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Abstract
From the 3000 km² Eoarchean Itsaq Gneiss Complex (IGC) of Greenland, zircon U-Pb dating of numerous meta-granitoid and orthogneiss samples is integrated with geologic observations, whole rock geochemistry and a strategic subset of zircon Hf and whole rock Nd isotopic measurements. This shows that there are multiple episodes of TTG suite formation from ~3890 to 3660 Ma, characterized by zircon initial εHf≈0 and whole rock initial εNd of > +2. These rocks mostly have geochemical signatures of partial melting of eclogitized mafic sources, with a subset of high magnesian, low silica rocks indicating fusion by fluid fluxing of upper mantle sources. The TTG suites are accompanied by slightly older gabbros, basalts and andesites, which have geochemical signatures pointing to magmas originating from fluid fluxing of upper mantle sources. The data show the formation of juvenile crust domains in several discrete events from ~3900 to 3660 Ma, probably at convergent plate boundaries in an environment analogous, but not identical to, modern island arcs.

In the Isua area, a northern ~3700 Ma terrane formed distal from a predominantly ~3800 Ma terrane. These terranes were juxtaposed between 3680 and 3660 Ma—respectively the age of the youngest rocks unique to the northern terrane and the lithologically distinctive ultramafic-granitic Inaluk dykes common to both terranes. This shows the assembly of different domains of juvenile rocks to form a more expansive domain of "continental" crust. A rare occurrence of high-pressure granulite is dated at ~3660 Ma, demonstrating that assembly involved tectonic crustal thickening.

This continental crust was then reworked in the 3660 to 3600 Ma Isukasian orogeny. In the northern part of the Isua area, 3660 to 3600 Ma granites were emplaced into ~3700 Ma tonalites. The earliest granites are nebulous, and sigmoidal schlieric inclusions within them demonstrate ductile extension. Younger granite sheets were emplaced into extensional ductile-brittle fractures. These granite-tonalite relationships are overprinted by widespread development of late Eoarchean (pre-3500 Ma Ameralik dyke) brittle-ductile extensional cataclastic textures, together demonstrating that extension was polybaric. The southern part of the Isua area largely escaped 3660 to 3600 Ma high temperature processes and has sparse granite sheets commonly focused into coeval shear zones. In the rest of the complex, deeper crustal levels during the Isukasian orogeny are widely preserved. These experienced upper amphibolite to granulite facies moderate- to low-pressure syn-kinematic metamorphism, forming complex migmatites rich in granitic-trondhjemitic neosome. The migmatites were intruded by composite ferrogabbro and granite bodies, in which syn-magmatic extensional features are locally preserved. Thus 3660 to 3600 Ma crustal recycling involved elevated crustal thermal gradients in an extensional regime. Crustal melts formed in the Isukasian orogeny have zircon initial εHf
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ABSTRACT. From the 3000 km² Eoarchean Itsaq Gneiss Complex (IGC) of Greenland, zircon U-Pb dating of numerous meta-granitoid and orthogneiss samples is integrated with geologic observations, whole rock geochemistry and a strategic subset of zircon Hf and whole rock Nd isotopic measurements. This shows that there are multiple episodes of TTG suites formed from ~3890 to 3660 Ma, characterised by zircon initial $\varepsilon_{Hf} \approx 0$ and whole rock initial $\varepsilon_{Nd}$ of >+2. These rocks mostly have geochemical signatures of partial melting of eclogitised mafic sources, with a subset of high magnesian, low silica rocks indicating fusion by fluid fluxing of upper mantle sources. The TTG suites are accompanied by marginally older gabbros, basalts and andesites, which have geochemical signatures pointing to magmas originating from fluid fluxing of upper mantle sources. The data show the formation of juvenile crust domains in several discrete events from ~3900 to 3660 Ma, probably at convergent plate boundaries in an environment analogous, but not identical to, modern island arcs.

In the Isua area, a northern ~3700 Ma terrane formed distal from a predominantly ~3800 Ma terrane. These terranes had been juxtaposed between 3680 and 3660 Ma – respectively the age of the youngest rocks unique to the northern terrane and the lithologically distinctive ultramafic-granitic Inaluk dykes common to both terranes. This shows the assembly of different domains of juvenile rocks to form a more expansive domain of ‘continental’ crust. A rare occurrence of high-pressure granulite is dated at ~3660 Ma, demonstrating that assembly involved tectonic crustal thickening. This continental crust was then reworked in the 3660-3600 Ma Isukasian orogeny. In the northern part of the Isua area, 3660-3600 Ma granites were emplaced into ~3700 Ma tonalites. The earliest granites are nebulous, and sigmoidal schlieric inclusions within them demonstrate ductile extension. Younger granite sheets were emplaced into extensional ductile-brittle fractures. These granite-tonalite relationships are overprinted by widespread development of late Eoarchean (pre-3500 Ma Ameralik dyke) brittle-ductile extensional cataclastic textures, together demonstrating that extension was polybaric. The southern part of the Isua area largely escaped 3660-3600 Ma high temperature processes and has sparse granite sheets commonly focused into coeval shear zones. In the rest of the complex, deeper crustal levels during the Isukasian orogeny are widely preserved. These experienced upper amphibolite to granulite facies moderate- to low-pressure syn-kinematic metamorphism, forming complex migmatites rich in granitic-trondhjemitic neosome. The migmatites were intruded by composite...
ferrogabbro and granite bodies, in which syn-magmatic extensional features are locally preserved. Thus 3660-3600 Ma crustal recycling involved elevated crustal thermal gradients in an extensional regime. Crustal melts formed in the Isukasian orogeny have zircon initial $\varepsilon_{\text{Hf}}<0$ and whole rock initial $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ of $\leq 0$, showing incorporation of slightly older Eoarchean juvenile crust. A Phanerozoic example of collisional orogeny followed by crustal thinning is explored as an analog for the Isukasian orogeny.

**Keywords:** Itsaq gneiss complex (Greenland), Eoarchean, Juvenile crust, Crustal recycling, Convergent plate boundaries, Crustal extension, Isukasian orogeny
INTRODUCTION

Known Eoarchean (4000-3600 Ma) rocks comprise only about 1 millionth of Earth’s surface, which reflects the small volume that has survived more than 3.5 billion years of plate tectonics, weathering and erosion (Nutman, 2006 and references therein). These rocks occur in several gneiss complexes scattered around the globe, and they all show broadly similar lithologies and evolutionary histories (see Schiøtte and others, 1989a; Nutman and others, 1991, 1996; Kinny and Nutman, 1996; Bowring and Williams, 1999; Iizuka and others, 2007; Liu and others, 2007; O’Neil and others, 2007 and Horie and others 2010, for accounts of the most studied occurrences). However the Itsaq Gneiss Complex (IGC) of the Nuuk district of southern West Greenland (Fig. 1; Nutman and others, 1996 and references therein) is the best exposed, and contains some rare areas of low total strain and relatively low (epidote-amphibolite facies) metamorphic overprint. The combination of these factors means that, since the antiquity of the Greenland rocks was first demonstrated by McGregor (1968, 1973), Black and others (1971), Moorbath and others (1972) and Baadsgaard (1973), they have remained at the forefront in understanding the Eoarchean Earth.

This paper takes a holistic view of knowledge on the Eoarchean Earth accrued from the IGC and focuses on the formation environment of juvenile crust from ~3900 to 3660 Ma and the nature and setting of its recycling in the Isukasian orogeny between 3660-3600 Ma. Although we synthesise much published data from different sources, we also complete a U-Pb zircon SHRIMP geochronological survey of meta-granitoids and orthogneisses throughout the IGC (over 160 samples) and provide new geological information and zircon dating that gives greater insight on the 3660-3600 Ma Isukasian orogeny. Appraisal of the IGC points to >200 million years of juvenile crust formation occurring in arc-like intra-oceanic settings (≥3900 Ma to ~3660 Ma), then an orogeny starting with collision and crustal thickening, followed by crustal thinning with an elevated geothermal gradient. Figure 2 is a schematic flow diagram illustrating the

---

1 These rocks were originally known as the Amitsog gneisses (McGregor, 1973), with the plurality deliberately chosen to indicate their already recognized lithological diversity. This term was modified into the singular Amitsog gneiss in some later publications (e.g., Kamber and Moorbath, 1998) – perhaps compatible with the interpretations therein of these rocks as a product of a single crust-forming event, rather than having formed via a more protracted series of unrelated events. In order to counter any
early period of juvenile crust production, followed by orogeny starting with tectonic
crustal thickening and then by crustal recycling coeval with extension. Figures 3A-C
present schematic cross sections through the upper, middle and lower parts of the crust
at the end of the orogeny, whereas Figure 3D shows how these sections might be
related. This pattern of crust formation and reworking has similarities to that seen in
Phanerozoic terranes, and implicates plate tectonic processes in the formation of
Eoarchean crust. A possible Phanerozoic analog for the Isukasian orogeny is explored.

THE ITSAQ GNEISS COMPLEX

Overview

Much of the IGC was affected by 3660-3600 Ma high-grade metamorphism
and ductile deformation (Griffin and others, 1980, Nutman and others, 1996; Friend
and Nutman 2005a) such that by 3600 Ma the existing lithologies had been widely
converted into strongly deformed multi-component amphibolite-granulite facies
gneisses (Fig. 4A). An additional complication in interpreting the IGC is that it occurs
as tectonic slivers bounded by folded Meso- and Neoarchean meta-mylonites, within a
collage of younger Archean terranes that were assembled into their present
configuration by the end of the Archean (Friend and others, 1987, 1988; Nutman and
Thus the Eoarchean rocks are allochthons of largely strongly deformed rocks found
within a Neoarchean orogen (McGregor and others, 1991; Friend and Nutman 2005b).
The present extent of the IGC is ~3000 km², and runs obliquely across the coastal
fringe, so that in the north it disappears under the Inland Ice in the Isua supracrustal
belt area and the most southern exposures are on the Davis Strait coast in the Tre
Brodre area (Fig. 1).

The later Archean tectonothermal overprints mean that at most localities it is
hard to extract any detailed information concerning the early history of the IGC.
Fortunately, particularly around the Isua supracrustal belt at the IGC’s northern
extremity (Figs. 1 and 5), there are some domains of lower strain and lower
metamorphic grade. This means that individual Eoarchean crustal components can be
sampled separately (Fig. 4B) and disturbance of whole rock geochemistry and isotopic
systems by superimposed events is diminished. Samples from these domains provide

perception of uniformity in these rocks, the late Vic McGregor and his colleagues introduced the term
Itsaq Gneiss Complex (Nutman, and others, 1996; with Itsaq being Greenlandic for ancient thing).
the most robust information on the Eoarchean Earth (e.g., Baadsgaard and others, 1986a; Nutman and others, 1996, 1999; Friend and others, 2002; Crowley and others, 2002; Crowley, 2003; Polat and Hofmann, 2003; Bennett and others, 1993, 2003, 2007; Hiess and others, 2009; Hoffmann and others, 2010; Nagel et al. 2012).

