



UNIVERSITY
OF WOLLONGONG
AUSTRALIA

University of Wollongong
Research Online

Faculty of Business - Papers

Faculty of Business

2014

The science of attracting foster carers

Melanie Randle

University of Wollongong, mrandle@uow.edu.au

Leonie Miller

University of Wollongong, leoniem@uow.edu.au

Sara Dolnicar

University Of Queensland, s.dolnicar@uq.edu.au

Joseph Ciarrochi

University of Wollongong, joec@uow.edu.au

Publication Details

Randle, M., Miller, L., Dolnicar, S. & Ciarrochi, J. (2014). The science of attracting foster carers. *Child and Family Social Work*, 19 (1), 65-75.

Research Online is the open access institutional repository for the University of Wollongong. For further information contact the UOW Library:
research-pubs@uow.edu.au

The science of attracting foster carers

Abstract

Across the world the number of children needing a foster home is increasing; however, the number of individuals willing to foster a child is decreasing. It is therefore critical to gain insight into the barriers preventing people from fostering a child. Using data from a 2009 survey of 756 Australians, combinations of barriers are investigated by conducting a posteriori segmentation analysis within the market of potential foster carers. Four segments are identified and profiled to determine significant differences in terms of psychological and socio-demographic characteristics. Findings, including the fact that almost one-third of respondents indicated that they had not considered foster caring before because no one had ever asked them to, have practical implications. Improved marketing strategies tailored to the characteristics of each segment are required to harvest the full potential of individuals willing to foster a child and thus contribute to solving one of the most difficult social challenges facing developed nations.

Keywords

attracting, foster, carers, science

Disciplines

Business

Publication Details

Randle, M., Miller, L., Dolnicar, S. & Ciarrochi, J. (2014). The science of attracting foster carers. *Child and Family Social Work*, 19 (1), 65-75.

THE SCIENCE OF ATTRACTING FOSTER CARERS

ABSTRACT

Across the world the number of children needing a foster home is increasing; however the number of individuals willing to foster a child is decreasing. It is therefore critical to gain insight into the barriers preventing people from fostering a child. Using data from a 2009 survey of 756 Australians, combinations of barriers are investigated by conducting *a posteriori* segmentation analysis within the market of potential foster carers. Four segments are identified and profiled to determine significant differences in terms of psychological and socio-demographic characteristics. Findings, including the fact that almost one third of respondents indicated that they had not considered foster caring before because no-one had ever asked them to, have practical implications. Improved marketing strategies tailored to the characteristics of each segment are required to harvest the full potential of individuals willing to foster a child, and thus contribute to solving one of the most difficult social challenges facing developed nations.

KEYWORDS

Foster care, recruitment, attraction, marketing, segmentation

The Science of Attracting Foster Carers

1. INTRODUCTION

In 2009 the Victorian Shadow Minister for Community Services, stated that “The number of foster carers has plummeted from 3,250 in 2001, to 1,000 in 2009, a decrease of 69 per cent; foster care agencies report that the overwhelming reason why children referred to foster care are not able to be placed is that there are just not enough foster carers” (Wooldridge, 2009).

While this statement pertains to the State of Victoria, it is indicative of the foster care situation being faced in all States and Territories within Australia. Similar growth in numbers has been experienced in many countries including the US (US Department of Health and Human Services, 2009) the UK (Department of Children, Schools and Families, 2009) and Spain (de Valle, 2009). It is not an overstatement to say that we are in the midst of a foster care crisis.

There are two possible solutions to the problem: either the number of children requiring foster care decreases or the number of out of home placements increases. The latter is, at least in part, a marketing challenge which cannot be addressed by studying those who are foster carers. Instead, an understanding of the barriers to foster care is required to identify new sections of the general population that may be attracted to fostering through targeted communications.

Specifically, this study aims to investigate why people do not become foster carers and whether certain barriers to foster caring affect particular segments of the population. This will

enable foster care organizations to target marketing efforts at specific segments of the market that are not engaging in foster care for particular sets of reasons.

Theoretically, this study contributes to knowledge because: (1) it investigates non-foster carers on a large scale; (2) it applies *a posteriori* segmentation to gain insight into heterogeneity within the market of “potential” foster carers; (3) it includes information relevant to foster care marketers; and (4) it employs psychological constructs hypothesized to be associated with success in the role of foster carer. As such, resulting segments can be selected on the basis of the likelihood of being attracted as well as the probability of their suitability for fostering.

Foster care is a unique type of altruistic behavior because it is far more involved than donating money or volunteering time. While our understanding of donating and volunteering behavior and ways how we can stimulate it is substantial (for example Randle & Dolnicar, 2009; Sargeant & Lee, 2004) we have little understanding of how to attract foster carers. Gaining insight into this unique behavior is important in order to address one of the key social challenges of the 21st century.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 The Consequences of Insufficient Carers

Internationally, researchers agree that a child’s early years are critical to their lifelong development (for a review see Foley et al., 2000). The level of nurturing and support provided fundamentally affects the behavior and health of that individual over a lifetime. A range of risk factors during childhood – such as parental ability and exposure to abuse and

neglect – contribute to poor health and negative social outcomes throughout childhood and later adult life (Springer, 2007; Leeb, Lewis & Zolotor, 2011).

