

University of Wollongong

Research Online

---

Faculty of Science - Papers (Archive)

Faculty of Science, Medicine and Health

---

2008

## Synthetic and spectroscopic studies on the structures of uniflorines A and B: structural revision to 1,2,6,7-tetrahydroxy-3-hydroxymethylpyrrolizidine alkaloids

Andrew S. Davis

*University of Wollongong*, [asd38@uowmail.edu.au](mailto:asd38@uowmail.edu.au)

Thunwadee Ritthiwigrom

*University of Wollongong*, [tr379@uow.edu.au](mailto:tr379@uow.edu.au)

Stephen G. Pyne

*University of Wollongong*, [spyne@uow.edu.au](mailto:spyne@uow.edu.au)

Follow this and additional works at: <https://ro.uow.edu.au/scipapers>



Part of the [Life Sciences Commons](#), [Physical Sciences and Mathematics Commons](#), and the [Social and Behavioral Sciences Commons](#)

---

### Recommended Citation

Davis, Andrew S.; Ritthiwigrom, Thunwadee; and Pyne, Stephen G.: Synthetic and spectroscopic studies on the structures of uniflorines A and B: structural revision to 1,2,6,7-tetrahydroxy-3-hydroxymethylpyrrolizidine alkaloids 2008.  
<https://ro.uow.edu.au/scipapers/5321>

Research Online is the open access institutional repository for the University of Wollongong. For further information contact the UOW Library: [research-pubs@uow.edu.au](mailto:research-pubs@uow.edu.au)

---

## Synthetic and spectroscopic studies on the structures of uniflorines A and B: structural revision to 1,2,6,7-tetrahydroxy-3-hydroxymethylpyrrolizidine alkaloids

### Abstract

The diastereoselective synthesis of the C-2 epimer and the C-1, C-2 di-epimers of the putative structure of the alkaloid uniflorine A has been achieved. The synthesis of the latter di-epimers employed a novel pyrrolo[1,2-*c*]oxazin-1-one precursor to allow for the reversal of  $\pi$ -facial diastereoselectivity in an osmium(VIII)-catalyzed *syn*-dihydroxylation (DH) reaction. The NMR spectral data of these epimeric compounds and that of related isomers did not match that of the natural product. From a comparison of the NMR data of uniflorines A and B with that of casuarine and the known synthetic 1,2,6,7-tetrahydroxy-3-hydroxymethylpyrrolizidine isomers we concluded unequivocally that uniflorine B is the known alkaloid casuarine. Although we cannot unequivocally prove the structure of uniflorine A, without access to the original material and data, the published data suggest that the natural product is also a 1,2,6,7-tetrahydroxy-3-hydroxymethylpyrrolizidine with the same relative C-7–C-7a–C-1–C-2–C-3 stereochemistry as casuarine. We thus suggest that uniflorine A is 6-*epi*-casuarine.

### Keywords

CMMB

### Disciplines

Life Sciences | Physical Sciences and Mathematics | Social and Behavioral Sciences

### Publication Details

Davis, A. S., Ritthiwigrom, T. & Pyne, S. G. (2008). Synthetic and spectroscopic studies on the structures of uniflorines A and B: structural revision to 1,2,6,7-tetrahydroxy-3-hydroxymethylpyrrolizidine alkaloids. *Tetrahedron*, 64 (21), 4868-4879.

# Synthetic and spectroscopic studies on the structures of Uniflorine A and B. Structural revision to 1,2,6,7-tetrahydroxy- 3-hydroxymethylpyrrolizidine alkaloids.

Andrew S. Davis, Thunwadee Ritthiwigrom and Stephen G. Pyne,\*


School of Chemistry, University of Wollongong, Wollongong, New South Wales, 2522, Australia

spyne@uow.edu.au

**Abstract:** The diastereoselective synthesis of the C-2 epimer and the C-1, C-2 di-epimers of the putative structure of the alkaloid uniflorine A has been achieved. The synthesis of the latter di-epimer employed a novel pyrrolo[1,2-*c*]oxazin-1-one precursor to allow for the reversal of  $\pi$ -facial diastereoselectivity in an osmium(VIII)-catalysed *syn*-dihydroxylation (DH) reaction. The NMR spectral data of these epimeric compounds and that of related isomers did not match that of the natural product. From a comparison of the NMR data of uniflorine A and uniflorine B with that of casuarine and the known synthetic 1,2,6,7-tetrahydroxy-3-hydroxymethylpyrrolizidine isomers we concluded unequivocally that uniflorine B is the known alkaloid casuarine. Although we can not unequivocally prove the structure of uniflorine A, without access to the original material and data, the published data suggest that the natural product is also a 1,2,6,7-tetrahydroxy-3-hydroxymethylpyrrolizidine with the same relative C-7-C-7a-C-1-C-2-C-3 stereochemistry as casuarine. We thus suggest that uniflorine A is 6-*epi*-casuarine.

## 1. Introduction

The alkaloid uniflorine A was isolated in 2000 from the leaves of the tree *Eugenia uniflora* L.<sup>1-3</sup> The water-soluble extract of these leaves has been used as an antidiabetic agent in Paraguayan traditional medicine. Uniflorine A was found to be an inhibitor of the  $\alpha$ -glucosidases, rat intestinal maltase and sucrase, with IC<sub>50</sub> values of 12 and 3.1  $\mu$ M, respectively. The structure of uniflorine A was deduced from NMR analysis to be that shown as structure **1**.<sup>1</sup> The proposed structure of uniflorine A is similar to that of castanospermine **2**, except for the stereochemistry at C-1 and the extra hydroxyl substitution at C-2.




**Figure 1.** Proposed structure of uniflorine A (**1**) and the structure of castanospermine (**2**).

As part of our program concerned with the synthesis of polyhydroxylated indolizidine and pyrrolizidine alkaloids<sup>4-11</sup> we reported an efficient 9-step synthesis of purported uniflorine A from L-xylose.<sup>10</sup> The structure of our synthetic **1** was unequivocally established by a single-crystal X-ray crystallographic study of its pentaacetate derivative.<sup>10</sup> The <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR data for synthetic **1**, however did not match with those reported for uniflorine A; the latter showed many more downfield peaks in the <sup>1</sup>H NMR, perhaps consistent with the amine salt. The <sup>1</sup>H NMR of the hydrochloride salt of synthetic **1** however, did not match the literature spectral data either. We therefore concluded that the structure assigned to uniflorine A was not correct.<sup>10</sup> We also indicated that the coupling constant  $J_{1,8a}$  of 4.5 Hz for uniflorine A, was more consistent with the relative *syn*-H-8a, H-1 stereochemistry, suggesting that uniflorine A, if it was an indolizidine alkaloid, had the same H-1 stereochemistry as castanospermine **2**.

In 2006, Dhavale<sup>12</sup> also reported the synthesis of compound **1**, their sample had NMR data identical to ours. This paper also reported the synthesis of 1,2,8a-tri-*epi*-**1** and 8a-*epi*-**1**, these compounds also had NMR spectral data significantly different to that of uniflorine A. In 2005 Mariano<sup>13</sup> reported the

synthesis of 1-*epi*-**1**, while 1,2-di-*epi*-**1** was reported by Fleet<sup>14</sup> in 1996 (Figure 2), before uniflorine was even isolated, and later by Mariano in 2005.<sup>13</sup> These indolizidine molecules also had NMR spectral data different to that of uniflorine A. Thus if uniflorine A was epimeric at C-1 and / or C-2 with compound **1** then the only remaining possible structure for the natural product was 2-*epi*-**1**. Thus we report here the diastereoselective synthesis of 2-*epi*-**1** and a comparison of its NMR data with that of uniflorine A. We also report the synthesis of 1,2-di-*epi*-**1**, to demonstrate the versatility and flexibility of our earlier synthetic strategy for preparing 1,2,6,7,8-pentahydroxyindolizidines.<sup>10</sup>








**Figure 2.**

## 2. Results and Discussion


### 2.1 Synthesis of 2-*epi*-**1**

The amino-tetraol **3**, obtained from the boronic acid-Mannich reaction (Petasis reaction)<sup>10</sup> of L-xylose, allylamine and (*E*)-styrene boronic acid, was converted in three steps to the 2,5-dihydropyrrole **4**, the precursor we used earlier in our synthesis of **1** (Scheme 1).<sup>10</sup> The triol **4** was readily converted to its tri-*O*-benzyl derivative **5** in 56% yield under standard conditions.<sup>15</sup> The relatively low yield for this step was in part due to the competitive formation of the corresponding *O*-dibenzyl-oxazolidin-2-one **20**

(Scheme 3) (3%) and oxazin-2-one **21** (Scheme 3) (9%). Osmium(VIII)-catalysed *syn*-dihydroxylation (DH) of **5** furnished the diol **6** as a single diastereomer in 75% yield. The stereochemical outcome of this DH reaction was expected due to the stereodirecting effect of the C-2 pyrrolidine substituent in **5**.<sup>4,5,10</sup> The diol **6** was then converted directly to the cyclic sulfate **7** using sulfonyl chloride under basic conditions (SO<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, Et<sub>3</sub>N).<sup>16</sup> This method was more efficient than the two step method involving first formation of the corresponding cyclic sulfite (SOCl<sub>2</sub>, Et<sub>3</sub>N) followed by oxidation with catalytic ruthenium tetroxide (RuCl<sub>2</sub>, NaIO<sub>4</sub>).<sup>8,16</sup> The cyclic sulfate **7** was found to be sensitive to purification on silica gel and was thus taken through the next step without purification. Treatment of **7** with cesium benzoate in DMSO at 40 °C for 19 h followed by acid hydrolysis of the resulting adduct gave the benzoate **8** in 54% yield from the diol **6**.<sup>8,16</sup> The benzoate **8** resulted from the regioselective nucleophilic ring opening of the cyclic sulfate **7** at the less hindered C-4 pyrrolidine position giving rise to inversion of stereochemistry at C-4. This reaction also produced a small amount (7%) of the corresponding *O*-trityl deprotected analogue of **8** (see Experimental section for details), formed under the acidic hydrolysis conditions. The benzoate group of **8** was removed by methanolysis to give the diol **9**. Selective liberation of the secondary amino and primary hydroxyl groups of **9** was achieved by exposure of **9** to TFA in the presence of anisole, as a cation scavenger, at rt.<sup>17</sup> This reaction gave a mixture of the desired amino-alcohol **10** (66%) and the indolizidine **11** (8%) (Scheme 1). We observed the formation of related products to **10** and **11** from the TFA/anisole deprotection reaction on 1,2-*O*-dibenzyl-2-*epi*-**9** during our synthesis of **1**.<sup>10</sup> The amino-alcohol **10** underwent cyclization under Mitsunobu reaction conditions in THF/pyridine as solvent to give the same indolizidine **11** in a modest yield of 25%.<sup>6,18</sup> The use of the Apple cyclization reaction conditions (Ph<sub>3</sub>P/CBr<sub>4</sub>/Et<sub>3</sub>N),<sup>19</sup> that worked well for the cyclization of 1,2-*O*-dibenzyl-2-*epi*-**9**, gave a complex mixture of products. Only the Mitsunobu reaction using pyridine as the solvent gave the desired product. Debenzylation of **11** under hydrogenolysis conditions using PdCl<sub>2</sub>/H<sub>2</sub><sup>20</sup> gave 2-*epi*-**1** ([α]<sub>D</sub><sup>25</sup> -9.2 (c 0.17, H<sub>2</sub>O)) in 72% yield after ion-exchange chromatography in a total of 11 synthetic steps from L-xylose. The <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectral data for 2-*epi*-**1**, however did not match with those reported for uniflorine A (Table 1). This data will be discussed further in section 2.3.



