Evaluation of the evidence between consumption of refined grains and health outcomes

Peter G. Williams
University of Wollongong, peterw@uow.edu.au

Recommended Citation

Evaluation of the evidence between consumption of refined grains and health outcomes

Abstract

This review evaluates the available evidence on the relationship between consumption of refined grains and health outcomes. A total of 135 relevant articles were identified from database searches of studies published between 2000 and 2010. The great majority found no associations between the intake of refined grain foods and cardiovascular disease, diabetes, weight gain or overall mortality. A few studies found that very high intakes might be associated with some types of cancers, but at moderate levels of consumption the risks were not significant. The totality of evidence shows that consumption of up to 50% of all grain foods as refined grain foods (without high levels of added fat, sugar or sodium) is not associated with any increased disease risk. Nonetheless, eating more wholegrain foods remains an important health recommendation, and most consumers will need to reduce their current refined grain consumption to no more than one-third to one-half of all grains in order to meet the targets for wholegrain foods.

Keywords
cereals, grains, refined grains

Disciplines
Arts and Humanities | Life Sciences | Medicine and Health Sciences | Social and Behavioral Sciences

8 **Author:**   Peter G Williams[1]

9   [1] *Smart Foods Centre, School of Health Sciences, University of Wollongong,*
10   *Wollongong, Australia*
11

12 **Guarantor and**
13 **correspondence to:** A/Prof Peter Williams

14   *Smart Foods Centre*
15   *School of Health Sciences*
16   *University of Wollongong*
17   *Wollongong NSW Australia 2522*
18
19 **Tel:**   **+**61 2 4221 4085

20 **FAX:**   **+**61 2 4221 4096

21 **e-mail:**   peter_williams@uow.edu.au

22

27 **Contributions**

28 PW was solely responsible for design of the study, literature search and preparation

29 of the manuscript.

30

31   **Abstract**

32

33   This review evaluates the available evidence on the relationship between consumption of

34   refined grains and health outcomes. A total of 135 relevant articles were identified from

35   database searches of studies published between 2000 and 2010. The great majority found

36   no associations between the intake of refined grain foods and cardiovascular disease,

37   diabetes, weight gain or overall mortality. A few studies found that very high intakes

38   might be associated with some types of cancers, but at moderate levels of consumption

39   the risks were not significant. The totality of evidence shows that consumption of up to

40   50% of all grain foods as refined grain foods (without high levels of added fat, sugar or

41   sodium) is not associated with any increased disease risk. Nonetheless, eating more

42   wholegrain foods remains an important health recommendation, and most consumers

43   will need to reduce their current refined grain consumption to no more than one-third to

44   one-half of all grains in order to meet the targets for wholegrain foods.

45

46

INTRODUCTION

Cereal grains are staple foods providing an important source of essential micro- and macro-nutrients, and form part of the dietary patterns recommended throughout the world. Dietary guidelines generally distinguish plain cereal products (part of the core food groups that should form the foundation of a healthy diet) from cereal-based products with significant amounts of added fat and sugar, such as cakes, pizza or pastries [1].

Walker [2], Burkitt [3], Cleave [4] and Trowell [5] pioneered the concept that highly-refined foods contribute to Western diseases and this spurred several decades of research interest in the dietary fibre content of the diet. More recently attention has turned to wholegrain foods, recognising that not only their fibre content, but also other properties related to their provision of resistant starch, vitamins, minerals, phytoestrogens and antioxidants, may protect against chronic disease. Over the last two decades, research into the benefits of wholegrain cereal foods has strengthened [6-8]. In particular this has been demonstrated in relation to reduced risks of coronary heart disease [9-11], becoming overweight [12-14], diabetes [15] and certain cancers [16-18].

The 2010 US Dietary Guidelines include the advice to consume at least half of all grains as wholegrains (which in a 2000 calorie diet equates to three or more ounce-equivalents of wholegrain products per day) [19]. They also advise people to "limit the consumption of foods that contain refined grains, especially grain foods that contain added fats, added sugars, and sodium". The 2007 revision of the Canada's Food Guide also included the recommendation to make at least half of your grain products whole grain each day [20], and there has been a recent recommendation for 4 servings of whole grain per day in Denmark [21].

However, despite these guidelines, the actual consumption of wholegrain foods is very low. There are substantial barriers to increasing consumption of wholegrain foods, including traditional preferences for refined products, limited availability in supermarkets and unfamiliarity with cooking techniques [22]. Cross-country studies in

89   Europe have reported that consumers expect wholegrain products to be healthier,

90   but also believe that they will be less pleasant to eat [23]. In the UK, wholegrain

91   consumption has been reported to have decreased since 1986 to just 14g per day in

92   2000-2001, and 29% of adults report consuming no wholegrain foods [24]. In the US it

93   is estimated that less than 15% of total grain consumption is wholegrain and only 6-

94   8% of adults meet the target of three servings per day [25-27]. In Australia the last

95   National Nutrition Survey estimated that the average adult daily intakes were 83g of

96   wholegrain cereals and grains and 188g of refined cereals and grains – so that almost

97   70% of grain foods were refined [28].

98

99   Given these low levels of wholegrain consumption, many reviews of the relationship

100 of dietary patterns to disease prevention have made recommendations to reduce

101 refined grain consumption and increase consumption of wholegrains [29, 30]. However

102 rarely do such recommendations give specific guidance about what level of refined

103 grains can be safely included in a healthy diet. It is unlikely that advice to entirely

104 exclude popular foods like white bread, rice or pasta from the diet would be

105 acceptable to most consumers, nor that this is necessary.

106

107 The purpose of this review was to evaluate the evidence between consumption of

108 refined grains and health outcomes and, if possible, answer the question: "What

109 proportion of refined grains can be consumed in a healthy diet?"

110

111

112

113 **METHODS**

114 A search for original studies and reviews was carried out in the following databases:

115 Scopus (which includes Medline and Science Direct) and PubMed in the period 2000-

116 2010. The following search terms were used: *refined grain, refined cereal, refined*

117 *starch, refined carbohydrate*. Studies were limited to those published in English and

118 conducted in humans. The references in retrieved papers were also examined

119 individually to supplement the electronic search.

120

121 For the purposes of the review, whenever possible, studies were chosen which

122 reported results for core refined foods, not cereal-based foods with large levels of

123 added fat, sugar or sodium. Examples of such core refined grain foods inlcude:

124 *Breads (*eg*,* white bread, bagels, crumpets, tortillas); *Breakfast cereals* (eg, low

125 fibrecereals and those containing <25% wholegrain); *Refined cereal grains* (eg, white

126 rice, polenta, semolina, couscous); *Pasta and noodles* based on white wheat or rice

127 flour (ie not wholemeal varieties). Foods that were excluded were refined cereal-

128 based foods with large levels of added fat, sugar, or sodium (eg, cakes, pastries,

129 cookies, doughnuts, pizza) and whole-grain and high-fiber cereal foods.

130

131 In some of the studies selected for inclusion desserts or other high fat/high sugar

132 foods had been included in the refined grain category, but the results did not suggest

133 adverse health outcomes.

134

135 A total of 396 articles were identified from the initial search and their abstracts

136 reviewed. Of these only 96 were directly relevant to the topic. The others were

137 excluded because they did not report outcomes related to refined grains (255), were

138 conducted in animals or patients with established disease conditions (39), were

139 editorial or commentaries without original data (4), or were duplicates of other

140 articles (2). Hand searching of bibliographies added a further 39 references to make

141 a total of 135 articles for review.

142

143 The types of articles were: studies of dietary patterns of clusters (38); cross-sectional

144 studies of foods and health relationships (20), case-control studies (13), prospective

145 longitudinal studies (31), randomised controlled trials (9) and reviews and meta-

146 analyses (24).

147

148 Articles were reviewed to rate the study quality, using the methods of the American

149 Dietetic Association Evidence Analysis Manual [31]. The ADA system results in three

150 categories of rating: Positive, Neutral, or Negative. All the retrieved articles judged

151 relevant to be included in this review were of Positive or Neutral quality.

152

153
154

RESULTS

1) Studies of dietary patterns

In the literature search, a total of 38 studies were identified that reported the impact of food patterns with different levels of refined grain foods. These covered a range of different health outcomes, including: total mortality [32, 33]; obesity and metabolic syndrome [34-49]; diabetes [50-55]; cardiovascular disease [56-59]; cancer [60-68]; pulmonary disease [69]; depression and anxiety [70].

In addition one meta-analysis of prospective studies related to the prevention of type 2 diabetes has been published [29]. This meta-analysis of 10 dietary pattern studies concluded that those most consistently associated with the prevention of type 2 diabetes were characterised by high consumption of fruit and vegetables, whole grains, fish and poultry, and by decreased consumption of red meat, processed foods, sugar sweetened beverages, and starchy foods.

However, such studies are only of limited use in attempting to define the level of refined grains that can be incorporated into a healthy diet, for several reasons:

1) A single pattern that is called a "high refined grain pattern" by the authors often contain mixtures of "core" low fat grain foods and also "non-core" refined grain foods which may high in added fat, sugar or sodium (eg, pizza, doughnuts, cakes, and biscuits) as well as other non-cereal high carbohydrate foods (eg, soft drinks, potatoes, confectionery)

2) Refined grain foods may appear in several patterns at different levels of consumption

3) The term "Western diet" has been used in a number of publications examining disease risk, but the grain foods contained in this pattern varies considerably between studies

4) In some pattern studies, core refined grain foods are split between different dietary patterns

5) It is rarely possible to relate results of dietary pattern studies to actual intakes of specific foods.

188 For all the reasons given above, it is difficult to use the results from dietary pattern

189 studies to draw conclusions about the how particular levels of refined grain foods

190 might impact health outcomes. Better data is available from studies that have looked

191 specifically at the consumption of individual foods.

192

193 **2) Cross-sectional studies**

194 Eighteen cross-sectional studies were identified that reported the association of

195 consumption of refined grain foods with a range of health outcomes, although most

196 only report risk of obesity or metabolic syndrome. Of these, five found no

197 relationship [71 72-75], three reported some protective effects [76-78], and ten reported a

198 positive association between refined grain food and adverse health status [79-88].

199 Although cross-sectional studies do not have a high level of explanatory power in

200 nutrition studies [89], the results are briefly summarised below for the purpose of

201 providing a complete picture of the evidence.

202

203 *Studies showing lack of relationship or protection*

204 Most of the studies that reported no relationship were those examining measures of

205 obesity, body fat distribution, or markers of the metabolic syndrome. In four cross-

206 sectional surveys of 24600 subjects in Finland, bread consumption (which is

207 predominantly wholegrain - 60% rye and 40% wheat bread - in that country [90]) was

208 either not associated (in men) or inversely associated (in women, OR=0.94) with

209 obesity (BMI>30)[77]. In Brazil, a survey of 48470 households found no significant

210 relationship between the prevalence of obesity and any of the following foods: rice,

211 bread, biscuits, pasta, white flour [71]. In contrast, consumption of sugar, soft drinks

212 and ready-to-eat meals were significantly correlated. In a representative cross-

213 sectional sample of 39640 Portuguese adults, the odds ratios of obesity for bread

214 were 0.91 for men and 0.85 for women (neither statistically significant) [76]. For "other

215 starchy food" (pasta, rice and potatoes), consumption was significantly protective for

216 women (OR=0.65) but not for men (OR=0.92)

217

218 In the US, an ancillary analysis from a 3-year trial of vitamin K supplementation with

219 434 adults found that refined grain consumption was not associated with BMI, %

220 body fat or trunk fat mass [72]. Two reports from the Framingham Offspring Cohort

221 have studied refined grain foods. The first study of 2941 subjects found no

222 association with body mass index (BMI), waist-to-hip ratio (WHR), waist

223 circumference (WC) , blood pressure (BP), lipids, insulin or glucose, with refined

224 grain servings up to a median intake of 38 per week in the highest quintile (= 5.5

225 servings/d) [73]. The second study with 2834 subjects examined insulin resistance and

226 presence of the metabolic syndrome [74]. The metabolic syndrome was not associated

227 with refined grain intake. Similar findings were reported amongst 1516 participants

228 in the Baltimore Longitudinal Study of Aging [75]. There was no relationship with BMI,

229 weight, WC, overweight (BMI>25), cholesterol, fasting glucose, or hypertension up to

230 a median refined grain intake of 103g/d in the highest quintile.

231

232 Lastly, a study of prostate cancer rates in 71 countries found that apparent

233 consumption of up to 1800 calories per day from cereal grains (rice, wheat and

234 maize) correlated strongly with decreasing prostate cancer mortality [78].

235

236 *Studies showing increased risk*

237 In a subsample of healthy adult participants from the Framingham Heart Study,

238 visceral adipose tissue (VAT) was positively associated at high intakes of refined

239 grains, although the lowest VAT was recorded with 2 servings of refined grains and 3

240 servings of wholegrains per day. In contrast, waist circumference and subcutaneous

241 adipose tissue were not significantly associated with refined grain intakes up to 4

242 servings per day in the highest quintile [79].