Most children placed in out-of-home care have been subject to abuse or neglect (Australian Institute of Health and Welfare, 2010) and are therefore more likely to suffer from physical and mental health problems (Hildyard & Wolfe, 2003; Dube et al., 2003). When entering care, almost all foster children are experiencing physical health problems such as acute infections, fractures, respiratory disorders and skin conditions (see Simms, Dubowitz & Szilagyi, 2000). Typically, foster children also exhibit exceptionally poor social competence and mental health problems including attachment difficulties, trauma-related anxiety and inattention/hyperactivity (Barber & Delfabbro, 2004, Tarren-Sweeny & Hazell, 2006), and more than half of children in out-of-home care require outpatient mental health services (Leslie, Hurlburt, Landsverk, Barth & Slymen, 2004). Foster children are also more likely to experience health problems in their adult lives, including anxiety disorders and depression, chronic pain, and serious illness or accidents (Barth, 1990; Kessler *et al.*, 2008).

To some degree, physical and mental ill health can be minimized if children at risk can be identified and placed into care at younger ages (Tarren-Sweeny, 2008). Once in care, quality of care factors, including placement stability and the quality of the carers, have been postulated to account for a reasonable proportion of the variation in the child's health outcomes (Rubin et al., 2004; Fernandez, 2008; Tarren-Sweeny, 2008). In addition, agencies that are able to provide such high quality foster care placements have been found to produce better health outcomes for the children in the long-term (Kessler *et al.*, 2008).

Providing such high quality care environments is in no small part reliant on finding individuals who are highly suited to the role of foster carer. This requires effective

recruitment strategies which result in greater numbers of carers who have the skills and ability to provide nurturing environments in which children can develop to their maximum potential. To develop such strategies, we require greater understanding of the “market” of potential foster carers and the marketing messages which will be motivating for them.

2.2 Reasons For, and For Not, Foster Caring

Numerous studies have attempted to capture the reasons why people *do* become foster carers. These have included a genuine interest in helping a child in need and wanting to improve long-term outcomes for young people (McHugh et al., 2004). Others have acknowledged that carers gain benefits of value to themselves, such as putting their religious views into practice and having company after their own children leave home (Goughler & Trunzo, 2005, Kirton, 2001). In recent years, as a result of modern social trends such as couples waiting longer to have children and a growing public acceptance of same-sex relationships, an increasing number of foster carers are couples and individuals who are unable to have children of their own and are looking to fill a gap in their lives.

The monetary allowance provided has been hypothesized to be a motivating factor for some carers. However, in many countries including Australia the allowance paid to carers contributes to covering the financial costs of supporting the foster child, but is insufficient to be considered a viable alternative to paid work (McHugh, 2007). In their US study, Duncan and Argys (1997) estimated that by increasing foster carer payments by \$100 per month the number of children in group homes would be reduced by 28.7 percent and the average number of placements per foster child would decrease by 20 percent. This suggests that the level of financial remuneration for foster carers is a key factor in not only attracting but also retaining foster carers.

Far less attention has been paid to the reasons people *do not* consider foster caring. The few studies which have examined this issue have listed reasons such as the costs associated with having to care for a child, insecurities regarding their ability to manage a foster child, and lack of time due to other commitments in their lives (SA Department of Family and Community Services, 1997).

Others hypothesize that the increasing number of women engaging in full-time work has contributed to the difficulties in attracting foster carers. Bebbington and Miles (1990) suggest that the result of this labour market trend has been changes in the profiles of typical foster families to include more biological children in the household, because the families who are in a position to consider foster caring are those that already have a stay at home parent looking after children full-time.

All of the above studies consider motivations or barriers at the aggregate level and simply count the most frequently cited reasons. They do not consider that these frequencies might differ significantly if we compared particular segments of the market – for example different age brackets or different cultural backgrounds. One exception is an Australian study conducted by Randle, Miller, Dolnicar and Ciarrochi (2010) which investigated reasons for not having considered foster caring before and determined that differences do exist within the market by conducting a series of *a priori* segmentation analyses. Based on a sample of 897 respondents they identified significant differences in the barriers preventing different groups from fostering. This study provides initial evidence for the existence of heterogeneity within the foster care market and highlights the potential for further and more sophisticated segmentation analyses to gain more in-depth understanding of the structure of this market.

2.3 Foster Care and Marketing

In many ways the challenges faced by providers of foster care are similar to the marketing challenges faced by commercial organizations. They need to identify the right consumers (individuals likely to be good foster carers), design marketing campaigns to attract them (reach them and motivate them to begin fostering) and then keep them loyal to the agency (keep fostering for as long as possible).

It could be argued that the problem is actually one of employee recruitment. However the reality confronting foster care agencies in Australia is that in most cases the allowance paid to foster carers is well below the minimum wage and cannot be considered a viable alternative to paid employment. There is general agreement that there is a strong altruistic component to the behavior of foster caring which involves a significant investment of financial, emotional, and time-based resources (McHugh, 2007), often far greater than that required for one's biological children (Forbes, O'Neill, Humphreys, Tregeagle & Cox, 2011). Hence, we approach the challenge of foster carer attraction from a marketing perspective.

