validity (Ryff & Keyes, 1995; Ryff & Singer, 2008).


The SGP Card Sorting Task is based on the Survey of Life principles, a values clarification exercise derived from a synthesis of the values and goals literature (Ciarrochi & Bailey, 2008). Sixty cards represent principles closely mapped to items from Schwartz (1992), Rokeach (1973) and Braithwaite and Law (1985). Cards represent ten universal value domains: power, achievement, hedonism, stimulation, self-direction, universalism, benevolence, tradition, conformity and security, as well as items related to religion, sexuality and experiential avoidance. Each card has a brief description of a guiding principle, such as ‘Being ambitious and hard working’; ‘Having an exciting life’; ‘Avoiding self doubt’.

Using a two-stage card-sorting methodology, participants first identify their 15 most important guiding principles in their life generally (‘Life’) and complete the ‘Principle success rating sheet: Life in general’, on which they list their 15 identified important life principles, and rate how successful they have been at putting these principles into practice over the previous three months. Ratings range from 1 (*Not at all successful*) to 5 (*Highly successful*). Next, participants repeat the procedure in relation to their current job (‘Work’), completing the
‘Principle success rating sheet: Workplace focus.’ Ratings of principle importance and success on the SGP have been found to correlate with indices of wellbeing (Ciarrochi & Bailey, 2008) and personality (Veage et al., 2011), while the ability to identify important principles and success in the pursuit of those principles have been linked to less suicidal ideation amongst war veterans (Bahraini, Devore, Monteith et al., in press).

**Procedure**

Staff members were approached by their managers to participate in the transfer of training study and were randomly allocated to a ‘Transformational’ coaching or ‘Implementation’ coaching condition (Deane et al., 2010). Only those in the Transformational coaching condition completed the measures reported here. Questionnaires were completed at the beginning of a two-day training workshop. Following the workshop, the SGP Card Sorting Task was completed.

**Results**

**Preliminary analyses**

Reliability for the PWB and MBI was determined using Cronbach’s alpha. For PWB Total, $\alpha = 0.83$. Cronbach’s alpha for the subscales ranged from $\alpha = 0.21$ (Purpose in Life) to $\alpha = 0.71$ (Self Acceptance). Only those with $\alpha > 0.60$ were included in analyses; these were Self Acceptance and Positive Relations with Others ($\alpha = 0.63$). Cronbach’s alphas for the MBI were: MBI Total, $\alpha = 0.90$, EE, $\alpha = 0.89$, DP, $\alpha = 0.71$, and PA, $\alpha = 0.82$.

Means and standard deviations were: PWB total, $M = 5.75$ ($SD = 0.72$), Self Acceptance, $M = 5.68$ ($SD = 1.22$), Positive Relations, $M = 5.72$ ($SD = 1.22$). In order
to compute an MBI Total score, the Personal Accomplishment scores were reversed. MBI total, $M = 1.88$ ($SD = 0.67$), PA, $M = 2.88$ ($SD = 0.82$), EE, $M = 1.56$ ($SD = 1.03$) and DP, $M = 0.51$ ($SD = 0.76$).

Descriptives – Work and Life Values

Important values were defined as those which were not only endorsed by the participant, but which were actively pursued within the previous three months. Table 1 displays the frequencies of those values in each domain that were being actively pursued by at least 30 participants. The most frequently endorsed values in the Work domain were Competency, Accomplishment, Being honest, Acceptance of others as they are, Helping others and Meeting obligations, reflecting a combination of achievement and pro-social values. Most frequently endorsed in the Life domain were Loving relationships, Being honest, Acceptance of others as they are, Striving to be a better person, Feeling good about oneself and Genuine friendships, reflecting a combination of pro-social and personal development values. Only one value representing an avoidance goal was rated by 30 participants, this was Avoid self-doubt in the Work domain.

Also shown are the participants’ ratings of success in pursuing these top-rated values. Ratings for Work values ranged from $M = 2.80$ ($SD = 0.76$) for Avoid self-doubt, to $M = 4.28$ ($SD = 0.83$) for Being honest. For Life values, success ratings ranged from $M = 2.97$ ($SD = 1.07$) for Physical fitness to $M = 4.16$ ($SD = 0.72$) for Self sufficiency. Ratings represented better than ‘moderately successful’ in all but three instances.