243

244 In a small convenience sample of 159 US college students, participants were grouped

245 by BMI category and the intakes of whole and total cereal grains compared by

246 analysis of variance [80]. Total grain intakes were similar in normal and obese subjects

247 (around 5.5 servings/d) but a significantly higher percentage were wholegrain in the

248 normal weight group (14.8%) compared to obese participants (5.9%). However, even

249 for the normal weight subjects, 85% of total grain foods were from refined grains.

250

251   In a cross-sectional sample of 827 adults in Tehran mean refined grain consumption

252   was 201g/d, ranging up to 362g/d in the highest quartile, and the associations with

253   health states have been reported in two publications [81, 82]. Obesity and diabetes

254   were not associated with refined grain intake, but the highest quartile of

255   consumption was significantly associated hypercholesterolemia (OR=1.23),

256   hypertriglyceridemia (OR=1.14), hypertension (OR=1.69), hypertriglyceridemic waist

257   (OR=2.1) and metabolic syndrome (OR=2.25). At the level of consumption in the

258   second quartile (156g/d) these associations did not appear to be statistically

259   significant. In a second Iranian survey of 2000 adolescents, there was a reported

260   significant linear association between BMI and the frequency of consumption of rice,

261   bread, pasta, fast foods and fat/salty snacks [83]. The mean frequency of intake

262   (times/week) of subjects who were not overweight (with a BMI <85th percentile)

263   were: bread (10.8), rice (6.8), pasta (2.1).

264

265   Two studies from India also report adverse associations of high intakes of refined

266   grain (primarily white rice) with higher waist circumference (WC), blood pressure

267   (BP), fasting blood glucose, serum triglyceride, low HDL cholesterol, insulin

268   resistance and diabetes prevalence [84, 85]. However the intakes of cooked rice were

269   very high, ranging from 218g/d in the lowest to 584g/d in the highest quartiles,

270   which are two to three times higher than typical intakes in Western countries.

271

272   From North America, three studies provide some evidence of increased risk with

273   refined grains. Data from 1088 participants in the Insulin Resistance Atherosclerosis

274   Study was used to examine cross-sectional relationships between grains and three

275   inflammatory proteins in plasma (PAI-1, CRP and fibrinogen) [86]. Refined grain intake

276   was positively related to PAI-1, indicating it could have pro-inflammatory effects, but

277   was not related to the other two markers.

278

279   A study in Boston of 535 adults with a mean age of 72 years used a 3-day diet record

280   to estimate grain intake and measured a range of metabolic risk factors [87]. No

281   significant association were found between intakes of refined grains and all cause or

282 CVD mortality. Those in the highest quartile of intake (6.1 servings per day) had

283 significantly higher risk of metabolic syndrome (OR=2.16), but in the second quartile

284 (median of 2.9 servings/d) there was no significant difference.

285

286 Many of these cross-sectional studies have limitations based on lack of detail about

287 the foods included within the refined grain category, and all suffer from the general

288 problem that such studies cannot explore the temporal relation between

289 consumption and health endpoints to infer causation. Furthermore five of the ten

290 studies showing some adverse associations were conducted in non-Western

291 countries with significantly different food patterns from those in the US, UK or

292 Australia. Overall, however, from these studies, there is no strong evidence that

293 consumption of a moderate intake of up to three servings of refined grains per day is

294 incompatible with a healthy diet.

295

296

297 **3) Case-control studies**

298 There were 13 case-control studies: 10 for various cancers and 3 for ischemic heart

299 disease, summarised in Table 1.

300

301 In 2001, a review of case-control studies of diet and cancer risk in Italy suggested

302 that refined grain intake was associated with increased risk of stomach, colorectal,

303 breast, upper-digestive and thyroid cancers [91]. Since then ten more case-control

304 studies on cancer risk have been published, seven of which found a significant

305 increase in risk at the highest level of refined grain consumption (for renal, upper-

306 digestive, stomach and colorectal cancer), while two examining pancreatic cancer

307 and one on colorectal adenomas found no relationship. Of those showing increased

308 risk, two for colorectal cancer only found this at the highest intake – not at the third

309 quartile [92, 93] and the third such study did not present results for levels below the

310 highest quartile [94].

311

312 Two case-control studies of risk of cardiovascular disease have reported no

313 association with refined grain intake [95, 96] while one Chinese study found an

314 increased risk of stroke at very high levels of cooked white rice - above 1100g per

315 week [97]. Overall, therefore, there is a lack or consistency in the case-control data

316 about cancer that makes it difficult to draw clear conclusions. Some of the increased

317 risks are only present at the very highest levels of intake, which is still consistent

318 with safe intakes at lower levels. The small number of case-control studies on

319 ischemic heart disease risk do not suggest any significant risk with moderate refined

320 grain consumption, but better data is available from the larger number of

321 prospective cohort studies reported in the next section.

322
323
324 **4) Prospective cohort studies**

325 Longitudinal cohort studies provide some of the strongest evidence on which

326 recommendations for dietary intakes can be based. Table 2 summarises 31 recent

327 studies that have examined refined grain intake and health outcomes.

328

329 Only five studies reported an association with adverse health outcomes. Two of

330 these studies used definitions of refined grains that included high fat cereal-based

331 foods such as pizza, cakes and biscuits [98, 99]; one analysed refined grain food plus

332 potatoes [100], one did not define the foods included but focused on energy density (a

333 quality associated with greater consumption of non-core refined grains) [101], one

334 found an effect for women but not men [102], and one stated a conclusion without

335 providing any detailed results [99], so caution is required when interpreting these

336 results.

337

338 The great majority (26/31) of the longitudinal studies found no association or a

339 protective effect for cardiovascular disease [103-111], type 2 diabetes or metabolic

340 syndrome [112-117], various cancers [118-121], weight gain [122-124], all-cause mortality [125, 126],

341 inflammatory disease [127], and periodontitis [128]. The data on CVD is consistent with a

342 recent meta-analysis of cohort studies which concluded that while wholegrains were

343 consistently protective, there was no evidence of differences in risk of CVD events

344 when comparing groups with high vs low refined grain intake [11].

345
346

5) Intervention studies

While results from case-control and cohort studies can be powerful, they can only indicate associations between diets and health outcomes, rather than provide evidence of causal relationships. High consumption of refined grain foods may be markers of less healthy lifestyle practices, such as lower physical activity, smoking, and higher fat and alcohol intakes. Good quality epidemiological studies attempt to control for such factors, but they cannot reliably predict outcomes when diet patterns are changed, and randomised controlled trials are therefore even better evidence of the effect of diet components. Regrettably there are very few diet intervention trials that are available to consider, and most are limited by small numbers of participants and short follow-up periods.

A total of nine relevant studies were identified [129-137]. None was designed to examine the effects of different levels of refined grain intakes specifically; most were attempting to increase wholegrain intake [129-131, 134-137] or lower the GI of diets [132, 133], using refined grain foods as controls. Table 3 summarises these studies, which largely focused on cardiovascular and metabolic risk outcomes. Only one reported the energy provided by the refined grain intake in the study [133]; others reported frequency of intake.

Six of the nine studies reported no significant differences between diets high in refined versus wholegrain cereal intakes [130, 133-137]. One of these papers reported plasma antioxidant status (with the ORAC method) rather than more direct measures of health status[131]. Since the antioxidant content of wholegrains has been postulated to be one of the mechanisms by which they reduce cardiovascular disease risk, the lack of difference found when comparing refined and wholegrain diets suggests that having some grains in the refined form will not adversely affect antioxidant status. One reported superior reductions in % abdominal fat reduction with a wholegrain hypocaloric diet intervention, but there were still improvements to a lesser extent with the refined grain diet as well [131]. Similarly, in the large New Zealand study, waist circumference was reduced to a greater extent on the wholegrain diet, but also reduced with the control diet, with increased consumption

379 of refined cereals [137]. One small study suggested a breakfast including refined grain

380 rice/corn cereal improves satiety in overweight women, but there were no measures

381 of food intake [132], and the results contradict similar studies in the past [138]. Lastly, the

382 Iranian study [129], reported better weight outcomes with the wholegrain

383 interventions compared to refined grains, but the wholegrain diets were combined

384 with energy reduction, while the controls with a higher content of refined grains did

385 not attempt to alter the energy content, so it is not possible to draw any clear

386 conclusions.

387

388 Most of these few studies do not show any difference in health outcomes when

389 refined grains are compared to wholegrains, which can be interpreted to support a

390 conclusion that some core refined grain foods can be included in a diet without

391 increased risk to health.

392

393

394 **6) Reviews and Meta-analyses**

395 Almost all of the identified reviews were primarily concerned with the health

396 benefits of wholegrains or low GI diets, and none specifically reviewed the levels of

397 refined grain consumption in relation to health outcomes.

398

399 Three reviews looked at the question of weight control. Van Dam and Seidell's non-

400 systematic review examined the general issue of carbohydrate intake and obesity

401 and concluded that wholegrain cereals, vegetables, legumes and fruits seem the

402 most appropriate source of dietary carbohydrate, but did not report any studies of

403 refined grain intake [14]. Gaesser's systematic review looked at carbohydrate quality in

404 relation to body mass index and concluded that while wholegrain intake was

405 generally inversely associated with BMI, refined grain intake is not consistently

406 linked to higher BMI [12]. This is consistent with the conclusion of the systematic

407 review of Williams et al, which reported no clear association of refined grains with

408 BMI [13]. They noted there were three cohort studies reporting that higher intakes of

409 refined grains were associated with increases in waist circumference and BMI in

410 women, but the weight changes, although statistically significant, were relatively

411 minor in absolute terms: <0.7kg over a 12 year period. Liu has suggested that large

412 and long-term intervention trials are needed to assess the effects of wholegrain

413 versus refined grains on weight loss and maintenance [30].

414

415 Four systematic reviews have examined risk of cardiovascular disease. In 2002

416 Truswell concluded there was no clear association between total cereal consumption

417 and CHD [139]. Anderson's review and meta-analysis showed a significant risk

418 reduction of 29% with the highest wholegrain intake, but cereal fibre was not

419 associated, suggesting other components of the grain may be protective [9]. That

420 review did not make any conclusions about refined grain specifically. Flight and

421 Clifton's review in 2006 also concluded that wholegrains are clearly protective in

422 relation to CHD but that data on refined grains is not consistent [10]. The most recent

423 meta-analysis published in 2008 did specifically report on refined grains and

424 concluded there was no evidence of increased or decreased risk for cardiovascular

425 events when comparing high versus low refined grain intake; OR(CI)=1.07(0.94-1.22)

426 [11].

427

428 Five recent reviews have summarised studies relating refined grain intake to risk of

429 cancer. La Vecchia's non-systematic summary of a series of case-control studies in

430 Italy concluded that refined grain consumption was associated with a moderate

431 increase in risk of five cancers (stomach, colorectal, breast, upper-digestive and

432 thyroid cancers), but not at 15 other sites [91]. Later systematic [140] and non-systematic

433 reviews [141, 142] of pharyngeal and other oral cancer risks have also suggested

434 inconsistent results in relation to refined grains. In 2007, the World Cancer Research

435 Fund's systematic review of Food, Physical Activity and the Prevention of Cancer

436 concluded that there was too little evidence about the relationship of cereals and

437 grains to draw any conclusions about cancer risk [143].

438

439 Finally, in relation to prevention of diabetes, most reviews have recommended

440 changes to lower glycemic index diets, rather than specifically focusing on refined

441 grains [30, 53, 144-146]. One editorial hypothesised that the very high intakes of refined

442   white rice found in China (6 servings per day) and India (8.5 servings per day) may

443   explain the high levels of diabetes in those populations [147].

444

445

446   **DISCUSSION**

447   This review has focused on health outcome studies and did not consider the

448   nutritional adequacy of diets with different levels of refined grain intake. However,

449   diet modeling for the latest revision of the Australian dietary guidelines showed that

450   diets including one-third of grains foods as refined was compatible with the

451   nutritional adequacy of the total diet [28] and the US Dietary Guidelines also showed

452   nutritional adequacy was achievable with half of grain foods as refined [19]. The great

453   majority (45/61) of the case-control, cohort, intervention studies and reviews

454   summarised here found no clear association between refined grain intake and

455   adverse health outcomes. However in 16 studies there was an increase in health risk

456   at the highest level of consumption. In some of these cases the highest quantile of

457   intake was often extremely high compared to typical Western intakes (eg, rice

458   intakes in India and China) and does not provide useful guidance as to the risk at

459   lower intakes. More importantly in 7/16 studies showing increased risk, the

460   definition of refined grain foods included high-fat or sugar-added products like pizza

461   or cakes, so it is not possible to draw conclusions from those results about the effect

462   of core refined grains alone.

463

464   Table 4 summarises nine studies which reported increased health risk at the highest

465   intakes of refined grains but also provided sufficient information to allow calculation

466   of the lower levels of intake at which there was no increase in risk. These data can

467   provide guidance as to what level could be recommended in a healthy diet.