The few recruitment-related studies of foster care provide initial support for the importance of developing effective marketing strategies. In Australia, one strategy that has been acknowledged as effective is using word-of-mouth to attract carers (Higgins, Bromfield & Richardson, 2004). Broad-based communications have also generated public interest in fostering. In 2010, a three-month Government advertising campaign resulted in nearly 20,000 people visiting the government website, 1,960 calls made to the call centre, and an average of 52 foster care applications received each week (NSW Government, 2010). This represented a significant increase from the usual level of interest throughout the duration of the campaign. However in this context, findings also indicate that although community-wide media

strategies are effective in raising awareness of foster care they are less effective in translating enquiries into approved carers (McHugh et al., 2004).

Considering the magnitude of the problem being faced, there is a dearth of knowledge about foster care marketing. The present study aims to fill this gap by conducting a large scale, national study investigating the reasons people do not consider foster care.

3. METHODOLOGY

3.1 Fieldwork Administration

Fieldwork was conducted in November – December 2009. The sample of 756 Australian adults was recruited through a nationally representative online research panel. Panel members were recruited through a number of avenues – for example, online, through newspaper advertisements, and in shopping centers – to overcome the bias that can occur when only one recruitment method is used. Hard quotas were used to ensure representativity for age, sex and state of residence, and soft quotas were used for other socio-demographic variables including education, income, employment status and marital status. Individuals were included if they indicated they had not considered being a foster carer before.

3.2 Questionnaire

As a screening question, participants were asked if they had ever considered becoming a foster carer before; answers could be “yes” or “no”. Those who answered “no” were then asked why they had not considered it and presented with a list of 29 different reasons. This list was derived following a literature review and interviews with non-foster carers regarding

reasons for not foster caring. Participants indicated if each reason applied to them by selecting “yes” or “no”.

For segment profiling, participants answered questions relating to their socio-demographic characteristics including age, sex, residence, home environment, wealth and education. They were also asked about their experience and knowledge of foster care and people with disabilities. In addition, a number of psychometric and situational factors hypothesized to be relevant to foster caring were included, as well as measures assessing environmental/personal resources and personal characteristics.

3.2.1 Environmental/Personal Resources

Social support. The multidimensional scale of perceived social support (Zimet, Dahlem, Ziet, & Farley, 1988) was utilized for this measure. Participants rated 12 statements on a seven point scale ranging from strongly disagree (1) to strongly agree (7). The scale features three subscales, relating to social support from family, friends, and significant others.

Perceived wealth. Two questions were asked regarding wealth. The first asked whether they thought their family was: very poor (1), quite poor (2), neither rich nor poor (3), well off (4), or rich (5). The second question asked how happy they were with their family’s financial position, ranging from very unhappy (1) to very happy (5).

Life Satisfaction. Diener, Emmons, Larsen and Griffin’s (1985) Life Satisfaction scale was used to ask participants the extent to which they agree (1) or disagree (7) with each of five statements related to life satisfaction (e.g. “In most ways my life is close to my ideal”).

Relationship Quality. This scale measures individuals’ evaluations of their relationship satisfaction, commitment, intimacy, trust, passion, and love (Fletcher, Simpson, & Thomas, 2000; Grigg, Fletcher, & Fitness, 1989). The scale consists of six items (e.g. “How satisfied

are you with your relationship?") and is rated on a scale ranging from not at all (1) to extremely (7).

3.2.2 Personal Characteristics

Hope. Hope involves the belief that one can produce "routes to desired goals" (Snyder, 2000, p. 8). High hope individuals believe they can begin and maintain movement towards their goals (agency thinking) and they can produce plausible routes to these goals (pathways thinking). The hope questionnaire consisted of four items that measured pathways thinking (e.g. "I can think of many ways to get out of a jam") and agency thinking ("I energetically pursue my goals") (Snyder, 2000). Items are rated on a four point scale ranging from definitely false (1) to definitely true (4).

Problem-solving orientation. The nine-item Problem Orientation Scale consists of three 3-item subscales that evaluate cognitive, emotional, and behavioral aspects of problem orientation (Frauenknecht & Black, 1995). Participants responded using a five-point rating scale ranging from 0 to 4, with high scores indicating a propensity toward negative problem orientation and an avoidance of problems.

Empathy. We utilized the Basic Empathy Scale (Jolliffe & Farrington, 2006) which consists of two subscales: affective empathy ("after being with a friend who feels sad about something, I usually feel sad"; 11-items) and cognitive empathy ("When someone is feeling 'down', I can usually understand how they feel"; 9-items).

Religious faith. People indicated they belonged to a religion and believed in God. Those with religious faith also rated how important that faith was to them, from strongly disagree (1) to strongly agree (5).

3.3 Analysis

To determine for the market as a whole the barriers to fostering, frequency counts were computed on the reasons for not foster caring. We conducted segmentation analysis in a second step. Specifically, we conducted a data-driven (Dolnicar & Leisch, 2004) segmentation to investigate whether useful segments could be identified.

4. RESULTS

Barriers Preventing Individuals From Becoming Foster Carers

Table 1 contains the agreement levels relating to barriers against fostering a child. Responses were limited to “yes” or “no”, percentages in Table 1 reflect only those who answered “yes”.

