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Abstract

This paper presents a study into different angles for the New spread matrix developed for BSOFDM. It varies the angles for the matrix to develop different constellation schemes which are useful in overcoming the frequency selective channels which are encountered in mobile communication systems. Previously it has been discussed that this new matrix (the rotation matrix) has some advantages over Hadamard and the rotated Hadamard matrix in certain channels. This paper presents a study of varies angles with this new matrix over the UWB channels CM1 to CM4.
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1 Introduction

Many solutions have been presented to allow a communications system to improve its spectral efficiency of the modulation schemes by applying different schemes such as adaptive modulation based on the Bit Error Rate (BER) or the signal to noise ratio. But these systems suffer from complexity issues and the fact many still, after varies algorithms to improve the spectral efficiency, end up using BPSK or QPSK. This paper continues the study of a new method to increase the correlation between the symbols through the use of a rotation of the modulated symbols, and depending on the rotation angle, α, a new and higher order modulation is used in the transmission of the system to increase the correlation between the transmitted symbols to improve the BER performance. This new spreading matrix [1], as with other existing matrices like the Hadamard, is used in Block Spreading OFDM and is discussed in detail in [2], [3], [4], [5] and [6]. At the receiver, the decoder used is the Maximum Likelihood decoder (ML). This is not the same as adaptive modulation as this does not retransmit the data when the new modulation scheme is created but rather has the same modulation scheme at the transmission. This paper has the following sections. Section 2 provides a description of the system used to test the New matrix and discusses the advantages and disadvantages of this New spread matrix over other existing spreading matrix. Section 3 discusses the new spreading matrix used in this study. A brief description is given of the four UWB channel models in Section 4. Section 5 gives the results achieved with the new spreading matrix and the comparisons between it and the existing spreading matrices over UWB channel models. And finally in Section 6 provides a conclusion.

2 System Description

Primarily this new spreading matrix is used in what has been described as Block Spread OFDM (BSOFDM), which is when the full set of subcarriers are divided into smaller blocks and using spreading matrices to spread the data across these blocks so to achieve multipath diversity across each block at the receiver [2], [3], [4] and [5]. The BSOFDM channel model is shown in Figure 1.

\[ y = Cq + n \] (1)

The output of the receiver’s FFT processor is shown in Equation 1, where \( y \) is the FFT output, \( q \in A^N \) is the vector of transmitted symbols, each drawn from an alphabet \( A \), \( C \) is a diagonal matrix of complex normal fading coefficients, and \( n \) is a zero mean complex normal random vector. Equalization of the received data is done through multiplication by \( C^{-1} \) and then “quantized independently on each subcarrier to form the soft or hard decision \( \hat{q} \) which may be further processed if the data bits are coded” [4]. There is no loss in performance when the detection is performed independently on each carrier due to the noise being independent and identically distributed with fading been diagonal [4].

The block spreading matrices are used to introduce dependence among the subcarriers. \( N \) subcarriers are split into \( \frac{N}{M} \) blocks of size \( M \), where \( M = 2 \) for this example. Then each of the blocks are multiplied by a \( 2 \times 2 \) unitary matrix \( U_2 \). The length two output vectors are interleaved using general block interleaving to ensure the symbols are statistically independent so as to encounter
independent fading channels. This will ensure in a dis-
persive frequency selective channel the data is statistically
less likely to become corrupted and studies and simulations
have shown this to be correct.

The transmitter's IFFT has the interleaved data passed
through it and this data is sent across the frequency selec-
tive channel. The data is passed through an FFT processor
at the receiver and deinterleaved before using block by
block processing.

The spreading matrices are generally used to increase
the correlation between the transmitted symbols after the
transmission has occurred. Unlike adaptive modulation
schemes where depending on the system, a higher or-
der order modulation scheme is used to retransmit the data de-
pending on the conditions presented, this scheme utilizes
spreading matrices to increase the correlation between the
symbols, rather than retransmitting. This is depicted in
Figure 2. So say at the transmission the system modulates
the data using QPSK modulation, with spreading matri-
ces a higher order modulation is used to increase corre-
lation and therefore overall system performance. There
are a number of matrices available and well studied, this
paper continues the study of the new matrix presented in
[1] concentrating on the various angles which can be used
with this new matrix. Below the description of the new
matrix is presented and discussed in terms of the different
possible combinations achievable.

3 New Spreading Matrix

The following is a description of the new spread ma-
trix for BSOFDM, where for example to make QPSK into
16QAM the choice of $\tan(\alpha) = 0.5$. This achieves differ-
ent modulation schemes to that of Hadamard and Rotated
Hadamard.

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \tan(\alpha) \\ \tan(\alpha) & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Figure 3. The QPSK constellation points.

Depending on the choice of $\alpha$, different modulation
schemes are possible. Naturally, not all angles can be
chosen since this would not yield a better result than the
Hadamard matrix. For example an angle of $\alpha = \frac{\pi}{4}$
would result in one, which would mean that the matrix
is a Hadamard matrix. Other angles which cannot be used
when using QPSK are $\alpha = \pi$ and $\frac{\pi}{2}$ since the rotation
of QPSK would rotate back onto itself and the new rota-
tion would be the same as the rotated, that is QPSK. Other
angles then can be used and are discussed below.

The following figures depict the varying constellation
points which are achievable using the new spreading ma-
trix. Figure 3 depicts the constellation scatter plot of
QPSK which will be used for this study. Figures 4, 5, 6,
7, 8 and 9 depict the different constellation points which
are achievable using the new matrix. As can be seen from
Figure 9, since $\tan(\frac{\pi}{4}) = 1$, the end result is the same as
the Hadamard matrix.