More than 95% of the IGC consists of quartzo-feldspathic rocks, now mostly occurring as strongly deformed orthogneisses. The rare areas of relatively little deformation show that these gneisses usually formed from plutonic tonalite and younger granite (*sensu stricto*) components (Fig. 4B), with lesser amounts of volcanic rocks (Nutman and others, 1996, 1997a, 2011; Bohlar and others, 2004, 2005). All researchers (e.g., Steenfelt and others, 2005) indicate that the tonalites are compositionally similar to the Archean tonalite-trondhjemite-granodiorite (TTG) suites worldwide. The age of the tonalites ranges from at least 3850 Ma (occurring at several localities) to 3660 Ma in the vicinity of Amitsq (Fig. 1). The true granites formed largely by partial melting of crust dominated by tonalite (Baadsgaard and others, 1986a; Nutman and Bridgwater, 1986; Hiess and others, 2011).

Volcanic and sedimentary (supracrustal) rocks form <5% of the IGC and are in tectonic slivers and as enclaves scattered within the more voluminous plutonic rocks. These rocks range in size from the 35-km long Isua supracrustal belt (see Allaart 1976; Nutman and Friend 2009), down to sub-metre-sized pods (e.g., McGregor and Mason 1977; Nutman and others, 2002a). They are dominated by banded and meta-volcanic amphibolites that are commonly skarn-bearing, with lesser amounts of quartz-magnetite banded iron formation (BIF), siliceous rocks and marbles. Preservation of original structures and textures is rare. The BIF, siliceous rocks and marbles have together been interpreted as a variegated suite of chemical sediments (Allaart 1976; Nutman and others 1984a, 2010; Dymek and Klein, 1988; Dauphas and others, 2004; Bohlar and others, 2004; Friend and others, 2007; Craddock and Dauphas, 2011). An alternative school of thought regards the carbonates as entirely metasomatic in origin, without sedimentary protoliths (Rosing and others, 1996).

Felsic schists and pelites of volcano-sedimentary origin also occur in the Isua supracrustal belt (e.g., Nutman et al. 1984a, 1997a, 2011; Bohlar and others, 2005; Kamber and others, 2005). Bodies of metagabbro locally grading into anorthosites are fragments of layered (basic) intrusions, and are spatially associated with layered meta-peridotites (Chadwick and Crewe 1986; Nutman and others, 1996; Friend and others, 2002). Other ultramafic rocks are more magnesian, with very low alumina and
lime. They are found largely as metasomatized amphibole ± phlogopite-bearing schists, within which are rare small pods of non-metasomatized fine-grained dunite and harzburgite. These are interpreted as upper mantle rocks tectonically intercalated with supracrustal rocks, prior to the intrusion of juvenile tonalite suites (Nutman and others, 1996; Friend and others, 2002; Friend and Nutman 2011).

In and around the Isua supracrustal belt are most of the world’s occurrences of Eoarchean rocks preserved in a low strain state. Additionally, the Isua area as a whole has experienced lower grade metamorphism compared with other parts of the IGC (Griffin and others, 1980; Nutman and others, 1996). North of line “N” in Figure 5 (see the figure caption for explanation of this line), ~3500 Ma Ameralik dykes are weakly to non-deformed, showing that Neoarchean deformation is generally low (Bridgwater and McGregor 1974; Allaart, 1976). These low strain domains also reveal that in situ melting was uncommon at the northern end of the IGC, which greatly aids the interpretation of the early history of these rocks (Nutman and others, 1996).

Much of the rest of the IGC south of line ‘N’ in Figure 5 was strongly deformed and highly metamorphosed at both 3660-3600 Ma in the Isukasian orogeny and during later Archean tectonothermal events (Nutman, 1984). Nevertheless, there are some domains that escaped both the stronger 3660-3600 Ma and later deformation. These domains provide additional valuable insight into the evolution of the IGC. Thus south of Nuuk in the intersections between the axial regions of Neoarchean recumbent and superimposed upright folds, the 3660-3600 Ma characteristics of migmatites are well preserved (Fig. 4A). Additionally, within a suite of ~3640 Ma coeval granites and gabbros in the coastal region south of Ameralik (Fig. 1) there are domains of low later Archean deformation with preserved syn-magmatic textures and structures.
The Isua supracrustal belt

Despite the fact the Isua supracrustal belt (Fig. 5) largely escaped strong deformation in the Neoarchean, most of it was strongly deformed in the Eoarchean (Nutman and others, 1984a, 1996, 2002b; Myers, 2001). Thus, in most places, primary volcanic and sedimentary structures were obliterated, and outcrop-scale compositional layering is dominantly of transposed tectonic origin. The rare low strain zones indicate that protoliths for most Isua rocks were water-lain, including pillow lavas and breccias (Komiya and others, 1999; Solvang, 1999; Furnes and others, 2007) as well as the chemical sedimentary rocks and also graded felsic detrital rocks derived from volcanic sources (Nutman and others, 1984a, 2011). Plutonic rocks are much less common, but include some gabbros and ultramafic rocks derived from both layered gabbro intrusions and the mantle (e.g. Dymek and others, 1988; Friend and Nutman, 2011).

The earliest age constraints for the Isua supracrustal belt rocks were provided by Rb-Sr whole rock Eoarchean errorchrons (linear scatters of data which give an approximate ages with errors of >50 million years) for orthogneiss components invading and proximal to the belt (e.g. Moorbath and others, 1972, 1977); a whole rock Pb-Pb 3710 ± 70 Ma errorchron on a BIF (Moorbath and others, 1973); and a Sm-Nd 3770 ± 42 Ma errorchron for a mixed suite of felsic and mafic rocks (Hamilton and others, 1978). Within the poor resolution of this early geochronological framework, it was considered that the Isua supracrustal rocks were all related. A subsequent SHRIMP U-Pb zircon-dating programme with ≤ ±5 Ma uncertainties for rock ages demonstrated that the belt contained supracrustal rocks varying in age by ~100 million years, with the southern part of the belt dominated by ~3800 Ma rocks, whereas its northern and central portions contains ~3700 Ma rocks (Figs. 2 and 5; Nutman and others, 1996, 1997a, Crowley 2003; Kamber and others, 2005). Nutman and others (1997a) first proposed that these unrelated sequences were separated by Eoarchean mylonites and they have expanded on this in more recent work (Nutman and Friend, 2009; Nutman and others, 2009). Despite some adherence to the idea that the belt comprises rocks of the same age (e.g. Moorbath, 1994, 2005), most recent workers have now adopted this interpretation (e.g., Pope and others, 2012; Rizo and others, 2012).

Detailed evolution of the ~3700 Ma portion of the Isua supracrustal belt
As the youngest extensive and overall least deformed supracrustal assemblage
in the IGC, the ~3700 Ma assemblage along the northern side of the Isua supracrustal
belt is the most amenable to provide information on the evolution of Eoarchean
volcano-sedimentary sequences (Nutman and others, in press). The 3700 Ma
assemblage comprises tectonically imbricated slices of mostly strongly deformed,
amphibolitized pillow lavas and lesser amounts of gabbro (island arc tholeiite, picrite
and boninite protoliths; e.g., Polat and others, 2002; Polat and Hofmann, 2003), felsic
schists (andesite-dacite protoliths; e.g., Nutman and others, 1984a, 1997a, 2011;
Rosing, 1999; Bohlar and others, 2005), chemical sedimentary rocks (Allaart 1976;
Friend and others, 2007), and depleted mantle dunite (Friend and Nutman, 2011). In a
rare low strain area in the northwestern end of the belt (65°08.649’N 50°10.488’W;
datum WGS-84), layered gabbro, with amphibolitized relict igneous texture, occurs
with boninitic pillow lavas and contains high Th/U igneous zircons with an age of
3717 ± 19 Ma (Nutman and Friend, 2009). Boninitic amphibolites with relict pillow
structure are cut by a 3712 ± 6 Ma hypabyssal tonalite sheet, and an
amphibolite-ultramafic schist tectonic contact is transgressed by a 3717 ± 6 Ma mafic
tonalite intrusion (Friend and Nutman, 2010). Strongly deformed felsic schists of
likely volcanic origin contain 3720-3700 Ma igneous zircons, showing they are
marginally younger than the intercalated mafic volcanic rocks (Nutman and others,
2009). 3720-3710 Ma rocks are intruded by voluminous, less mafic, 3696 ± 6 Ma
tonalite. All samples from the ~3700 Ma assemblage have juvenile crustal isotopic
signatures, with whole rock initial \( \varepsilon_{Nd} \) values of \( \geq +1 \) (Baadsgaard and others, 1986a;
Jacobsen and Dymek, 1987; data in Moorbath, 2005; Bennett and others, 2007;
Hoffmann and others, 2010), and zircon initial \( \varepsilon_{Hf} \) values of ~0 (Hiess and others,
2009; Kemp and others, 2009; Amelin and others, 2011).

**Orthogneiss complexes adjacent to the Isua supracrustal belt**

The Isua supracrustal belt is bounded to the north by orthogneisses, whose
main components are ~3710 Ma (less abundant) and 3700-3690 Ma (more common)
tonalites, and several suites of 3660-3630 Ma granites and pegmatites (Nutman and
Bridgewater 1986; Nutman and others, 1996, 2000, 2002b; Crowley and others, 2002).

An Eoarchean shear zone (Nutman, 1984; Crowley and others, 2002; Nutman and
Friend, 2009; Nutman and others, 1997a, 2002b) separates most of these tonalites from
the Isua supracrustal belt (Fig. 5). Between 3660-3600 Ma the tonalites were invaded by multiple generations of granites and pegmatites (Figs. 3B, 4B). These show varying textural and structural relationships with the host tonalites, and new observations on this are presented below, which provide insight into tectonothermal conditions during the 3660-3600 Ma Isukasian orogeny.

Although superficially similar in the field, meta-tonalites and their gneissic equivalents on the south side of the Isua supracrustal belt are older than those to the north, with ages of 3820-3795 Ma (Nutman and others, 1996, 1999, 2000; Crowley 2003; Amelin and others, 2011). In the southern ~3800 Ma tonalite area there are 3660-3630 Ma granitic sheets, but they are less voluminous than granite sheets cutting the tonalites to the north, and tend to be focussed into discrete syn-granite shear zones (Fig. 3B).