-------Insert Table 1-------
**Important Values, Wellbeing and Burnout**

A series of *t*-tests were conducted to compare wellbeing and burnout in those who chose a particular value and those who did not. Examining those values in each domain endorsed by at least 30 participants, the two groups were compared on total PWB and MBI. Recognising the potential for Type 1 error, any findings with a *p*-value greater than .01 should be treated with caution. We report *p*-values of .05 (2-tail), given the exploratory nature of this research and its potential to guide future research to replicate or extend our findings.

*Honesty* was the most frequently endorsed value overall, and those who chose Honesty in their top 15 had lower burnout scores, whether chosen in the Work domain (*t* = 2.56\(_{94}\), *p* < .01) or the Life domain (*t* = 2.24\(_{94}\), *p* < .05). Choosing *Accomplishment* (*t* = -4.60\(_{94}\), *p* < .001) and *Curiosity* (*t* = -2.31\(_{89}\), *p* < .05) in the Work domain was associated with higher PWB scores. An unexpected result was that those who endorsed *Wisdom* in the Life domain reported higher burnout than those who did not (*t* = -2.40\(_{94}\), *p* < .05). This was evident only in the Personal Accomplishment subscale of MBI (*t* = -2.05\(_{94}\), *p* < .05).

**Values success, wellbeing and burnout**

Since not all variables met the criteria for normality, Spearman correlations were used throughout. Table 2 shows the correlations in each domain between success in the pursuit of values and burnout and wellbeing. Only those with *n* >30, and which significantly correlated with one of the global scales, are shown.

Perceived success in *Honesty* was associated with MBI and PWB, correlating with five scales in both domains. In the Work domain, correlations ranged from *r* = .24 with PWB Total, to *r* = -.48 with MBI Total. In the Life domain, correlations
ranged from $r = -0.26$ with PA to $r = -0.39$ with MBI Total. The results suggest that the value of *Honesty* is pervasive in this workforce, and is negatively associated with staff burnout and positively related to wellbeing.

   Perceived *Competence* and *Clearly defined work* were achievement-related values in the Work domain associated with both burnout and wellbeing, while success with *Accomplishment* correlated negatively with burnout, but was not related to wellbeing. *Meeting obligations* in the Work domain was associated with all subscales of PWB and with MBI total. These results suggest that the clarity of one’s goals and the processes involved in their attainment are important for the wellbeing of mental health practitioners. As expected within a helping profession, we found that success in pursuing pro-social values such as *Accepting others as they are* and *Helping others*, both in the Work and the Life domain, was related to lower burnout.

   It was found that success in the pursuit of *Wisdom* as a Work value was related to lower Emotional Exhaustion ($r = -0.27$, $p < .05$, not shown in Table 2), which contrasts with the finding that the endorsement of this value in the Life domain was associated with poorer Personal Accomplishment ratings.

   ------Insert Table 2 ------

   Mean success ratings were used to create variables for overall Work value success and overall Life value success. Relationships of Work and Life value success with wellbeing and burnout are shown in Table 3. While success in Work values was related to all variables, success in Life values was related to all except PA and Positive Relations subscales.
Finally, multiple regression was used to examine whether success in Work and Life values made independent contributions to well-being and burnout. A summary of the results are shown in Table 4. Twenty-one percent of the variance in MBI was accounted for by values success, of which 11.2% was uniquely contributed by Work values. For the MBI subscales, 9.1% of the variance in EE, and 4.7% of the variance in PA was uniquely accounted for by Work values success. No significant effects were found for DP. Successful pursuit of Work and Life values accounted for 13% of the variance in PWB, of which 6% was uniquely contributed by success in Work values. Neither Work nor Life values success accounted for significant variance in the Self Acceptance or Positive Relations subscales of PWB. For all variables, when successful pursuit of Work values was controlled for, successful pursuit of Life values became nonsignificant. Thus, successful pursuit of Work values more reliably predicted burnout and wellbeing than successful pursuit of Life values.