The two reasons agreed with most frequently are that: (1) nobody ever asked them to foster a child; and (2) taking in a child is too big a commitment to make. While it is unlikely that people holding the latter view could be attracted to foster caring, the fact that 62% identify “not having been asked” as a barrier to fostering, points to a potential opportunity for communications to increase the number of foster carers.

[Insert Table 1 here]

The fact that 52% of respondents state that they do not know anything about foster caring also indicates that more communication is required. An additional challenge is that 29% of people state that they don't know where to find information about foster care, indicating that

not only will the message determine the success of future communications but so will the communication channels chosen.

However, from Table 1 is not clear whether the 62% of people who state that they have never been asked to foster a child also have other reasons – perhaps more compelling – that are likely to prevent them from fostering. For example, some people who say that they have never been asked to foster child may also have no interest in children. These people are likely to be relatively insensitive to a marketing campaign that asks them to consider foster caring. It is therefore necessary to study the stated barriers for segments of the market.

Analysis of Heterogeneity of Foster Caring Barriers

The starting point for the segmentation analysis was the question block of barriers. As 29 variables (shown in Table 1) are too many to analyze with a sample of 756 respondents (Formann, 1984), a sample of variables was selected for the segmentation base covering a wide range of topics. According to Formann, the number of variables that can be included for segmentation analysis with this sample size is nine. In order to select nine barriers for inclusion in the segmentation we revisited the qualitative interviews (with non-foster carers) that informed development of the questionnaire, and made a qualitative assessment of the key themes most commonly arising. The barriers chosen that reflected these themes were: I am already busy with my own children; I have no interest in children; The allowance provided is not enough to cover the costs of the child; I am worried that if I couldn't cope with the child I could not give him/her back; No one has ever asked me to; I don't have enough room where I live; I don't think I could cope with a foster child; It would be too upsetting if I had to give the child back to their birth family; and I am too young / old (this was computed from two variables: too young and too old).

To choose the most suitable number of segments, the framework proposed by Dolnicar and Leisch (2010) was used. The two-segment solution produced the most stable and consistent results, however it did not provide enough differentiation between segments to enable a marketing strategy to be developed. The second most stable solution was the four-segment solution: the median value was the second highest but it came at the price of some variability in stability over replications. Overall, the four segment solution appeared to represent the most viable compromise in terms of interpretability (see below) and stability, and accordingly was selected for interpretation.

Figure 1 provides the profiles of the four segments (labeled in Figure 1 as “clusters”). The bars indicate the proportion of members that stated their agreement with each one of the variables (listed along the y-axis). The horizontal line indicates the proportion of agreement in the entire sample. Segments are interpreted by comparing the difference between the segment and the sample proportions.

[Insert FIGURE 1 here]

Segment 1 (26% of respondents) is not foster caring because they are busy with their own children, and has therefore been labeled the “Mums & Dads” segment. This segment may have potential in future when their own children leave home, since the other reasons did not appear to be barriers for them. It is also possible that this group would be more suited to other types of foster caring which typically involve periodic investments of time, such as emergency care or short-term care. In this case communications educating them on the different types of care may contribute to breaking down this barrier.

Segment 2 (22% of respondents) agrees with almost all barriers and has therefore been labeled the “Not interested” segment. Their deviation from the overall sample of respondents is largest with respect to the barrier “It would be too upsetting if I had to give the child back to their birth family”. They are also concerned about not being able to cope. This segment, therefore, does not appear to be particularly suitable for targeting as potential carers.

Segment 3 (24% of respondents) feels that they could not cope with fostering a child, has no interest in children, and feels as though they are too young or too old. This group has been given the descriptive label of the “Couldn’t cope” segment, as this is the most frequently cited barrier. As with Segment 2 (Not interested), this segment is considered unsuitable for targeted communication messages.

Segment 4 (27% of respondents) is of interest: the only barrier they state prevents them from fostering a child is that nobody has ever asked them. They have consequently been labeled the “Never been asked” segment. This segment does not indicate that they have no interest in children or and is not concerned about not being able to cope. This is a segment which lends itself optimally to a communications and has the potential of attracting new foster carers.

Segment Profiles

Table 2 compares the four segment profiles in Figure 1 on the basis of age, number of people in the household, personal income, household income, educational level, and anticipated retirement age.

[Insert Table 2 here]

A set of analyses was conducted on a number of demographic variables in order to identify whether differences between segments exist for these respondent attributes. Depending upon whether the variables were nominal or at least ordinal, χ^2 tests or ANOVAs respectively, were performed. For parametric tests, in cases where the omnibus test was found to be significant, post-hoc testing was conducted using Tukey's HSD method. To reduce the likelihood of Type I error, alpha was set at .01. The variables identifying significant differences between groups against this criterion are described below.

There was no significant difference between segments with respect to composition by sex, however, there was a trend that suggested that Segments 3 (Couldn't cope) and 4 (Never been asked) were more male than Segments 1 (Mums & Dads) and 2 (Not interested). A significant effect of respondent age was found, post-hoc testing revealed a significant difference between Segments 1 (Mums & Dads, youngest) and 4 (Never been asked, oldest).