Tectonic intercalation related to Eoarchean crustal evolution

In the Isua supracrustal belt, rocks of different age and origin are tectonically juxtaposed along mylonites that were then folded (Nutman and others, 2002b; Nutman and Friend, 2009). Mylonites within the eastern part of the Isua supracrustal belt and along its northern margin are Eoarchean in age, because Ameralik dykes that extend across tectonic contacts have U-Pb zircon and baddeleyite ages of ~3500 Ma (White et al., 2000; Nutman et al., 2004a, 2007a; Nutman and Friend, 2009). Further detailed tectonic studies integrated with U-Pb zircon dating of the northern ~3700 Ma portion of the belt have revealed a sequence of intercalation events, starting from ≥3710 Ma prior to the completion of juvenile crust formation, through 3690-3660 Ma collision with the southern ~3800 Ma terrane, to 3660-3600 Ma post-assembly shearing (Crowley and others, 2002; Nutman and Friend, 2009; Friend and Nutman, 2011).
MILESTONES IN UNDERSTANDING THE CRUSTAL DYNAMICS OF THE
EOARCHAEN EARTH FROM THE ITSAQ GNEISS COMPLEX

A series of now broadly-accepted findings from the IGC provide robust
information on Eoarchean crust formation, lithospheric dynamics and orogeny and
include:

Eoarchean crust formed in the Archean, and is not recycled Hadean continental crust

The first Rb-Sr isotopic studies of IGC orthogneisses of what then were the only-known Eoarchean rocks (Moorbath and others, 1972; Moorbath 1975) recognized that their primitive isotopic signatures (i.e. low initial $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$) meant they represented predominantly igneous rocks derived from material separated from the mantle only shortly beforehand. Hence they are not material recycled from appreciably older Hadean (>4000 Ma) rocks. Numerous isotopic studies using first whole rock Rb-Sr and Pb-Pb, then whole rock Sm-Nd (e.g., Hamilton and others, 1978; Bennett and others, 1993) and then zircon Lu-Hf (e.g., Hiess and others, 2009; Kemp and others 2009; Amelin and others, 2011, Naerra and others, 2012) have supported this fundamental finding, that is there is no isotopic evidence for the incorporation of earlier Hadean felsic crust in the sources of the IGC orthogneisses.

Studies using whole rock Lu-Hf isotopic compositions of mafic, rocks rather than zircons, from the ~3700 Ma and ~3800 Ma portions of the Isua supracrustal belt further confirm this finding (Hoffmann and others, 2010, 2011b, Rizo and others 2011). For example, mica schists from the eastern end of the belt whose protoliths were derived from the weathering of mafic volcanic rocks at ~ 3710 Ma (Nutman and others, 1984a, 1997, 2009) and ~3720 Ma metabasalts all show a narrow range of near–chondritic initial $\varepsilon_{\text{Hf}}$ values between +2.5 to -0.7 (Fig. 6; Hoffmann and others 2010, 2011b). This suggests that they were the product of newly-formed mafic rocks, rather than of a weathered Hadean protocrust (as was suggested by Kamber and others, 2005). Likewise, volcanosedimentary rocks from the western end of the belt (Rosing, 1999) with a depositional age of ~3710 Ma (Nutman and others, 2009) fall in the same range of initial $\varepsilon_{\text{Hf}}$ values (Fig. 6). An exception to the widespread near-chondritic initial Hf isotopic compositions for mafic whole rocks and zircons for pre-3650 Ma granitoids is seen in a suite of boninitic volcanic rocks (e.g. Polat and others, 2002). This boninitic suite, with a likely age of ~3720 Ma, has a wide range of initial $\varepsilon_{\text{Hf}}$
values from +1 to >+10 (Fig. 6). Hoffmann and others (2010) explained this as due to Eoarchean melting of a mantle reservoir that had fractionated Lu-Hf in the Hadean, in order to evolve to the extreme positive $\varepsilon_{\text{Hf}}$ values by ~3720 Ma. An alternative explanation offered here is that these rocks represent partial melting of depleted upper mantle containing entrained garnet ± omphacite restite that resulted from early Eoarchean tonalitic crust production. The extremely high Lu/Hf of such a source could generate the highly positive $\varepsilon_{\text{Hf}}$ values within ~200 hundred million years. Partial melting in an olivine + garnet ± omphacite source would also give rise to the characteristic low Ca/Al ratios of these rocks.

Modelling of IGC whole rock Pb isotopic compositions has been used to estimate the timing of crust separation from the mantle. However, in ancient gneiss complexes such as the IGC, data from the same rocks can used to reach contrasting conclusions, ranging from large portions of the IGC having formed at ~3650 Ma (Kamber and Moorbath, 1998), to earlier Eoarchean crust formation being important (Tera, 2003), to derivation of some IGC rocks from a Hadean protocrust (Kamber and others, 2003). Difficulties in interpreting this Pb data arise from the high mobility of Pb coupled with fraction of Pb versus U (expressed as the $\mu$ value; $^{238}\text{U}/^{204}\text{Pb}$) in tectonothermal events affecting the IGC (Baadsgaard and others, 1986b). Thus the Pb isotopic data forms highly scattered arrays that intercept possible mantle growth curves between ~3700-3600 Ma (e.g., Kamber and Moorbath, 1998; Kamber and others, 2003). Our preferred interpretation of these scatters is Pb-isotopic homogenization of varying efficiency and modification of $\mu$ values during the Isukasian orogeny (McGregor, 2000) and to a lesser degree in younger tectonothermal events. Support for this comes from studies of much younger orogenic systems such as the Paleozoic Lachan orogen of eastern Australia, where plutonic rocks derived from contrasting crustal and mantle sources retain different and original whole rock Nd isotopic signatures, whereas their Pb isotopic signatures have been homogenized (McCulloch and Woodhead, 1993). Based on this and in consideration of increasing uncertainty over appropriate U-Pb isotopic parameters for modelling the early Earth (e.g. Albarede, 2009), we are cautious about suggestions based on scattered whole rock Pb isotopic data that IGC rocks were derived from sources with input from Hadean components (Kamber and others, 2003).
Thus using integrated U-Pb and Lu-Hf data from zircons and whole rock Sm-Nd and Lu-Hf methods there is no compelling evidence within the IGC of Hadean recycled ‘continental’ crust which would have resulted in strongly negative $\varepsilon_{\text{Hf,Nd}}$ values by $\sim$3900 Ma.

**Oceans before 3700 Ma**

Banded iron formation (BIF) is an early Precambrian chemical sedimentary rock that precipitated in a marine environment thus providing evidence of a hydrosphere early in Earth’s history. The first Pb-Pb whole rock dating at $\geq$3700 Ma of Isua supracrustal belt BIF (Moorbath and others, 1973) showed that the hydrosphere was established very early. This coupled with the recognition of pillow structures within Isua supracrustal belt basalts (e.g., Komiya and others, 1999) means that for surficial processes there was ‘normality’ within Earth’s first billion years, such as the hydration and alteration of volcanic rocks. This means that recycling of mafic crust back into the mantle at convergent plate boundaries could promote fluid-fluxing melting as seen for the melting mechanism producing magmas in modern island arcs (Polat and Hofmann, 2003; Dilek and Polat, 2009).

**Complex, protracted crustal evolution from the study of meta-plutonic rocks**

A bulk dissolution zircon U-Pb geochronological programme integrated with whole rock Nd isotopic analysis on samples of single meta-igneous phases from IGC low strain zones (Baadsgaard and others, 1986a) started to differentiate the age and isotopic signatures of different plutonic protoliths in the IGC. This study was the first to reveal a trend of increasingly less positive to negative initial $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$, with a progression from older juvenile tonalites to younger granites produced by crustal recycling.

**Isotopic signatures of multiple Eoarchean juvenile crustal components and implications for early terrestrial differentiation**

By the mid 1990s, accumulated U-Pb zircon dates by SHRIMP showed that the IGC contains several generations of tonalite suites, from $\geq$3850 Ma to $\sim$3660 Ma (Kinny, 1986; Nutman and others, 1993, 1996). Bennett and others (1993) obtained initial $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ $>+2$ signatures of a sample subset of predominantly less tectonothermally-rewarmed tonalite samples, showing that they were independent,
episodic extractions from a mantle with previous long-term depletion of Nd relative to Sm. Both these findings have now been reproduced and accepted (e.g., Crowley and others, 2002 and Crowley, 2003 for the zircon dating, and Caro and others, 2006 for the whole rock Nd work). Further evidence for the juvenile nature of the tonalitic orthogneisses comes from the mantle-like $^{18}$O/$^{16}$O isotopic compositions of their zircons as demonstrated by Hiess and others (2009) in the first in situ oxygen isotopic study of zircons within the IGC.

**Eoarchean juvenile crustal igneous rocks have geochemical signatures resembling ones formed at younger convergent plate boundaries**

Whole rock geochemical studies of non-migmatized tonalites showed they are predominantly products of partial melting of eclogitized mafic rocks (Nutman et al., 1999). Although the melting of mafic rocks at high pressure is the widely accepted source for these rocks, detailed trace element studies by Hoffmann and others (2011a) suggested that melting at lower pressures (high pressure granulite assemblages?) is feasible for some compositions. Furthermore, the composition of the source is debated. Nutman and others (1999) suggested that a MORB-like source could be dominant, whereas Nagel and others (2012) suggested it is more likely that the source was akin to Isua arc-like basalts. However, in our opinion, this issue is clouded by the compositions used in modelling by Nagel and others (2012) not being the best representatives of Isua arc-like basalts. Clearly, further studies are required to investigate the likely source compositions, and how they might have changed with time as the Eoarchean arc-like assemblages evolved.

The mafic and intermediate rocks associated with the tonalites display signatures showing domination of upper mantle sources that melted due to fluxing from fluids released from a ‘subducted’ slab (e.g., Polat and Hofmann, 2003; Jenner and others, 2009). More detailed examination of the trace element geochemistry of Isua mafic rocks indicates that although this mechanism is dominant, there may also be a contribution from slab melts (Hoffmann and others, 2011b). Combined with the juvenile isotopic signatures of these rocks, this suggests that IGC Eoarchean crust formed at convergent plate boundaries, in an environment with some resemblance to modern intra-oceanic arc settings (see summary by Dilek and Polat, 2009).
**Eoarchean assembly of unrelated juvenile crust domains**

Integrated structural and zircon U-Pb dating studies demonstrate that juvenile components of the IGC evolved separately, prior to assembly and a 3660-3600 Ma orogeny (Nutman and others, 1993, 1996). The most detailed information on this comes from the Isua supracrustal belt environs, where it is demonstrated that by 3660 Ma a juvenile northern composite ~3700 Ma arc-like assemblage was juxtaposed against an older complex dominated by ~3800 Ma rocks, also likely the product of an arc system (Figs 3B and 5; Nutman and others, 1996, 2009; Crowley, 2003; Jenner and others, 2009; Nutman and Friend, 2009). These findings indicate that lateral lithospheric movements resulting from upper mantle convection were occurring in the Eoarchean, with the further implication that upper mantle convection was an available and likely important heat-loss mechanism for the early Earth.