Value congruence, wellbeing and burnout

Congruence between Work values and Life values was determined by calculating a ‘value consistency’ index, based on the number of values that appeared in both domains. Thus, an index of 0 indicated no congruence, while an index of 15 indicated complete congruence (every life value was the same as every work value). The mean value consistency index was 6.99 ($SD = 2.21$), indicating moderate levels of congruence between Work and Life values. Correlations were found between value consistency and PWB Total ($r = .25, p < .05$), and this effect was most reliable for the
Self Acceptance subscale \( r = .24, p < .05 \). Higher value consistency was also associated with higher ratings on the Personal Accomplishment subscale of MBI \( r = -.23, p < .05 \). These findings support the importance of congruence between personal and work related values to employee wellbeing and a sense of accomplishment, an aspect of burnout.

**Discussion**

The study explored important work and life values amongst mental health professionals, and the correlates of congruence between work and life values. We examined the relationship of important values and values success with burnout and psychological wellbeing.

A moderate degree of congruence was found between work and life values, and congruence was associated with self acceptance and perceived personal accomplishment at work. The results resonate with the finding of Harzer and Ruch (2012), that people who experience their job as a “calling” utilise more of their signature character strengths and report more positive experiences at work. While past research has found that a mismatch between values and the organisational environment is associated with poor wellbeing (Sagiv & Schwartz, 2000), this study focused on mismatches within the person between their values for life in general and their work values.

Honesty stood out as the most highly endorsed and actively pursued value of this group of workers. This is heartening finding may reflect increasingly open and authentic communication between mental health workers and their clients. Valuing of Honesty amongst nursing students increased significantly from 1983 to 2007, driven by improved attitudes regarding patient empowerment (Johnson et al., 2007). Our
findings also duplicate those of Rassin (2008), who found honesty to be the top personal value amongst hospital nursing staff. Honesty is one of three important values in the multidimensional construct of distrust (Shea, 2008), which can lead individuals to fail to seek and maintain appropriate health care (Bova et al., 2012). Our findings reflect well on this group of workers, as the mental health recovery movement promotes collaborative approaches to mental health and rehabilitation, requiring openness and genuine relationships between worker and client.

Those who placed a high value on honesty reported lower burnout. Successful striving towards honesty, whether in one’s personal life or at work, was associated with lower burnout and higher wellbeing. Mental health professionals are often required to regulate emotional expression with clients, termed ‘emotional labour’ (Brotheridge & Grandey, 2002; Rafaeli & Sutton, 1989). If low success with honesty is associated with fewer displays of genuine emotion, then our result fits with studies showing those who display phony emotions (‘surface acting’) are more likely to experience depersonalisation than those who try to genuinely feel expected emotions (‘deep acting’) (Brotheridge & Grandey, 2002). Genuine relationships may limit emotional exhaustion and lead to feelings of accomplishment. Acceptance of others, the second most highly endorsed value over the two domains, and Helping others also reflect personal values that are also important work values in the helping professions. Accepting others as they are provides a firm foundation upon which to build an honest, genuine relationship between mental health worker and client. Practitioners who held these values appeared to be less susceptible to burnout.

Mental health staff benefited from having clearly defined work. This is perhaps a particular challenge when working in this field. Service users often have persistent mental illnesses (e.g. schizophrenia) requiring long-term support - and
change can be slow with frequent setbacks. The needs of these clients are diverse and may include practical assistance and support, for example, to utilise public transport or to manage finances, through to provision of emotional support or development of emotion regulation skills. Diverse needs and fluctuating, nonlinear progress carries the risk that mental health workers may at times be unclear about the specific work that is required to help their client. This can imbue a sense of helplessness in workers, as well as their clients. Moreover, the current movement towards recovery-oriented care demands a broader and more collaborative approach to mental health care than the traditional clinical approach of safety and symptom reduction (Slade, Amerin & Oades, 2008). Clear aims and structured approaches to collaborative goal setting and planning with clients may therefore promote wellbeing in staff, by more clearly defining pathways to work goals (Snyder et al., 2006). Perceived accomplishment and competence, the most frequently endorsed work-related values, were associated with lower levels of burnout. Understanding these important work values will assist organisations in clarifying expectations and preparing their workforce for work with this service user group. By also providing clear and well-defined ways of working, the probability of burnout is likely to be significantly reduced.