468

469   It should be noted that these studies relate to only a few specific health outcomes;

470   none look at total morbidity or mortality. Most of the studies present results as

471   amounts or numbers of servings per day. The reported frequencies range from 2.4-

472   5.9 servings per day, with a mean of 4 refined grain servings per day. However it is

473   difficult to relate these to total grain intakes. Only two of the studies specified the

474 highest *proportion* of all grains that could be refined without increased risk, and

475 these ranged from 57-67% [93, 110].

476

477 Table 5 summarises the evidence base, using the format of guidelines from the

478 Australian National Health and Medical Research Council for the evaluation of

479 evidence [89]. It should be noted that the dietary pattern studies were not considered

480 in establishing this summary, since few of these studies reported the amount of

481 refined grains in the different dietary patterns. Cross-sectional studies are still listed

482 in the table, but they provide only low-level supportive evidence rather than being

483 the primary justification for the final evidence statement.

484

485

486 **CONCLUSION**

487 Most of the dietary guidance to reduce refined grains is based primarily on the

488 evidence supporting increased wholegrain consumption, rather than particular

489 concerns about risks of refined grains per se. The protective effects of wholegrains

490 are well established, and in order to promote increased consumption there has to be

491 a decrease in the refined grain food alternatives. However this is difficult to achieve.

492 Even in the best large intervention trials, with high levels of education and support -

493 eg, the 18-month trial in New Zealand [137] – compliance with increased wholegrain

494 targets was relatively poor.

495

496 The totality of evidence shows that consumption of up to 50% of all grain foods as

497 refined grain foods (without significant added fat, sugar or sodium) is not associated

498 with any increased disease risk. Nonetheless, eating more wholegrain foods remains

499 an important health recommendation, and most consumers will need to reduce their

500 current refined grain consumption to no more than one-third to one-half of all grains

501 in order to meet the targets for wholegrain foods. It needs to be noted that this

502 conclusion about refined grains only applies to core refined grain cereal foods. In all

503 communications with the public the importance of limiting cereal-based foods that

504 are high in added fat, sugar and sodium still needs to be emphasised.

505

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1.   National Health and Medical Research Council. Food for Health: Dietary Guidelines for Australian Adults. Canberra: NH&MRC; 2003.

2.   Walker A. The effects of recent changes of food habits and bowel motility. S Afr Med J. 1947;21:590-592.

3.   Burkitt D. Acute abdomens, British and Bagand compared. East Afr Med J. 1952;19:189-192.

4.   Cleave T. The neglect of natural principles in current medical practice. J Roy Naval Med Serv. 1956;42:55-60.

5.   Trowell H. Ischemic heart disease and dietary fiber. Am J Clin Nutr. 1972;58:873-878.

6.   Go Grains. The Grains and Legumes Health Report: A Review of the Science. Sydney: Go Grains Health & Nutrition; 2010.

7.   Kushi L, Meyer K, Jacobs D. Cereals, legumes, and chronic disease risk reduction: evidence from epidemiologic studies. Am J Clin Nutr. 1999;70:451S-458S.

8.   Jabobs D, Anderson L, Blomhoff R. Whole-grain consumption is associated with reduced risk of noncardiovascular, noncancer death attributed to inflammatory disease in the Iowa Women's Health Study. Am J Clin Nutr. 2007;85.

9.   Anderson J. Whole grains protect against atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease. Proc Nutr Soc. 2003;62:135-42.

10.   Flight I, Clifton P. Cereal grains and legumes in the prevention of coronary heart disease and stroke: a review of the literature. Eur J Clin Nutr. 2006;60:1145-59.

11.   Mellen P, Walsh T, Herrington D. Whole grain intake and cardiovascular disease: A meta-analysis. Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis. 2008;18:283-290.

12.   Gaesser G. Carbohydrate quantity and quality in relation to body mass index. J Am Diet Assoc. 2007;107:1768-1780.

13.   Williams P, Grafenauer S, O'Shea J. Cereal grains, legumes, and weight management: a comprehensive review of the scientific evidence. Nutr Rev. 2008;66:171-182.

14.   van Dam R, Seidel J. Carbohydrate intake and obesity. Eur J Clin Nutr. 2007;61 (Suppl 1):S75-S99.

15.   Priebe M, van Binsbergen J, de Vos R, et al. Whole grain foods for the prevention of type 2 diabetes mellitus. Cochrane Syst Rev. 2008:CD006061.

16.   Jacobs D, Marquart L, Slavin J, et al. Whole-grain intake and cancer: an expanded meta-analysis. Nutr Canc. 1998;30:85-96.

545 17. La Vecchia C, Chatenoud L, Negri E, et al. Wholegrain cereals and cancer in
546   Italy. Proc Nutr Soc. 2003;62:45-49.

547 18. Williams M, Hord H. The role of dietary factors in cancer prevention: beyond
548   fruits and vegetables. Nutrition in Clinical Practice. 2005;20:451-459.

549 19. US Department of Agriculture (USDA). Dietary guidelines for Americans. 2010,
550   Washington DC. Available at:
551   http://www.cnpp.usda.gov/dietaryguidelines.htm. Accessed on 4 Feb 2011.

552 20. Health Canada. Eating well with Canada's Food Guide. 2007, Ottawa.
553   Available at: http://www.hc-sc.gc.ca/fn-an/food-guide-aliment/index-
554   eng.php. Accessed on 11 January 2010.

555 21. European Food Information Council. Wholegrain Fact Sheet. 2009, Brussels.
556   Available at: http://www.eufic.org/article/en/page/BARCHIVE/expid/Whole-
557   grain-Fact-Sheet/. Accessed on 11 January 2010.

558 22. Adams J, Engstrom A. Helping consumers achieve recommended intakes of
559   whole grain foods. J Am Coll Nutr. 2000;19 (Suppl):S339-S344.

560 23. Arvola A, Lahteenmaki L, Dean M, et al. Consumers' beliefs about whole and
561   refined grain products in the UK, Italy and Finland. J Cereal Sci. 2007;46:197-
562   206.

563 24. Aisbitt B, Caswell J, Lunn J. Cereals - current and emerging nutritional issues.
564   Nutr Bull. 2008;33:169-185.

565 25. Lin B-H. The US grain consumption landscape: Who eats grain, in what form,
566   where and how much? Washington DC: US Dept Agriculture Economic
567   Research Service; 2007.

568 26. Good CK, Holschuh N, Albertson AM, et al. Whole grain consumption and
569   body mass index in adult women: an analysis of NHANES 1999-2000 and the
570   USDA pyramid servings database. J Am Coll Nutr. 2008;27:80-87.

571 27. Cleveland L, Moshfegh A, Alberston A, et al. Dietary intake of whole grains. J
572   Am Coll Nutr. 2000;19:331S-338S.

573 28. National Health and Medical Research Council. A New Food Guidance System
574   for Australia - Foundation and Total Diets. A revised report for public
575   consultation. 2010, Canberra. Available at:
576   http://www.nhmrc.gov.au/_files_nhmrc/file/guidelines/consult/consultation
577   s/draft_foundation_total_diets_public_consult.pdf. Accessed on 3 February
578   2011.

579 29. Esposito K, Kastorini C-M, Panagiotakos D, et al. Prevention of type 2 diabetes
580   by dietary patterns: a systematic review of prospective studies and meta-
581   analysis. Metab Synd & Rel Dis. 2010;8:471-476.

582 30. Liu S. Intake of refined carbohydrates and whole grain foods in relation to risk
583   of type 2 diabetes mellitus and coronary heart disease. J Am Coll Nutr.
584   2002;21:298-306.

585 31. American Dietetic Association. Evidence Analysis Manual. Chicago: ADA
586   Scientific Affairs and Research; 2008.

587 32. Anderson A, Harris T, Tylavsky F, et al. Dietary patterns and survival of older
588   adults. J Am Diet Assoc. 2011;111:84-91.

589 33. Heidemann C, Schulze MB, Franco OH, et al. Dietary patterns and risk of
590   mortality from cardiovascular disease, cancer, and all causes in a prospective
591   cohort of women. Circulation. 2008;118:230-237.

592 34. Sherafat-Kazemzadeh R, Egtesdadi S, Mirmiran P, et al. Dietary patterns by
593   reduced rank regression predicting changes in obesity indices in a cohort
594   study: Tehran Lipid and Glucose Study. Asia Pacific J Clin Nutr. 2010;19:22-32.

595 35. Murtaugh M, Herrick J, Sweeney C, et al. Diet composition and risk of
596   overweight and obesity in women living in the Southwestern United States. J
597   Am Diet Assoc. 2007;107:1311-1321.

598 36. Newby P, Muller D, Hallfrisch J, et al. Dietary patterns and changes in body
599   mass index and waist circumference in adults. Am J Clin Nutr. 2003;77:1417-
600   1425.

601 37. Newby P, Muller D, Hallfrisch J, et al. Food patterns measured by factor
602   analysis and anthropometric changes in adults. Am J Clin Nutr. 2004;80:504-
603   513.

604 38. Panagiotakos DB, Pitsavos C, Skoumas Y, et al. The association between food
605   patterns and the metabolic syndrome using principal components analysis:
606   the Attica study. J Am Diet Assoc. 2007;107:979-987.

607 39. Sichieri R. Dietary patterns and their association with obesity in the Brazilian
608   city of Rio de Janeiro. Obes Res. 2022;10:42-48.

609 40. Smiciklas-Wright H, Mitchell D, Tucker K. Association of weight status with
610   dietary patterns in older adults. Top Clin Med. 2004;19:193-199.

611 41. Williams D, Prevost A, Wichelow M, et al. A cross-sectional study of dietary
612   patterns with glucose intolerance and other features of the metabolic
613   syndrome. Br J Nutr. 2000;83:257-266.

614 42. Wirfalt E, Hedblad B, Gullberg B, et al. Food patterns and components of the
615   metabolic syndrome in men and women: a cross-sectional study with the
616   Malmo Diet and Cancer cohort. Am J Epidemiol. 2001;154:1150-1159.

617 43. Ambrosini G, Oddy W, Robinson M, et al. Adolescent dietary patterns are
618   associated with lifestyle and family psycho-social factors. Pub Health Nutr.
619   2009;12:1807-1815.

620 44. Denova-Gutierrez E, Castanon S, Talavera J, et al. Dietary patterns are
621   associated with metabolic syndrome in an urban Mexican population. J Nutr.
622   2010;140:1855-1863.

623 45. Deshmukh-Taskar P, O'Neil C, Nicklas T, et al. Dietary patterns associated
624   with metabolic syndrome, sociodemographic and lifestyle factors in young
625   adults: the Bogalusa Heart Study. Pub Health Nutr. 2009;12:2493-2503.

626   46.   Liu E, McKeown N, Newby P, et al. Cross-sectional associations of dietary
627   patterns with insulin resistant phenotypes among adults without diabetes in
628   the Framingham Offspring study. Br J Nutr. 2009;102:576-583.

629   47.   Schulze MB, Fung TT, Manson JE, et al. Dietary patterns and changes in body
630   weight in women. Obesity. 2006;14:1444-53.

631   48.   Nettleton J, Mayer-Davis E, Jenny N, et al. Dietary patterns are associated
632   with biochemical markers of inflammation and endothelial activation in the
633   Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis (MESA). Am J Clin Nutr. 2006;83:1369-
634   1379.

635   49.   Burke V, Beilin L, Oddy W, et al. Predictors of body mass index and
636   association with cardiovascular risk factors in Australia children: a
637   prospective cohort study. Int J Obes. 2005;29:15-23.

638   50.   Fung T, Schulze M, Manson J, et al. Dietary patterns, meat intake, and the risk
639   of type 2 diabetes in women. Arch Intern Med. 2004;164:2235-2240.

640   51.   Imamura F, Lichtenstein A, Dallal G, et al. Generalizabiity of dietary patterns
641   associated with incidence of type 2 diabetes mellitus. Am J Clin Nutr.
642   2009;90:1075-1083.

643   52.   Nettleton J, Steffen L, Ni H, et al. Dietary patterns and risk of incident Type 2
644   Diabetes in the Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis (MESA). Diab Care.
645   2008;31:1777-1782.

646   53.   Schulze M, Hu F. Primary prevention of diabetes: what can be done and how
647   much can be prevented? Ann Rev Public Health. 2005;26:445-67.

648   54.   van Dam RM, Rimm EB, Willett WC, et al. Dietary patterns and risk for type 2
649   diabetes mellitus in U.S. men. Ann Int Med. 2002;136:201-9.

650   55.   Villegas R, Salim A, Flynn A, et al. Prudent diet and the risk of insulin
651   resistance. Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis. 2004;14:334-343.

652   56.   van Dam RM, Grievink L, Ocka MC, et al. Patterns of food consumption and
653   risk factors for cardiovascular disease in the general Dutch population. Am J
654   Clin Nutr. 2003;77:1156-1163.

655   57.   Fung T, Willett W, Stampfer M, et al. Dietary patterns and the risk of coronary
656   heart disease in women. Arch Intern Med. 2001;161:1857-1862.

657   58.   Fung T, Stampfer M, Manson J, et al. Prospective study of major dietary
658   patterns and stroke risk in women. Stroke. 2004;35:2014-2019.