**Differentiation of the mantle reservoir that spawned Isua Gneiss Complex juvenile crust**

Increasing integration of accurate and precise U-Pb zircon dating with whole rock $^{143}\text{Nd}$, $^{142}\text{Nd}$, zircon Hf and whole rock W isotopic signatures are refining the timing and nature of fundamental terrestrial differentiation to events within the first 60 million years of Earth history (e.g., Caro and others, 2006; Bennett and others, 2007; Hiess and others, 2009, Willbold and others, 2011, Rizo and others, 2012). Furthermore, they reveal that although these events strongly fractionated Sm and Nd in the upper mantle reservoir, in did not fractionate Lu from Hf to the same degree. This gives rise to the characteristic initial whole rock $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ of $+4$ to $+2$, positive $^{142}\text{Nd}$ isotopic anomalies of $>10$ p.p.m. compared to modern rocks and zircon $\varepsilon_{\text{Hf}}$ of $+1$ to 0 for Eoarchean juvenile crust (Fig. 6; Bennett and others, 1993, 2007; Hiess and others, 2009; Kemp and others, 2009; Amelin and others, 2011).

**COMPLETE SHRIMP ZIRCON U-PB GEOCHRONOLOGICAL SURVEY:**

**SNAPSHOT OF ITSAQ GNEISS COMPLEX EARLY CRUSTAL EVOLUTION**

Starting from 1986, zircons from ~160 IGC meta-igneous samples have now been dated by the SHRIMP U-Pb technique. Compston and others (1986) demonstrated (with then unsurpassed precision and accuracy) that felsic schists along...
the southern margin of the Isua supracrustal belt have an age of 3806 ± 2 Ma. In retrospect, the lack of younger overgrowths on these zircons suggests that superimposed metamorphic events were mild at this locality. Kinny (1986) obtained an older protolith age of 3822 ± 10 Ma for a tonalitic gneiss south of Nuuk (sample 110999; Fig. 1), with metamorphic overgrowths dated at ~3630 Ma. Combined with the ~3700 Ma bulk zircon ages for other tonalites published in the same year (Baadsgaard and others, 1986a), these initial studies showed that rocks of considerably different age are present in the complex, and that high temperature metamorphic overprint was variable and could be dated accurately. Broader geochronological appraisals published by Nutman and others (1993, 1996) expanded upon this, and presented data to demonstrate that the IGC’s rock record extended to >3850 Ma, i.e., significantly older than rocks in the Isua supracrustal belt. Furthermore, these studies demonstrated that even the oldest (≥3800 Ma) tonalite components did not start to develop significant zircon metamorphic overgrowths until 3650-3600 Ma, which gave rise to a model of accumulation of juvenile crustal assemblages prior to ~3650 Ma without significant regional metamorphism, followed by 3650-3600 Ma high temperature orogeny (Nutman and others, 1993). The lesser amount of ID-TIMS zircon U-Pb dating has confirmed the diverse ages for rocks in the IGC (e.g., Crowley and others, 2002; Crowley, 2003; Amelin and others, 2011).

Since 2004 there has been a programme of reconnaissance dating of >100 samples using the Hiroshima University SHRIMP (e.g., Horie and others, 2010). This programme is completed, with dating now covering the whole IGC, albeit with varying density. In this reconnaissance programme, typically ~10 cathodoluminescence-guided analyses were undertaken per sample, in order to fill-in geographic areas of considerable extent previously devoid of any age determinations. Extra analyses were undertaken only when ‘interesting’ samples were revealed in the reconnaissance. Most significant of these was the discovery of a mildly migmatized 3891 ± 6 Ma tonalite in the southeastern part of the IGC (sample G01/36 on Fig. 1; Hiess and others, 2009; Horie and others, 2010).

THE 3660-3600 Ma ISUKASIAN OROGENY

The IGC contains contrasting crustal levels juxtaposed during a 3660-3600 Ma orogeny (Fig. 3; Griffin and others, 1980; Nutman and others, 1996; Friend and Nutman, 2005a). This orogeny is named here the Isukasian, after the geographic region
Isukasia at the northern end of the Itsaq Gneiss Complex where effects of this orogeny are least modified by superimposed Neoarchean orogenic events. However, new structural and textural observations presented here provide further insight into crustal response during this event and help suggest its cause.

Anatomy of deep crustal migmatites

The rare domains of lower strain in the IGC south of line ‘N’ (Fig. 5) show that the juvenile tonalitic protoliths are only locally well preserved (Nutman and others, 2000, 2007a,b). As a generality, these tonalites were reduced to palaeosome in complex migmatites, whose granitic neosome was derived both from in situ anatexis of the tonalites and from intrusions (Fig. 4A). These anatectic and intrusive events have been equated with petrographic evidence for high grade Eoarchean metamorphism up to granulite facies (McGregor and Mason 1977; Griffin and others, 1980; Friend and Nutman 2005a).

These migmatites were generally converted into banded gneisses by superimposed younger Archean deformation, to such an extent, that they can be ‘laundered’ into superficially homogeneous rocks (Nutman and others, 2000, 2004b; Horie et al., 2010). However, the tracing of these banded gneisses into small low strain zones demonstrates their complex early history with several different igneous phases present. This is not a trivial observation, because it resolves divergent interpretations of these gneisses that are possible, if based solely on in situ zircon U-Pb zircon geochronology, without taking geological field observations into account. Thus taking these gneisses superficially as single igneous phases, Whitehouse and others (1999) interpreted them as ~3650 Ma rocks that carried abundant older inherited zircons, whereas using field observations, Nutman and others (1996, 2000) interpreted them as polyphase samples with Eoarchean igneous components differing in age by as much as 200 million years. Such a divergence of opinion upon the age of rocks has major ramifications concerning the initial whole rock isotopic signatures of these rocks and thereby the severity of fractionation in the earliest upper mantle reservoirs (Bennett and others, 2007).

Where least modified by superimposed post-Ameralik dyke (i.e. ~3500 Ma) deformation, the deep crustal migmatites have a broadly planar fabric that Ameralik dykes always cut at a high angle. Evidence of this angular relationship is widespread in the area of very low post-3500 Ma strain north of the Isua supracrustal belt (Fig. 5),
where pre-3500 Ma tectonic fabrics are gently inclined but are cut by non-deformed sub-vertical Ameralik dykes. Thus with the reasonable assumption that the majority of dikes were originally steeply inclined, this would permit that the migmatitic structures were originally sub-horizontal. Moreover, upon the same outcrop, the least modified migmatites show varying degrees of strain in the neosome (Fig. 4A). This is best explained by heterogeneous pre-3500 Ma ductile deformation, with in situ neosome production and injection coeval with ductile deformation. The proposed originally sub-horizontal nature of migmatite banding is best accommodated in deep crustal lateral flow (Sandiford, 1989), and the presence of anatectic melt between ~3660 - 3600 Ma associated with punctuated thermal maxima at 3642±16, 3621±8, 3599±6 Ma as recorded by zircon growth in migmatites on Akilia island (Friend and Nutman, 2005a) would have greatly enhanced strain (Hollister and Crawford, 1986). Thus we propose that these migmatites lay testament to extreme strain with melt-lubricated lateral flow of the deep crust over a period of ~50 million years.

Units of 3640-3635 Ma (Baadsgaard, 1973; Nutman and others, 2000; Hiess and others, 2011) Fe-rich augen granites, monzonites and ferrogabbros are a distinct component of the part of the IGC south of Ameralik (Fig. 1; McGregor, 1973). These are the product of hybridization of fractionated magmas derived from the mantle and anatexis of the deep crust, and consequently resemble A-type / within-plate-granites with high Nb, Zr, TiO$_2$ and P$_2$O$_5$ (Nutman and others, 1984b, 1996). These rocks, like their host neosome-soaked migmatites, allay to an elevated geothermal gradient in the deep crust being linked at least in part by the emplacement of mantle-derived melts.

3660-3600 Ma structures and granite emplacement in ~3800 Ma tonalites south of the Isua supracrustal belt

South of the Isua supracrustal belt (Fig. 5) all Ameralik dykes carry metamorphic hornblende, and locally garnet. Thus, the 3800 Ma rocks were overprinted by Neoarchean lower to middle amphibolite facies metamorphism (Nutman and others, 1996). This is also demonstrated by 2700-2600 Ma U-Pb titanite ages from these 3800 Ma rocks (Crowley, 2003) and a ~2690 Ma U-Pb monazite age on an Eoarchean pegmatite (Nutman and others, 2002b). The tonalites south of the Isua supracrustal belt contain rare areas of very low total strain (Fig. 4D), with preservation of weakly plagioclase-phyric igneous textures (Nutman and others, 1999). However, even these rocks with the lowest superimposed strain have been thoroughly
recrystallized, and no igneous phases are preserved, apart from zircon. Thus even
where there is a plagioclase-phyric texture, the igneous plagioclase phenocrysts have
been pseudomorphed by subgrain mosaics (Fig. 4F), which finally recrystallized
during superimposed Neoarchean amphibolite facies metamorphism. Another feature
of the ~3800 Ma terrane for at least 10 km south of the Isua supracrustal belt is the lack
of pre-Ameralik dyke (>3500 Ma) in situ anatexis within the tonalites and although
3660-3600 Ma granites occur, they are volumetrically less than to the north of the belt
(Nutman and others, 1999; Crowley, 2003). These form discrete intrusions, with a
tendency to have been emplaced in and around active shear zones (Friend and others,
2002; Nutman and others, 2002b). The ~3800 Ma tonalites may display a
pre-Ameralik dyke cataclastic texture, albeit this has been recrystallized during
superimposed Neoarchean amphibolite facies metamorphism. The possible presence
of such a texture, the lack of in situ anatexis and the focussing of 3660-3600 Ma
granitic intrusions along active shear zones rather than being distributed through
migmatites all suggest that at 3660-3600 Ma, the ~3800 Ma domain on the south side
of the Isua supracrustal belt was at a higher, cooler crustal level than the migmatites
that are prevalent in the southern exposures of the IGC. Exact conditions are yet to be
resolved, but the presence of pre-Ameralik dyke cataclastic textures would suggest
sub-amphibolite facies conditions.

3660-3600 Ma structures, fabrics and granite emplacement in ~3700 Ma tonalites
north of the Isua supracrustal belt

North of the Isua supracrustal belt, ~3700 Ma tonalites display a range of
relationships with several generations of 3660-3600 Ma granites, and there is ductile to
brittle fabric development prior to intrusion of the Ameralik dykes. Crowley and others
(2002) presented field observations integrated with U-Pb zircon and titanite
geochronology of two localities to provide more detailed insight into the early tectonic
history. They demonstrated (i) that the host tonalites ranged from undeformed to
foliated (S1) and folded prior to intrusion of the oldest granites, (ii) that the Inaluk
dykes (a composite suite of mafic diorite and comagmatic granitic pegmatite; Nutman
and Bridgwater, 1986) were intruded at 3659 ± 2 Ma, prior to (iii) intrusion of more
voluminous granite sheets at 3644 ± 3 Ma, which they regarded as synchronous with
the development of a second fabric (S2). Close to the Isua supracrustal belt they
recognized further deformation of the tonalites and granite sheets to give a third foliation (S3).