DIAD, Ph<sub>3</sub>P, pyridine, THF, 0-5 °C, 48 h, 25%




## Scheme 1

### 2.2 Synthesis of 1,2-di-*epi*-1

The synthesis of 1,2-di-*epi*-1 required a method of reversing the stereochemical outcome of the *syn*-DH reaction, as demonstrated in the diastereoselective synthesis of the 1 $\alpha$ ,2 $\alpha$ -diol **6** from the DH reaction of **5** (Scheme 1). We have previously shown that by converting substrates like **5** into their corresponding pyrrolo[1,2-*c*]oxazol-3-ones that the DH reaction occurs with the opposite stereochemical outcome to give mainly  $\beta$ -DH.<sup>6,8,9,21</sup> Parsons demonstrated that the unsubstituted pyrrolo[1,2-*c*]oxazol-3-one **12** underwent a photochemical [2 + 2]-cycloaddition to the alkene group to the unexpected *endo*-face of the bicyclic system.<sup>22</sup> Our group<sup>21</sup> and that of Parsons<sup>23</sup> demonstrated that the DH reaction of **12** was also highly diastereoselective and gave the diol **13**, resulting from attack of OsO<sub>4</sub> from the concave (*endo*) face of **12** (Scheme 2). To explain this stereochemical outcome we suggested that the  $\beta$ -face would be sterically hindered to attack by OsO<sub>4</sub> by the *pseudo*-axial protons H-7 $\alpha$  and H-5 $\beta$ .<sup>6,7,9,21</sup> A similar stereochemical argument has been used to explain the stereochemical preference of the DH reactions of 1,2-didehydroindolizidines.<sup>5</sup> Parsons has performed semi-empirical calculations (6-31G\*) on **12** which suggested that the HOMO of **12** is not symmetric about the alkene moiety but has more electron density on the *endo* face of the molecule.<sup>23</sup> This may be the major reason for the high *endo*-selectivity of reactions on **12**. Whatever the reasons for the  $\pi$ -facial selectivity of **12**, this compound and its substituted derivatives have been successfully used by our group,<sup>6-9,21</sup> and that of Parsons,<sup>23,24</sup> to diastereoselectively prepare polyhydroxylated indolizidine and pyrrolizidine alkaloids and their epimers. When planning our synthesis of 1,2-di-*epi*-1 we were interested in employing a pyrrolo[1,2-*c*]oxazin-1-one similar to **14** as a precursor and examining the diastereoselectivity of its DH reactions (Scheme 2). To the best of our knowledge such substrates have not been employed in natural product synthesis.






## Scheme 2

With the aim of preparing specifically the pyrrolo[1,2-*c*]oxazin-1-one **21** (Scheme 3), and using this compound as a precursor for the synthesis of 1,2-di-*epi*-1, the amino-tetraol **3** was converted to its *N*-Troc derivative **16** (75% yield) using trichloroethyl succinimidyl carbonate (Troc-OSu).<sup>25</sup> The primary alcohol of **16** was then regioselectively protected as its *O*-trityl compound **17** (75% yield) (Scheme 3). Treatment of **17** with sodium hydride and benzyl bromide gave a mixture of tri-*O*-benzylated **17** (structure not shown) and the oxazol-2-one **18** and the oxazin-2-one **19**. These compounds were difficult to separate by column chromatography but NMR analysis suggested that the cyclic derivatives, **18** and **19** were the major products. Because separation was difficult and not efficient the mixture was treated with Grubbs' second generation ruthenium catalyst<sup>21</sup> to provide three readily separable products. The major bicyclic derivatives, the pyrrolo[1,2-*c*]oxazol-3-one **20** and the pyrrolo[1,2-*c*]oxazin-1-one **21** were obtained in yields of 39% and 31%, respectively from the mono-cyclic, *N*-Troc analogue of **5** that was not characterized (Scheme 3). Osmium(VIII)-catalysed *syn*-DH of **21** furnished the diol **22** as a single diastereomer in 67% yield. The diol functionality of **21** was *O*-benzylated (67% yield) and the trityl group was removed by acid hydrolysis to give the primary alcohol **24** (61% yield). Base catalysed hydrolysis of the oxazinone ring of **24** under microwave irradiation conditions gave the pyrrolidine **25** in 62% yield. The amino-alcohol **25** underwent smooth cyclization to give the

indolizidine **26** using  $\text{Ph}_3\text{P/CBr}_4/\text{Et}_3\text{N}$  in 85% yield.<sup>19</sup> Debenzylation of **26** under hydrogenolysis conditions using  $\text{PdCl}_2/\text{H}_2$ <sup>20</sup> gave 1,2-di-*epi*-**1** in 62% yield after ion-exchange chromatography and then recrystallization from ethanol/water. The  $^1\text{H}$  and  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR spectral data of this compound matched closely ( $\Delta \delta$  0.2-0.6 ppm and  $\Delta \delta$  0.0-0.2 ppm, respectively,  $^1\text{H}$  NMR coupling constants very also very similar) to that reported in the literature for this compound.<sup>14</sup> The optical rotation of this compound ( $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{23} + 21$  ( $c$  1.5,  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ ), lit.<sup>14</sup>  $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{23} + 66.5$  ( $c$  1.33,  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ )) was of the same sign but of a significantly different magnitude to that reported. The hydroscopic nature of this compound may explain this difference.<sup>14</sup>



Scheme 3

### 2.3 Proposed structure of uniflorine A and the revised structure of uniflorine B

Table 1 lists the  $^1\text{H}$  NMR spectral data for uniflorine A,<sup>1</sup> compound **1**<sup>10</sup> and its three epimers, 1-*epi*-**1**,<sup>13</sup> 2-*epi*-**1** and 1,2-di-*epi*-**1**.<sup>14</sup> The  $^1\text{H}$  and  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR spectral data for these compounds do not match with those reported for uniflorine A; the synthetic compounds show many more upfield peaks in their  $^1\text{H}$  NMR spectra. The newly synthesised 2-*epi*-**1** showed  $J_{1,8a}$  (7.5 Hz) and  $J_{1,2}$  (2.5 Hz) values consistent with its proposed stereochemistry and the 1,2-diaxial like arrangement of H-8a and H-1 and the 1,2-diequatorial like *trans*-relationship between H1 and H2.<sup>26</sup>

Table 1.  $^1\text{H}$  NMR spectroscopic data ( $\text{D}_2\text{O}$ ) for uniflorine A and epimeric 1,2,6,7,8-pentahydroxyindolizidines.


	chemical shifts (ppm)				
	uniflorine A <sup>1</sup>	<b>1</b> <sup>10</sup>	1- <i>epi</i> - <b>1</b> <sup>13</sup>	1-2-di- <i>epi</i> - <b>1</b> <sup>14</sup>	2- <i>epi</i> - <b>1</b>
H-1	4.18	3.82	4.08	4.06	3.96
H-2	4.35	4.11	4.25	4.24	4.14
H-3	2.98	3.26	2.63	2.54	2.76
H-3	3.04	2.20	2.88	2.71	2.87
H-5	3.61	3.01	2.96	2.95	3.11
H-5	3.76	2.09	1.91	1.91	2.16
H-6	2.76	3.46	3.42	3.42	3.64
H-7	3.81	3.20	3.13	3.12	3.29
H-8	3.94	3.25	3.48	3.47	3.47
H-8a	3.14	2.08	2.01	2.01	2.11
	coupling constants (Hz)				
	uniflorine A	<b>1</b>	1- <i>epi</i> - <b>1</b>	1-2-di- <i>epi</i> - <b>1</b>	2- <i>epi</i> - <b>1</b>
$J_{1,2}$	4.5	7.5	0	5.8	2.5
$J_{1,8a}$	4.5	7.5	6.3	3.5	7.5
$J_{2,3}$	5.1	6.5	6.3	8.1	6.7
$J_{2,3}$	5.1	7.0	4.4	2.6	<1
$J_{3,3}$	12.1	10.5	10.3	10.9	10.9
$J_{5,5}$	11.8	10.5	10.9	10.7	10.8
$J_{5,6}$	6.4	10.8	9.1	10.7	10.4
$J_{5,6}$	3.8	5.5	5.2	5.3	5.3
$J_{6,7}$	9.0	9.0	9.1	9.9	9.0
$J_{7,8}$	7.7	9.0	9.1	9.5	9.0
$J_{8,8a}$	7.7	9.0	10.2	9.5	9.0

Uniflorine A was originally isolated along with uniflorine B which was assigned the structure **27** (Figure 3).<sup>1</sup> Our analysis of the NMR spectral data for this compound and its optical rotation

indicated that uniflorine B is the known 1,2,6,7-tetrahydroxy-3-hydroxymethylpyrrolizidine alkaloid casuarine **28**.<sup>27</sup> Fleet has also noticed this structural misassignment.<sup>28</sup> The optical rotation and <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectral data of both compounds match very closely, the <sup>3</sup>J<sub>HH</sub> values for the two samples were in very close accord as were their <sup>1</sup>H NMR chemical shifts (Table 2).

The <sup>13</sup>C NMR shifts reported for uniflorine B however, were consistently 3.0-3.2 ppm downfield of the corresponding <sup>13</sup>C NMR resonances for casuarine **28**. Alternative referencing between the two samples could account for this consistent discrepancy. Both <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra were determined at 125 MHz in D<sub>2</sub>O. The <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum of casuarine **28** was referenced to acetone at δ 29.80<sup>27</sup> while that of uniflorine B was apparently referenced to TMS (a standard not known for its water (D<sub>2</sub>O) solubility) as an internal standard.<sup>1</sup>

Further support for these compounds being the same was the fact that both casuarine and uniflorine B inhibit the α-glycosidase, rat intestinal maltase with low μM activities. The reported IC<sub>50</sub> values for uniflorine B and casuarine were 0.7 μM<sup>29</sup> and 4.0 μM,<sup>1</sup> respectively from experiments in different laboratories.



**Figure 3.**

**Table 2.** NMR (D<sub>2</sub>O) and optical rotation data for casuarine **28** and that reported for uniflorine B.

nucleus	chemical shifts (ppm)		coupling constants (Hz)		
	casuarine <sup>27</sup>	uniflorine B <sup>1</sup>		casuarine <sup>27</sup>	uniflorine B <sup>1</sup>
H-1	4.16	4.17 (H-8) <sup>b</sup>	$J_{1,2}$	8.0	8.1 ( $J_{7,8}$ ) <sup>b</sup>
H-2	3.80	3.79 (H-7)	$J_{1,7a}$	8.0	8.1 ( $J_{8,8a}$ )
H-3	3.04	3.04 (H-5)	$J_{2,3}$	8.0	multiplet
H-5 $\alpha$	2.91	2.92 (H-3)	$J_{3,8}$	3.8	3.7 ( $J_{5,6}$ )
H-5 $\beta$	3.27	3.26 (H-3)	$J_{3,8'}$	6.6	6.8 ( $J_{6,7}$ )
H-6	4.21	4.22 (H-2)	$J_{5\alpha,6}$	4.0	3.9 ( $J_{2,3}$ )
H-7	4.19	4.19 (H-1)	$J_{5\beta,6}$	4.7	4.5 ( $J_{2,3'}$ )
H-7a	3.07	3.06 (H-8a)	$J_{6,7}$	a	4.5 ( $J_{1,2}$ )
H-8	3.77	3.78 (H-6)	$J_{7,7a}$	3.5	3.2 ( $J_{1,8a}$ )
H-8'	3.61	3.61 (H-6)	$J_{8,8'}$	11.9	11.3 ( $J_{6,6''}$ )
			$J_{5,5'}$	12.2	12.2 ( $J_{3,3'}$ )

nucleus	cauarine <sup>27</sup>	uniflorine B <sup>1</sup>	$\Delta \delta$ (ppm)	optical rotation	
C-1	77.8	80.9 (C-8) <sup>b</sup>	3.1	cauarine <sup>27</sup>	$[\alpha]_D^{24} + 16.9$
C-2	76.6	79.8 (C-7)	3.2		( <i>c</i> 0.8, H <sub>2</sub> O)
C-3	70.0	73.0 (C-5)	3.0		
C-5	58.0	61.1 (C-3) <sup>c</sup>	3.1	uniflorine B <sup>1</sup>	$[\alpha]_D + 16.3$
C-6	77.4	80.6 (C-2)	3.2		( <i>c</i> 1.1, H <sub>2</sub> O)
C-7	78.8	81.9 (C-1)	3.1		
C-7a	72.1	75.2 (C-8a)	3.1		
C-8	62.2	65.5 (C-3) <sup>c</sup>	3.1		

<sup>a</sup>Could not be determined due to peak overlap. <sup>b</sup>Original assignment based on an indolizidine structure.<sup>1</sup> <sup>c</sup>Both signals were assigned as C-3 in the original paper.<sup>1</sup>

The <sup>13</sup>C NMR chemical shifts of the methylene carbons reported for uniflorine A at  $\delta$  65.3 and  $\delta$  60.0 correspond more closely to C-3 and C-5, respectively, of a 1,2,6,7-tetrahydroxy-3-


hydroxymethylpyrrolizidine (c.f. casuarine C-3 ( $\delta$  70.0) and C-5 ( $\delta$  58.0), Table 2) than to C-3 and C-5 of a 1,2,6,7,8-pentahydroxyindolizidine (the methylene resonances in **1** are at C-3 ( $\delta$  59.2) and C-5 ( $\delta$  55.4)) Thus it would be reasonable to assume that uniflorine A, like uniflorine B, is also a 1,2,6,7-tetrahydroxy-3-hydroxymethylpyrrolizidine alkaloid. On this assumption we have reassigned the published  $^1\text{H}$  NMR data for uniflorine A as shown in Table 3 by analogy with the known chemical shifts, coupling constants and assignments for casuarine **28**.<sup>27</sup> A comparison of this reassigned NMR data for uniflorine A with that of casuarine **28** and its known synthetic diastereomers, 7-*epi*-**28**<sup>30</sup> and 6,7-di-*epi*-**28**<sup>30,31</sup> (Figure 3) indicated that these three compounds were clearly different. However, their  $^1\text{H}$  NMR spectral data showed a much better correlation than that between the reported data for uniflorine A and the epimeric 1,2,6,7,8-pentahydroxyindolizidines shown in Table 1. This NMR reassignment required the numbering of uniflorine A to be transposed to that shown on the pyrrolizidine structure **B** in Figure 4. Unlike uniflorine B, this transposition retains the same sequential order of the C-atoms.