659   59.   Fung T, Rimm E, Spiegelman D, et al. Association between dietary patterns
660   and plasma biomarkers of obesity and cardiovascular disease risk. Am J Clin
661   Nutr. 2001;73:61-67.

662   60.   Nkondjock A, Krewski D, Johnson K, et al. Dietary patterns and risk of
663   pancreatic cancer. Int J Cancer. 2005;114:817-823.

664   61.   Murtaugh M, Sweeney C, Giuiliano A, et al. Diet patterns and breast cancer
665   risk in Hispanic and non-Hispanic white women: the Four-Corners Cancer
666   Study. Am J Clin Nutr. 2008;87:978-984.

667   62.   Campbell P, Sloan M, Kreiger N. Dietary patterns and risk of incident gastric
668   adenocarcinoma. Am J Epidemiol. 2008;167:295-304.

669   63.   Fung T, Hu F, Fuchs C, et al. Major dietary patterns and the risk of colorectal
670   cancer in women. Arch Intern Med. 2003;163:309-314.

671   64.   Jackson M, Walker S, Simpson C, et al. Are food patterns associated with
672   prostate cancer in Jamaican men: a preliminary report. Infect Agents &
673   Cancer. 2009;4 (Suppl 1):S5 (online reference).

674   65.   Miller P, Lesko S, Muscat J, et al. Dietary patterns and colorectal adenoma
675   and cancer risk: a review of the epidemiological evidence. Nutr Canc.
676   2010;62:413-424.

677   66.   Rashidkhani B, Akesson A, Lindblad A, et al. Major dietary patterns and risk of
678   renal cell carcinoma in a prospective cohort study of Swedish women. J Nutr.
679   2005;135:1757-1762.

680   67.   Walker M, Aronson K, King W, et al. Dietary patterns and risk of prostate
681   cancer in Ontario, Canada. Int J Cancer. 2005;116:592-598.

682   68.   Terry P, Suzuki R, Hu F, et al. A prospective study of major dietary patterns
683   and the risk of breast cancer. Cancer Epidem Biom Prev. 2001;10:1281-1285.

684   69.   Varraso R, Fung TT, Barr RG, et al. Prospective study of dietary patterns and
685   chronic obstructive pulmonary disease among US women. Am J Clin Nutr.
686   2007;86:488-95.

687   70.   Jacka F, Pasco J, Mykletun A, et al. Association of Western and Traditional
688   diets with anxiety and depression in women. Am J Psychiatry. 2010;167:1-7.

689   71.   Lobato J, Costa A, Sichieri R. Food intake and prevalence of obesity in Brazil:
690   an ecological analysis. Pub Health Nutr. 2009;12:2209-2215.

691   72.   McKeown N, Yoshida M, Shea M, et al. Whole-grain intake and cereal fiber
692   are associated with lower abdominal adiposity in older adults. J Nutr.
693   2009;139:1950-1955.

694   73.   McKeown NM, Meigs JB, Liu S, et al. Whole-grain intake is favorably
695   associated with metabolic risk factors for type 2 diabetes and cardiovascular
696   disease in the Framingham Offspring Study. Am J Clin Nutr. 2002;76:390-8.

697   74.   McKeown N, Meigs J, Liu S, et al. Carbohydrate nutrition, insulin resistance,
698   and the prevalence of the metabolic syndrome in the Framingham Offspring
699   Cohort. Diab Care. 2004;27:538-546.

700   75.   Newby PK, Maras J, Bakun P, et al. Intake of whole grains, refined grains, and
701   cereal fiber measured with 7-d diet records and associations with risk factors
702   for chronic disease. Am J Clin Nutr. 2007;86:1745-53.

703   76.   Moreira P, Padrao P. Educational, economic and dietary determinants of
704   obesity in Portuguese adults: A cross-sectional study. Eating Behav.
705   2006;7:220-228.

706 77. Lahti-Koski M, Pietinen P, Helivaara M, et al. Associations of body mass index
707   and obesity with physical activity, food choices, alcohol intake, and smoking
708   in the 1982-1997 FINRISK Studies. Am J Clin Nutr. 2002;75:809-817.

709 78. Colli JL, Colli A. International comparisons of prostate cancer mortality rates
710   with dietary practices and sunlight levels. Urol Oncol. 2006;24:184-194.

711 79. McKeown N, Troy L, Jacques P, et al. Whole- and refined-grain intakes are
712   differentially associated with abdominal visceral and subcutaneous adiposity
713   in healthy adults: the Framingham Heart Study. Am J Clin Nutr. 2010;92:1165-
714   1171.

715 80. Rose N, Hosig K, Davy B, et al. Whole-grain intake is associated with body
716   mass index in college students. J Nutr Ed & Behav. 2007;39:90-4.

717 81. Esmaillzadeh A, Mirmiran P, Azizi F. Whole-grain consumption and the
718   metabolic syndrome: a favorable association in Tehranian adults. Eur J Clin
719   Nutr. 2005;59:353-62.

720 82. Esmaillzadeh A, Mirmiran P, Azizi F. Whole-grain intake and the prevalence of
721   hypertriglyceridemic waist phenotype of Tehranian adults. Am J Clin Nutr.
722   2005;81:55-63.

723 83. Kelishadi R, Pour MH, Sarraf-Zadegan N, et al. Obesity and associated
724   modifiable environmental factors in Iranian adolescents: Isfahan Healthy
725   Heart Program - Heart Health Promotion from Childhood. Pediatr Int.
726   2003;45:435-42.

727 84. Radhika G, Van Dam RM, Sudha V, et al. Refined grain consumption and the
728   metabolic syndrome in urban Asian Indians (Chennai Urban Rural
729   Epidemiology Study 57). Metab: Clin & Exper. 2009;58:675-81.

730 85. Mohan V, Radhika G, Sathya R, et al. Dietary carbohydrates, glycaemic load,
731   food groups and newly detected type 2 diabetes among urban Asian Indian
732   population in Chennai, India (Chennai Urban Rural Epidemiology Study 59). Br
733   J Nutr. 2009;102:1498-1506.

734 86. Masters R, Liese A, Haffner S, et al. Whole and refined grain intakes are
735   related to inflammatory protein concentrations in human plasma. J Nutr.
736   2010;140:587-594.

737 87. Sahyoun N, Jacques P, Zhang X, et al. Whole-grain intake is inversely
738   associated with the metabolic syndrome and mortality in older adults. Am J
739   Clin Nutr. 2006;83:124-131.

740 88. Gross LS, Li L, Ford ES, et al. Increased consumption of refined carbohydrates
741   and the epidemic of type 2 diabetes in the United States: an ecologic
742   assessment.[see comment]. Am J Clin Nutr. 2004;79:774-9.

743 89. National Health and Medical Research Council. How to use the evidence:
744   assessment and application of scientific evidence. Canberra: Ausinfo; 2000.

745 90. Prattala R, Helasoja V, Mykkanen H. The consumption fo rye bread and white
746   bread as dimensions of health lifestyles in Finland. Pub Health Nutr.
747   2001;4:813-819.

748   91.   La Vecchia C, Chatenoud L, Altieri A, et al. Nutrition and health: epidemiology
749   of diet, cancer and cardiovascular disease in Italy. Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis.
750   2001;11 (Suppl to No 4)):10-15.

751   92.   Satia-Abouta J, Galanko J, Martin C, et al. Food groups and colon cancer risk
752   in African-Americans and Caucasians. Int J Cancer. 2004;109:728-736.

753   93.   Slattery ML, Curtin KP, Edwards SL, et al. Plant foods, fiber, and rectal cancer.
754   Am J Clin Nutr. 2004;79:274-81.

755   94.   Ravasco P, Monteiro-Grillo I, Vidal P, et al. Nutritional risks and colorectal
756   cancer in a Portuguese population. Nutr Hosp. 2005;20:165-172.

757   95.   Martinez-Gonzalez M, Fernandez-Jarne E, Serrano-Martinez M, et al.
758   Mediterranean diet and reduction in the risk of a first acute myocardial
759   infarction: an operational healthy dietary score. Eur J Nutr. 2002;41:153-60.

760   96.   Tavani A, Bosetti C, Negri E, et al. Carbohydrates, dietary glycaemic load and
761   glycaemic index, and risk of acute myocardial infarction. Heart. 2003;89:722-
762   726.

763   97.   Liang W, Lee A, Binns C. White rice-based food consumption and ischemic
764   stroke risk: a case-control study in Southern China. J Stoke Cerebrovasc Dis.
765   2010;19:480-484.

766   98.   Liu S, Willett WC, Manson JE, et al. Relation between changes in intakes of
767   dietary fiber and grain products and changes in weight and development of
768   obesity among middle-aged women. Am J Clin Nutr. 2003;78:920-7.

769   99.   Koh-Banerjee P, Franz M, Sampson L, et al. Changes in whole-grain, bran, and
770   cereal fiber consumption in relation to 8-y weight gain among men. Am J Clin
771   Nutr. 2004;80:1237-1245.

772   100.   Halkjaer J, Tjonneland A, Thomsen BL, et al. Intake of macronutrients as
773   predictors of 5-y changes in waist circumference. Am J Clin Nutr.
774   2006;84:789-797.

775   101.   Savage J, Marini M, Birch L. Dietary energy density predicts women's weight
776   change over 6 y. Am J Clin Nutr. 2008;88:677-84.

777   102.   Halkjaer J, Sorensen T, Tjonneland A, et al. Food and drinking patterns as
778   predictors of 6-year BMI adjusted changes in waist circumference. Br J Nutr.
779   2004;92:735-748.

780   103.   Liu S, Manson J, Stampfer M, et al. Whole grain consumption and risk of
781   ischemic stroke in women. A prospective study. JAMA. 2000;284:1534-1540.

782   104.   Jacobs D, Meyer K, Kushi L, et al. Whole-grain intake may reduce the risk of
783   ischemic heart disease in postmenopausal women: the Iowa Women's Health
784   Study. Am J Clin Nutr. 1998;68:248-257.

785   105.   Djousse L, Gaziano JM. Breakfast cereals and risk of heart failure in the
786   physicians' health study I. Arch Intern Med. 2007;167:2080-2085.

787   106.   Steffen L, Kroenke C, Yu X, et al. Associations of plant food, dairy product, and
788   meat intakes with 15-y incidence of elevated blood pressure in young black

789   and white adults: the Coronary Artery Risk Development in Young Adults
790   (CARDIA) Study. Am J Clin Nutr. 2005;82:1169-1177.

791   107.   Erkkila A, Herrington D, Mozaffarian D, et al. Cereal fiber and whole-grain
792   intake are associated with reduced progression of coronary-artery
793   atherosclerosis in postmenopausal women with coronary artery disease. Am
794   Heart J. 2005;150:94-101.

795   108.   Lee D-H, Steffen L, Jacobs D. Association between serum - gamma-
796   glutamyltransferase and dietary factors: the Coronary Artery Risk
797   Development in Young Adults (CARDIA) Study. Am J Clin Nutr. 2004;79:600-
798   605.

799   109.   Liu S, Sesso H, Manson J, et al. Is intake of breakfast cereals related to total
800   and cause-specific mortality in men? Am J Clin Nutr. 2003;77:594-599.

801   110.   Wang L, Gaziano J, Liu S, et al. Whole- and refined-grain intakes and the risk
802   of hypertension in women. Am J Clin Nutr. 2007;86:472-479.

803   111.   Lutsey P, Steffen L, Virnig B, et al. Diet and incident venous
804   thromboembolism: the Iowa Women's Health Study. Am Heart J.
805   2009;157:1081-1087.

806   112.   Lutsey P, Steffen L, Stevens J. Dietary intake and the development of the
807   metabolic syndrome. The Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities Study.
808   Circulation. 2008;117:754-761.

809   113.   Nanri A, Mizoue T, Noda M, et al. Rice intake and type 2 diabetes in Japanese
810   men and women: the Japan Public Health Centre-based Prospective Study.
811   Am J Clin Nutr. 2010;92:1468-1477.

812   114.   Sun Q, Spiegelman D, van Dam R, et al. White rice, brown rice, and risk of
813   type 2 diabetes in US men and women. Arch Intern Med. 2010;170:961-969.

814   115.   Hodge A, English D, O'Dea K, et al. Glycemic index and dietary fiber and the
815   risk of type 2 diabetes. Diab Care. 2004;27:2701-6.

816   116.   Fung T, Hu F, Pereira M, et al. Whole-grain intake and the risk of type 2
817   diabetes: a prospective study in men. Am J Clin Nutr. 2002;76:535-40.

818   117.   Meyer K, Kushi L, Jacobs D, et al. Carbohydrates, dietary fiber, and incident
819   type 2 diabetes in older women. Am J Clin Nutr. 2000;71:921-930.

820   118.   Fung T, Hu F, Holmes M, et al. Dietary patterns and the risk of
821   postmenopausal breast cancer. Int J Cancer. 2005;116:116-121.

822   119.   Nicodemus K,Jacobs D, Folsom A. Whole and refined grain intake and risk of
823   incident postmenopausal breast cancer (United States). Cancer Causes &
824   Control. 2001;12:917-925.