In this paper we contribute new information on the relationships between successive generations of granite and pegmatite intrusions and the tectonothermal evolution. In the north-central part of this area, relationships between the tonalites and granites are least-modified by superimposed, but still pre-Ameralik dyke, deformation (Nutman and Bridgwater, 1986; this area is indicated as ‘lowest strain domain’ in Fig. 5). These rocks are overall ‘fresh’ with widespread preservation of igneous textures (Fig. 4B), and the tonalites locally have relicts of igneous plagioclase in phenocrysts, and granites and pegmatites contain fresh alkali feldspar and relict igneous plagioclase. The tonalites were intruded by the 3659 ± 2 Ma Inaluk dykes (Fig. 4B; Crowley and others, 2002), prior to local in situ anatexis of the tonalites with production of the earliest granites (Fig. 4C). Paleosome schlieren in these early granites are ductilely-deformed into sigmoidal shapes, indicative of syn-magmatic extensional deformation (Fig. 4C). The schlieric granites are cut by better-defined granite sheets that occupy fracture networks whose geometry also indicates extensional deformation. These are equated with the granites in the same area dated at 3649 ± 6 Ma by Nutman and others (2000) and 3644 ± 3 Ma by Crowley and others (2002).

In an amphibolite pod within a belt of pegmatite with widely developed flaser texture (sample locality G11/24 in Fig. 5) we have discovered a lower strain zone with the relict high-pressure granulite facies assemblage garnet + clinopyroxene + hornblende + plagioclase + quartz (Fig. 7A). Dehydration partial melting is the likely process because exterior to the segregations the amphibolites do not contain garnet and clinopyroxene. Consequently, this assemblage is considered to have formed with garnet + clinopyroxene ± hornblende in equilibrium with a trondhjemitic melt (crystallized as plagioclase + quartz). Zoned magmatic zircons in this partial melt segregation (sample G11/24) have been dated by the SHRIMP U-Pb method (Appendix 1). Small equant and prismatic zircons are oscillatory-zoned, with partial recrystallization to give homogeneous or sector-zoned domains (Fig. 7B). In both varieties U and Th/U are generally low (mostly <100 ppm and always <0.01, respectively). Most analyses yield close to concordant U-Pb ages, with $^{207}$Pb/$^{206}$Pb ages mostly between 3700 and 3550 Ma (Fig. 7C; Appendix 1). In some cases distinctions between genuine oscillatory zoned igneous zircon and various types of recrystallized zircon is very subtle. If there was any doubt that the analytical sites were
composite (containing both igneous + recrystallization domains) they were not used in age assessments. Using this conservative approach, 3658±3 Ma was obtained for the oscillatory-zoned zircon, and 3635 ± 2 Ma and 3591 ± 5 Ma (all 95% confidence and MSWD ≤1.0) for successive generations of recrystallized zircon. Some other sites of recrystallization occur in the centers of grains, are bright in CL images and have lost U and Th (Fig. 7B). Some of these sites have markedly reverse discordant ages, coupled with older $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{206}\text{Pb}$ ages, suggesting non-supported radiogenic Pb. 3658 ± 3 Ma is indistinguishable from the Crowley and others (2002) 3659 ± 2 Ma age obtained on the Inaluk dykes, the first event common to both the northern ~3700 Ma and southern ~3800 Ma terranes. This ties the elevated pressure event to the start of the common history between the two terranes. Reconstruction of the pressure-temperature-time history of this sample will be reported elsewhere, but preliminary estimates indicate pressures of ≥1 GPa. The sample G11/24 zircon recrystallization age of 3635 ± 2 Ma agrees with the age of 3633 ± 5 obtained on a flaser pegmatite strand in the central gneisses (Nutman et al., 2002b). 3591 ± 5 Ma agrees with zircon recrystallization ages previously obtained from the Isua area (Nutman et al., 2002b).

Away from the area of ‘freshest’ rocks indicated as ‘lowest strain domain’ in Figure 5, both the tonalites and cross-cutting granites have been variably and locally strongly deformed prior to intrusion of the Ameralik dykes (Nutman, 1984; Crowley and others, 2002). This has locally reduced the granite sheets to subconcordant layers within their tonalite host. Well north of the Isua supracrustal belt, in areas devoid of post-Ameralik dyke strain (i.e., the dikes are close to vertical and non-deformed), catalastic texture is preserved within such rocks (Fig. 4F), with kinematic indicators indicating extensional deformation. This pre-Ameralik dyke catalastic texture indicates Eoarchean deformation at the ductile-brittle transition, under sub-amphibolite facies conditions. Micro-fabrics related to this are indicated in Fig. 4G, with ribbon quartz and the degradation of plagioclase into altered subgrains defining the S fabric. Note that fine-grained biotite grains are randomly orientated across this fabric, having grown during superimposed ‘static’ Neoarchean epidote-amphibolite facies metamorphism. The development of the cataelastic textures is synchronous with, or predates, the intrusion of strands of syn-kinematic 3640-3630 Ma flaser-textured pegmatite. The strands of flaser-textured pegmatite are continuous for many kilometres and contain trains of ultramafic, amphibolite and siliceous inclusions. These inclusions are probably dismembered supracrustal rocks,
and seem largely restricted to the shear zones occupied by the pegmatites (Nutman, 1984; Nutman and Friend, 2009).

Thus the gneiss complex north of the Isua supracrustal belt shows a 3650 Ma to ~3630 Ma record of repeated granite emplacement that started at deeper high temperature domains with the development of schlieric migmatites (Fig. 4C) following on from transient high-pressure metamorphism (sample G11/24), through the emplacement of granites as sheets occupying fractures (but where ductile deformation could be sustained at lower strain rates), to emplacement synchronous or post-dating sub-amphibolite facies cataclasis (Fig. 4F). In all cases there are structural indications of extensional deformation. Extension over the course of ≥10 million years gave rise to tectonic thinning of the crust, and might be responsible for elevating the host rocks from the fringes of the ductile anatectic zone (≥650°C?) to cataclastic sub-amphibolite facies conditions (<500°C). This can explain the telescoping of early metamorphic conditions in the Isua area from greenschist / epidote amphibolite facies to upper amphibolite facies migmatites, with ‘jumps’ of early metamorphic grade across Eoarchean shear zones (Fig. 3B).

3660-3600 Ma shear zones

In the Isua area, the juvenile crustal components are partitioned by pre-Ameralik dyke (>3500 Ma) shear zones (Nutman, 1984; Nutman and Friend, 2009). One of these shear zones defines the eastern-central margin of the Isua supracrustal belt, but westwards cuts into the belt (Fig. 5; Nutman and Friend, 2009). This shear zone post-dates 3649 ± 4 Ma granite sheets (Crowley and others, 2002). At the margin of the Isua supracrustal belt this shear zone is commonly occupied by syn-kinematic flaser-textured pegmatite (Nutman, 1984). Constraining the age of shear zones south of the belt are U-Pb zircon 3645 ± 7 Ma and 3607 ± 5 Ma ages for pre- and post-mylonite granite sheets (Friend and others, 2002; Nutman and others, 2002b) and for north of the belt a 3633 ± 7 Ma syn-mylonite pegmatite (Nutman and others, 2002b).

DISCUSSION

Mosaic of ages across the Itsaq Gneiss Complex

There is a mosaic of different ‘families’ of tonalite protolith ages with each ‘family’ containing two or more ‘generations’ (Fig. 2). The youngest at ~3660 Ma
(Friend and Nutman, 2005a) forms a limited <5 km broad domain around Amiitsoq
(McGregor’s type locality for the Amîtsoq gneisses; Fig. 1). These are devoid of the
strong Eoarchean tectonothermal overprint; anatexis is only seen in older Eoarchean
rocks flanking both sides of the Amiitsoq domain, with which they appear to be
tectonically juxtaposed (Friend and Nutman, 2005a). Tonalites with ages of ~3800 Ma
form another family, which comprises 3820-3805 and 3795-3790 Ma generations.
South of the Isua supracrustal belt to beyond line ‘N’ these form a uniform assemblage,
apparently devoid of older components (Fig. 5; Nutman and others, 1999, 2002b;
Crowley, 2003). On Akilia and several surrounding islands, at the northern edge of the
Mesoarchean Ivisaartoq supracrustal belt, and in the southeastern part of the IGC in
Itilleq (Fig. 1), a 3890-3840 Ma tonalite family has been detected (Nutman and others,
Commonly associated with them are ~3760 Ma tonalites. Local low strain zones
preserve evidence that the ≥3840 Ma rocks were intruded by ~3760 Ma tonalites
(Nutman and others, 2000), but the relationships with nearby ~3800 Ma rocks is
presently unknown. The ~3700 Ma tonalite family (with 3715-3710 and 3700-3685
Ma generations) is best preserved to the north of the Isua supracrustal belt, where they
are found in tectonic contact with ~3800 Ma rocks to the south (Fig. 5). Migmatized
~3700 Ma tonalites form other parts of the IGC. For example at the southeastern edge
of the IGC in Itilleq and Ameralla (Fig. 1), migmatites with ~3700 Ma paleosome
appear to be mutually exclusive from domains with ≥3800 Ma paleosome (Horie and
others, 2010). Thus despite the strong superimposed Neoarchean deformation in this
part of the IGC, it is possible that different ~3700 Ma and ≥3800 Ma tonalitic units
were also tectonically assembled, prior to a common 3660-3600 Ma migmatization
event.

The accrued dating program also permits oversight on the extent of 3660-3600
Ma new zircon overgrowths in the juvenile tonalites. In a broad fashion, this is a proxy
of 3660-3600 Ma high metamorphic temperatures. This is a valid assumption, because
in the migmatites in the south of the complex, zircons were most modified at
3660-3600 Ma, the rocks are most intensely migmatized, and they preserve local
relicts of Eoarchean granulite facies metamorphism (Nutman and others, 2000, 2002a;
Friend and Nutman, 2005a). The widespread occurrence of 3660-3600 Ma
overgrowths indicates that much of the IGC was affected by high temperatures at that
time, with the significant exception being parts of northern end of the IGC around the
Isua supracrustal belt, and other small (tectonically bounded?) domains such as around Amiitsoq in Ameralik. The recent SHRIMP and IDTIMS U-Pb zircon ages of IGC granites (e.g. Nutman and others, 1993, 1996, 2000; Crowley and others, 2002) confirms Baadsgaard and others (1986a) observations that they all have ages of ≤3660 Ma and are related to high temperatures in an orogeny that reworked the older juvenile crust dominated by tonalites.

Finally, the dating program has largely confirmed the extent of the IGC, as originally defined using its lithological characteristics by McGregor (1973); that these rocks are cut by deformed, amphibolitized mafic dikes. A few exceptions to this have been found (Kinny, 1987; Schiøtte and others, 1989b) where in the 1970s some rocks designated in the field as Eoarchean were discovered from U-Pb zircon dating to be Neoarchean in age. The relevant localities are marked in Figure 1 by red circles. Overall, these have reduced the extent of the IGC by <5%.