**Table 3.** The  $^1\text{H}$  NMR chemical shifts (ppm,  $\text{D}_2\text{O}$ ) for casuarine **28**,<sup>27</sup> 6,7-di-*epi*-**28**<sup>30</sup> and 7-*epi*-**28**<sup>30</sup> and that reported for uniflorine A.<sup>1</sup>

nucleus	casuarine <b>28</b>	6,7-di- <i>epi</i> - <b>28</b>	7- <i>epi</i> - <b>28</b>	uniflorine A
H-1	4.16	4.23	4.29	3.94 (H-8) <sup>a</sup>
H-2	3.80	3.86	3.75	3.81 (H-7)
H-3	3.04	2.67	2.73	2.76 (H-6)
H-5	2.91	2.85	2.54	2.98 (H-3 $\beta$ )
H-5'	3.27	3.00	3.18	3.04 (H-3 $\alpha$ )
H-6	4.21	4.15	4.15	4.35 (H-2)
H-7	4.19	4.08	4.07	4.18 (H-1)
H-7a	3.07	3.36	3.16	3.14 (H-8a)
H-8	3.77	3.55	3.50	3.61 (H-5 $\alpha$ )
H-8'	3.61	3.73	3.70	3.76 (H-5 $\beta$ )

<sup>a</sup>Original assignments based on an indolizidine structure.<sup>1</sup>





**Figure 4.** Our proposed structure for uniflorine A.

A comparison of the coupling constants for the protons in casuarine **28**, 6,7-di-*epi*-**28** and 7-*epi*-**28** with those observed for uniflorine A, revealed a strong correlation between several nuclei (Table 4). Specifically, the originally assigned  $J_{8,8a}$  value for uniflorine A was consistent with the  $J_{1,7a}$  values of **28**, 7-*epi*-**28** and 6,7-di-*epi*-**28**. Similarly, the originally assigned  $J_{7,8}$  value of uniflorine A was consistent with the  $J_{1,2}$  values of **28**, 7-*epi*-**28** and 6,7-di-*epi*-**28**, while the originally assigned  $J_{6,7}$  value of uniflorine A was also consistent with the  $J_{2,3}$  values of **28**, 7-*epi*-**28** and 6,7-di-*epi*-**28**. These high  $^3J_{H,H}$  values (7.9-9.6 Hz) describe a *trans*-diaxial configuration between the contiguous protons (Table 4).<sup>26</sup> On this basis, we propose that uniflorine A has the same C-7a-C-1-C-2-C-3 relative configuration as casuarine **28**, 7-*epi*-**28** and 6,7-di-*epi*-**28**. A comparison of the last four rows of coupling constants in Table 4 revealed a poorer correlation between the four compounds. Clearly  $J_{7,7a}$  was relatively insensitive to the stereochemistry at C-7 since this coupling constant ranged from 3.5-4.5 Hz in **28**, 7-*epi*-**28** and 6,7-di-*epi*-**28**. However care must be taken in such an analysis as the change of configuration at one or more stereogenic centres can significantly change the conformation of the molecule making stereochemical predictions difficult.<sup>26</sup> The pH of the NMR sample can also influence the chemical shifts and thus a comparison of the above compounds under identical sample and referencing conditions would be required before further conclusions can be drawn. Although we can not unequivocally prove the structure of uniflorine A, without access to the original material and data, the published data suggests that the natural product is 6-*epi*-casuarine **29** (Figure 4).<sup>32</sup>

**Table 4.**  $J$  values (Hz) for casuarine diastereomers and that reported for uniflorine A.

	casuarine	6,7-di- <i>epi</i> - <b>28</b>	7- <i>epi</i> - <b>28</b>	uniflorine A
	<b>28</b>			
$J_{1,7a}$	8.0	7.2	7.6	7.7 ( $J_{8,8a}$ ) <sup>b</sup>
$J_{1,2}$	8.0	8.1	7.9	7.7 ( $J_{7,8}$ )
$J_{2,3}$	8.0	9.6	9.6	9.0 ( $J_{6,7}$ )
$J_{5,6}$	4.0	4.2	9.6	5.1 ( $J_{2,3\beta}$ )
$J_{5',6}$	4.7	1.8	6.4	5.1 ( $J_{2,3\alpha}$ )
$J_{6,7}$	a	2.3	3.8	4.5 ( $J_{1,2}$ )
$J_{7,7a}$	3.5	4.5	4.2	4.5 ( $J_{1,8a}$ )

<sup>a</sup>Could not be determined due to peak overlap. <sup>b</sup>Original assignments based on an indolizidine structure.<sup>1</sup>

Further support for this structure came from the results of the reported NOE studies and <sup>13</sup>C NMR data of uniflorine A.<sup>1</sup> The original paper reported NOE correlations for uniflorine A between H-1 and H-8, H-3 and H-6 and H-5 and H-7. This would correspond to NOE correlations between H-7 and H-1, H5 and H-3 and H-8 and H-2 in the proposed structure **29** (Figure 4) These NOE correlations are consistent with the proposed relative stereochemistries at C-1, C-2, C-3 and C-7 in **29**. However they do not provide information on the relative stereochemistry of H-6 and H-7a, although the later is inferred from the magnitude of  $J_{1,7a}$ .

Analysis of the <sup>13</sup>C NMR chemical shifts for casuarine **28**,<sup>27</sup> 7-*epi*-**28**<sup>30</sup> and 6,7-di-*epi*-**28**<sup>31</sup> and that reported for uniflorine A<sup>1</sup> (Table 5) indicated that casuarine **28** and uniflorine A had the closest matching chemical shifts. If one assumes that the <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum of uniflorine A was also incorrectly referenced by 3 ppm then the chemical shifts of these compounds are even more similar, except for the chemical shift of C-6 ( $\delta$  77.4 for **28** and  $\delta$  74.2 - 3 = 71.2) for uniflorine A, again consistent with uniflorine A being 6-*epi*-casuarine **29**.

**Table 5.**  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR ( $\text{D}_2\text{O}$ ) chemical shifts (ppm) for casuarine and its epimers and uniflorine A.

	casuarine <b>28</b> <sup>27</sup>	6,7-di- <i>epi</i> - <b>28</b> <sup>31</sup>	7- <i>epi</i> - <b>28</b> <sup>30</sup>	uniflorine A <sup>1</sup>	uniflorine A -3 ppm
C-1	77.8	74.4	73.9	81.2 (C-8) <sup>a</sup>	78.2
C-2	76.6	80.1	78.6	79.9 (C-7)	76.9
C-3	70.0	73.0	71.7	72.5 (C-6)	69.5
C-5	58.0	60.6	56.8	60.0 (C-3)	57.0
C-6	77.4	79.3	75.5	74.2 (C-2)	71.2
C-7	78.8	75.5	70.6	78.1 (C-1)	75.9
C-7a	72.1	71.7	69.1	73.6 (C-8a)	70.6
C-8	62.2	63.0	63.2	65.3 (C-5)	62.3


<sup>a</sup> Original assignments based on an indolizidine structure.<sup>1</sup>

### 3. Conclusions

In conclusion we have successfully developed a diastereoselective synthesis of the C-2 (*2-epi-1*) and C-1, C-2 (*1,2-di-epi-1*) epimers of the putative structure of the alkaloid uniflorine A. The synthesis of the latter epimer employed a novel pyrrolo[1,2-*c*]oxazin-1-one (**21**) to allow for the reversal of  $\pi$ -facial diastereoselectivity in an osmium(VIII)-catalysed *syn*-DH reaction. The NMR spectral data of these compounds and that of **1** and *2-epi-1* did not match that of the natural product. From a comparison of the NMR data of uniflorine A and uniflorine B with that of casuarine **28** and its synthetic epimers we have concluded unequivocally that uniflorine B is the known alkaloid casuarine (**28**). Although we can not unequivocally prove the structure of uniflorine A, without access to the original material and data, the published data suggest that the natural product is also a 1,2,6,7-tetrahydroxy-3-hydroxymethylpyrrolizidine with the same relative C-7-C-7a-C-1-C-2-C-3 as casuarine **28**. We suggest that uniflorine A is 6-*epi*-casuarine (**29**). This structure is also consistent with the published NOE and our re-referenced  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR data.<sup>1</sup>

#### 4. Experimental

General methods were as described previously.<sup>5,6</sup> All <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra were performed at 500 MHz and all <sup>13</sup>C NMR (DEPT) spectra at 125 MHz in CDCl<sub>3</sub> solution, unless otherwise noted. NMR assignments are based on COSY, DEPT and HSQC NMR experiments and sometimes HMBC and NOESY experiments. The <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra of 2-*epi*-**1** and 1,2-di-*epi*-**1** in D<sub>2</sub>O were referenced to internal acetonitrile at δ 1.47. IR spectra were determined as neat samples. Petrol refers to petroleum spirit bp 40-60 °C. Compounds are numbered as shown below.



**tert-Butyl (2R)-2-[(1R,2R,3R)-1,2,3-tris(benzyloxy)-4-triphenylmethoxybutyl]-2,5-dihydro-1H-pyrrole-1-carboxylate (5).**

To a solution of **4**<sup>10</sup> (12.41 g, 23.37 mmol) in dry THF (240 mL) at 0 °C was added NaH (3.702 g, 77.12 mmol, 50 % in mineral oil). After H<sub>2</sub> evolution had ceased (10 min), BnBr (16.68 mL, 140.2 mmol) and *n*-Bu<sub>4</sub>NI (863 mg, 2.337 mmol) were added. The mixture was stirred at rt for 3 days, then treated with MeOH (20 mL) followed by evaporation of all volatiles *in vacuo*. The residue was dissolved in Et<sub>2</sub>O and filtered through celite, followed by further washings of the solids with Et<sub>2</sub>O. The solvent was evaporated and the residue was purified by flash column chromatography (5 – 30% EtOAc/petrol) to give **5** as a brown oil (10.48 g, 56 %), **20** (0.45 g, 3 %) as a brown oil and **21** (1.34 g, 9 %) as a brown oil. **5**: *R<sub>f</sub>* 0.46 (20% EtOAc/petrol). [ $\alpha$ ]<sub>D</sub><sup>29</sup> +73 (*c* 4.6, CHCl<sub>3</sub>). MS (ESI +ve) *m/z* 824 (M+Na<sup>+</sup>, 100%). HRMS (ESI +ve) calc for C<sub>53</sub>H<sub>55</sub>NO<sub>6</sub>Na (M+Na<sup>+</sup>) 824.3926, found 824.3925. IR  $\nu_{\max}$  (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 1700, 1449, 1110, 1060. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz) δ 7.46-7.05 (m, 30H, Ar), 5.84-5.80 (m, 1H, H-4), 5.76-5.74 (m, 1H, H-3), 4.84 (d, 1H, *J* 11.4 Hz, Bn), 4.73 (d, 1H, *J* 11.4 Hz, Bn), 4.66 (d, 1H, *J* 11.4 Hz, Bn), 4.59 (d, 1H, *J* 11.4 Hz, Bn), 4.49-4.46 (m, 1H, H-2), 4.33(d, 1H, *J* 11.0 Hz, Bn), 4.21 (d, 1H, *J* 11.0 Hz, Bn), 4.09 (dq, 1H, *J* 1.8, 15.3 Hz, H-5), 4.01-3.97 (m, 1H, H-1'), 3.96-3.91 (m, 2H, H-3', H-5), 3.66 (t, 1H, *J* 5.4 Hz, H-2'), 3.47 (dd, 1H, *J* 3.9, 10.2 Hz, H-4'), 3.39 (dd, 1H, *J* 5.3, 10.4 Hz, H-4'), 1.45 (s, 9H, *t*Bu). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz) δ 153.9 (CO), 144.0, 138.7, 138.5, 138.2 (q Ar), 128.2, 128.1, 128.0, 127.9, 127.8, 127.7, 127.6, 127.5, 127.4, 127.3, 127.2 (Ar), 126.9 (C-4), 126.5 (C-3) 86.9 (q C Tr), 80.9 (C-2'), 80.3 (C-1'), 79.7 (q C, Boc), 79.3 (C-3'), 74.8, 74.6, 73.4 (Bn), 67.0 (C-2). 63.8 (C-4'), 53.5 (C-5), 28.4 (CH<sub>3</sub>).