Demographic variables associated with the home environment did not vary significantly across segments. However, there was a trend such that Segment 3 (Couldn't cope) was found had more respondents without children than with, while the patterns of frequencies for the other groups were reversed.

A series of wealth measures found personal income to discriminate between segments. Post-hoc testing identified income for Segment 4 (Never been asked) to be significantly greater than for Segments 1 (Mums & Dads) and 2 (Not interested), but not different from Segment 3 (Couldn't cope).

A significant effect was found for education level, with significant difference in the mean levels of education reported for Segments 1 (Mums & Dads, lowest educated segment) and 3 (Couldn't cope, highest educated segment).

A second series of between-groups ANOVAs were performed on the psychometric variables in order to determine whether there were differences between groups on these measures. The criterion of .01 was used in significance testing for these variables. In cases where an omnibus test was significant, post-hoc testing using the Tukey HSD method identified differences between group means. Table 3 reports the group means for the psychometric variables as well as the results of omnibus tests conducted on the data.

[Insert Table 3 here]

In terms of environmental and personal resources, Segment 4 (Never been asked) perceived themselves as having greater wealth than the other segments. This perception was consistent with their reported levels of income which was significantly higher than that reported by Segment 1 (Mums & Dads) and Segment 2 (Not interested).

Regarding personal characteristics, self-directedness and effectiveness of individuals (the Hope and Problem-solving scales) identified Segment 4 (Never been asked) as possessing significantly higher mean levels of Hope and a significantly lower mean problem-solving score than the other segments. This pattern reflects a greater capacity amongst this group to generate ways to meet goals, greater belief that they can follow these means towards goal fulfillment, and greater self-rated problem-solving capability.

In terms of the cooperativeness of respondents, Segment 2 (Not interested) had greater scores than the other segments, and indicates that this segment has the capacity to share in the emotional states of others to a greater degree than individuals in other segments.

5. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

Unlike most studies of foster carers which focus on reasons individuals decide to become foster carers, the present study sought to identify why people do not. Data driven segmentation analysis revealed four segments within the population which were characterized by distinct combinations of barriers that prevented them from becoming foster carers. Firstly, the “Mums and Dads” are not foster carers because they are too busy with their own children. Secondly, the “Not interested” segment does not consider foster care because of a range of factors including that they would find it hard if they had to return the child to their birth family or that they couldn’t give the child back if they found they could not cope. Thirdly, the “Couldn’t cope” segment’s primary reason for not fostering is that they feel they just could not cope with the role and that they have no real interest in children. Finally, the fourth segment labeled “Never been asked” nominates only one reason higher than the sample average as a barrier to becoming a foster carer – that no one has ever asked them to.

In terms of differences in the socio-demographic profiles of these segments, age, education, and personal income were found to be demographic indicators of segment membership. In particular, Segment 4 (Never been asked) is older with greater personal income while Segment 3 (Couldn’t cope) is more highly educated, possibly with an outlook more focused on profession and career. In terms of psychometric variables, Segment 4

(Never been asked) was characterized by greater hope and problem solving capacity and greater perceived wealth.

In terms of designing marketing campaigns to attract more foster carers, these findings point to two segments of the market which offer the most potential for targeted communications. The first is Segment 1, the “Mums & Dads”. This segment has experience raising children and therefore does not have the insecurities of other segments about being able to cope with the role. The “Mums & Dads” may, at some point in future when their children are older or have left home and this barrier no longer exists, be interested in foster caring. Past research conducted by the authors (as yet unpublished) has shown that individuals can consider becoming a foster carer for a number of years or even decades before actually taking any steps towards making this a reality. This is probably because people need to feel as though it is the right time in their lives to be able to make the significant commitment of foster caring, a rationale supported by the number of people in this study indicating that a barrier to foster care relates to their particular stage of life (e.g. being too young or too old). Although “Mums & Dads” are unlikely to become foster carers in the immediate future, a strategic marketing approach to this group which builds a positive image of foster caring and preference for the foster care agency would increase the likelihood that when they do come to a point in their lives where the time is right they know who to contact. In terms of message content, this type of marketing strategy would involve generic brand image communications that create positive associations with foster caring, rather than direct calls to action or emphasizing the immediate and urgent need for foster carers. Given that the “Mums & Dads” have lower incomes and lower perceived wealth, communications which inform this group about any support services associated with foster care, such as the financial assistance provided to support the additional person in the household, may contribute to breaking down

the barriers to foster caring. The aim is to present an accurate picture of any support available to foster carers to eliminate misconceptions which in turn create barriers to foster caring. The socio-demographic characteristics of this group give insight as to the channels or mediums most likely to reach them. For example, on average for this group is aged in their 30's so their children are likely to be school-aged. Therefore, strategic promotions through school newsletters, school events such as fetes or sporting competitions, or fundraising or promotional events organized through schools holds the potential for building brand awareness and a positive image of foster caring within this segment.