In order to put all this information in an accessible format it is presented in five histograms backed by relative frequency distribution curves (Fig. 8). Figure 8A shows the tonalite juvenile components from those parts of the IGC with the least migmatization during the 3660-3600 Ma Isukasian orogeny. Note the clear distinction of oscillatory-zoned zircon giving tonalite ages at ≥3850 Ma (subordinate), 3800 Ma and 3700 Ma. The smear of ages down to ~3600 Ma is minimal, and this agrees with field observations that these rocks generally show only minor migmatization. Figure 8B shows strongly migmatized juvenile tonalitic components throughout the remaining parts of the IGC. Note here that although the same age peaks are apparent, plus a minor one at ~3760 Ma, they are much less well defined, with a larger proportion of ages falling between 3660-3600 Ma. This is accounted for by recrystallization and partial ancient loss of radiogenic Pb from the protolith zircons. Thus recrystallized/disturbed ~3850 Ma protolith zircons will present a smear of apparent ages from 3850 to 3600 Ma, likewise similarly-affected ~3700 Ma protolith zircons will present a smear of apparent ages from 3700 to 3600 Ma. This is in accord with the complex nature of these migmatites, and structurally complex zircons within them that display much regrowth and recrystallization (e.g., Nutman and others, 2000; Horie and others, 2010). Figures 8C and 8D show the ages of zircons from granites exterior to and within shear zones, in the least-migmatized parts of the IGC. Note all
granites formed post-3660 Ma, and that they carry only rare inherited zircon. Figure 8E shows ages of zircons from granites and coeval gabbros in formerly deep, migmatitic, parts of the IGC. Note that more than one age of intrusion is apparent, but there is a close match in ages with granites dated from non-migmatized parts of the IGC.

Juvenile crust formation – Eoarchean island arcs?
Information from the different-aged juvenile assemblages in the IGC, particularly the ~3700 Ma portion of the Isua supracrustal belt, chart out a history of crustal development with similarities to Phanerozoic crustal processes. Thus Isua juvenile 3720-3710 Ma crust development was initially by boninite, tholeiite and picrite eruption, with mafic tonalite and quartz-diorite intrusion (Polat and Hofmann, 2003; Nutman and others, 2007; Friend and Nutman, 2010), but between 3710-3700 Ma had evolved to maturity with formation of andesites, dacites, related sediments, and finally at 3700-3690 Ma emplacement of tonalites and granodiorites (Nutman and others, 1996, 1997a, 2000, 2002, 2007a, 2009; Crowley et al., 2002; Bohlar et al., 2005). The geochemical signatures of this assemblage of igneous rocks indicate magma generation for the mafic-intermediate suites by hydrous fluxing of the upper mantle and melting of eclogitized mafic crust for the quartzo-feldspathic suites (e.g., Nutman and others, 1999; Polat and Hofmann, 2003; Dilek and Polat, 2009; Jenner and others, 2009; Nagel and others, 2012). This, combined with the age progression of different lithologies, the tectonic insertion of mantle dunite slivers by 3710 Ma (Friend and Nutman, 2011) and the juvenile isotopic signatures (e.g., Moorbath and others, 1972; Bennett and others, 1993; Hiess and others, 2009) all point to a strong resemblance with the sequence of rocks observed during development of intra-oceanic arc complexes (alternatively known as suprasubduction zone ophiolites; Shervais, 2001). Therefore we conclude that this is their most likely environment of formation. However, despite its lithological association, the 3720-3690 Ma assemblage is not an intact section through a suprasubduction ophiolite, because, for example, convincing ‘sheeted dike’ complexes have not been found (Friend and Nutman, 2010) and this assemblage was repeatedly partitioned by Eoarchean shear zones (Nutman and Friend, 2009).

---

2 Data have been subjected to filters of f_{206}<2% (where f_{206} is the proportion of 206Pb not of in situ uranogenic origin, based of measured 204Pb and Cumming & Richards (1975) model common Pb compositions) and differences in the 207Pb/206Pb and 206Pb/238U ages of <10%, i.e., <10% discordant.
The 3720-3690 Ma juvenile assemblage was juxtaposed to the south with a 3800 Ma complex, and hence it resembles Sierran-style ophiolites that are ensimatic island arc terranes accreted against older crust (Shervais, 2001 and references therein). This supports continuity of crust-formation processes at convergent plate boundaries for almost 4 billion years. The pattern of ages and lithological types in the rest of the IGC provide further evidence that this interpretation of crust formation was appropriate for all juvenile components from at least 3890 to 3660 Ma, although superimposed 3660-3600 Ma and Neoarchean orogenies have destroyed most the field evidence that would support (or refute!) this contention.

Contrasting crustal levels at 3660-3600 Ma

The migmatites that dominate most of the IGC hold a record of sustained high temperatures at moderate pressures throughout the 3660-3600 Ma Isukasian orogeny (Friend and Nutman, 2005a). The ~3700 Ma tonalite terrane north of the Isua supracrustal belt shows evidence of hot (and deep) crustal conditions and ductile deformation at the start of the 3660-3600 Ma period, with a transition to cooler (and shallower?) conditions, with brittle failure, at the end of the period. The ~3800 tonalite terrane south of the Isua supracrustal belt appears to show only cooler (shallow?) crustal conditions throughout this period.

In the Isua area, shear zones partition the products of juvenile crust production. Movements on these shear zones have been constrained to between 3645-3607 Ma, by the ages of pre- syn- and post-kinematic granite and pegmatite sheets involved with them (Crowley and others, 2002; Friend and others, 2002; Nutman and others, 2002b; data in this paper). These shear zones combined with increasing evidence for extensional deformation, might be responsible for telescoping portions of the crust that resided in the deep, hot, ductile regime against those from a shallower cooler brittle regime (Fig. 3B). New evidence for this comes from the ~3700 Ma tonalite complex north of the Isua supracrustal belt, which at ~3650 Ma was in a hot ductile regime with incipient migmatization (Fig. 4C) but by 3600 Ma had been transferred to a cooler regime with deformation by cataclasis (Fig. 4F). Titanite U-Pb dates of 3606 ± 3 Ma (from a 3659 Ma Inaluk dyke), 3606 ± 3 Ma (from a 3698 ± 2 Ma tonalite) and 3603 ± 3 Ma (from a ~3645 Ma granite; Crowley and others, 2002) are in accord with this interpretation.
The nature of the 3660-3600 Ma Isukasian orogeny

The whole of the IGC was affected by the Isukasian orogeny. Thus the Isukasian orogen covered a larger expanse of Eoarchean ‘continental’ crust than just the IGC, with this larger crustal mass being fragmented and dispersed starting from ~3500 Ma, as marked by intrusion of the Ameralik dykes. This is analogous to the way that on either side of the South Atlantic there is Precambrian crust affected by the Neoproterozoic Brasiliano orogen in South America and the Pan African orogen in Africa – these being dispersed fragments of continental crust affected by a larger orogenic system.

Understanding the geodynamic framework of the Isukasian orogen is impeded not only by fragmentation, but also because its surviving pieces have only small areas that were not reworked in superimposed post-3500 Ma orogenic events (Nutman and others, 1996). Furthermore, thermo-mechanical modelling (e.g., Duclaux and others, 2007; Rey and Coltice, 2008) shows the much greater heat production from radionuclides in the Archean than today means that compared with modern collisional orogens, tectonically thickened crust in the Eoarchean had greater propensity to undergo deep crustal anatexis and collapse. The greater degree of partial melting made the Eoarchean deep crust very mobile and thereby destroyed much of the evidence on the mechanism of previous crustal thickening (Figs 3C, 4A). This is significant handicap, because most of the surviving IGC was in the deep crust during the Isukasian orogeny. Notwithstanding, models to explain the Isukasian orogeny must account for the following:

(1) It was a sustained event lasting for ~50 million years, as shown by 3660-3610 Ma zircon ages on migmatization and granite bodies (Fig. 8C-E), followed by U-Pb closure of the titanite at ~3605 Ma (e.g., Nutman and others, 2000; Crowley and others, 2002; Friend and Nutman 2005a; Friend and others, 2002).

(2) There is mounting evidence suggesting extensional deformation was important during the orogeny; (i) at middle-upper crustal levels granite sheets were emplaced into extensional fractures; (ii) a major Eoarchean shear zone in the eastern end of the Isua supracrustal belt has kyanite-bearing upper amphibolite facies rocks in the footwall and greenschist to epidote-amphibolite rocks in the hangingwall (Fig. 3B) and

---

3 This key piece of information has been obliterated from most of the Itsaq Gneiss Complex by superimposed tectonothermal events, but survives in the plutonic complex of c. 3700 Ma tonalites and granite sheets north of the Isua supracrustal belt.
(iii) in the melt-lubricated deep crustal migmatites, 3640 Ma composite granite-gabbro intrusions show syn-magmatic extensional features (Fig. 4H).

(3) The event followed on from ≥3900 to 3660 Ma juvenile crustal growth without high temperature metamorphism (Nutman and others, 1993; Bennett and others, 1993). This appears to have been terminated by the start of the orogeny, with transient high-pressure metamorphism (newly-discovered high pressure granulite – see above) and then a switchover to crustal recycling marked by an elevated geothermal gradient and deep crustal melting at moderate pressures (Friend and Nutman, 2005a).

Thus modern analogs must involve crustal reworking following assembly of unrelated terranes, with protracted high heat flow taking place in an overall extensional regime. A possible analog is continental northeast Asia, which following final early Mesozoic assembly of arc terranes and older continental blocks has suffered quasi-continuous extension up to the present time. This has caused extensive crustal thinning, with the widespread development of core complexes, particularly in the Cretaceous (e.g., Ren and others, 2002; Yang and others, 2007). Extension has been to the extent that the underlying lithospheric mantle has been largely removed (e.g., Fan and others, 2000). There is still debate concerning the cause of this high heat flow extensional regime. However, one potential control is the continual eastward roll-back of subduction systems into the northern Pacific, promoting behind it continued extension of continental northeastern Asia (e.g., Wei and others, 2012 and references therein).

In reality, establishing the geodynamic setting of the Isukasian orogeny is hampered by the small size of the IGC – in the modern context it would be hard to establish the geodynamic setting of the entire Himalayan - European Alps system based on only 3000 km² of amphibolite facies tectonites!

CONCLUSIONS

(1) Four decades of research with increasingly sophisticated isotopic analytical techniques all indicate that Eoarchean crust embodied by the Itsaq Gneiss Complex was extracted from a depleted upper mantle reservoir in the Eoarchean; i.e. it was juvenile at that time and not formed from recycled Hadean material.

(2) The accrued zircon U-Pb geochronology shows that juvenile crustal components are not a single generation, but formed in several episodes from ~3900 to ~3660 Ma.
(3) Juvenile crust domains of different age are mutually exclusive, and evidence from around the Isua supracrustal belt shows that they were tectonically assembled by 3660 Ma. This indicates that the crust grew by lateral accretion.