**tert-Butyl (2R,3S,4R)-3,4-dihydroxy-2-[(1R,2S,3S)-1,2,3-tris(benzyloxy)-4-triphenylmethoxybutyl]pyrrolidine-1-carboxylate (6).**


To a solution of **5** (3.43 g, 4.28 mmol) in acetone (20 mL) and water (20 mL) was added potassium osmate.dihydrate (78.7 mg, 0.214 mmol) and 4-morpholine-*N*-oxide (1.051 g, 8.985 mmol). The reaction was stirred for 3 days at rt and evaporated to give a black oil which was purified by flash column chromatography (30–50% EtOAc/petrol) to give **6** as a brown foamy solid (2.69 g, 75%). *R<sub>f</sub>* 0.50 (40% EtOAc/petrol). [ $\alpha$ ]<sub>D</sub><sup>25</sup> +34 (*c* 0.50, CHCl<sub>3</sub>). MS (ESI +ve) *m/z* 858 (M+Na<sup>+</sup>, 25%), 243 (Tr<sup>+</sup>, 100%). HRMS (ESI +ve) calc for C<sub>53</sub>H<sub>57</sub>NO<sub>8</sub>Na (M+Na<sup>+</sup>) 858.3981, found 858.3991. IR  $\nu_{\max}$  (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3400, 1690, 1395, 1090, 1075. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz)  $\delta$  7.46-7.05 (m, 30H, Ar), 4.86 (d, 1H, *J* 11.4 Hz, Bn), 4.70 (d, 1H, *J* 11.4 Hz, Bn), 4.62 (d, 1H, *J* 11.4 Hz, Bn), 4.53 (d, 1H, *J* 11.4 Hz, Bn), 4.38 (d, 1H, *J* 10.8 Hz, Bn), 4.26-4.21 (m, 3H, Bn, H-1', H-4), 4.12-4.04 (m, 2H, H-3, H-3'), 3.83 (t, 1H, H-2'), 3.72 (m, 1H, H-2), 3.62-3.54 (m, 2H, H-4, H-4'), 3.43-3.40 (m, 1H, H-5), 3.22-3.19 (m, 1H, H-5), 1.43 (s, 9H, *t*Bu). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz)  $\delta$  154.5 (CO), 143.9, 138.5, 137.9, 137.8 (q Ar), 128.8, 128.4, 128.3, 128.2, 128.1, 128.0, 127.9, 127.8, 127.7, 127.6, 127.4, 126.9 (Ar), 87.0 (q C, Tr), 81.2 (C-2'), 79.3 (q C, Boc), 79.0 (C-3'), 78.0 (C-1'), 75.2, 74.0, 73.7 (Bn), 71.2 (C-4), 70.4 (C-3), 65.1 (C-2), 64.5 (C-4'), 51.7 (C-5), 28.4 (CH<sub>3</sub>).

**tert-Butyl (3aS,4S,6aR)-4-[(1R,2S,3S)-1,2,3-tris(benzyloxy)-4-triphenylmethoxybutyl]tetrahydro-5H-[1,3,2]dioxathiol[4,5-*c*]pyrrole-5-carboxylate 2,2-dioxide (7).**

To a solution of **6** (301 mg, 0.361 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (3 mL) was added Et<sub>3</sub>N (0.755 mL, 5.42 mmol) followed by sulfuryl chloride (0.145 mL, 1.81 mmol) at 0 °C. The mixture was stirred for 1 h at 0 °C under an atmosphere of N<sub>2</sub> and then warmed up to rt for 1 h. The residue was suspended in water (5 mL). The aqueous layer was extracted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (3 x 10 mL) and washed with brine. The combined organic phases were dried (MgSO<sub>4</sub>), filtered and evaporated under reduced pressure to give a brown oil that was used in the next step without further purification. (**7**): *R<sub>f</sub>* 0.36 (20% EtOAc/petrol). MS (ESI +ve) *m/z* 920 (M+Na<sup>+</sup>, 50%), 243 (Tr<sup>+</sup>, 100%). HRMS (ESI +ve) calc for C<sub>53</sub>H<sub>55</sub>NO<sub>10</sub>SNa (M+Na<sup>+</sup>) 920.3444, found 920.3439. IR  $\nu_{\max}$  (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 1700, 1395, 1210, 1160, 1070. <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $\delta$  7.42-6.93 (m, 30H, Ar), 5.44 (d, 1H, *J* 5.0 Hz, H-3), 5.07 (m, 1H, H-4), 4.76 (d, 1H, *J* 11.5 Hz, Bn), 4.69 (d, 1H, *J* 11.0 Hz, Bn), 4.57 (d, 1H, *J* 12.0 Hz, Bn), 4.50 (d, 1H, *J* 11.5 Hz, Bn), 4.45 (d, 1H, *J* 11.5 Hz, Bn), 4.41 (brs, 1H, H-2), 4.18 (d, 1H, *J* 11.0 Hz, Bn), 4.13 (m, 1H, H-2'), 4.04 (brd, 1H, *J* 6.5 Hz H-1'), 3.89-3.86 (m, 1H, H-3'), 3.73-3.68 (m, 1H, H-5), 3.59-3.55 (m, 1H, H-4'), 3.46 (dd, 1H, *J* 4.0, 10.5 Hz, H-4'), 3.39 (dd, 1H, *J* 6.3, 13.3 Hz, H-5), 1.44 (s, 9H, *t*Bu). <sup>13</sup>C NMR  $\delta$  152.8 (CO), 143.9, 138.2,

137.6, 137.4 (q Ar), 128.7, 128.5, 128.3, 127.9, 127.8, 127.7, 127.5, 127.2, 127.0 (Ar), 87.1 (q C Tr), 84.4 (C-3), 83.5 (C-4), 80.8 (q C, Boc), 79.6 (C-1'), 79.3 (C-2'), 77.7 (C-3'), 75.4, 74.2, 73.7 (Bn), 64.4 (C-2), 63.3 (C-4'), 51.3 (C-5), 28.4 (CH<sub>3</sub>).

**tert-Butyl (2R,3S,4S)-3-hydroxy-4-phenylcarbonyloxy-2-[(2S,3S)-1,2,3-tris(benzyloxy)-4-triphenylmethoxybutyl]pyrrolidine-1-carboxylate (8) and tert-Butyl (2R,3S,4S)-3-hydroxy-4-phenylcarbonyloxy-2-[(2S,3S)-4-hydroxy-1,2,3-tris(benzyloxy)-butyl]pyrrolidine-1-carboxylate (8a).**



The crude cyclic sulfate **7** obtained from the above reaction was dissolved in DMSO (0.5 mL) and benzoic acid (0.221 g, 1.81 mmol) and cesium carbonate (0.647 g, 1.97 mmol) were added and the solution was stirred under an atmosphere of N<sub>2</sub> for 20 h at 40 °C. The reaction was suspended in THF (4 mL). Water (1.5 mL) then concentrated sulfuric acid (0.8 mL) were added and the mixture was stirred at rt for 20 h, then poured into saturated aqueous NaHCO<sub>3</sub> and extracted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>. The combined CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> extracts were washed with brine, dried (MgSO<sub>4</sub>), filtered and evaporated. The crude products was purified by flash column chromatography (15-50% EtOAc/petrol) to give **8** (183.3 mg, 54% from **6**), **8a** (18.4 mg, 7% from **6**) and **6** (37.2 mg, 16%). **8**: *R<sub>f</sub>* 0.48 (30% EtOAc/petrol). [α]<sub>D</sub><sup>24</sup> +36 (*c* 1.0, CHCl<sub>3</sub>). MS (ESI +ve) *m/z* 961.9 (M+Na<sup>+</sup>, 62%). HRMS (ESI +ve) calc for C<sub>60</sub>H<sub>61</sub>NO<sub>9</sub>Na (M+Na<sup>+</sup>) 962.4244, found 962.4247. IR ν<sub>max</sub> (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3385, 1730, 1696, 1271, 1110, 1070, 1027. <sup>1</sup>H NMR δ 7.99 (d, 2H, *J* 7.5 Hz, H-*o*-Bz), 7.55 (t, 1H, *J* 7.5 Hz, H-*p*-Bz), 7.42-7.07 (m, 32H, Ar), 5.10 (dd, 1H, *J* 14.5, 8.0 Hz, H-4), 4.79 (d, 1H, *J* 12.0 Hz, Bn), 4.75 (d, 1H, *J* 11.5 Hz, Bn), 4.72 (brt, 2H, *J* 5.5 Hz, H-3, Bn), 4.54 (m, 1H, Bn), 4.53 (m, 1H, Bn), 4.44 (brs, 2H, H-1', Bn), 4.28 (brs, 1H, OH), 4.20 (brs, 1H, H-5), 4.11 (dd, 1H, *J* 14.0, 7.0 Hz, H-3'), 3.89 (brs, 1H, H-2'), 3.85 (brs, 1H, H-2), 3.46 (brs, 2H, H-4', H-4'), 3.15 (brs, 1H, H-5), 1.44 (s, 9H, *t*Bu). <sup>13</sup>C NMR δ 166.9, 153.5 (CO), 143.9, 138.1, 137.7 (q Ar), 133.3, 129.8, 129.4, 128.7, 128.3, 128.29, 128.22, 128.16, 128.13, 127.7, 127.69, 127.61, 127.2, 126.8 (Ar), 86.9 (q C Tr), 80.5 (C-2'), 79.7 (C-4), 79.3 (q C, Boc), 78.7 (C-3'), 78.2 (C-1'), 75.0, 74.2, 73.5 (Bn) 73.2 (C-3), 64.8 (C-2), 63.6 (C-4'), 49.2 (C-5), 28.3 (CH<sub>3</sub>).

**8a**:  $R_f$  0.29 (40% EtOAc/petrol). MS (ESI +ve)  $m/z$  697.8 ( $M + H^+$ , 30 %). HRMS (I ES+ve) calc for  $C_{41}H_{47}NO_9$  ( $M+H^+$ ) 698.3329, found 698.3340. IR  $\nu_{max}$  ( $cm^{-1}$ ): 3436, 1700, 1403, 1265, 1110, 1069.  $^1H$  NMR  $\delta$  8.00 (d, 2H,  $J$  7.5 Hz, H-*o*-Bz), 7.53 (t, 1H,  $J$  7.5 Hz, H-*p*-Bz), 7.40 (t, 2H,  $J$  7.5 Hz, H-*m*-Bz), 7.37-7.18 (m, 15H, Ar), 5.14 (dd, 1H,  $J$  14.0, 8.0 Hz, H-4), 4.83-4.44 (m, 6H, 4Bn, H-3, H-1'), 4.30-4.10 (m, 3H, H-5, Bn, H-2), 4.00-3.86 (m, 2H, H-4', H-4'), 3.84-3.76 (m, 3H, H-2', H-3';, Bn), 3.24-3.12 (m, 1H, H-5), 1.46 (s, 9H, *t*Bu).  $^{13}C$  NMR  $\delta$  166.7, 154.0 (CO), 143.8, 138.6, 137.5, 134.4 (q Ar), 133.3, 129.8, 129.4, 128.7, 128.5, 128.4, 128.3, 128.29, 128.21, 128.16, 128.0, 127.8, 127.6, 127.3, 126.9 (Ar), 81.0 (C-2'), 80.3 (q C, Boc), 78.8 (C-4), 78.2 (C-3'), 78.1 (C-1'), 75.6, 74.3 (C-3), 74.2, 72.7 (Bn), 64.5 (C-2), 60.7 (C-4'), 49.9 (C-5), 28.7 ( $CH_3$ ).