825   120.   Kasum C, Jacobs D, Nicodemus K, et al. Dietary risk factors for upper
826   aerodigestive tract cancers. Int J Cancer. 2002;99:267-72.

827   121.   Kasum C, Nicodemus K, Harnack L, et al. Whole grain intake and incident
828   endometrial cancer: the Iowa Womens Health Study. Nutr Canc.
829   2001;39:180-186.

830   122.   Bazzano L, Song Y, Bubes V, et al. Dietary intake of whole and refined grain
831   breakfast cereals and weight gain in men. Obes Res. 2005;13:1952-60.

832   123.   Newby P, Peterson K, Berkey C, et al. Dietary composition and weight change
833   among low-income preschool children. Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med.
834   2003;157:759-764.

835   124.   Schulz M, Kroke A, Liese A, et al. Food Groups as Predictors for Short-Term
836   Weight Changes in Men and Women of the EPIC-Potsdam Cohort. J. Nutr.
837   2002;132:1335-1340.

838   125.   Trichopoulou A, Bamia C, Trichopoulos D. Anatomy of health effects of the
839   Mediterranean diet: Greek EPIC prospective cohort study. BMJ.
840   2009;338:b2337. doi: 10.1136/bmj.b2337.

841   126.   Steffen L, Jacobs D, Stevens J, et al. Associations of whole-grain, refined-
842   grain, and fruit and vegetable consumption with risks of all-cause mortality
843   and incident coronary artery disease and ischemic stroke: the Atherosclerosis
844   Risk in Communities (ARIC) Study. Am J Clin Nutr. 2003;78:383-90.

845   127.   Buyken A, Flood V, Empson M, et al. Carbohydrate nutrition and
846   inflammatory disease mortality in older adults. Am J Clin Nutr. 2010;92:634-
847   643.

848   128.   Merchant A, Pitiphat W, Franz M, et al. Whole-grain and fiber intakes and
849   periodontitis risk in men. Am J Clin Nutr. 2006;83:1395-400.

850   129.   Azadbakht L, Mirmiran P, Esmaillzadeh A, et al. Beneficial effects of a Dietary
851   Approaches to Stop Hypertension eating plan on features of the metabolic
852   syndrome. Diab Care. 2005;28:2823-2831.

853   130.   Andersson A, Tengblad S, Karlstrom B, et al. Whole-grain foods do not affect
854   insulin sensitivity or markers of lipid peroxidation and inflammation in
855   healthy, moderately overweight subjects. J Nutr. 2007;137:1401-7.

856   131.   Katcher H, Legro R, Kunselman A, et al. The effects of a whole grain-enriched
857   hypocaloric diet on cardiovascular disease risk factors in men and women
858   with metabolic syndrome. Am J Clin Nutr. 2008;87:79-90.

859   132.   Burton-Freeman B, Keim N. Glycemic index, cholecystokinin, satiety and
860   disinhibition: is there an unappreciated paradox for overweight women? Int J
861   Obes. 2008;32:1647-54.

862   133.   Wolever T, Gibbs A, Mehling C, et al. The Canadian Trial of Carbohydrates in
863   Diabetes (CCD), a 1-y controlled trial of low-glycemic-index dietary
864   carbohydrate in type 2 diabetes: no effect on glycated hemoglobin but
865   reduction in C-reactive protein. Am J Clin Nutr. 2008;87:114-125.

866   134.   Enright L, Slavin J. No effect of 14 day consumption of whole grain diet
867   compared with refined grain diet on antioxidant measures in healthy young
868   subjects: a pilot study. Nutr J. 2010;9:12.

869   135.   Giacco R, Clemente G, Cipriano D, et al. Effects of regular consumption of
870   wholemeal wheat foods on cardiovascular risk factors in healthy people. Nutr
871   Metab Cardiovasc Dis. 2010;20:186-194.

872 136. Brownlee I, Moore C, Chatfield M, et al. Markers of cardiovascular disease are
873   not changes by increased whole-rain intake: the WHOLEheart study, a
874   randomised controlled dietary intervention. Br J Nutr. 2010;104:1125-134.

875 137. Venn B, Perry T, Green T, et al. The effect of increasing consumption of pulses
876   and wholegrains in obese people: a randomized controlled trial. J Am Coll
877   Nutr. 2010;29:365-372.

878 138. Holt S, Delargy H, Lawton C, et al. The effects of high-carbohydrate vs high-fat
879   breakfasts on feeling of fullness, alertness, and subsequent food intake. Int J
880   Food Sci Nutr. 1999;50:13-28.

881 139. Truswell A. Cereal grains and coronary heart disease. Eur J Clin Nutr.
882   2002;56:1-14.

883 140. Lucenforte E, Garavello W, Bosetti C, et al. Dietary factors and oral
884   pharyngeal cancer risk. Oral Oncol. 2009;45:461-467.

885 141. Garavello W, Lucenforte E, Bosetti C, et al. The role of foods and nutrients on
886   oral and pharyngeal cancer risk. Minerva Stomatol. 2009;58:25-34.

887 142. Bosetti C, Pelucchi C, La Vecchia C. Diet and cancer in Mediterranean
888   countries: carbohydrates and fats. Pub Health Nutr. 2009;12:1595-1600.

889 143. World Cancer Research Fund/American Institute for Cancer Research. Food,
890   Nutrition, and Physical Activity, and the Prevention of Cancer: a Global
891   Perspective. Washington DC: American Institute for Cancer Research; 2007.

892 144. Schulze M, Hu F. Primary prevention of diabetes: What can be done and how
893   much can be prevented? Ann Rev Public Health. 2005;26:445-467.

894 145. Brand-Miller J, McMillan-Price J, Steinbeck K, et al. Carbohydrates--the good,
895   the bad and the whole grain. Asia Pacific J Clin Nutr. 2008;17:16-19.

896 146. Willett W, Manson J, Liu S. Glycemic index, glycemic load, and risk of type 2
897   diabetes. Am J Clin Nutr. 2002;76:274S-280S.

898 147. Mohan V, Radhika G, Vijayalakshmi P, et al. Can the diabetes/cardiovascular
899   disease epidemic in India be explained, at least in part, by excess refined
900   grain (rice) intake? Indian J Med Res. 2010;131:369-372.

901 148. Levi F, Pasche C, Lucchini F, et al. Refined and whole grain cereals and the
902   risks of oral, oesophageal and laryngeal cancer. Eur J Clin Nutr. 2000;54:487-
903   489.

904 149. Lissowska J, Gail MH, Pee D, et al. Diet and stomach cancer risk in Warsaw,
905   Poland. Nutr Canc. 2004;48:149-159.

906 150. Lucenforte E, Scita V, Bosetti C, et al. Food groups and alcoholic beverages
907   and risk of stomach cancer: a case-control study in Italy. Nutr Canc.
908   2008;60:577-584.

909 151. Bravi F, Bosetti C, Scotti L, et al. Food groups and renal cell carcinoma: a case-
910   control study from Italy. Int J Cancer. 2006;120:681-685.

911   152.   Chan J, Wang F, Holly E. Whole grains and risk of pancreatic cancer in a large
912   population-based case-control study in the San Francisco Bay Area, California.
913   Am J Epidemiol. 2007;166:1174-1185.

914   153.   Rossi M, Lipworth L, Polesel J, et al. Dietary glycemic index and glycemic load
915   and risk of pancreatic cancer: a case-control study. Ann Epidemiol.
916   2010;20:460-465.

917   154.   Senesse P, Boutron-Ruault M-C, Faivre J, et al. Foods as risk factors for
918   colorectal adenomas: a case-control study in Burgundy (France). Nutr Canc.
919   2002;44:7-15.

920

921

Table 1. Case-control studies investigating the association of refined grain intakes and health risk

Author Quality rating	Subjects	Food intake method	Foods defined as refined grain	Servings consumed	Odds ratios highest vs lowest (95% CI)
Increased risk					
Levi et al (2000) [148] Positive quality	297 cases upper digestive tract cancer 349 controls Switzerland	79 item FFQ*	White bread, biscuits, pizza, pasta, rice (tertiles)	Q1: <9/week Q2: 9-17/week Q3: >17/week	Oral cavity/Pharynx: 1.9 (1.1-3.5); p<0.05 Oesophagus: 3.7 (1.8-7.9); p<0.01 Larynx: 4.0 (1.3-12.1); p<0.01 Total: 5.7 (2.8-11.4); p<0.01
Lissowska (2004) [149] Positive quality	274 cases stomach cancer 463 controls Poland	118 item FFQ	Non-wholegrain bread, cereal, rice and pasta, sugar, cake, crispbread, shortbread	*Breads, cereals, rice, pasta:* Q1: <17.5/week Q2: 17.5-21.2/week Q3: 21.3-25.2/week Q4: >25.2/week *Refined grains* Q1: <15.4/week Q2: 15.4-25.2/week Q3: 20.3-25.2/week Q4: >25.2/week	Breads, cereals, rice, pasta: 2.4 (1.35-4.25); p<0.001 Refined grains: 1.8 (1.04-3.13); p=0.02
Lucenforte et al (2008) [150] Positive quality	230 cases stomach cancer 547 controls Italy	78 item FFQ	Mostly bread, rice and pasta	Q1: 15.8/week Q3: 24.8/week Q5: 64.3/week	All cereals (mostly refined): 2.07 (1.01-4.24); p=0.03

Author Quality rating	Subjects	Food intake method	Foods defined as refined grain	Servings consumed	Odds ratios highest vs lowest (95% CI)
Bravi et al (2006) [151] Neutral quality	767 cases renal cell cancer 1534 controls Italy	78 item FFQ	n/a (data presented for bread, or pasta and rice only)	*Bread* Q1: 9.5/week Q3: 14.75/week Q5: >28.5/week *Pasta and rice* Q1: 3.25/week Q3: 5.25/week Q5: >6.5/week	Bread: 1.94 (1.40-2.71); p=0.0002 Pasta and rice: 1.29 (0.95-1.76); p=0.06
Satia-Abouta et al (2004) [92] Positive quality	613 cases colon cancer 996 controls USA	100 item FFQ	Rice or dishes with rice, hamburger, spaghetti, pizza, bread (white, dark and rye), breakfast cereals, noodles, biscuits, muffins, pancakes, waffles, popcorn	*Caucasians* Q1: 9.1/week (116g/day) Q2: 13.3/week (172g/day) Q3: 16.8/week (235g/day) Q4: 23.1/week (319g/day)	*Caucasians* Adjusted for energy: 1.5 (0.9-2.5); p=0.58 Not adjusted for energy: 2.3 (1.5-3.6); p=0.001 (Note: at Q3 OR=1.1 (0.7-1.8) *African- Americans* Both energy-adjusted and not adjusted: 1.2 (0.7-2.1); p=0.74)
Slattery et al (2004) [93] Positive quality	952 cases rectal cancer 1205 controls USA	Diet history	Products consisting primarily of white flour, white rice, and pasta; subdivided into those high in fat (>30%E from fat)	*Low fat/high fat* Q1: <1.5/<0.25/d Q2: 1.6-2.5/0.25-0.5/d Q3: 2.6-3.5/0.51-0.75/d Q4: 3.6-4.5/0.76-1.5/d Q5: >4.5/>1.5/d	Low fat refined grains: 1.42 (1.04-1.92); p=0.05 Note: At Q3, OR=1.01 (0.74-1.40) High fat refined grains: 1.09 (0.78-1.53); p=0.67

Author Quality rating	Subjects	Food intake method	Foods defined as refined grain	Servings consumed	Odds ratios highest vs lowest (95% CI)
Ravasco et al (2005) [94] Neutral quality	70 cases colorectal cancer 70 controls Portugal	Diet history	n/a (refined cereal products - undefined)	Q1: 6/week Q2: 10/week Q3: 20/week Q4: 30/week	Refined cereal products: 1.79 (1.65-1.78); p=0.003 No results presented for Q2 or Q3.
Liang et al (2010) [97] Positive quality	374 cases ischemic stroke 464 controls China	125 item FFQ	n/a (data presented for cooked rice, congee, rice noodles only)	*White rice (cooked)* Q1: <1100g/weeks Q2: 1100-1449g/week Q3: 1450-2449g/week Q4: ≥2450g/week *Rice noodles (dry wt)* Q1: <50g/week Q2: ≥50g/week	White rice: 2.73 (1.31-5.69); p=0.006 Rice noodles 2.03 (1.40-2.94); p=0.029
No increased risk					
Chan et al (2007) [152] Positive quality	532 cases pancreatic cancer 1701 controls USA	131 item FFQ	White rice, white bread, bagels/English muffins/rolls, muffins/biscuits, pizza, pasta, pancakes, waffles, pretzels	Q1: <1/day Q2: 1/day Q3: 2/day Q4: ≥3/day	Total refined cereals: 0.80 (0.55-1.2); p=0.46) Pasta: 1.3 (0.83-2.1); p=0.67 White bread: 1.1 (0.82-1.4); p=0.86 White rice: 1.2 (0.89-1.7); p=0.24
Rossi et al (2010) [153] Positive quality	326 cases pancreatic cancer 652 controls Italy	78 item FFQ	n/a (data presented for total CHO**, glycemic index and load)	Tertiles: amounts not reported	Total carbohydrates: 0.86 (0.56-1.30); p=0.52 Sugar, candy, honey, jam: 1.88 (1.24-2.86); p=0.004 GI: 1.78 (1.2-2.62); p=0.005 GL: 1.26 (0.83-1.91); p=0.23 <u>Note</u>: bread, pasta and rice contributed 59% of glycemic load