The second segment identified as suitable for targeted marketing communications, the "Never been asked" segment, is made up one fourth of the representative sample, and seems the most promising for immediate marketing campaigns. The "Never been asked" segment is characterized by higher perceived levels of hope and problem solving, and these positive characteristics could explain the fact that they do not feel particularly limited by any of the specific barriers presented, other than the fact that the opportunity has never presented itself. This segment is neither disinterested in children nor lacks confidence in their ability to cope. The message communicated should be very informative and even educative, incorporating as much information about what is involved in foster care as possible. Communications should directly call on individuals to become foster carers now, and make this appeal to be as personal as possible so that the recipient of the message feels that it is a direct appeal to them. Given this segment's stronger qualities for hope and problem solving, messages that reinforce the ability of foster carers to make a difference in children's lives and to play a role in solving the nation-wide challenge of foster care are likely to be motivating for this group. The socio-demographic characteristics of this group also give clues as to the communications channels more likely to reach them. The "Never been asked" segment is older, with the average age in

their forties, and have higher incomes and tend to be more male than female. Publications which attract readers of this demographic should be targeted not only for direct advertisements but also for “good news” public relations stories which are informative and promote the positive impact foster carers have.

An important point to be made here relates to the challenge of presenting a realistic picture of the complexities associated with foster caring, for example the increased likelihood that foster children will display challenging behaviors and/or have serious health problems, whilst not presenting such a negative picture that individuals who might be very capable of being foster carers are turned off foster caring all together. Without question, the messages communicated in any marketing campaign should properly represent what is actually involved in foster caring. However, it is entirely reasonable that these communication efforts can focus on the many positive aspects of foster caring that are more likely to encourage people who find these aspects of foster care motivating to at least call to enquire about becoming a carer.

Findings have implications for improvements to practice because they give recruitment managers insight into a segment of potential carers who represent a promising target for marketing activities. It also gives them specific direction as to the communications messages which would reduce these barriers. The fact that significant differences were found in the profiles of these segments means that specific communication channels can be identified which are more likely to reach these people effectively. This information is useful to foster care organizations because the marketing function has traditionally been seen as peripheral to the core service delivery programs of foster care agencies and, as a result, there is still a lack of marketing knowledge and expertise within the sector. Not only can these findings

contribute to improved marketing methods, but they also enable managers to spend their limited marketing dollars with maximum effect.

REFERENCES

- Australian Institute of Health and Welfare [AIHW]. (2010). *Child protection Australia 2008-09*. Canberra: AIHW.
- Barber, J. & Delfabbro, P. (2004) *Children in Foster Care*. London: Routledge.
- Barth, R. (1990). On their own: The experiences of youth after foster care. *Child and Adolescent Social Work, 70*(5), 419-440.
- Bebbington, A. & Miles, J. (1990). The supply of foster families for children in care. *British Journal of Social Work, 20*(1), 283-307.
- Cashmore, J., Higgins, D., Bromfield, L. & Scott, D. (2006). Recent Australian child protection and out-of-home care research: What's been done - and what needs to be done? *Children Australia, 31*(2), 4-11.
- Department of Children Schools and Families. (2009). *Statistical first release: Children looked after in England (including adoption and care leavers) year ending 31 March 2009*. Retrieved from <http://www.dcsf.gov.uk/rsgateway/DB/SFR/s000878/SFR25-2009Version2.pdf>.
- del Valle, J., López, M., Montserrat, C., & Bravo, A. (2009). Twenty years of foster care in Spain: Profiles, patterns and outcomes. *Children and Youth Services Review, 31*(8), 847-853.
- Diener, E., Emmons, R., Larsen, R. & Griffin, S. (1985). The satisfaction with life scale. *Journal of Personality Assessment, 49*(1), 71-75.
- Dolnicar, S. & Leisch, F. (2004). Beyond “commonsense segmentation” – a systematics of segmentation approaches in tourism. *Journal of Travel Research, 42*(3), 244-250.

- Dolnicar, S. & Leisch, F. (2010). Evaluation of structure and reproducibility of cluster solutions using the bootstrap. *Marketing Letters*, 21(1), 83-101.
- Dube, S., Felitti, V., Dong, M., Giles, W. & Anda, R. (2003) The impact of adverse childhood experiences on health problems: Evidence from four birth cohorts dating back to 1900. *Preventative Medicine*, 37(3): 268-277.
- Duncan, B. & Argys, L. (1997) Economic Incentives and Foster Care Placement. *Southern Economic Journal*, 74(1), 114-142.
- Fernandez, E. (2008). Unravelling emotional, behavioural and educational outcomes in a longitudinal study of children in foster-care. *British Journal of Social Work*, 38(7), 1283-1301.
- Fletcher, G., Simpson, J. & Thomas, G. (2000). The measurement of perceived relationship quality components: A confirmatory factor analytic approach. *Personality and Social Psychology*, 26(3), 340-354.
- Foley, D., Goldfeld, S., McLoughlin, J., Nagorcka, J., Oberklaid, F. & Wake, M. (2000). A review of the early childhood literature. Retrieved from http://www.fahcsia.gov.au/sa/families/pubs/early_childhood/Documents/early_childhood.pdf
- Forbes, C., O'Neill, C., Humphreys, C., Tregeagle, S. and Cox E. (2011) Foster care and adoption: Carer/parent hours over and above 'ordinary parenting'. *Children Australia*, 36(2), 56-65.
- Formann, A. (1984). *Die latent-class-analyse: Einführung in die theorie und anwendung*. Weinheim: Beltz.