***tert*-Butyl (2*R*, 3*S*, 4*S*)-3,4-dihydroxy-2-[(1*R*, 2*S*, 3*S*)-1,2,3-tris(benzyloxy)-4-triphenylmethoxybutyl]pyrrolidine-1-carboxylate (9).**

To a solution of **8** (34.9 mg, 0.037 mmol) in MeOH (1 mL) was added  $K_2CO_3$  (0.010 g, 0.075 mmol). After stirring at rt for 1 d, the mixture was evaporated and dissolved in  $CHCl_3$  then washed with water. The aqueous layer was extracted with  $CHCl_3$  and the combined  $CHCl_3$  extracts were washed with brine, dried ( $MgSO_4$ ) and evaporated. The residue was purified by PTLC (30% EtOAc/petrol) to give **9** as a colourless oil (13.5 mg, 44%).  $R_f$  0.16 (30% EtOAc/petrol).  $[\alpha]_D^{22} +10.5$  ( $c$  0.7,  $CHCl_3$ ). MS (ESI +ve)  $m/z$  858 ( $M+Na^+$ , 62 %), 243 ( $Tr^+$ , 100 %). HRMS (ESI +ve) calc for  $C_{53}H_{57}NO_8Na$  ( $M+Na^+$ ) 858.3982, found 858.3970. IR  $\nu_{max}$  ( $cm^{-1}$ ): 3400, 1691, 1392, 1163, 1073.  $^1H$  NMR  $\delta$  7.48-7.11 (m, 30H, Ar), 4.85 (d, 1H,  $J$  11.5 Hz, Bn), 4.68 (d, 1H,  $J$  11.5 Hz, Bn), 4.61-4.47 (m, 3H, Bn), 4.40 (d, 1H,  $J$  11.5 Hz, Bn), 4.24 (d, 1H,  $J$  8.5 Hz, H-1'), 4.01 (m, 1H, H-3'), 3.78-3.76 (m, 2H, H-3, H-4), 3.72-3.62 (m, 4H, H-4', H-2', H-4', H-2), 3.48 (dd, 1H,  $J$  12.0, 4.5 Hz, H-5), 3.24 (d, 1H,  $J$  11.5 Hz, H-5), 1.26 (s, 9H, *t*Bu).  $^{13}C$  NMR  $\delta$  154.5 (CO), 143.9, 137.4, 137.1, 137.08 (q Ar), 128.8, 128.7, 128.5, 128.3, 127.9, 127.8, 127.7, 127.1, 127.0 (Ar), 87.0 (q C  $Tr$ ), 81.6 (C-2'), 80.0 (q C, Boc), 79.2 (C-1'), 77.4 (C-3'), 77.4, 77.2, 77.1 (Bn), 77.2 (C-4), 73.7 (Bn), 73.3 (C-3), 67.9 (C-2), 63.8 (C-4'), 54.5 (C-5), 29.7 ( $CH_3$ ).

**(2*S*, 3*S*, 4*R*)-4-[(2*R*, 3*S*, 4*S*)-3,4-Dihydroxypyrrolidin-2-yl]-2,3,4-tribenzyloxybutan-1-ol (10) and (1*S*, 2*S*, 6*S*, 7*R*, 8*R*, 8*aR*)-1,2-Dihydroxy-6,7,8-tribenzyloxyoctahydroindolizine (11).**

To a solution of **9** (237 mg, 0.284 mmol) in anhydrous  $CH_2Cl_2$  (2.8 mL) was added anisole (0.289 mL, 2.841 mmol) and TFA (2.19 mL, 28.41 mmol). The mixture was stirred under an atmosphere of  $N_2$  at

rt for 20 h, followed by the evaporation of all volatiles *in vacuo*. The residue was dissolved in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (5 mL) and washed with saturated Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> solution (10 mL). The aqueous layer was extracted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (3 x 10 mL). The combined CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> layers were dried (Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>) and evaporated to give a brown oil which was purified by flash column chromatography (50-100% EtOAc/petrol and 15% MeOH/EtOAc) to give **11** as a pale yellow solid (11 mg, 8%) and the amino alcohol **10** (92.3 mg, 66%) as a brown foamy solid. **10**: *R<sub>f</sub>* 0.45 (1:19:80 / NH<sub>4</sub>OH:MeOH:CHCl<sub>3</sub>). [ $\alpha$ ]<sup>21</sup><sub>D</sub> -14.0 (*c* 0.35, MeOH). MS (ESI +ve) *m/z* 494 (M+H<sup>+</sup>, 100%). HRMS (ESI +ve) calc for C<sub>29</sub>H<sub>35</sub>NO<sub>6</sub> (M+H<sup>+</sup>) 494.2543, found 494.2531. IR  $\nu_{\max}$  (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3411, 3283, 1454, 1126, 1058, 1044. <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $\delta$  7.37-7.25 (m, 15H, Ar), 4.86 (d, 1H, *J* 11.0 Hz, Bn), 4.71 (d, 1H, *J* 11.0 Hz, Bn), 4.68 (d, 1H, *J* 11.5 Hz, Bn), 4.65 (d, 1H, *J* 11.0 Hz, Bn), 4.63 (d, 1H, *J* 11.5 Hz, Bn), 4.57 (d, 1H, *J* 11.5 Hz, Bn), 4.00 (brs, 1H, H-3), 3.90-9.88 (m, 1H, H-4), 3.84 (m, 2H, H-1', H-4'), 3.79 (m, 2H, H-2', H-4'), 3.74 (m, 1H, H-3'), 3.14 (brs, 1H, H-2), 3.00 (dd, 1H, *J* 11.5, 4.5 Hz, H-5), 2.81 (brd, 1H, *J* 11.5 Hz, H-5). <sup>13</sup>C NMR  $\delta$  137.6, 137.4, 137.3 (qAr), 128.7, 128.6, 128.5, 128.3, 128.2, 128.1 (Ar), 80.9 (C-2'), 80.3 (C-1'), 79.5 (C-3), 78.6 (C-3'), 75.5, 74.2, 72.9 (Bn), 66.8 (C-2), 60.8 (C-4'), 52.3 (C-5).

**Synthesis of 11 from 10**: To a solution of **10** (37.0 mg, 0.075 mmol) in pyridine (1 mL) was added triphenylphosphine (39.4 mg, 0.150 mmol) and diisopropyl azodicarboxylate (0.030 mL, 0.150 mmol) at 0 °C. The mixture was stirred under an atmosphere of N<sub>2</sub> at 0 °C for 8 h, and at 0-5 °C for 40 h, then warm up to rt for 48 h. The volatiles were removed *in vacuo* then 1M HCl (5 mL) was added and the mixture was extracted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (2 x 10 mL). The combined CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> extracts were washed with water dried (MgSO<sub>4</sub>), filtered and then evaporated to give a brown oil. Purification by flash column chromatography (2% MeOH/CHCl<sub>3</sub>-1:4:95% NH<sub>4</sub>OH/MeOH/CHCl<sub>3</sub>) gave **11** (9.0 mg, 25%) as a pale yellow solid. **11**: *R<sub>f</sub>* 0.31 (100% EtOAc). [ $\alpha$ ]<sup>23</sup><sub>D</sub> +34 (*c* 0.26, CHCl<sub>3</sub>). MS (ESI +ve) *m/z* 476 (M+H<sup>+</sup>, 100 %). HRMS (ESI +ve) calc for C<sub>29</sub>H<sub>33</sub>NO<sub>5</sub> (M+H<sup>+</sup>) 476.2437, found 476.2327. IR  $\nu_{\max}$  (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3293, 1460, 1103, 1071. <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $\delta$  7.38-7.27 (m, 15H, Ar), 5.00 (d, 1H, *J* 10.5 Hz, Bn), 4.94 (d, 1H, *J* 11.0 Hz, Bn), 4.82 (d, 1H, *J* 11.0 Hz, Bn), 4.70 (d, 1H, *J* 11.5 Hz, Bn), 4.67 (d, 1H, *J* 12.5 Hz, Bn), 4.64 (d, 1H, *J* 11.5 Hz, Bn), 4.04 (dd, 1H, *J* 5.0, 2.0 Hz, H-2), 3.73 (dd, 1H, *J* 6.5, 2.0 Hz, H-1), 3.69 (m, 1H, H-6), 3.53 (m, 1H, H-7), 3.52 (m, 1H, H-8), 3.18 (dd, 1H, *J* 10.5, 5.5 Hz, H-5), 2.85 (d, 1H, *J* 10.5 Hz, H-3), 2.61 (dd, 1H, *J* 10.5, 6.5 Hz, H-3), 2.04 (t, 1H, *J* 10.5 Hz, H-5), 1.96 (dd, 1H, *J* 9.0, 7.0 Hz, H-8a). <sup>13</sup>C NMR  $\delta$  138.6, 138.2, 138.1 (qAr), 128.7, 128.43, 128.41, 128.19, 128.14, 127.9, 127.8, 127.7, 127.6 (Ar), 87.3 (C-7), 84.1 (C-1), 81.6 (C-8), 79.4 (C-6), 78.0 (C-2), 75.5, 74.8, 72.9 (Bn), 72.6 (C-8a), 60.4 (C-3), 54.1 (C-5).



**(1S,2S,6S,7R,8R,8aR)-Octahydroindolizine-1,2,6,7,8-pentol (2-*epi*-1).**

To a solution of **11** (11.0 mg, 0.023 mmol) in EtOAc (0.5 mL) and MeOH (0.5 mL) was added PdCl<sub>2</sub> (6.16 mg, 0.035 mmol). The mixture was stirred at rt under an atmosphere of H<sub>2</sub> (balloon) for 4 h. The mixture was filtered through a celite pad and the solids were washed with MeOH. The combined filtrates were evaporated *in vacuo* and the residue was dissolved in water and applied to a column of Amberlyst (OH<sup>-</sup>) A-26 resin. Elution with water followed by evaporation *in vacuo* gave compound **4** (3.4 mg, 72%) as a pale yellow, foamy solid.  $[\alpha]_D^{25}$  -9.2 (*c* 0.17, H<sub>2</sub>O). MS (ESI +ve) *m/z* 206 (M+H<sup>+</sup>, 100%). HRMS (ESI +ve) calc for C<sub>8</sub>H<sub>15</sub>NO<sub>5</sub> (M+H<sup>+</sup>) 206.1028, found 206.0990. IR  $\nu_{\max}$  (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3308, 1073, 1043. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (D<sub>2</sub>O)  $\delta$  4.14 (br d, 1H,  $J_{2,3\beta}$  7.0 Hz, H-2), 3.96 (dd, 1H,  $J_{1,8a}$  7.0,  $J_{1,2}$  2.5 Hz, H-1), 3.64 (ddd, 1H,  $J_{5\beta,6}$  10.0,  $J_{6,7}$  9.0,  $J_{5\alpha,6}$  5.3 Hz, H-6), 3.47 (t, 1H,  $J_{7,8} = J_{8,8a}$  9.0 Hz, H-8), 3.29 (t, 1H,  $J_{6,7} = J_{7,8}$  9.0 Hz, H-7), 3.11 (dd, 1H,  $J_{5\alpha,5\beta}$  10.8,  $J_{5\alpha,6}$  5.3 Hz, H-5 $\alpha$ ), 2.87 (brd, 1H,  $J_{3\alpha,3\beta}$  11.0 Hz, H-3 $\alpha$ ), 2.76 (dd, 1H,  $J_{3\alpha,3\beta}$  10.8,  $J_{2,3\beta}$  6.3 Hz, H-3 $\beta$ ), 2.16 (t, 1H,  $J_{5\alpha,5\beta} = J_{5\beta,6}$  10.8 Hz, H-5 $\beta$ ), 2.11 (dd, 1H,  $J_{8,8a}$  9.0,  $J_{1,8a}$  8.0 Hz, H-8a). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (D<sub>2</sub>O)  $\delta$  82.7 (C-1), 79.2 (C-7), 78.1 (C-2), 74.1 (C-8), 72.1 (C-8a), 70.3 (C-6), 59.7 (C-3), 55.6 (C-5).