Author Quality rating	Subjects	Food intake method	Foods defined as refined grain	Servings consumed	Odds ratios highest vs lowest (95% CI)
Senesse et al (2002) [154] Positive quality	362 cases precancerous colorectal adenomas 427 controls France	Food history	n/a (data presented for bread, rice and pasta only)	*Bread (males/females)* Q2: 100/47.4g/d Q3: 151.5/83.6g/d Q4: 202.7/103.0g/d *Pasta (males/females)* Q2: 21.4/10/7g/d Q3: 35.7/22.5g/d Q4: 57.1/39.6g/d *Rice (males/females)* Q2: 7.1/7.1g/d Q3: 17.9/16.1g/d Q4: 32.1/23.6g/d	*Bread* Small adenomas: 2.0 (1.1-3.6); p=0.06 Large adenomas: 2.2 (1.3-3.7); p=0.01 *Note*: not significant at Q3 *Pasta* Small adenomas: 1.7 (0.9-3.0); p=0.06 Large adenomas: 1.3 (0.8-2.2); p=0.5 *Rice* Small adenomas: 1.4 (0.8-2.4); p=0.2 Large adenomas: 0.8 (0.5-1.3); p=0.12
Martinez-Gonzalvez et al (2002) [95] Positive quality	171 cases first acute myocardial infarction 171 controls Spain	136 item FFQ	n/a (data presented for white bread +rice+ pasta	Q1: 84-138g/d Q2: 138-180g/d Q3: 181-252g/d Q4: >252g/d	Bread+rice+pasta: 0.97 (0.36-2.64)
Tavani et al (2003) [96] Positive quality	433 cases first nonfatal acute myocardial infarction 448 controls Italy	78 item FFQ	n/a (data presented for GI, GL, bread, and pasta+rice	Upper tertile limits *Bread* T1: 15.3/week T2: 22.2/ week *Pasta and rice* T1: 4.5/week T2: 6.3/week	Bread: 1.00 (0.70-1.45); p=0.99 Pasta and rice: 1.27 (0.88-1.84); p=0.19 GI: 1.38 (0.95-2.0); p=0.10 GL: 1.08 ((0.73-1.60); p=0.69

* FFQ - food frequency questionnaire; ** CHO - carbohydrate

Table 2. Summary of recent prospective studies examining the effect of refined grain intake on health outcomes

Author Quality rating	Subjects and study design	Foods defined as refined grain	Outcomes measured	Key results
Increased risk				
Liu et al (2003) [98] Positive quality	74, 091 females in Nurses Health Study USA Cohort followed every two years since 1976, with 126-item FFQ and self-reported weight. Multiple regression analysis of data from 1984-1996	Sweet rolls, cakes, desserts, white bread, pasta, English muffins, muffins or biscuits, refined-grain breakfast cereals, white rice, pancakes or waffle, pizza Q1: 0.4 servings/1000kcal/d Q3: 1.05 servings/1000kcal/d Q5: 2.27 servings/1000kcal/d	Weight, BMI, OR of developing BMI≥30	At baseline, mean weight of women in highest quintile of refined grain intake weighed 1.2kg more than those in the lowest quintile (BMI 25.2±5 vs 24.6±4; p<0.001) Over 12 years, higher refined grain consumption was related to greater weight gain 1.65±0.03kg vs 0.99±0.03kg (p<0.001) and risk of becoming obese: OR=1.18 (1.08-1.28); p<0.0001).
Koh-Banerjee et al (2004) [99] Positive quality	27, 082 men aged 40-75 years at baseline in the Health Professionals Follow-Up Study. USA Longitudinal prospective study 1986-1994. Multiple linear regression examined changes in grain intake and weight using self-reported weights and 131-item FFQ administered in 1986, 1990, 1990.	Grain foods with <25% whole-grain content by weight, such as cooked and cold breakfast cereals, dark bread made with wheat flour rather than wholewheat flour, white bread, English muffins, bagels or rolls, pancakes or waffles, white rice, pasta, cookies, doughnuts, brownies, sweet rolls, coffee cake, and pizza	Weight	For every 40g/d increment in whole-grain intake, weight gain was reduced by 0.49kg. Wholegrain foods contributed only 3.3g/d of out of daily total of 27.2g grain foods/day It is stated that refined grain cereals were positively related to long-term weight gain (p for trend < 0.001), but no results data are provided.

Author Quality rating	Subjects and study design	Foods defined as refined grain	Outcomes measured	Key results
Halkjaer (2004) [102] Positive quality	1200 women and 1236 men aged 30-60y in the MONICA 1 study, recruited 1982-3 and followed up in 1987 and 1993. Denmark. Diet assessed with 26-item FFQ and weight measured. Multiple linear regression examined associations of weight change and intakes of 10 food groups.	n/a Data reported for: Refined bread (white wheat and rye bread) Rice and pasta	BMI and waist circumference	For men, a high intake of refined bread, rice and pasta tended to be associated with a subsequent decrease in WC, but the effects were not statistically significant. For women a high intake of rice and pasta also tended to be associated with decreased WC, but refined bread was significantly associated with increased WC (ß=0.29; p<0.05).
Halkjaer et al (2006) [100] Positive quality	22,570 women and 20,126 men aged 50-64y in the Danish Diet, Cancer and Health Study, Denmark. 5 year follow-up of longitudinal prospective study commenced 1993-97. Linear regression analysis of baseline diet assessed by 192-item FFQ, and self-reported weight and WC.	Refined grain cereals (white bread, white flour, rice, rice flour, potato flour, corn flour, and pasta) and potatoes Median intakes at baseline: Men: 1.26MJ/d Women: 0.90MJ/d	Waist circumference	CHO from refined grains and potatoes was significantly associated with WC increase in women: 0.48 (0.18-1.78) cm; p=0.002 but not men: 0.06 (-0.12-0.25)cm; p=0.49.
Savage et al (2008) [101] Neutral quality	192 white women in a longitudinal study of parental influences on girls' growth and development, USA. Data collected on 4 occasions across 6 year. Mixed model analysis, using dietary data from 24-h recall telephone interviews	Not defined	Energy density (ED) tertiles: T1: <1.5kcal/g T2: 1.5-1.85kcal/g T3: >1.85 kcal/g	ED was positively associated with weight gain over 6 years (6.4kg vs 2.5kg; p<0.001). Women consuming high energy density diets consumed more servings of refined grains (5.0 servings/d) compared to those in the T1 groups (3.9 servings/d); p<0.05.

Author Quality rating	Subjects and study design	Foods defined as refined grain	Outcomes measured	Key results
No increased risk				
Jacobs et al (1998) [104] Positive quality	34,492 postmenopausal women aged 55-69y in the Iowa Women's Health Study, USA. Disease outcomes determined by link to State Health registry; diet assessed by 127 item FFQ. Association of grains with ischemic heart disease over 9 years determined by proportional hazards regression analysis.	White bread (including pita bread), cold breakfast cereal with <25% wholegrain or bran content), English muffins, bagels or rolls, pancakes or waffles, white rice, pasta, pizza. Median and range of intakes (servings/week) Q1: 4.0 (0-6.0 Q2: 8.8 (6.5-9.5) Q3: 12.0 (10.0-14.5) Q4: 18.0 (15.0-22.5) Q5: 30.0 (23.0-155.5)	Death from ischemic heart disease	No significant association of refined grain intake and ischemic heart disease in women. Total refined grain: RR=1.12 (0.77-1.62); p=0.57 White bread: RR=1.24 (0.94-1.64); p=0.13 Refined breakfast cereal: RR=1.45 (0.99-2.13); p=0.14 Other refined grains: RR=0.79 (0.52-1.21); p=0.29
Liu et al (2000) [103] Positive quality	75,521 women aged 38-62y in the Nurses Health Study, USA. Disease outcomes confirmed by review of medical records; diet assessed by 126-item FFQ. Association with ischemic stroke over 12 years determined by multivariate logistic regression.	White bread (including pita bread), cold breakfast cereal with <25% wholegrain or bran content), English muffins, bagels or rolls, pancakes or waffles, white rice, pasta, pizza. Mean intake 2 servings per day (quintile amounts not reported0	Death from ischemic stroke	There was no significant association between refined grain intake and ischemic stroke; RR=0.97 (0.67-1.42); p=0.58
Liu et al (2003) [109] Positive quality	86,190 men in the Physicians Health Study, USA. Deaths over 5.5y follow-up identified from the National Death Index and confirmed from death certificates; diet assessed by 126-item FFQ. Cox proportional hazards regression analysis used to compute hazard ratios.	Breakfast cereals divided into wholegrain (>25% whole grain or bran content) or refined grain cereals. Q1: 0 servings/week Q2: ≤1 serving/ week Q3: 2-6 servings/week Q4: ≥7 servings/week	Deaths from cardiovascular disease (CVD), myocardial infarction (MI), or stroke.	Consumption of refined grain breakfast cereals was unrelated to risk of CVD, MI or stroke. CVD: HR=1.04 (0.84-1.27); p=0.37 MI: HR=0.96 (0.68-1.36); p=0.97 Stroke: HR=1.22 (0.71-2.11); p=0.87

Author *Quality rating*	Subjects and study design	Foods defined as refined grain	Outcomes measured	Key results
Lee et a (2004) [108] Neutral quality	3146 men and women aged 17-35y in the CARDIA longitudinal study, USA. Diet measured at years 0 and 7 with 700-item FFQ. GGT measured at 0 and 10y. Association of GTT and 14 food groups assessed by linear regression.	Not defined. Mean intake 9.7 times/week	Serum gamma-glutamyltransferase (GGT), a predictor of future heart disease	Refined grain intake was not associated with GTT Regression coefficient: -0.009; p=0.498
Erkkila et al (2005) [107] Positive quality	229 women in prospective cohort Estrogen Replacement and Atherosclerosis Trial, USA. Diet assessed with 126-tem FFQ and outcomes measured with quantitative coronary angiography at baseline and 3 years.	White bread or rolls, cold breakfast cereal with <25% wholegrain or bran content, muffins, cakes, cookies, pancakes or waffles, white rice, pasta, pizza. Median intake: 8.5 servings/week	Change in mean minimum coronary artery diameter (MCAD) and percent stenosis	Number of servings of refined grains was not associated with progression of coronary artery atherosclerosis progression. Comparing intakes ≤ median intake vs > median intake, p values for changes in MCAD and % stenosis were 0.44 and 0.32 respectively.
Steffen et al (2005) [106] Neutral quality	4304 men and women aged 8-30 in the CARDIA 15 year longitudinal study, USA. Diet measured at years 0 and 7 with 700-item FFQ; blood pressure measured at 6 clinical exams. Cox proportional hazards regression analysis used to evaluate associations or average food group consumption with 15 year EBP incidence	Refined grains not defined, Q1: <1.8 times/d Q2: 1.8-2.4 times/d Q3: 2.4-3.1 times/d Q4: 3.1-4.3 times/d Q5: >4.3 times/d	Elevated blood pressure (EBP), defined as systolic BP ≥130mm Hg, diastolic BP ≥85mm Hg, or use of hypertensive medication	There was no association between refined grain intake and elevated blood pressure. HR=0.87 (0.68-1.12); p=0.70

Author Quality rating	Subjects and study design	Foods defined as refined grain	Outcomes measured	Key results
Djousse et al (2007) [105] Neutral quality	21,376 participants in the Physicians Heath Study, USA. Diet assessed with 126-item FFQ and incident heart failure ascertained by annual follow-up questionnaires. Cox proportional hazards regression analysis used to compute hazard ratios.	Breakfast cereals divided into wholegrain (>25% whole grain or bran content) or refined grain cereals. Q1: 0 servings/week Q2: ≤1 serving/ week Q3: 2-6 servings/week Q4: ≥7 servings/week	Incident heart failure	There was no association between refined breakfast cereal intake and incident heart failure. HR=0.83 (0.58-1.18); p=0.70
Wang et al (2007) [110] Positive quality	28,926 women aged ≥45y in the Health Professionals Study, USA. Diet assessed with a 131-item FFQ and hypertension ascertained by self-report. Cox regression analysis used to model relative risk of hypertension over 10y of follow-up	Sweet rolls, cakes, desserts, white bread, pasta, English muffins, muffins or biscuits, refined-grain breakfast cereals, white rice, pancakes or waffle, pizza Q1: 0.76 servings/d Q3: 1.85 servings/d Q5: 4.06 servings/d Proportion of refined to total grains: Q1: 90%; Q3: 63%; Q5: 31%	Hypertension	Absolute intake of refined grain intake was not associated with risk of hypertension. RR= 0.97 (0.89-1.06); p=0.80 However, a lower proportion of refined grains was associated with a reduced risk of hypertension, implying a protective effect of wholegrains RR=0.90 (0.84-0.98); p=0.002 Note: The significant reduction began at Q3 (31-43% wholegrains)