Successful pursuit of work values, rather than life values, was found to be important in predicting burnout, especially in the areas of emotional exhaustion and personal accomplishment. This suggests successful pursuit of work values may act as a buffer to burnout, and highlights the importance of meaningful work, independent of the home environment (Michaelson, 2009). Unexpectedly, successful pursuit of general life values was not found to contribute uniquely to psychological wellbeing over and above success with work values. Success in the most frequently endorsed values overall, *Honesty* and *Acceptance of others* was rated highly in both domains. It
is possible that the role of life values in predicting psychological wellbeing is obscured due to these shared values and/or the central role work plays in one’s life. Alternatively, these findings may be a consequence of the work-related research context.

Encouraging people to reflect on their intrinsic values can lead them to prioritize personally meaningful values, resulting in increased well-being (Lekes, Hope, Gouveia, Kostner & Philips, 2012). Values clarification tasks have been shown to promote resilience and performance (Creswell, 2005) and higher academic achievement (Cohen, Garcia, Apfel & Master, 2006), and values identification has been used in addressing self-defeating behaviours by increasing engagement in valued activities (Magidson, Roberts Collado-Rodriguez & Lejuez, 2012). Values clarification aimed at helping people align their core values with their work may enhance motivation and wellbeing, and reduce the risk of burnout. Conversely, it may provide an opportunity to identify and address a mismatch between personal values and work values.

**Strengths and Limitations**

The results are specific to mental health practitioners, limiting generalisability to other professions. Also, our focus on correlational analyses limits the extent to which causal direction can be determined: higher wellbeing may enhance successful pursuit of values or vice versa. A strength of the study is that it did not pre-define the set of values for work and life. Instead, using the SGP Card Sorting Task (Ciarrochi & Bailey, 2008), participants engaged in two sorts of a broad set of values, from which congruence between the two domains could be determined. However, this measure did not allow for elaboration of the meaning of some of the value domains. For
example, although wisdom may be valued, this tells us little about the meaning of wisdom to an individual in a particular context. Future research could include a qualitative component to explore and elaborate such meaning.