**(6E)-5-{Allyl[(2,2,2-trichloroethoxy)carbonyl]amino}-5,6,7-trideoxy-7-phenyl-D-gluco-hept-6-enitol (**16**)**

To a solution of **3**<sup>10</sup> (4.94 g, 16.9 mmol) in 1,4-dioxane (50 mL) was added NaHCO<sub>3</sub> solution (34 mL, 2M), and succinimidyl-2,2,2-trichloroethyl carbonate (4.90 g, 16.9 mmol). The mixture was stirred at rt for 5 h then diluted with water (100 mL) and extracted with EtOAc (2 × 100 mL). The combined EtOAc extracts were washed with 10% HCl (2 × 100 mL) and brine (100 mL) before being dried (MgSO<sub>4</sub>) and evaporated, giving a clear oil. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography (100% EtOAc) to give **16** as a clear oil (5.75 g, 75%). *R<sub>f</sub>* 0.30 (100% EtOAc).  $[\alpha]_D^{22}$  - 35 (*c* 2.0, CHCl<sub>3</sub>). MS (ESI +ve) *m/z* 490 (M+Na<sup>+</sup>, 60%), 243 (Tr<sup>+</sup>, 100%). HRMS (ESI +ve) calculated for C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>24</sub>Cl<sub>3</sub>NO<sub>6</sub>Na (M+Na<sup>+</sup>) 490.0567, found 490.0566. IR  $\nu_{\max}$  (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3400, 1697, 1412, 1244, 1141, 1050. <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $\delta$  7.39-7.22 (m, 5H, Ar), 6.62 (d, 1H, *J* 16.2 Hz, H-7), 6.45 (dd, 1H, *J* 7.8, 15.9 Hz, H-6), 5.86 (dddd, 1H, *J* 2.7, 7.1, 10.2, 17.0 Hz, H-2'), 5.22 (d, 1H, *J* 17.4 Hz, H-3'), 5.16 (d, 1H, *J* 10.2 Hz, H-3'), 4.83 (d, 1H, *J* 12.0 Hz, CH<sub>2</sub>CCl<sub>3</sub>), 4.74 (d, 1H, *J* 12.0 Hz, CH<sub>2</sub>CCl<sub>3</sub>), 4.42 (t, 1H, *J* 7.7 Hz, H-5), 4.13 (m, 1H, H-4), 4.00 (m, 2H, H-1', H-1'), 3.86 (q, 1H, *J* 4.2 Hz, H-2), 3.72 (m, 2H, H-1, H-1), 3.65 (m, 1H, H-3). <sup>13</sup>C NMR  $\delta$  154.8 (CO), 136.3 (q Ar), 135.1 (C-7), 133.7 (C-2'), 128.5, 127.9, 126.5

(Ar), 124.1 (C-6), 118.1 (C-3'), 95.3 ( $\underline{\text{C}}\text{Cl}_3$ ), 75.0 ( $\underline{\text{C}}\text{H}_2\text{CCl}_3$ ), 73.2 (C-2), 72.2 (C-4), 70.0 (C-3), 63.9 (C-1), 61.6 (C-5), 49.7 (C-1').

**(6E)-5-{Allyl[(2,2,2-trichloroethoxy)carbonyl]amino}-1-O-triphenylmethyl-5,6,7-trideoxy-7-phenyl-D-gluco-hept-6-enitol (17)**

To a solution of **16** (15.0 g, 32.1 mmol) in dry  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$  (150 mL) was added dry pyridine (2.85 mL, 35.3 mmol) and  $\text{TrCl}$  (9.04 g, 32.4 mmol). The mixture was stirred at rt for 9 h, then poured into water (150 mL) and extracted with  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$  (2 x 100 mL). The combined  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$  extracts were washed with brine, dried ( $\text{MgSO}_4$ ) and evaporated. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography (40% EtOAc/petrol) to give **17** (17.15 g, 75%) as a clear oil.  $R_f$  0.60 (20% EtOAc/petrol).  $[\alpha]_D^{25}$  - 8 ( $c$  1.0,  $\text{CHCl}_3$ ). MS (ES +ve)  $m/z$  732 ( $\text{M}+\text{Na}^+$ , 100%). HRMS (ES +ve) calculated for  $\text{C}_{38}\text{H}_{38}\text{Cl}_3\text{NO}_6\text{Na}$  ( $\text{M}+\text{Na}^+$ ) 732.1662, found 732.1631.  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (major rotamer *inter alia*)  $\delta$  7.44-7.21 (m, 20H, Ar), 6.59 (d, 1H,  $J$  16.2 Hz, H-7), 6.44 (dd, 1H,  $J$  7.5, 15.8 Hz, H-6), 5.83 (dddd, 1H,  $J$  6.2, 6.2, 10.8, 16.5 Hz, H-2'), 5.17 (d, 1H,  $J$  17.1 Hz, H-3'), 5.10 (d, 1H,  $J$  10.5 Hz, H-3'), 4.77 (d, 1H,  $J$  11.7 Hz,  $\underline{\text{C}}\text{H}_2\text{CCl}_3$ ), 4.70 (d, 1H,  $J$  11.7 Hz,  $\underline{\text{C}}\text{H}_2\text{CCl}_3$ ), 4.37 (t, 1H,  $J$  7.8 Hz, H-5), 4.07 (m, 1H, H-4), 3.96 (m, 2H, H-1', H-1'), 3.91 (m, 1H, H-2), 3.71 (m, 1H, H-3), 3.34 (dd, 1H,  $J$  4.8, 9.6 Hz, H-1), 3.24 (dd, 1H,  $J$  5.7, 9.6 Hz, H-1).  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR  $\delta$  154.9 (CO), 143.6 (q Ar), 136.2 (C-7), 135.0 (C-2'), 133.7 (q Ar), 128.6, 127.9, 127.1, 126.6 (Ar), 123.9 (C-6), 118.1 (C-3'), 95.3 ( $\underline{\text{C}}\text{Cl}_3$ ), 86.9 (q C, Tr), 75.1 ( $\underline{\text{C}}\text{H}_2\text{CCl}_3$ ), 73.0 (C-4), 72.4 (C-2), 69.5 (C-3), 64.6 (C-1), 62.1 (C-5), 50.0 (C-1').

**(1R,7aR)-1-[(1R,2S)-1,2-Bis(benzyloxy)-3-triphenylmethoxypropyl]-5,7a-dihydro-1H-pyrrolo[1,2-c][1,3]oxazol-3-one (20) and (3S, 4R, 4aR)-3-[(1S)-1-(benzyloxy)-2-triphenylmethoxyethyl]-4-(benzyloxy)-3,4,4a,7-tetrahydropyrrolo[1,2-c][1,3]oxazin-1-one (21)**

To a solution of triol **17** (14.0 g, 19.74 mmol) in dry THF (200 mL) was added  $n\text{-Bu}_4\text{NI}$  (730 mg, 1.974 mmol) and  $\text{BnBr}$  (14.10 mL, 118.48 mmol). The mixture was stirred at rt for 10 min then cooled to 0 °C before the addition of  $\text{NaH}$  (7.3 g, 78.98 mmol, 30% dispersion in mineral oil). The mixture was then warmed to rt and stirred for 1 h before the addition of  $\text{MeOH}$  (2 mL). After stirring for 10 min, the mixture was filtered through celite followed by the washing of the solids with  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$ . The filtrate was evaporated. Oxazolidinone **18** and oxazinanone **19** were obtained as a mixture (12.0 g) and

separated from tri-*O*-benzylated **17** (which was not isolated) by flash column chromatography (25% EtOAc/petrol). The mixture of **18** and **19** was dissolved in dry CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (900 mL) and Grubbs II catalyst (687 mg, 0.81 mmol) was added and the mixture was stirred and heated at reflux for 24 h, then cooled to rt and evaporated. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography (40% EtOAc) to give oxazolone **20** (4.85 g 39%) and oxazinone **21** (3.85 g, 31%) as oils.

**20**: *R<sub>f</sub>* 0.51 (40% EtOAc/petrol). [ $\alpha$ ]<sub>D</sub><sup>25</sup> + 13 (*c* 4.5, CHCl<sub>3</sub>). MS (ESI +ve) *m/z* 660 (M + Na<sup>+</sup>, 43 %). HRMS (ESI +ve) calculated for C<sub>42</sub>H<sub>39</sub>NO<sub>5</sub>Na (M+Na<sup>+</sup>) 660.2726, found 660.2712. <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $\delta$  7.47-7.15 (m, 25H, Ar), 5.86 (dq, 1H, *J* 2.0, 5.9 Hz, H-7), 5.69 (dq, 1H, *J* 2.0, 5.9 Hz, H-6), 4.81 (dd, 1H, *J* 5.7, 8.1 Hz, H-1), 4.74 (d, 1H, *J* 12.0 Hz, Bn), 4.68 (d, 1H, *J* 11.1 Hz, Bn), 4.61 (d, 1H, *J* 11.1 Hz, Bn), 4.39 (d, 1H, *J* 11.1 Hz, Bn), 4.27 (ddt, 1H, *J* 2.1, 3.6, 15.5 Hz, H-5), 4.09-4.03 (m, 1H, H-7a), 3.73-3.67 (m, 2H, H-1', H-2'), 3.63 (dddd, 1H, *J* 1.5, 2.7, 4.8, 15.6 Hz, H-5), 3.56 (dd, 1H, *J* 4.8, 10.2, H-3'), 3.47 (dd, 1H, *J* 4.8, 10.2 Hz, H-3'). <sup>13</sup>C NMR  $\delta$  162.2 (C-3), 144.0, 138.2, 138.1 (q Ar), 131.7 (C-7), 128.53, 128.49, 128.5, 128.1, 128.0, 127.9, 127.6, 127.3, 127.2, (Ar), 125.8 (C-6), 87.4 (q C, Tr), 79.0 (C-1), 78.3, 77.1 (C-1' or C-2'), 74.6, 72.6 (Bn), 67.0 (C-7a), 62.5 (C-3'), 54.7 (C-5).

**21**: *R<sub>f</sub>* 0.20 (40% EtOAc/petrol). [ $\alpha$ ]<sub>D</sub><sup>25</sup> + 80 (*c* 7.8, CHCl<sub>3</sub>). MS (ESI +ve) *m/z* 660 (M + Na<sup>+</sup>, 45%), 243 (Tr<sup>+</sup>, 100%). HRMS (ESI +ve) calculated for C<sub>42</sub>H<sub>39</sub>NO<sub>5</sub>Na 660.2726, found 660.2710. <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $\delta$  7.44-7.16 (m, 25H, Ar), 5.82 (s, 2H, H-5, H-6), 4.603 (dd, 1H, *J* 1.3, 6.3 Hz, H-3), 4.601 (d, 1H, *J* 11.5 Hz, Bn), 4.59 (d, 1H, *J* 11.8 Hz, Bn), 4.56 (d, 1H, *J* 11.8 Hz, Bn), 4.52 (dd, 1H, *J* 1.2, 9.5 Hz, H-4a), 4.45 (d, 1H, *J* 11.8 Hz, Bn), 4.39 (dd, 1H, *J* 4.8, 15.3 Hz, H-7), 4.01 (dd, 1H, *J* 5.3, 15.5 Hz, H-7), 3.86 (ddd, 1H, *J* 1.5, 6.3, 7.3 Hz, H-1'), 3.60 (dd, 1H, *J* 6.0, 10.0 Hz, H-4), 3.54 (dd, 1H, *J* 6.0, 10.0 Hz, H-2'), 3.51 (dd, 1H, *J* 7.0, 10.0 Hz, H-2'). <sup>13</sup>C NMR  $\delta$  151.7 (C-1), 143.8, 138.0, 136.9 (q Ar), 128.59 (C-5 or C-6), 128.55, 128.2, 128.1 (Ar), 127.8 (C-5 or C-6), 127.7, 127.6, 127.4, 127.3, 127.1, 127.0 (Ar), 87.4 (q C, Tr), 75.2 (C-1'), 75.0 (C-3), 73.9 (C-4), 72.7, 72.2 (Bn), 62.8 (C-2'), 62.7 (C-4a), 55.1 (C-7).