Author *Quality rating*	Subjects and study design	Foods defined as refined grain	Outcomes measured	Key results
Lutsey et al (2009) [111] Positive quality	37,393 women in the Iowa Women's Health Study, USA. Diet assessed with 127-item FFQ. VTE incidence obtained from Medicare data. Cox regression analysis evaluated relations of 11 food groups to incident VTE over 19 years of follow-up.	White bread (including pita bread), cold breakfast cereal with <25% wholegrain or bran content), English muffins, bagels or rolls, pancakes or waffles, white rice, pasta, pizza. Median servings/week Q1: 2.5 Q2: 4.5 Q3: 7.0 Q4: 10.5 Q5: 19.0	Incident venous thromboembolism (VTE)	There was no association between refined grain intake and VTE. HR=0.98 (0.84-1.15); p=0.66
Meyer et al (2000) [117] Positive quality	35,988 women aged 55-69y in the Iowa Women's Health Study, USA. Food intake assessed with 127-item FFQ, and diabetes incidence determined in 3 surveys over 6y follow-up. Relative risk calculated with proportional hazards regression of upper and lower intakes.	White bread (including pita bread), cold breakfast cereal with <25% wholegrain or bran content), English muffins, bagels or rolls, pancakes or waffles, white rice, pasta, pizza Median intakes (servings/week): Q1: 3.5 Q2: 7.5 Q3 11.5 Q4: 15.5 Q5: 29.5	Incident type 2 diabetes	Refined grain intake not associated with incidence of type 2 diabetes in older women. RR= 0.87 (0.70-1.08); p=0.36

Author *Quality rating*	Subjects and study design	Foods defined as refined grain	Outcomes measured	Key results
Fung et al (2002) [116] Positive quality	42,898 men aged 40-75y from the Health Professionals Follow-Up Study, USA. Diet assessed with 131-item FFQ. Type 2 diabetes incidence identified by self-report in biennial questionnaires. Pooled logistic regression used to assess association between diet and diabetes risk over 12y of follow-up.	White bread (including pita bread), cold breakfast cereal with <25% wholegrain or bran content), English muffins, bagels or rolls, pancakes or waffles, white rice, pasta, pizza Median and range of intake: Q1: 0.6 (0-0.9) servings/d Q3: 1.7 (1.4-2.0) servings/d Q5: 4.3 (3.2-21.5) servings/d	Incident type 2 diabetes	Intake of refined grains was not associated with risk of type 2 diabetes in men. RR=1.08 (0.87-1.33); p=0.69
Hodge et al (2004) [115] Positive quality	36,787 men and women in the Melbourne Collaborative Cohort Study, recruited 1990-94 (Australia). Diet variables assessed with 121-item FFQ and tested in logistic regression models.	n/a/ Data reported for all cereals white bread, rice, pasta. *All cereals* Q1: <20 times/week Q4: ≥41 times/week *White bread* Q1: <0.5 times/week Q3: 3.0-6.9 times/week Q4: ≥7 times/week *Rice* Q1: <1.0 times/week Q4: ≥2.5 times/week *Pasta* Q1: <0.5 times/week Q4: ≥3.0 times/week	Incident type 2 diabetes	No significant association with risk of diabetes at the highest consumption of cereals, rice, or pasta, nor for white bread at up to 7 times per week. Odds ratios for highest quartiles of intake: *All cereals:* 1.05 (0.73-1.52); p=0.7 *White bread:* Q4: 1.13 (0.86-1.50) p=0.04 Q3: 0.95 (0.67-1.35) not significant Rice: 0.93 (0.68-1.27); p=0.9 Pasta: 0.86 (0.60-1.23); p=0.6

Author *Quality rating*	Subjects and study design	Foods defined as refined grain	Outcomes measured	Key results
Sun et al (2010) [114] Positive quality	197,228 participants from the Health Professionals Follow-Up Study, and the Nurses Health Studies I and II (USA). Cox's proportional hazard regression used to estimate relative risk, using data from 116-item FFQ completed every 4 years from 1986-2002.	Only white rice. Q1: <1 serving/month Q2: 1-3 servings/month Q3: 1 serving/week Q4: 2-4 servings/week Q5: ≥5servings/seek	Incident type 2 diabetes	High intake of white rice associated with higher risk of diabetes. Pooled RR: Q2: 1.10 (0.94-1.08) Q3: 1.04 (0.94-1.08) Q4: 1.11 (1.03-1.20) Q5: 1.17 (1.02-1.36); p<0.001 In contrast high brown rice intake (≥2 servings/week) was associated with a lower risk: RR=0.89 (0.81-0.97); p=0.005.
Nanri et al (2010) [113] Positive quality	25,666 men and 33,622 women aged 45-75y in the Japan Public Health Centre-based Prospective Study (Japan). Diet assessed with 147-item FFQ and diabetes incidence determined by self-report. Odds ratio for type 2 diabetes over the 5 years of follow-up determined by multiple logistic regression analysis.	n/a Rice, bread and noodle intakes reported. Median intakes (men/women): *Rice (g/d)* Q1: 280/165 Q4: 700/560 *Bread (g/d)* Q1: 0/4 Q4: 47/60 *Noodles (g/d)* Q1: 41/225 Q4: 29/177	Incident type 2 diabetes	Bread and noodles intakes were not associated with diabetes risk: *Bread:* Men: OR=0.85 (0.64-1.14); p=0.30 Women: OR=0.99 (0.73-1.34); p=0.87 *Noodles* Men: OR=0.89 (0.68-1.17); p=0.49 Women: OR=1.15 (0.83-1.58); p=0.23 *Rice* There was a significant relationship in women but not men. Men: OR=1.19 (0.85-1.68); p=0.32 Women: OR=1.65 (1.06-2.57); p=0.005 <u>Note</u>: at Q2 (315g/day) for women there was no significant association: OR=1.15(0.85-1.55)

Author *Quality rating*	Subjects and study design	Foods defined as refined grain	Outcomes measured	Key results
Lutsey et al (2008) [112] Positive quality	9514 men and women aged 45-64y in the ARIC study, USA. Diet assessed with 66-item FFQ. MetSyn determined at 3-yearly examinations. Cox proportional hazards regression used to measure association with MetSyn risk over 6y follow-up.	Homemade pie, ready-made pie, donuts, pastries, cake or brownies, biscuit or cornbread, white bread, sandwich bread, potato or corn chips, rice, pasta, refined grain cereal Median servings per day: Q1: 0.70 Q3: 1.99 Q5: 4.64	Incident metabolic syndrome	There was no association between consumption of refined grains and incident MetSyn HR=0.89 (0.78-1.01); p=0.15.
Kasum et al (2001) [121] Positive quality	23,104 women aged 55-69y in 1986 in the Iowa Women's Health Study, USA. Diet assessed with 127-item FFQ and occurrence of cancer identified from Iowa Health Registry. Proportional hazards regression analysis used to calculate hazard ratio of cancer risk over 12years.	White bread (including pita bread), cold breakfast cereal with <25% wholegrain or bran content), English muffins, bagels or rolls, pancakes or waffles, white rice, pasta, pizza Q1: 0-2.5 servings/week Q3: 5.5-8.0 servings/week Q5: 13.5-73.0 servings/week	Incident endometrial cancer	There was no association between refined grain intake and endometrial cancer. HR=1.19 (0.80-1.78); p=0.32
Kasum et al (2002) [120] Positive quality	34,651 postmenopausal women aged 55-69 in 1985 in the Iowa Women's Health Study, USA. Diet assessed with 127-item FFQ and occurrence of cancer identified from Iowa Health Registry. Proportional hazards regression analysis used to calculate hazard ratio of cancer risk over 14y follow-up.	White bread (including pita bread), cold breakfast cereal with <25% wholegrain or bran content), English muffins, bagels or rolls, pancakes or waffles, white rice, pasta, pizza T1: 0-4.0 servings/week T2: 4.5-9.0 servings/week T3: 9.5-78.0 servings/week	Incident upper aerodigestive cancer	There was no significant association between refined grain intake and upper aerodigestive cancers combined, nor for any individual sites. *All sites combined* HR=1.03 (0.67-1.58); p=0.92 *Oral/pharyngeal:* HR=0.70 (CI not reported) *Esophageal*: HR=0.60 (CI not reported) *Nasopharyngeal*: HR=1.44 (CI not reported) *Laryngeal*: HR=0.77(CI not reported)

41

Author Quality rating	Subjects and study design	Foods defined as refined grain	Outcomes measured	Key results
Nicodemus et al (2001) [119] Positive quality	29,110 postmenopausal women aged 55-69 in 1985 in the Iowa Women's Health Study, USA. Diet assessed with 127-item FFQ and occurrence of cancer identified from Iowa Health Registry. Proportional hazards regression analysis used to calculate hazard ratio of cancer risk over 9y follow-up.	White bread (including pita bread), cold breakfast cereal with <25% wholegrain or bran content), English muffins, bagels or rolls, pancakes or waffles, white rice, pasta, pizza Q1: 0-2.5 servings/week Q3: 5-8 servings/week Q5: 13-78 servings/week	Incident postmenopausal breast cancer	Intakes of refined grain foods was unrelated to postmenopausal breast cancer. RR=1.06 (0.84-1.3); p=0.80
Fung et al (2005) [118] Positive quality	71,058 women in the Nurses Health Study, USA. Diet assessed 6 times with 116-item FFQ and incident breast cancer obtained by self-report in biennial questionnaires. Cox's proportional hazards regression analysis used to assess associations between dietary patterns and risk of breast cancer over 16y	Not stated in paper but assumed to be the same as other reports from the Nurses Health Study, ie: White bread (including pita bread), cold breakfast cereal with <25% wholegrain or bran content), English muffins, bagels or rolls, pancakes or waffles, white rice, pasta, pizza. Low: 1-1.9 servings per day Hi: 4+ servings per day	Incident breast cancer	Intake of refined grain food was unrelated to breast cancer risk: RR=1.10 (0.85-1.43). RR one serving increase = 1.07(0.99-1.17); p=0.09
Schulz et al (2002) [124] Positive quality	11,005 women and 6364 men in the EPIC Potsdam cohort, a large multicentre European cohort study. Two year changes in weight were measured and diet assessed by 148 item FFQ. Differences in mean food group intake across weight changes tested using ANOVA.	n/a The following food groups were reported: *Bread*: bread and rolls (white and wholewheat), croissant, pretzel *Cereals*: pasta, cereals, rice, cornflakes, crisps, muesli *Cakes:* fruitcake, layer cake, biscuits, pancake, cookies	Weight change	Odds ratios for large weight <u>gain</u> in men/women : (none statistically significant) Bread: 1.00 (0.89-1.13)/1.09(0.96-1.24) Cereals: 0.97 (0.68-1.40)/0.93 (0.66-1.31) Cakes: 1.09 (0.95-1.25)/1.04 (0.92-1.18) Odds ratios for large weight <u>losses</u> in men/women: Bread: 1.01 (0.90-1.14)/0.93 (0.83-1.04) NS Cereals: 0.91 (0.63-1.30)/ 1.43 (1.09-1.88); p<0.05)

Author Quality rating	Subjects and study design	Foods defined as refined grain	Outcomes measured	Key results
Newby et al (2003) [123] Positive quality	1379 healthy children aged 2-5y in North Dakota, USA. The cohort has been followed every two years since 1976 using a validated 84-item FFQ and self-reported weight. Multiple regression analysis estimated association of diet and weight change.	*Bread and grains* food group included rice, spaghetti and sauce, pizza, macaroni and cheese, bread, hot and cold cereals, pancakes, English muffins, biscuits and cornbread.	Annual weight change	There was a 0.16 (0.20-0.12)kg lower weight increase per year with each additional daily serving of breads and grains (p<0.01)
Bazzano et al (2005) [122] Neutral quality	17,881 men aged 40-84 recruited in 1982 in the Physicians Health Study, USA. Diet assessed annually with 61-item FFQ for 13 years of follow up. Cox's proportional hazards model used to calculate relative risks.	Breakfast cereals divided into wholegrain (>25% whole grain or bran content) or refined grain cereals. Q1: rarely Q2: 1 serving/week Q3: 2-6 servings/week Q4: ≥1 serving/ day	Body weight gain BMI ≥ 25 RR of weight gain >10kg	Over 8 years, compared to men with the lowest intake of refined grain cereals, men with the highest intake of refined grain breakfast cereals had: Less weight gain (0.94± 0.16 vs 1.46±0.05kg; p=0.005 Risk of BMI ≥ 25, RR=0.81(0.64-1.03); p=0.03 After 13 years of follow up, men with the highest intake of refined grain breakfast cereals had the lowest risk of more than 10kg weight gain: RR=0.77 (0.56-1.06); p=0.05.