- Frauenknecht, M. & Black, D. (1995). Social problem-solving inventory for adolescents (SPSI-A): Development and preliminary psychometric evaluation. *Journal of Personality Assessment*, 64(3), 522–539.
- Goughler, D. & Trunzo, A. (2005). Unretired and better than ever: Older adults as foster parents for children. *Families in Society*, 86(3), 393-400.
- Grigg, F., Fletcher, G. & Fitness J. (1989). Spontaneous attributions in happy and unhappy dating relationships. *Journal of Social and Personal Relations*, 6(1), 61–68.
- Higgins, D., Bromfield L. & Richardson, N. (2005). *Enhancing out-of-home care for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander young people*. Retrieved 13 May 2010, from <http://www.aifs.gov.au/nch/pubs/reports/empirical/empirical.html>.
- Hildyard, K. & Wolfe, D. (2002). Child neglect: Developmental issues and outcomes. *Child Abuse and Neglect*, 26(6-7), 679-695.
- Jolliffe, D. & Farrington, D. P. (2006). Development and validation of the basic empathy scale. *Journal of Adolescence*, 29(4), 589-611.
- Kessler, R., Pecora, P., Williams, J., Hiripi, E., O'Brien, K, English, D., White, J., Zerbe, R, Downs, A., Plotnick, R., Hwang, I. & Sampson, N. (2008). Effects of Enhanced Foster Care on the Long-term Physical and Mental Health of Foster Care Alumni. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, 65(6), 625-633.
- Kirton, D. (2001). Love and money: Payment, motivation and the fostering task. *Child and Family Social Work*, 6(1), 199-208.

- Leeb, R., Lewis, T. & Zolotor, A. (2011) A review of physical and mental health consequences of child abuse and neglect and implications for practice. *American Journal of Lifestyle Medicine*, 5(5), 454-468.
- Leslie, L., Hurlburt, M., Landsverk, J., Barth, R. & Slymen, D. (2004) Outpatient mental health services for children in care: A national perspective. *Child Abuse and Neglect*, 28 (6), 697-712.
- McHugh, M. (2007). Indirect costs of fostering and their impact on carers. *Communities, Children and Families Australia*, 2(1), 73-85.
- McHugh, M., McNab, J., Smyth, C., Chalmers, J., Siminski, P. & Saunders, P. (2004). *The availability of foster carers: Main report*. Retrieved from http://www.sprc.unsw.edu.au/media/File/Report12_04_Avail_of_Foster_Carers_Main.pdf
- NSW Government (2010) *Fostering NSW: Campaign Snapshot*. NSW Strategic Communications and Government Advertising website, Accessed 21 November 2011 at <http://advertising.nsw.gov.au/campaigns/fostering-nsw>.
- Randle, M. & Dolnicar, S. (2009). Understanding the Australian environmental volunteering market – A basis for behavioral change and a sustainable future. *Australasian Marketing Journal*, 17(4), 192-203.
- Randle, M., Miller, L., Dolnicar, S. & Ciarrochi, J. (2010). Using market segmentation to gain insight into reasons for not foster caring. In H. Yeatman (Ed.), *The SInet 2010 eBook: Proceedings of the SInet 2009 Conference* (pp. 157-166). Wollongong: SInet Publications.

- Sargeant, A. & Lee, S. (2004). Trust and relationship commitment in the United Kingdom voluntary sector: Determinants of donor behaviour. *Psychology and Marketing*, 21(8), 613-635.
- Simms, M., Dubowitz, H. & Szilagyi, M. (2000) Health Care Needs of Children in the Foster Care System. *Pediatrics*, 106(4), 909-918.
- Snyder, C. R. (2000). *Handbook of hope: Theory, measures, and applications*. San Diego, CA: Academic Press.
- SA Department of Family and Community Services. (1997). *Fostering: The future*. Adelaide: SADFCS.
- Tarren-Sweeny, M. (2008) Retrospective and concurrent predictors of the mental health of children in care. *Children and Youth Services Review*, 30(1), 1-25.
- Tarren-Sweeny, M. & Hazell, P. (2006) Mental health of children in foster and kinship care in New South Wales, Australia. *Pediatrics and Child Health*, 42(1), 89-97.
- US Department of Health and Human Services. (2009). *Foster Care Statistics*. Retrieved July 28, 2010, from <http://www.childwelfare.gov/pubs/factsheets/foster.pdf>.
- Wooldridge, M. (2009). *Foster care in turmoil*. Retrieved 9 October 2009, from <http://www.acwa.asn.au/email/downloads82/turmoil.pdf>
- Zimet, G., Dahlem, N., Zimet, S. & Farley, G. (1988). The multidimensional scale of perceived social support. *Journal of Personality Assessment*, 52(1), 30-41.