**(3*S*,4*R*,4*aR*,5*R*,6*S*)-4-Benzoyloxy-3-[(1*S*)-1-(benzyloxy)-2-triphenylmethoxyethyl]-5,6-dihydroxy-hexahydropyrrolo[1,2-*c*][1,3]oxazin-1-one (**22**)**

To a solution of **21** (3.43 g, 5.38 mmol) in acetone (20 mL) and water (20 mL) was added potassium osmate.dihydrate (99 mg, 0.269 mmol) and 4-morpholine-*N*-oxide (1.32 g, 11.30 mmol). The reaction was stirred for 16 h at rt and evaporated to give a black oil which was purified by flash column chromatography (60% EtOAc/petrol) to give **22** as a brown foamy solid (2.4 g, 67%). *R<sub>f</sub>* 0.10 (60%

EtOAc/petrol).  $[\alpha]_D^{24} + 75$  (*c* 2.8, CHCl<sub>3</sub>). MS (ESI +ve) *m/z* 672 (M+H<sup>+</sup>, 100%). HRMS (ESI +ve) calculated for C<sub>42</sub>H<sub>42</sub>NO<sub>7</sub> (M+H<sup>+</sup>) 672.2961, found 672.2941. <sup>1</sup>H NMR δ 7.45-7.17 (m, 25H, Ar), 4.70 (d, 1H, *J* 11.5 Hz, Bn), 4.581 (d, 1H, Bn), 4.579 (dd, 1H, *J* 1.5, 6.0 Hz, H-3), 4.51 (d, 1H, *J* 12.0 Hz, Bn), 4.33 (d, 1H, *J* 11.5 Hz, Bn), 4.06 (dd, 1H, *J* 5.8, 9.3 Hz, H-4), 3.97 (dt, 1H, *J* 3.9, 8.1 Hz, H-6), 3.88 (q, 1H, *J* 3.5 Hz, H-5), 3.84 (dt, 1H, *J* 1.5, 6.3 Hz, H-1'), 3.54 (dd, 1H, *J* 6.0, 10.0 Hz, H-2'), 3.52-3.48 (m, 3H, H-2', H-7, H-4a), 3.22 (dd, 1H, *J* 8.3, 11.3 Hz, H-7). <sup>13</sup>C NMR δ 152.5 (C-1), 143.8, 138.1, 137.5 (q Ar), 128.6, 128.5, 128.2, 128.1, 127.84, 127.77, 127.5, 127.1, 126.9 (Ar), 87.3 (q C, Tr), 75.7 (C-1'), 75.5 (C-3), 72.5 (Bn), 72.4 (Bn), 70.9 (C-5), 69.9 (C-6), 67.6 (C-4), 62.7 (C-2'), 60.3 (C-4a), 50.3 (C-7).

**(3*S*,4*R*,4*aR*,5*R*,6*S*)-4,5,6-Tris(benzyloxy)-3-[(1*S*)-1-(benzyloxy)-2-triphenylmethoxyethyl]-hexahydropyrrolo[1,2-*c*][1,3]oxazin-1-one (23)**

To a solution of **22** (2.24 g, 3.34 mmol) in dry THF (20 mL) at 0 °C was added NaH (353 mg, 7.35 mmol, 50% in mineral oil). After H<sub>2</sub> evolution had ceased (10 min), BnBr (1.6 mL, 13.36 mmol) and *n*-BuNI (123 mg, 0.334 mmol) were added. The mixture was brought to 50 °C and stirred for 18 h, then cooled to rt and treated with MeOH (5 mL) and Et<sub>3</sub>N (3 mL) and stirred for 10 min. After evaporating all volatiles, the residue was dissolved in Et<sub>2</sub>O and filtered through celite, followed by further washings of the solids with Et<sub>2</sub>O. The solvent was evaporated and the residue was purified by flash column chromatography (30% EtOAc/petrol) to give **23** as a yellow oil (1.9 g, 67%). *R<sub>f</sub>* 0.19 (30% EtOAc/petrol).  $[\alpha]_D^{24} + 64$  (*c* 2.77, CHCl<sub>3</sub>). MS (ESI +ve) *m/z* 874 (M+Na<sup>+</sup>, 100 %). HRMS (ESI +ve) calculated for C<sub>56</sub>H<sub>53</sub>NO<sub>7</sub>Na (M+Na<sup>+</sup>) 874.3719, found 874.3720. IR  $\nu_{\max}$  (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 1680, 1449, 1091, 1060, 1024. <sup>1</sup>H NMR δ 7.42–7.08 (m, 35H, ArH), 4.96 (d, 1H, *J* 11.4 Hz, Bn), 4.65 (d, 1H, *J* 11.7 Hz, Bn), 4.56 (dt, *J* 1.2, 6.0 Hz, H-3), 4.50 (d, 1H, *J* 12.0 Hz, Bn), 4.48 (s, 2H, Bn × 2), 4.44 (d, 1H, *J* 11.7 Hz, Bn), 4.37 (d, 1H, *J* 11.4 Hz, Bn), 4.21 (d, 1H, *J* 11.7 Hz, Bn), 4.14 (ddd, 1H, *J* 1.2, 5.9, 9.6 Hz, H-4), 3.94 (dt, 1H, *J* 1.0, 3.0 Hz, H-5), 3.77 (dt, 1H, *J* 1.2, 3.5 Hz, H-1'), 3.65 (ddd, 1H, *J* 3.0, 6.8, 10.2 Hz, H-6), 3.54 (dd, 1H, *J* 7.5, 10.0 Hz, H-7), 3.51 (m, 4H, H-2', H-2', H-4a, H-7). <sup>13</sup>C NMR δ 151.7 (C-1), 143.9, 138.23, 138.18, 137.4, 137.2 (q Ar), 128.6, 128.5, 128.45, 128.3, 128.2, 128.1, 128.0, 127.9, 127.8, 127.74, 127.67, 127.4, 127.3, 127.1, 126.6 (Ar), 87.5 (q C, Tr), 78.0 (C-6), 76.0 (C-5), 75.6 (C-1'), 74.6 (C-3), 73.4, 72.4, 72.2, 72.1 (Bn), 67.7 (C-4), 62.7 (C-2'), 59.4 (C-4a), 48.0 (C-7).

**(3S,4R,4aR,5R,6S)-4,5,6-Tris(benzyloxy)-3-[(1S)-1-(benzyloxy)-2-hydroxyethyl]-hexahydropyrrolo[1,2-c][1,3]oxazin-1-one (24)**

To a solution of **23** (1.88 g, 2.21 mmol) in dry CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (20 mL) was added anisole (2.41 mL, 22.1 mmol) and the mixture was cooled to 0 °C before the addition of TFA (1.7 mL, 22.1 mmol). The mixture was stirred for 1 h at 0 °C, then poured into saturated aqueous Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> solution and extracted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (2 × 50 mL). The combined CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> extracts were washed with brine, dried (MgSO<sub>4</sub>) and evaporated. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography (60% EtOAc/petrol) to give **24** (820 mg, 61%) as a yellow oil. *R<sub>f</sub>* 0.17 (60% EtOAc/petrol). [ $\alpha$ ]<sub>D</sub><sup>25</sup> + 120 (*c* 1.5, CHCl<sub>3</sub>). MS (ESI +ve) *m/z* 633 (M+Na<sup>+</sup>, 100 %). HRMS (ESI +ve) calculated for C<sub>37</sub>H<sub>39</sub>NO<sub>7</sub> (M+Na<sup>+</sup>) 633.2702, found 633.2690. <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $\delta$  7.38-7.26 (m, 16H, Ar H), 7.21-7.17 (m, 4H, Ar H), 4.97 (d, 1H, *J* 11.4 Hz, Bn), 4.79 (d, 1H, *J* 12.0 Hz, Bn), 4.58 (dd, 1H, *J* 2.0, 5.6 Hz, Bn), 4.53 (d, 1H, *J* 11.1 Hz, Bn), 4.52 (d, 1H, *J* 11.7 Hz, Bn), 4.51 (s, 2H, Bn × 2), 4.49 (d, 1H, *J* 12.3 Hz, Bn), 4.40 (d, 1H, *J* 11.1 Hz, Bn), 4.17 (dd, 1H, *J* 5.7, 9.3 Hz, H-4), 4.01 (t, 1H, *J* 3.0 Hz, H-5), 3.92 (ddd, 1H, *J* 1.5, 5.4, 7.1 Hz, H-1'), 3.84 (ddd, 1H, *J* 1.2, 5.7, 10.2 Hz, H-2'), 3.79 (m, 1H, H-2'), 3.74 (dd, 1H, *J* 3.2, 7.8 Hz, H-6), 3.61 (dd, 1H, *J* 2.9, 9.5 Hz, H-4a), 3.58 (dd, 1H, *J* 7.8, 10.2 Hz, H-7), 3.50 (t, 1H, *J* 9.9 Hz, H-7). <sup>13</sup>C NMR  $\delta$  152.4 (C-1), 138.1, 138.0, 137.3, 137.0 (q Ar), 128.5, 128.3, 128.2, 128.1, 128.0, 127.9, 127.8, 127.7, 127.4, 126.8 (Ar), 77.8 (C-6), 77.6 (C-1'), 77.3 (C-5), 75.7 (C-3), 73.4, 72.5, 72.2, 72.1 (Bn), 67.7 (C-4), 60.6 (C-2'), 59.4 (C-4a), 48.0 (C-7).

**(2S,3S,4R)-4-[(2S,3R,4S)-3,4-Dibenzyloxypyrrolidin-2-yl]-2, 4-dibenzyloxybutane-1,3-diol (25)**

To a solution of **24** (30 mg, 49.18  $\mu$ mol) in MeOH (1 mL) was added NaOH (20 mg, 0.5 mmol) and H<sub>2</sub>O (0.5 mL) in a 10 mL sealed microwave reactor tube. The mixture was stirred and irradiated with microwaves in a CEM microwave reactor for 1 h at 110 °C using a maximum applied power of 500 W. After cooling, the mixture was poured into water and the product was extracted with EtOAc (2 × 5 mL). The combined EtOAc extracts were washed with brine, dried (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>) and evaporated. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography (100% EtOAc and 10% MeOH/EtOAc) to give a clear oil (18 mg, 62%). *R<sub>f</sub>* 0.32 (20% MeOH/EtOAc). [ $\alpha$ ]<sub>D</sub><sup>21</sup> + 75 (*c* 0.85, CHCl<sub>3</sub>). MS (ESI +ve) *m/z* 584 (M + H<sup>+</sup>, 100 %). HRMS (ESI +ve) calculated for C<sub>36</sub>H<sub>42</sub>NO<sub>6</sub> 584.3012 (M+H<sup>+</sup>), found 584.3023. <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $\delta$  7.35-7.14 (m, 20H, Ar), 5.02 (d, 1H, *J* 11.5 Hz, Bn), 4.75 (d, 1H, *J* 10.5 Hz, Bn), 4.52 (s, 2H, Bn × 2), 4.50 (d, 1H, *J* 11.5 Hz, Bn), 4.43 (d, 1H, *J* 10.5 Hz, Bn), 4.42 (s, 2H, Bn × 2), 4.11 (t, 1H,

*J* 3.3 Hz, H-3), 4.08 (t, 1H, *J* 4.0 Hz, H-3'), 4.02 (dd, 1H, *J* 3.5, 9.5 Hz, H-4), 3.96 (m, 1H, H-1), 3.73–3.66 (m, 3H, H-1, H-2, H-4'), 3.57 (dd, 1H, *J* 4.8, 9.3 Hz, H-2'), 2.90 (dd, 1H, *J* 9.3, 10.8 Hz, H-5'), 2.77 (dd, 1H, *J* 6.8, 10.8 Hz, H-5'). <sup>13</sup>C NMR δ 138.7, 138.3, 138.04, 138.0 (q Ar), 128.43, 128.4, 128.3, 128.2, 128.1, 127.9, 127.7, 127.6, 127.5, 127.3 (Ar), 80.9 (C-2 or C-4'), 77.4 (C-3'), 76.9 (C-2 or C-4'), 74.6 (C-4), 73.3 (C-3), 73.2, 72.11, 72.10, 71.7 (Bn), 62.7 (C-1), 61.1 (C-2'), 46.4 (C-5').