Author Quality rating	Subjects and study design	Foods defined as refined grain	Outcomes measured	Key results
Steffen et al (2003) [126] Positive quality	11,940 men and women aged 45-64y in 1987-9 in the ARIC study, USA. Diet assessed with 61-item FFQ. Total deaths were confirmed by hospital and physician records at 3-yearly examinations. Cox proportional hazards regression used to measure dietary association with death over 11y follow-up.	Cold breakfast cereals containing <25% wholegrain or bran by weight, cooked cereals, white bread, bagels, donuts, pastry, muffins, biscuits, cookies, cakes, brownies, pasta and rice. Q1: 0.5 servings/d Q3: 2.0 servings/d Q5: 5.0 servings/d	All-cause mortality	The risk of all-cause mortality was not associated with consumption of refined grain foods. RR=1.08 (0.83-1.40); p=0.62
Trichopoulou et al (2009) [125] Neutral quality	23,349 men and women in the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and nutrition (EPIC) – Greek segment. Diet assessed with 150-item FFQ. Associations between dietary variable and mortality derived from Cox regression comparing < or ≥ median intake over 8.5y follow-up.	n/a Only "cereals" (undefined) reported Median intakes and interquartile range: Men: 178 (135-233) g/d Women: 140 (108-176) g/d	Total mortality	High cereal consumption (≥ median) was not significantly related to overall mortality Mortality ratio: 0.989 (0.862-1.133); p=0.869.

Author Quality rating	Subjects and study design	Foods defined as refined grain	Outcomes measured	Key results
Buyken et al (2010) [127] Positive quality	1490 postmenopausal women and 1245 men aged ≥49y at baseline (1992-4) in the prospective cohort Blue Mountains Eye Study, Australia. Diet assessed with 145-item FFQ and mortality data obtained from the Australian National Death Index. Cox proportional hazards regression analysis used to assess relation of carbohydrate foods and mean dietary GI with deaths form inflammatory disease and CVD over 13y follow-up.	n/a. Study reported on: *Breads and cereals,* comprising: Breakfast cereals, bread (white or other), pasta and rice And *Foods rich in refined sugars and starches*, comprising: Soft drinks, cordials, sweet biscuits, cakes, buns, scones, pastries, confectionery, sugar, honey, jams and syrup Median tertile intakes not reported	Death from inflammatory diseases and CVD	A higher baseline consumption of breads and cereals was associated with a reduced risk of inflammatory disease-related mortality in women. HR=0.45 (0.26-0.80); p=0.008 There was no association for men (p=0.24) Foods rich in refined sugars and starch were associated with increased risk in women HR=1.93z90.98-3.82); p=0.04, but not in men (p=0.41). There were no associations between refined grain food and CVD risk (data not reported)
Merchant et al (2006) [128] Positive quality	34,160 men aged 40-75y in the Health Professionals Follow-Up Study, USA. Diet assessed with 131-item FFQ. Periodontitis assessed by self report in biennial questionnaires over 12 years. Cox proportional hazard model used to estimate relative risk.	White bread (including pita bread), cold breakfast cereal with <25% wholegrain or bran content), English muffins, bagels or rolls, pancakes or waffles, white rice, pasta, pizza Median intakes (servings/d) Q1: 0.7 Q3: 1.8 Q5: 4.2	Periodontitis	Periodontitis was not associated with refined grain intake. RR=1.04 (0.89-1.23): p=0.37

Table 3. Intervention studies that have examined the effects of different levels of refined grain intake

Author *Quality rating*	Subjects	Study design	Diet	Outcomes	Key results
Azadbakht et al (2005) [129] Positive quality	34 men and 82 women (mean age 41.2y; mean BMI 28.9) Iran.	6-month RCT with 2 intervention 500kcal/d hypocaloric diets and one "as usual" control.	All contained total 8 grain servings per day. Refined grain servings/d: DASH – 3.8 Weight reduction – 4.0 Control – 7.1	Weight, WC, HDL cholesterol, TGs, BP	No significant change in any measures in the control group with the highest refined grain intake. Reduced weight (13-16kg) and WC (5-7 cm) in the two intervention diets compared to control (p<0.04), but no significant different between two intervention diets in weight or WC changes. Greater reduction in TG, BP and increases in HDL in DASH group vs weight control diet (p<0.05)
Andersson et al (2007) [130] Positive quality	22 women and 8 men (mean age 59y; mean BMI 28) Sweden.	Nonblinded crossover randomized trial, with two 6-week periods, separated by 6-8 weeks washout. All cereal foods provided.	7 cereals servings/d of (3 bread slices, 2 crispbread slices, 1 portion muesli, 1 portion pasta) provided – either all wholegrain (WG) or all refined grain. WG period: 162g/d WG Refined grain: 154g/d	Insulin sensitivity, blood glucose, serum insulin, lipids, blood pressure, markers of inflammation (CRP or IL-6)	Substitution of wholegrains for refined grains in the habitual daily diets of healthy moderately overweight adults for 6 weeks did not affect insulin sensitivity or markers of lipid peroxidation or inflammation
Katcher et al (2008) [131] Positive quality	25 men and 25 women with metabolic syndrome and BMI >30 (mean age 56y; mean BMI 36) USA	12-week randomized parallel arm study with participants given advice for a 500kcal/d hypocaloric diet.	Participants given target number of grain servings per day based on energy needs (4-7/d) and assigned to either consume either all or none of grains as WG foods.	Weight, WC, % body fat, fasting glucose and insulin, lipids, BP, and markers of inflammation (CRP, PAQ-1, IL-6, TNF-alpha)	Body weight, WC and % body fat decreased significantly in both groups (p<0.001), but there were no significant difference between groups for total weight loss, or lipid or BP changes. The only significant differences between the two diets were: 1) a greater decline in % abdominal fat in the WG group (-2.2 vs -0.9; p=0.03), and 2) C-reactive protein decreased 38% in the WG group (p<0.05) and remained unchanged in the refined grain group.

Author *Quality rating*	Subjects	Study design	Diet	Outcomes	Key results
Burton-Freeman & Keim (2008) [132] Positive quality	25 overweight women (mean age 31y; mean BMI 27) USA.	Two-arm RCT with a low or high GI energy and CHO-matched breakfast meals. Each study preceded by 3-day run in with all breakfast foods provided.	High GI (76.7) meal based on rice/corn cereal, reduced fat milk and fruit yoghurt. Low GI (36.5) meal based on bran cereal, reduced fat milk and yoghurt Whey protein and cream added to equalise fat and protein contents.	Subjective satiety, cholecystokinin, glucose, insulin, TGs and free fatty acids measured at defined intervals for 8h after breakfast meal	The high GI meal resulted in greater satiety overall compared with the low GI meal: High vs Low GI meal results: Hunger: -27.6 vs -22.4 (p=0.0001) CCK was greater with high GI meal (p<0.001). Plasma glucose, insulin and TG were higher and free fatty acids lower after the high GI meal (p<0.001).
Wolever et al (2008) [133] Positive quality	162 men and women with type 2 diabetes managed by diet alone (mean age 60y; BMI: 30-31) Canada	12 month RCT with three arms: • High CHO-High GI • High CHO-Low GI • Low CHO-high MUFA	Proportion of energy from refined grains (breads, cereals, rice, pasta): High GI: 35% Low GI: 26% Low CHO: 0%	Weight, WC, HbA1c, CRP	Body weights and HbA1c did not differ between the diets. Mean CRP with the low GI diet was 30% less than the high GI diet (p=0.0078) but the low CHO diet (with lowest refined grain content) was intermediate.
Enright & Slavin (2008) [134] Positive quality	10 men and 10 women (mean age 27y, mean BMI 23.9). USA.	14 day randomized crossover dietary intervention consuming either WG (>51% WG) or refined grain foods provided.	8 grain servings per day (males) or 6 servings/d (females)	Antioxidant measures: ORAC in blood; Isoprostanes and thiabarituric acid reactive substances (TBARS) in urine	No significant differences in any antioxidant measures were found between the refined and wholegrain diets.

Author Quality rating	Subjects	Study design	Diet	Outcomes	Key results
Giacco et al (2010) [135] Positive quality	12 men and 3 women (mean age 55y, mean BMI 27.4). Italy.	After 2 week run-in. a randomized crossover study with two 3-week interventions of isoenergetic diets including wholemeal or refined wheat foods.	Usual diet with inclusion of wholemeal or refined wheat bread, pasta, rusks and crackers. All food provided to participants Differences in cereal fibre: 23.1 vs 9.8g/d	Weight, BP, plasma glucose, lipids, C-peptide, free fatty acids, leptin, adiponectin, ghrelin, CRP and plasma antioxidants.	There were no differences in weight, blood pressure, or fasting clinical or metabolic parameters. There were also no differences in plasma antioxidant levels between the two diets. Total and LDL cholesterol were lower than run-in values with both diets (p<0.01) but with a greater reduction on the WG rather than the refined grain diet (P=0.04)
Brownlee et al (2010) [136] Positive quality	316 men and women (mean age 46y, mean BMI 30) consuming <30gWG/d. UK	16-week intervention trial with subjects randomised to: • control (no change) • 60gWG/d 16 weeks • 60gWG/d for 8 weeks followed by 120WG/d for further 8 weeks	Subject provided with WG foods (bread, cereals, rice, snack bars) = 3 slices of bread per day (60g WG)	BMI, WC, % body fat, lipids, glucose and insulin, inflammatory markers (CRP, IL-6, Fibrinogen, PA-1)	Achieved WG intakes: Control: 19g/d Intervention 1: 74g/d Intervention 2: 115g/d Despite good compliance there were no significant differences in any CVD risk markers between groups, possibly because subjects added the WG foods to their diet (and increased energy intakes) rather than substituting for refined grain alternatives.
Venn et al (2010) [137] Positive quality	93 women and 15 men with BMI ≥ 28 (mean age 42; mean BMI 35-36) New Zealand	18-month RCT; control group instructed to follow NZ Heart Foundation guidelines; intervention: additional pulses and WG cereals	Control: at least 6 servings breads and cereals Intervention: at least 2 servings pulses to substitute for cereals and 4 servings breads and cereals to be wholegrain. One serving – one 37g slice bread; 30g cereal.	Weight, BMI, WC, plasma lipids,	Participants only achieved 1.4 servings/d WG in intervention vs 1.1 in control (p=0.71) Body weight, BMI, WC and BP in both groups reduced compared to baseline, but differences between the two diet groups were not significant (p>0.05), except for WC (2.8cm greater reduction on the WG diet at 18months).

Table 4. Studies allowing assessment of the highest intake of refined grain foods with no increased health risk

Author Quality rating	Study type	Outcomes	Highest intake with no increased risk
Senesse et al (2002) [154]	Case-control	Colorectal adenomas	Bread – Q3: 152g/d; Pasta – Q4: 57g/d Rice – Q4: 32g/d
Satia-Aboutia et al (2004) [92]	Case-control	Colon cancer	Q3: 235 g/d 16.8x/week (= 2.4x/d)
Slattery et al (2004) [93]	Case-control	Rectal cancer	Q4: 4.5x/d (vs total 6.71) = 67% of all grains as refined
Liang et al (2010) [97]	Case-control	Ischemic stroke	Rice – Q1; <1100g/week (= 157g/d)
Hodge et al (2004) [115]	Prospective	Type 2 diabetes	All cereals - Q4: 5.9x/d) Breakfast cereal - Q4: 1x/d Rice- Q4: 2.5x/week White bread – Q3: 6.9x/week Pasta – Q4: 3x/week
Wang et al (2007) [110]	Prospective	Hypertension	Lower risk of HT began when wholegrains >43% of total grains (= 57% of all grains as refined) Refined grains - Q4: 3.1x/d
Savage et al (2008) [101]	Prospective	Weight change	T1: 3.9x/d
Sun et al (2010) [114]	Prospective	Type 2 diabetes	White rice – Q3: 1x/week
Nanri et al (2010) [113]	Prospective	Type 2 diabetes	White rice – Q2: 315g/d Rice noodles – Q4: 177g/d

Table 5. Evidence Summary

What proportion of refined grains can be consumed in a healthy diet?		
Evidence Statement	**Consumption of up to 50% of grains as refined grain foods, without significant added fat, sugar or sodium, is not associated with increased disease risk.**	
Grade	**C**	Body of evidence provides some support but care should be taken in its application
Component	**Rating**	**Notes**
Evidence base	Good	8 Level 1 studies (1 meta-analysis of 3 RCTs; 7 systematic reviews) 9 Level 2 studies (RCTs) 44 Level 3 studies (31 cohort and 13 case-control studies) 18 Level 4 studies (cross-sectional studies)
Consistency	Satisfactory	Evidence is inconsistent but the clear majority find no significant effect on risk
Clinical impact	Moderate	Highest: Stomach cancer RR=2.07 Lowest: Pancreatic cancer RR=0.80
Generalisability	Good	A diverse range of adult populations from different countries were included in the studies
Applicability	Satisfactory	Differences in food products and dietary patterns between countries may limit applicability