(4) The geochemistry of the juvenile Eoarchean igneous rocks points to derivation by partial melting of eclogitized (and maybe also high pressure granulite) mafic rocks and fluid-fluxing of upper mantle peridotite. This suggests these rocks formed at convergent plate boundaries in environments akin to modern suprasubduction zone settings. The detailed chronology obtained on the ~3700 Ma portion of the Isua supracrustal belt shows a mafic to felsic progression from 3720-3710 Ma basalts/gabbros, 3710-3700 Ma andesites/diorites to ~3690 Ma tonalites, and suggests these rocks are samples of arcs evolving over a time span of 10-25 million years.

(5) Within the extent of the present Itsaq Gneiss Complex, juvenile crust production ceased at ~3660 Ma, and was followed by the Isukasian orogeny which lasted to 3600 Ma. The isotopic signatures of 3650-3600 Ma granites formed during the Isukasian orogeny demonstrate that they were at least partially derived from slightly older, isotopically evolved, crustal components. The hallmark of this orogeny was elevated heat flow with migmatization of much of the lower crust, and granite emplacement at higher levels. There is increasing structural evidence for extensional deformation being prevalent after inception of the orogen.

(6) Given (i) the overall small size of the Itsaq Gneiss Complex, (ii) the even smaller area in it where structures relating to the 3660-3600 Ma orogen are preserved, and (iii) that it represents just a fragment of the larger Isukasian orogen, it is not yet possible to identify with certainty the broader geodynamic setting of the orogen. A possible scenario for further exploration is extension following northeastern Asian-style early Mesozoic terrane assembly and subsequent Pacific-ward eastward migration of subduction systems.

(7) The accrued body of knowledge from the Itsaq Gneiss Complex shows that Eoarchean crust-forming events and orogeny had strong similarities with processes operating in the Phanerozoic, and indicate that some form of plate tectonics operated almost 4 billion years ago.
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Figure captions

Figure 1. Geological map of the Nuuk region, displaying the Eoarchean Itsaq Gneiss Complex, summary of SHRIMP U/Pb zircon results on TTG rocks, showing the location of the oldest (≥3850 Ma) and youngest (3660 Ma) components. Localities mentioned in the text are indicated.

Figure 2. Timeline for the evolution of the Itsaq Gneiss Complex from 3900 to 3600 Ma. This is based on U-Pb zircon dating of more than 160 rocks integrated with geological mapping.

Figure 3. Schematic cross-sections illustrating state of the Itsaq Gneiss Complex at the end of the Isukasian orogeny. (A) Shows a rare area on the hills north of Amitsoq (Fig. 1) where (meta) detrital sedimentary rocks deposited at 3620-3600 Ma are invaginated with ∼3660 Ma tonalitic basement, and then folded and metamorphosed together at ∼3580-3560 Ma (Friend and Nutman, 2005a). This is a rare sample of the upper crust during the Isukasian orogeny. (B) Reconstructs the Isua area, with a schematic cross section through the Isua supracrustal belt. Note the juxtaposition of rocks with different 3650-3600 Ma metamorphic grade across extensional structures. (C) Is a schematic representation of the lower crust. 3900-3660 Ma juvenile crustal rocks are soaked in 3660-3600 Ma neosome. Prevalent metamorphism is upper amphibolite to low-pressure granulite facies. 3640 Ma gabbro-granite composite intrusions show evidence of synmagmatic extension. (D) is a fusion of A, B and C, to reconstruct the crustal architecture late in the Isukasian orogeny.

Figure 4. Orthogneisses of the Itsaq Gneiss Complex. (A) Orthogneiss from the southern part of the Itsaq Gneiss Complex (63°50.920’N 51°39.894’W) that escaped strong superimposed Neoarchean deformation common in this part of the Complex. Note the variably deformed degree of the neosome (n) across this single outcrop. Knife for scale is 7 cm long. The tonalitic palaeosome (p) is variably modified by in situ anatexis and disruption by intruded granite and pegmatite veins. The pegmatites with hornblende ± biotite pseudomorphs replacing original
pyroxene are the products of Eoarchean dehydration melting under granulite facies conditions.

~3500 Ma Ameralik dykes traversing these outcrops (not shown in the frame of view) are weakly deformed and strongly discordant to the migmatite layering. However, they have been converted into amphibolite during superimposed Neoarchean upper amphibolite facies metamorphism. (B) Low strain zone in the ~3700 Ma tonalite domain north of the Isua supracrustal belt (65°10.749′N 50°01.020′W). Host ~3700 Ma meta-tonalites (t) are variably deformed, but locally preserve a weakly porphyritic texture, given by (recrystallized) plagioclase phenocrysts. Note these tonalites are devoid of in situ partial melt domains. They are traversed by a dioritic Inaluk dyke (In) and granite sheets (g). These outcrops are cut by completely non-deformed ~3500 Ma Ameralik dykes (not shown in the view), which contain relict igneous pyroxenes and plagioclase partially replaced by Neoarchean epidote amphibolite facies assemblages. (C) Early granite (g) in the ~3700 Ma terrane north of the Isua supracrustal belt (65°10.620′N 50°00.824′W). Note that these involve at least some in situ anatexis of the host tonalites (t), and the development of synplutonic ductile sigmoidal structures. Pen for scale is 15 cm long. (D) Homogeneous ~3810 Ma tonalite south of the Isua supracrustal belt (65°00.63′N 50°15.04′W; sample G97/18). Metatonalite is cut by an amphibolitized but not deformed ~3500 Ma Ameralik dyke (ad). This demonstrates that since the Eoarchean at this locality, there has been no deformation, but superimposed ‘static’ epidote amphibolite facies metamorphism. Preserved in the tonalites is a relict plagioclase-phyric texture. (E) Photomicrograph of G97/18 from the locality shown in (D) demonstrates the early alteration of plagioclase (plag-rex), followed by later static recrystallisation giving randomly orientated laths of biotite (bio) and epidote. Field of view is 2 mm wide. (F) Pre- ~3500 Ma strong cataclastic deformation affecting ~3700 Ma tonalites already intruded by Eoarchean granite sheets (65°09.253′N 50°03.150′W). The cataclastic textures were recrystallized in superimposed post Ameralik dyke epidote amphibolite facies metamorphism. Pen for scale is 15 cm long. (G) Cataclastic gneiss showing alteration of plagioclase to albite + epidote + quartz (plag-rex) and the formation of ribbon quartz (qtz). Note the post kinematic growth of biotite across the fabric (bio). Field of view is 3 mm wide. (H) 3640 Ma augen granite gneisses with coeval mafic intrusions on the south coast of the mouth of Ameralik (64°10.900′N.
Augen granite (aug) is intruded by variably attenuated ferrogabbro dikes (notebook for scale). The dike in the middle view (d1) is only mildly attenuated, whereas those in the background (e.g. d2) are disrupted and becoming enclosed and incorporated within the augen granite. A5 notebook for scale.

Figure 5. Map the northern part of the Itsaq Gneiss Complex, covering the Isua supracrustal belt. This is based on revised mapping by Nutman & Friend (2009). Representative zircon age determinations without sample number indicated are by Nutman and co-workers (published). The dating locality with sample number G11/24 is presented in this paper. Line ‘N’ is an approximate boundary between a northern area where post- Ameralik dyke (<3500 Ma) ductile deformation is weak, from the south where it is strong. However, to the north there are still some zones of strong late ductile deformation, whereas to the south there are some areas that escaped this later deformation.

Figure 6. Summary diagram of initial $\varepsilon_{\text{Hf}}$ values derived from mafic whole rocks and from felsic rocks based on pooled data from their crystallization age zircon populations. For the zircon data from Hiess and others (2009, 2011) and Naerra and others (2012), their published weighted mean values were used. For the Kemp and others (2009) and Amelin and others (2011) data, weighted means were calculated from domains whose $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{206}\text{Pb}$ age complied with the previously determined protolith age. Mafic whole rock data are from Hoffmann and others (2010, 2011b) and a mafic isochron initial composition from Rizo and others (2011).

Figure 7. (A) Garnet + clinopyroxene + hornblende + plagioclase + quartz segregations within banded amphibolites (GPS 65°09.087'N 50°03.619'W), probably formed by dehydration partial melting. (B) CL images of representative zircons from segregation sample G11/24. Scale bar in all frames is 10 µm. Analytical errors on the $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{206}\text{Pb}$ ages are given at the 1σ level. (C) $^{238}\text{U}/^{206}\text{Pb}$–$^{207}\text{Pb}/^{206}\text{Pb}$ concordia diagram summarising SHRIMP U-Pb zircon dating from partial melt segregation G11/24. Analytical errors are depicted at the 2σ level, and the
results are presented in Appendix 1. A few strongly discordant analyses are outside of the range of this plot.

Figure 8. Summary of SHRIMP U-Pb zircon ages from the Itsaq gneiss complex. The data are presented as follows: (A) tonalitic rocks and acid-intermediate volcanic rocks from areas with minimal superimposed migmatization, mostly upper- middle-crustal levels in the northern part of the complex; (B) tonalitic rocks throughout the rest of the complex where there is evidence of pervasive high temperature migmatization; (C) granite intrusions in least migmatized upper-middle-crustal levels; (D) synkinematic granite intrusions along shear zones in upper-middle-crustal levels (E) granite and coeval gabbro intrusions in strongly migmatized lower-crustal levels. Inher = inherited (pre-magmatic) zircon cores. Met = <3600 Ma metamorphic zircon.