TABLES

Table 1: Barriers to fostering a child

Why have you never considered fostering a child?	Applies to me (%)
No one has ever asked me to	62%
Taking in a child is too big a commitment to make	62%
Because of my personal circumstances	61%
The opportunity never arose	59%
I don't know anything about foster caring	52%
I don't have enough room where I live	51%
I don't think I could cope with a foster child	47%
I am too busy with work commitments	42%
It would restrict my ability to do what I want to do e.g. travel	42%
I wouldn't be the type of person they are looking for to be a foster carer	40%
I don't think that I could do a good job	40%
I don't know which organizations arrange foster care	38%
I am too busy with family/friend commitments	38%
I don't have any experience with children	30%
I am already busy with my own children	30%
It would be too upsetting if I had to give the child back to their birth family	30%
I don't know where to find information about foster care	29%
It is likely a foster child would have behavioral problems	29%
My own health issues	29%
It would negatively impact/disrupt my own family	27%
I am worried that if I couldn't cope with the child I could not give him/her back	26%
I am too young	24%
I am too old	23%
I would rather have an occupation which pays more	21%
My family wouldn't support the idea	18%
My own children are too young	17%
The allowance provided was not enough to cover the costs of the child	16%
I have no interest in children	15%
There are already enough people providing foster care	6%

Table 2. Segment profiles and results of between groups analysis.

Measure	Segment Profiles				Between Groups Analysis			
	Mums & Dads	Not interested	Couldn't cope	Never been asked	Test	N	Test statistic	p
N	199	170	183	204				
Age (years)	38.21 (12.31)	41.45 (13.86)	39.42 (13.69)	42.36 (12.31)	ANOVA	756	$F(3,752) = 4.14$.006
Individual income[†]	4.46 (2.35)	4.26 (2.30)	4.70 (2.43)	5.14 (2.33)	ANOVA	756	$F(3,752) = 4.88$.002
Household income[†]	4.99 (1.95)	5.10 (1.77)	5.08 (1.89)	5.36 (1.70)	ANOVA	756	$F(3,752) = 1.50$.214
Number of people in the household	2.94 (1.36)	2.91 (1.39)	2.68 (1.28)	2.72 (1.34)	ANOVA	756	$F(3,752) = 1.79$.147
Level of education[†]	3.46 (1.71)	3.79 (1.73)	4.23 (1.71)	3.89 (1.76)	ANOVA	756	$F(3,752) = 6.47$.000
Trimmed anticipated retirement age N	154	127	146	155				
Trimmed anticipated retirement age	63.28 (5.16)	63.25 (5.05)	62.65 (5.11)	64.05 (5.14)	ANOVA	582	$F(3,578) = 1.90$.129

Note. Standard deviations are given in brackets.

[†] *Higher mean values indicate higher levels of income and educational qualifications.*

Table 3. Mean values and results of omnibus tests of psychometric variables by segment.

Measure †	Mums & Dads	Not interested	Couldn't cope	Never been asked	F
<i>Environmental/ personal resources</i>					
Social support (MSPSS)	65.20 (12.13)	65.14 (13.43)	63.81 (14.63)	66.49 (12.49)	1.33
Significant other	22.44 (5.44)	22.57 (5.57)	21.85 (6.25)	22.79 (5.89)	0.90
Family	21.46 (4.82)	21.37 (5.52)	21.26 (5.62)	22.17 (4.52)	1.29
Friends	21.30 (4.65)	21.20 (5.34)	20.17 (5.47)	21.53 (4.67)	0.90
Relationship quality	29.10 (5.74)	28.04 (6.15)	29.48 (5.21)	29.06 (5.69)	1.48
Perceived wealth	6.24 (1.28)	6.16 (1.37)	6.22 (1.35)	6.59 (1.13)	4.45*
Life satisfaction	21.78 (6.08)	22.01 (6.54)	21.41 (7.04)	22.99 (6.59)	2.08
<i>Personal characteristics</i>					
Hope-Total	22.85 (3.38)	23.01 (3.07)	22.93 (3.35)	24.34 (2.94)	9.90**
Hope-Pathways	11.72 (1.74)	11.76 (1.66)	11.79 (1.78)	12.40 (1.52)	7.42**
Hope-Agency	11.13 (1.91)	11.24 (1.81)	11.14 (1.94)	11.94 (1.75)	8.81**
Problem-solving orientation	21.34 (6.61)	21.86 (6.10)	21.34 (6.76)	18.91 (6.11)	8.38**
Empathy Total (BES)	70.30 (8.43)	72.84 (8.83)	69.66 (9.10)	71.21 (8.71)	4.37*
Cognitive empathy	33.38 (4.98)	33.85 (4.96)	33.54 (4.93)	34.61 (4.53)	2.54
Affective empathy	36.92 (5.34)	38.98 (5.59)	36.12 (6.13)	36.60 (6.04)	8.32**
Importance of religion	3.11 (1.28)	3.39 (1.20)	3.28 (1.22)	3.09 (1.17)	1.38
<i>N^a</i>	199	170	183	204	

Note. Standard deviations are given in brackets.

† These values refer to the scales outlined in the Method section.

* $p < .01$. ** $p < .001$.

^a These refer to the respondent numbers in each segment except for relationship quality and religious faith. Relationship quality had 136, 129, 122, and 140 respondents for Segments 1-4, respectively. Religious faith had 103, 96, 88, and 104 respondents for Segments 1-4, respectively.