4 UWB channels

Ultra-wideband (UWB) systems occupy - by definition - a signal spectrum of more than 500 MHz or more than
20% with regards to their centre frequency. The appli-
cation of such large bandwidths enables communication
systems with unique novel properties, like high-precision
Figure 4. The new matrix for block spread OFDM with rotation $\frac{\pi}{3}$.

Figure 5. The new matrix for block spread OFDM with rotation $\frac{\pi}{2}$.

Figure 6. The new matrix for block spread OFDM with rotation $\pi$.

Figure 7. The new matrix for block spread OFDM with rotation $\frac{\pi}{6}$.

Figure 8. The new matrix for block spread OFDM with rotation $\frac{\pi}{4}$.

Figure 9. The new matrix for block spread OFDM with rotation $\frac{\pi}{7}$.
Based on the Saleh-Valenzuela model for indoor multipath radio propagation channels, a set of statistically UWB channel models has been produced by the IEEE 802.15.3a task group [7]. Line of Sight (LOS) and Non-Line of Sight (NLOS) cases can be modelled using these standard channel models. The four different scenarios are summarized in Table 1. Each are identified from CM1 to CM4. These channels are used in our study of the various angles with the new spreading matrix for BSOFDM.

### Table 1. UWB channels defined by IEEE [7]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Channel Model</th>
<th>CM1</th>
<th>CM2</th>
<th>CM3</th>
<th>CM4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean Ex. delay (ns)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMS Delay (ns)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>30.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of multi-arrival within 10dB of peak</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>24.9</td>
<td>41.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 5 Results

The results below depict the simulation results for different angles used with the new spreading matrix. The channel used for this study is UWB described in Table 1. In [1], a comparison of the new spreading matrix was discussed and the results of a similar study is shown in Figure 10 using the UWB CM1 model. As can be seen the new matrix outperforms the Hadamard matrix significantly. The Rotated Hadamard has a very similar performance to the new matrix and to the Rotated new matrix as can be seen from Figure 10. So the advantage of this new matrix is its flexibility in achieving the same matrices as that of the Hadamard and Rotated Hadamard and other combinations as well based on the rotation of the angle. This study sets out to study the angle which achieves the best result in UWB channel models.

In Figure 11, using the UWB channel model CM1, it can be seen that the angle π/3 achieves the best performance in terms of BER in a BSOFDM system.

In Figure 12, the packet error rate of the angle π/3 can be seen having the best performance for the BSOFDM system over UWB channel model CM1.

As can be seen in Figure 13, the performance of different angles with the new spreading matrix with the UWB channel CM1, the angle π/3 outperforms the other angles. Angle π/7 has a similar performance. It is interesting to note that the angle π/7 has a similar performance to that of the Hadamard matrix since the $\tan(\frac{\pi}{7}) = 1$, and substituting this value into the new matrix will result in a Hadamard matrix. This can be seen from the constellation point diagram seen in Figure 9. As discussed earlier, the two angles π/7 and π will yield the same result as the QPSK modulation, this can be seen in Figure 13. QPSK modulation is modelled under the heading normal. The reason for this is that the two mentioned angles simply rotate the QPSK.
modulation back onto it self. This can be seen from the constellation points presented above in Figures 5 and 6.

For the UWB channel model CM2, it can be seen in Figure 14 that the angle \( \frac{\pi}{7} \) out performs all the others, again we see that Hadamard is outperformed significantly.

For both the UWB channel models CM3 and CM4 the angles \( \frac{\pi}{2} \) and \( \frac{\pi}{4} \) again outperform the other angles shown, this can be seen in Figures 15 and 16. Again it can be seen that the Hadamard matrix (also the angle \( \frac{\pi}{9} \)) are outperformed by a significant margin. It can be seen that the angle \( \frac{\pi}{6} \) has a similar performance to that of the angles \( \frac{\pi}{2} \) and \( \frac{\pi}{4} \). The angle \( \frac{\pi}{7} \) does not perform as well, although it does out perform the Hadamard. This is due to the separation of the constellation points for each scheme. The more equal the distance apart is from each constellation point, the better the performance will be. Future work will concentrate on finding the optimum angle for this matrix to achieve that goal.
6 Conclusion

In conclusion this paper gave a study for varying angles which can be used for the new spreading matrix proposed in [1] for BSOFDM system over the four models proposed for the IEEE.15.3a task group. This paper studies what the different constellation points are and what, if any, improvement take place for the common modulation schemes. It can be said that the limitations of such a spread matrix is the angles which reproduce the same constellation scheme as that of the modulation scheme used. In other words when using for example a QPSK modulation scheme, the use of the angle for example $\frac{\pi}{2}$, would simply rotate the modulation on itself as has been shown and end up using the same modulation scheme again.

The advantages can be noted is its flexibility in determining different structures of matrices and the simple angle rotation allows an improvement to take place over more traditional spreading matrices. At the same time, this matrix can reproduce existing matrices depending on the angle used, for example $\frac{\pi}{4}$ would result in the Hadamard matrix.

It can be stated at the end of this simple study, that the angles which perform the best over UWB channel models are the angles $\frac{\pi}{3}$, $\frac{\pi}{6}$ and $\frac{\pi}{7}$. Angles $\frac{\pi}{4}$, $\frac{\pi}{2}$ and $\pi$ do not achieve the same performance as those listed above.

Future work on this matrix will include finding the theoretical optimum angle to achieve the best result for this new matrix.
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