Conclusions

It was found that this group of mental health practitioners endorsed values consistent with the commonly-shared values of caring professions, both in their lives generally, and in their work. Honesty in life and in work stood out as the most highly-endorsed value, and success at this value was related in the expected ways to all aspects of wellbeing and burnout, reflecting the benefits of genuine relationships with clients in the helping professions. Avoidant values did not figure highly amongst this group of people, who can sometimes work in quite confronting and challenging situations. The findings indicated that this workforce would benefit from a structured approach in their work with clients. Clarification of work expectations and addressing the nonlinear progress of service users may guide subjective appraisal of professional accomplishments, thereby promoting staff well-being and reducing burnout. Future research could investigate these relationships using objective measures. Congruence between life values and personal work-related values was related to higher wellbeing and lower staff burnout. The use of values clarification exercises in professional development may help workers to recognise how their personal values align with their professional work, strengthening the sense of meaning in their work with clients and thereby increasing resilience and promoting wellbeing.
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Table 1. Frequencies and success ratings of Values endorsed by at least 30 participants.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Work Domain</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Success ratings</th>
<th>Life Domain</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Success ratings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>M (SD)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>M (SD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Competency</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>3.62 (0.77)</td>
<td>Loving</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>3.83 (1.06)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accomplishment</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>3.36 (0.86)</td>
<td>Honesty</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>4.11 (0.96)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Honesty</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>4.28 (0.78)</td>
<td>Accepting others</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>3.69 (0.90)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accepting others</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>4.01 (0.95)</td>
<td>Better person</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>3.22 (0.73)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helping others</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>4.09 (0.80)</td>
<td>Feel good about self</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>2.97 (0.93)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fulfil obligations</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>3.95 (0.82)</td>
<td>Genuine friendships</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>3.77 (1.04)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solving problems</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>3.72 (0.81)</td>
<td>Loyalty</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>4.10 (0.77)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wisdom</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>3.57 (0.88)</td>
<td>Wisdom</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>3.53 (0.73)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ambition</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>3.93 (0.87)</td>
<td>Security</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>3.73 (1.02)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curiosity</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>3.76 (0.82)</td>
<td>Accomplishment</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>3.00 (0.98)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Justice for others</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>3.79 (0.95)</td>
<td>Helping others</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>4.02 (0.70)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organising things</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>3.73 (0.95)</td>
<td>Curiosity</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>3.51 (1.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teaching others</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>3.65 (0.79)</td>
<td>Competency</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>3.60 (0.72)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caring for others</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>4.15 (0.80)</td>
<td>Caring for others</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>4.00 (0.85)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Researching</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>3.49 (1.04)</td>
<td>Justice for others</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>3.56 (1.10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creativity</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>3.21 (0.98)</td>
<td>Self sufficiency</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>4.16 (0.72)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Better person</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>3.43 (0.68)</td>
<td>Nature</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>3.70 (1.16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Defined work</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>3.33 (0.98)</td>
<td>Positive mood states</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>3.00 (0.80)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Practical work</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>3.97 (0.71)</td>
<td>Physical fitness</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>2.97 (1.07)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resolving disputes</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>3.68 (0.84)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feel good about self</td>
<td>3.39</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avoid self-doubt</td>
<td>2.80</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Correlations of values success ratings with burnout and wellbeing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Maslach Burnout Inventory (MBI)</th>
<th>Psychological Well-Being (PWB)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MBI Total</td>
<td>Personal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Competence</td>
<td>-.39***</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accomplishment</td>
<td>-.43***</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accept Others</td>
<td>-.38**</td>
<td>-.36**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Honesty</td>
<td>-.48**</td>
<td>-.39**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helping Others</td>
<td>-.27*</td>
<td>-.36*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feel good about self</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obligations</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>na</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Defined Work</td>
<td>-.49**</td>
<td>na</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resolve disputes</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>na</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genuine Friendships</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self Sufficiency</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>-.35*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive states</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical Fitness</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note: na = not applicable - endorsement < 30 for this domain. Only those analyses for which n > 30 are shown.*
Table 3. Spearman correlations for overall Work and Life value success ratings with burnout and wellbeing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Domain</th>
<th>Maslach Burnout Inventory (MBI)</th>
<th>Psychological Well-Being (PWB)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MBI</td>
<td>EE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work value</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>success</td>
<td>-.41**</td>
<td>-.38**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Life value</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>success</td>
<td>-.29**</td>
<td>-.23*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*EE = Emotional Exhaustion; DP = Depersonalisation; PA = Personal Accomplishment

*p < .05, **p < .01
Table 4. Summary of regression analyses for burnout and psychological wellbeing with successful pursuit of work and life values.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Adj $R^2$</th>
<th>$F$</th>
<th>Standardised $\beta$</th>
<th>Variance Explained</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Burnout Total (MBI)</strong></td>
<td>.196</td>
<td>12.54**</td>
<td>- .43**</td>
<td>11.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Success in Work values</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Success in Life values</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-.05</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Emotional Exhaustion (EE)</strong></td>
<td>.145</td>
<td>8.99**</td>
<td>-.38*</td>
<td>9.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Success in Work values</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Success in Life values</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-.03</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Personal Accomplishment (PA)</strong></td>
<td>.085</td>
<td>5.44**</td>
<td>.28</td>
<td>4.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Success in Work values</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Success in Life values</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.07</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Psychological Wellbeing (PWB)</strong></td>
<td>.107</td>
<td>6.77**</td>
<td>.25**</td>
<td>6.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Success in Work values</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Success in Life values</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.14</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note: MBI – Maslach Burnout Inventory; PWB – Psychological Well-Being Scales;  
* $p < .05$, ** $p < .01$