**(1*R*,2*S*,6*S*,7*R*,8*R*,8*aR*)-1,2,6,8-Tetrabenzoyloxyoctahydroindolizin-7-ol (26)**

To a solution of amino alcohol **25** (290 mg, 0.497 mmol) in dry CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (5 mL) at 0 °C was added Et<sub>3</sub>N (2.77 mL, 19.88 mmol), PPh<sub>3</sub> (326 mg, 1.24 mmol) and CBr<sub>4</sub> (410 mg, 1.24 mmol). The mixture was stirred for 2 h at 0 °C and poured into water (20 mL). The water layer was extracted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (2 × 20 mL). The combined CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> extracts were washed with brine, dried (K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>) and evaporated. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography (50% EtOAc/petrol) to give **26** as a yellow oil (240 mg, 85 %). *R<sub>f</sub>* 0.69 (40% EtOAc/petrol). MS (ES +ve) *m/z* 566 (M+H<sup>+</sup>, 100%). HRMS (ES +ve) calculated for C<sub>36</sub>H<sub>40</sub>NO<sub>5</sub> (M+H<sup>+</sup>) 566.2906, found 566.2922. <sup>1</sup>H NMR δ 7.37-7.20 (m, 20H, Ar), 4.95 (d, 1H, *J* 11.1 Hz, Bn), 4.88 (d, 1H, *J* 11.4 Hz, Bn), 4.65 (d, 1H, *J* 11.7 Hz, Bn), 4.58 (d, 1H, *J* 12.0 Hz, Bn), 4.56 (d, 1H, *J* 11.7 Hz, Bn), 4.54 (d, 1H, *J* 12.0 Hz, Bn), 4.52 (d, 1H, *J* 11.1 Hz, Bn), 4.50 (d, 1H, *J* 12.0 Hz, Bn), 4.19 (dd, 1H, *J* 3.5, 5.3 Hz, H-1), 4.14 (ddd, 1H, *J* 3.6, 5.6, 8.0 Hz, H-2), 3.84 (t, 1H, *J* 9.2 Hz, H-8), 3.59 (m, 1H, H-6), 3.57 (m, 1H, H-7), 3.24 (dd, 1H, *J* 4.2, 10.2 Hz, H-5), 3.20 (dd, 1H, *J* 3.3, 9.9 Hz, H-3), 2.47 (dd, 1H, *J* 8.0, 10.1 Hz, H-3), 2.17 (dd, 1H, *J* 3.5, 9.2 Hz, H-8a), 1.94 (t, 1H, *J* 10.1 Hz, H-5). <sup>13</sup>C NMR δ 139.1, 138.4, 138.1, 138.0 (q Ar), 128.5, 128.32, 128.3, 128.2, 128.1, 127.9, 127.6, 127.5, 127.4, 127.3 (Ar), 79.2 (C-7), 78.5 (C-2), 78.2 (C-6), 77.4 (C-1), 77.0 (C-8), 74.2, 73.8, 72.4, 72.2 (Bn), 69.2 (C-8a), 57.3 (C-3), 53.4 (C-5).

**(1*R*,2*S*,6*S*,7*R*,8*R*,8*aR*)-Octahydroindolizine-1,2,6,7,8-pentol (1,2-di-*epi*-1)**

To a solution of **26** (168 mg, 0.297 mmol) in MeOH (3 mL) was added PdCl<sub>2</sub> (79 mg, 0.446 mmol). The mixture was stirred at rt under a H<sub>2</sub> atmosphere (balloon) for 18 h. The mixture was filtered through celite and the solids were washed with MeOH. The combined filtrates were evaporated and the residue was dissolved in H<sub>2</sub>O and applied to a column of Amberlyst (OH<sup>-</sup>) A-26 resin. Elution with H<sub>2</sub>O followed by evaporation resulted in a cloudy white residue that was recrystallised from boiling EtOH with a few drops of H<sub>2</sub>O to give 1,2-di-*epi*-1 (38 mg, 62 %) as transparent micro-crystals. [α]<sub>D</sub><sup>23</sup> + 21 (*c* 1.5, H<sub>2</sub>O) [lit. [α]<sub>D</sub><sup>23</sup> + 66.5 (*c* 1.33, H<sub>2</sub>O)] MS (ES +ve) *m/z* 206 (M+H<sup>+</sup>, 100 %). HRMS (ES +ve) calculated for C<sub>8</sub>H<sub>16</sub>NO<sub>5</sub> (M+H<sup>+</sup>) 206.1028, found 206.1036. IR ν<sub>max</sub> (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3344, 1618, 1294. <sup>1</sup>H

NMR (D<sub>2</sub>O)  $\delta$  4.29 (ddd, 1H,  $J_{2,3\beta} = 2.8$ ,  $J_{1,2} = 5.8$ ,  $J_{2,3\alpha} = 8.5$  Hz, H-2), 4.12 (dd, 1H,  $J_{1,8a} = 3.8$ ,  $J_{1,2} = 6.3$  Hz, H-1), 3.53 (t, 1H,  $J_{7,8} = J_{8,8a} = 9.5$  Hz, H-8), 3.48 (ddd, 1H,  $J_{5\alpha,6} = 5.5$ ,  $J_{6,7} = 9.0$ ,  $J_{5\beta,6} = 10.5$  Hz, H-6), 3.18 (t, 1H,  $J_{6,7} = J_{7,8} = 9.3$  Hz, H-7), 3.00 (t, 1H,  $J_{5\alpha,6} = 5.0$ ,  $J_{5\alpha,5\beta} = 11.0$  Hz, H-5 $\alpha$ ), 2.76 (dd, 1H,  $J_{2,3\beta} = 2.8$ ,  $J_{3\alpha,3\beta} = 10.8$  Hz, H-3 $\beta$ ), 2.50 (dd, 1H,  $J_{2,3\alpha} = 8.3$ ,  $J_{3\alpha,3\beta} = 10.8$  Hz, H-3 $\alpha$ ), 2.04 (dd, 1H,  $J_{1,8a} = 3.5$ ,  $J_{8,8a} = 9.5$  Hz, H-8a), 1.95 (t, 1H,  $J_{5\alpha,5\beta} = J_{5\beta,6} = 10.5$  Hz, H-5 $\beta$ ). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (D<sub>2</sub>O)  $\delta$  79.3 (C-7), 70.7 (C-8a), 70.4 (C-6), 70.2 (C-2), 69.7 (C-1), 69.3 (C-8), 59.9 (C-3), 55.7 (C-5).

**Acknowledgment.** We thank the Australian Research Council for financial support and the University of Wollongong for a PhD scholarship to A. S. D and Chiang Mai University and the Thai Government for a PhD scholarship to T. R.

## References

1. Matsumura, T.; Kasai, M.; Hayashi, T.; Arisawa, M.; Momose, Y.; Arai, I.; Amagaya, S.; Komatsu, Y. *Pharmaceutical Biol.* **2000**, *38*, 302-307.
2. Arisawa, M.; Hayashi, T.; Momose, Y. *Food Style* **2001**, *5*, 69-73.
3. Momose, Y. *Jpn. Kokai Tokkyo Koho* **2000**, 7 pp. (JP 2000072770, CAN 132:203147)
4. Lindsay, K. B.; Tang, M.; Pyne, S. G. *Synlett* **2002**, 731-734.
5. Lindsay, K. B.; Pyne, S. G. *J. Org. Chem.* **2002**, *67*, 7774-7780.
6. Tang, M.; Pyne, S. G. *J. Org. Chem.* **2003**, *68*, 7818-7824.
7. Lindsay, K. B.; Pyne, S. G. *Aust. J. Chem.* **2004**, *57*, 669-672.
8. Tang, M.; Pyne, S. G. *Tetrahedron* **2004**, *60*, 5759-5767.
9. Pyne, S. G.; Davis, A. S.; Gates, N. J.; Hartley, J. P.; Lindsay, K. B.; Machan, T.; and Tang, M. *Synlett* **2004**, 2670-2680.
10. Davis, A. S.; Pyne, S. G.; Skelton, B. W.; White, A. H. *J. Org. Chem.* **2004**, *69*, 3139-3143.
11. Au, C. W. G.; Pyne, S. G. *J. Org. Chem.* **2006**, *71*, 7097-7099.
12. Karanjule, N. S.; Markad, S. D.; Dhavale, D. D. *J. Org. Chem.* **2006**, *71*, 6273-6276.
13. Zhao, Z.; Song, L.; Mariano, P. S.; *Tetrahedron* **2005**, *61*, 8888-8894.
14. Bell, A. W.; Pickering, L.; Watson, A. A.; Nash, R. J.; Griffiths, R. C.; Jones, M. G.; Fleet, G. W. *J. Tetrahedron Lett.* **1996**, *37*, 8561-8564.
15. Czernecki, S.; Georgoulis, C.; Provelenghiou, C. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1976**, 3535-3536.
16. Byun, H.-S.; He, L.; Bittman, R. *Tetrahedron* **2000**, *56*, 7051-7091.
17. Medeiros, E. F. D.; Herbert, J. M.; Taylor, R. J. K. *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. I*, **1991**, 2725-2730.

18. (a) Mulzer, J.; Dehmlow, H.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1992**, *57*, 3194-3202. Casiraghi, G.; Ulgheri, F.; Spanu, P.; Rassu, G.; Pinna, L.; Gasparri F., G.; Belicchi F., M.; Pelosi, G. *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. I*, **1993**, 2991-2997. Naruse, M.; Aoyagi, S.; Kibayashi, C. *J. Org. Chem.* **1994**, *59*, 1358-1364.
19. Chen, Y.; Vogel, P. *J. Org. Chem.* **1994**, *59*, 2487-2496.
20. Zhao, H.; Hans, S.; Chemg, X.; Mootoo, D. R. *J. Org. Chem.* **2001**, *66*, 1761-1767. Zhou, W.-S.; Xie, W.-G.; Lu, Z.-H.; Pan, X.-F. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1995**, *36*, 1291-1294.
21. Davis, A. S.; Gates, N. J.; Lindsay, K. B.; Tang, M.; Pyne, S. G. *Synlett*, **2004**, 49-52.
22. Greenwood, E. S.; Hitchcock, P. B.; Parsons, P. J. *Tetrahedron* **2003**, *59*, 3307-3314.
23. Murray, A. J.; Parsons, P. J., Greenwood, E. S.; Viseux, E. M. E. *Synlett* **2004**, 1589-1591.
24. Murray, A. J.; Parsons, P. J.; Hitchcock, P. B. *Tetrahedron* **2007**, *63*, 6485-6492.
25. Lapatsanis, L.; Milias, G.; Froussios, K.; Kolovos, M. *Synthesis* **1983**, 671-673.
26. Wormald, M. R.; Nash, R. J.; Hrcnciar, P.; White, J. D.; Molyneux, R. J.; Fleet, G. W. J. *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* **1998**, *9*, 2549-2558.
27. Nash, R. J.; Thomas, P. I.; Waigh, R. D.; Fleet, G. W. J.; Wormald, M. R.; de Q. Lilley, P. M.; Watkin, D. J. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1994**, *35*, 7849-7852.
28. Personal e-mail communication with George W. J. Fleet (Oxford University).
29. Kato, A.; Kano, E.; Adachi, I.; Molyneux, R. J.; Watson, A. A.; Nash, R. J.; Fleet, G. W. J.; Wormald, M. R.; Kizu, H.; Ikeda, K.; Asano, N. *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* **2003**, *14*, 325-331.
30. Bell, A. D.; Pickering, L.; Watson, A. A.; Nash, R. J.; Pan, Y. T.; Elbein, A. D.; Fleet, G. W. J. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1997**, *38*, 5869-5872.
31. Izquierdo, I.; Plaza, M. T.; Tamayo, J. A. *Tetrahedron* **2005**, *61*, 6527-6533.
32. Unfortunately we have not been able to obtain a copy of the NMR spectra of uniflorine A for comparison purposes from the original authors.<sup>1</sup>



## GRAPHICAL ABSTRACT

**Synthetic and spectroscopic studies on the structures of Uniflorine A and B. Structural revision to 1,2,6,7-tetrahydroxy-3-hydroxymethylpyrrolizidine alkaloids.**

Andrew S. Davis, Thunwadee Ritthiwigrom and Stephen G. Pyne