Appendix 1

Appendix 1 Table caption

Appendix Table 1. Summary of SHRIMP U-Pb zircon data for high-pressure granulite segregation G11/24.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Spot</th>
<th>site</th>
<th>ppm U</th>
<th>ppm Th</th>
<th>% Th/U</th>
<th>206Pb/206Pb*</th>
<th>207Pb* /206Pb*</th>
<th>% 207Pb/206Pb age</th>
<th>% Discordant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>24A-1.1</td>
<td>e,osc,eq</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>1.268 ±1.3</td>
<td>0.3372 ±0.49</td>
<td>3650 ±7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24A-2.1</td>
<td>e,osc,eq</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>1.327 ±1.0</td>
<td>0.3390 ±0.33</td>
<td>3658 ±5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24A-3.1</td>
<td>e,osc+rex,eq</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>1.286 ±1.1</td>
<td>0.3374 ±0.39</td>
<td>3651 ±6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24A-4.1</td>
<td>e,h/rex,p</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>1.95</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>1.308 ±0.9</td>
<td>0.3351 ±0.30</td>
<td>3641 ±5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24A-4.2</td>
<td>m,osc+rex,p</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>1.83</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>1.341 ±1.3</td>
<td>0.3369 ±0.47</td>
<td>3649 ±7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24A-5.1</td>
<td>e,osc,p</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>1.319 ±1.3</td>
<td>0.3407 ±0.46</td>
<td>3666 ±7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24A-5.2</td>
<td>m,rex,p</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.099</td>
<td>1.56</td>
<td>1.515 ±3.7</td>
<td>0.3310 ±1.83</td>
<td>3622 ±28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24A-6.1</td>
<td>e,rex,eq</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>1.317 ±1.8</td>
<td>0.3345 ±0.73</td>
<td>3638 ±11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24A-7.1</td>
<td>e,osc,p</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>1.332 ±2.5</td>
<td>0.3374 ±1.25</td>
<td>3651 ±19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24A-8.1</td>
<td>e,osc+rex,eq</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>1.279 ±1.3</td>
<td>0.3372 ±0.46</td>
<td>3650 ±7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24A-9.1</td>
<td>e,rex,p</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>1.313 ±1.5</td>
<td>0.3332 ±1.00</td>
<td>3632 ±15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24A-10.1</td>
<td>e,h/ rex,p</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>1.355 ±1.8</td>
<td>0.3307 ±0.73</td>
<td>3620 ±11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24A-11.1</td>
<td>e,h/ rex,p</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>1.283 ±1.6</td>
<td>0.3339 ±0.60</td>
<td>3635 ±9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24A-11.2</td>
<td>m,osc,p</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>1.345 ±2.5</td>
<td>0.3409 ±1.00</td>
<td>3667 ±15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24A-12.1</td>
<td>e,h/ rex,p</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>1.318 ±1.2</td>
<td>0.3317 ±0.45</td>
<td>3625 ±7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24A-12.2</td>
<td>m,rex/osc,p</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.044</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>1.450 ±4.7</td>
<td>0.3413 ±2.27</td>
<td>3668 ±35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24A-13.1</td>
<td>m,osc,p</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>1.345 ±0.9</td>
<td>0.3407 ±0.31</td>
<td>3666 ±5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24A-14.1</td>
<td>m,osc,p</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>1.352 ±1.1</td>
<td>0.3410 ±0.40</td>
<td>3667 ±6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24A-15.1</td>
<td>e,sz,p</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>1.64</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>1.286</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.3245</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24A-15.2</td>
<td>e,osc,p</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>1.301</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.3412</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24B-1.1</td>
<td>e,h+osc,p</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>1.326</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.3338</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24B-2.1</td>
<td>e,h,p</td>
<td>269</td>
<td>5.18</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>1.384</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.3244</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24B-2.2</td>
<td>m,rex/h,p</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>1.731</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>0.3470</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24B-3.1</td>
<td>e,osc,eq</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>1.304</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.3377</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24B-4.1</td>
<td>e,sz,p</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>1.351</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.3303</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24B-5.1</td>
<td>e,sz,p</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>1.329</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.3345</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24B-5.2</td>
<td>e,osc,p</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>1.314</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.3373</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24B-6.1</td>
<td>e,sz,p</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>1.288</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.3326</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24B-7.1</td>
<td>e,sz/ rex,p</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>2.67</td>
<td>0.028</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>1.345</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.3328</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24B-7.2</td>
<td>m,osc,p</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>1.293</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.3388</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24B-8.1</td>
<td>e,sz,p</td>
<td>281</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>1.442</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.2532</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24B-8.2</td>
<td>m,osc,p</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>1.269</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.3381</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24B-10.1</td>
<td>e,osc,eq,fr</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>1.287</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.3381</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24B-11.1</td>
<td>e,sz,p</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>1.359</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.3225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24B-11.2</td>
<td>m,osc,p</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.042</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>1.300</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>0.3455</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24B-12.1</td>
<td>e,rex+osc,p</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>1.332</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.3366</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24B-12.2</td>
<td>m,osc,p</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>1.348</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.3381</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24B-12.3</td>
<td>e,osc,p</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>1.246</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.3361</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24B-13.1</td>
<td>m,h,eq,fr</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.029</td>
<td>1.47</td>
<td>1.357</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>0.3246</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24B-13.2</td>
<td>e,h,eq,fr</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>1.92</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>1.287</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.3336</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24B-14.1</td>
<td>e,h/rex,p</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>2.17</td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>1.271</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.3321</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24B-14.2</td>
<td>m,osc,p</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>1.334</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.3384</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24B-15.1</td>
<td>e,h/rex2,eq</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>2.51</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>1.452</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.3326</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24B-15.2</td>
<td>m,osc,eq</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>1.284</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.3392</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24B-9.1</td>
<td>m,osc,eq</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>1.300</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.3377</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24C-1.1</td>
<td>e,sz,p,fr</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>1.345</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.3260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24C-1.2</td>
<td>m,osc,p,fr</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>1.286</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.3362</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24C-2.1</td>
<td>e,sz,p,fr</td>
<td>558</td>
<td>6.06</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>3.329</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.2947</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24C-2.2</td>
<td>e,sz,p,fr</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>1.483</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.3353</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24C-2.3</td>
<td>m,osc,p,fr</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>1.324</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.3376</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24C-3.1</td>
<td>e,h/rex,p</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>1.328</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.3340</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24C-3.2</td>
<td>m,osc,p</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>1.377</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.3389</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24C-3.3</td>
<td>e,h/rex,p</td>
<td>213</td>
<td>1.64</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>1.315</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.3352</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24C-4.1</td>
<td>e,h/rex,p</td>
<td>227</td>
<td>2.96</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>1.538</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.3275</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24C-4.2</td>
<td>m,h/rex,p</td>
<td>1126</td>
<td>14.30</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>1.378</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.3303</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24C-5.1</td>
<td>e,osc,p,fr</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>1.310</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.3372</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24C-5.2</td>
<td>m,osc,p,fr</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>3.57</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>1.296</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.3406</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24C-6.1</td>
<td>m,osc+rex,eq</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>1.333</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.3366</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24C-7.1</td>
<td>e,h/rex,p</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>1.304</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.3337</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24C-7.2</td>
<td>m,osc,p</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>1.292</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.3396</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Code</td>
<td>Type</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Beta</td>
<td>Gamma</td>
<td>Lambda</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>Sigma</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24C-8.1</td>
<td>m,osc,p</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>1.295</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24C-8.2</td>
<td>e,h/rex,p</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>2.01</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>1.272</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24C-9.1</td>
<td>e,rex,p</td>
<td>224</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>1.304</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24C-9.2</td>
<td>m,osc,p</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>1.325</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24C-10.1</td>
<td>e,h/rex,p,fr</td>
<td>469</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>2.896</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24C-10.2</td>
<td>m,osc,p,fr</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>1.292</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24C-10.3</td>
<td>m,rex,p,fr</td>
<td>234</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>1.320</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24C-10.4</td>
<td>m,rex,p,fr</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>1.400</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24C-11.1</td>
<td>e,h/rex,p</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>1.342</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24C-11.2</td>
<td>e,h/rex,p</td>
<td>720</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>1.376</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24C-11.3</td>
<td>m,rex,p</td>
<td>4008</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>9.173</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24D-2.2</td>
<td>e,h/rex,eq</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>1.574</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24D-1.1</td>
<td>m,c,osc,p</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>1.317</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24D-1.2</td>
<td>e,h/rex,p</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.020</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>1.344</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24D-2.1</td>
<td>e,h/rex,eq</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.044</td>
<td>9.23</td>
<td>1.557</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24D-3.1</td>
<td>m,rex,p</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>3.67</td>
<td>1.367</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24D-4.1</td>
<td>m,osc,p</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>1.314</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24D-4.2</td>
<td>e,osc+rex,p</td>
<td>1515</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>1.963</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24D-5.1</td>
<td>e,osc,p</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>1.312</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24D-5.2</td>
<td>m,rex/h,p</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>1.297</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24D-6.1</td>
<td>m,osc,p</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>1.308</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24D-6.2</td>
<td>e,h/rex,p</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>1.303</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.3331</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24D-7.1</td>
<td>m,rex,eq</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>2.70</td>
<td>1.380</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>0.3187</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24D-7.2</td>
<td>e,rex,eq</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>1.271</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.3332</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24D-8.1</td>
<td>m,osc,eq</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>1.401</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>0.3407</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24D-8.2</td>
<td>e,rex,eq</td>
<td>293</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>1.548</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.2908</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24D-9.1</td>
<td>m,rex+osc,p</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>2.07</td>
<td>1.353</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>0.3496</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24D-9.2</td>
<td>e,h/rex,p</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>1.281</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.3321</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24D-10.1</td>
<td>m,rex,p</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.036</td>
<td>3.56</td>
<td>1.366</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>0.3720</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24D-10.2</td>
<td>e,rex+osc,p</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>1.301</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.3268</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24D-11.1</td>
<td>m,osc,eq</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>1.413</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>0.3381</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24D-11.2</td>
<td>e,osc+rex,eq</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>1.301</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.3376</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24D-12.1</td>
<td>m,osc+rex,p</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.038</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>1.287</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>0.3463</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24D-12.2</td>
<td>e,h/rex,p</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>1.285</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.3372</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24D-13.1</td>
<td>m,osc,p</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>1.281</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.3386</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24D-13.2</td>
<td>e,rex/h,p</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>1.91</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>1.400</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.3141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24D-14.1</td>
<td>e,rex,p,fr</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>1.328</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.3314</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24D-15.1</td>
<td>m,rex,p</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>1.289</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>0.3643</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24D-15.2</td>
<td>e,h/rex,p</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>1.273</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.3341</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24D-16.1</td>
<td>m,rex/h,p</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>1.530</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>0.3553</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24D-16.2</td>
<td>e,rex+osc,p</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>1.322</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.3373</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24D-17.1</td>
<td>e,rex+osc,p</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>1.315</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.3376</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample ID</td>
<td>Zircon Morphology</td>
<td>Zircon Analysis Site</td>
<td>% Oscillatory Zone</td>
<td>% Homogeneous</td>
<td>% Sector Zoned</td>
<td>% Recrystallized</td>
<td>% Grain End</td>
<td>% Mid-Grain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24D-18.1</td>
<td>m,osc,p</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>1.296</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.3376</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24D-18.2</td>
<td>e,rex/h,p</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>1.265</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.3335</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24D-19.2</td>
<td>e,rex+osc,p</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>1.294</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.3364</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24D-20.1</td>
<td>m,rex/h,p</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>1.456</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>0.3449</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24D-19.1</td>
<td>m,rex/h,p</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>2.66</td>
<td>0.103</td>
<td>28.00</td>
<td>0.951</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>0.2035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24D-20.2</td>
<td>m,rex/h,p</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>5.30</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>1.582</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.3224</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24D-21.1</td>
<td>e,sz,p</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>1.284</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>0.3332</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24D-22.1</td>
<td>e,rex,p</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>1.291</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.3369</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24D-23.1</td>
<td>m,osc,p</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>1.331</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>0.3422</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24D-23.2</td>
<td>e,osc+rex,p</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>1.286</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.3364</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Zircon morphology and analysis site: p=prism; e=equant grain; fr=grain fragment; e=grain end; m=mid-grain

CL petrography: osc=oscillatory zoned; h=homogeneous, sz=sector zoned; rex=recrystallized

Analyses in italics are ones with composite domains, and not used in age determinations

%206Pbc = percentage of 206Pb determined to be of non radiogenic origin, based on measured 204Pb/206Pb ratio and Cumming and Richards model Pb compositions