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A facile solution process is developed, through which butterfly wings are taken as natural photonic crystal (PhC) scaffolds to control the synthesis and assembly of CdS nanocrystallites, and thus to achieve novel optical nanocomposites with unobtainable PhC features. Practically, the original wings can be activated by an EDTA/DMF suspension to first serve as in-situ reactive substrates for CdS seeds, and then provide the PhC structures for the following heterogeneous deposition of CdS nanoparticles (nano-CdS). The obtained nano-CdS covering precisely preserves the efficient structure details of the natural PhCs from macro-scale down to ~100 nm. In the resulting nano-CdS/butterfly wing composites, the assembly patterns of nano-CdS can be controlled at two levels: one is the PhC structures (>100 nm) decided by the wing scale hierarchy, the other is the nano-CdS small clusters (<100 nm) distributed on the PhC structures. Such a combination of nano-CdS and butterfly wings should create novel optoelectronic properties, and relevant ideas could inspire the investigation of PhC materials.

Introduction

Recently, the controlled assembly of light emitting nanoparticles by photonic crystal (PhC) structures has stimulated great attention in materials science. The optical properties of such assemblies are supposed to be tuned not only by nanoparticle intrinsic characteristics like size, shape and surface, but also by PhC structures, which would be of great importance in future nanoscaled light sources.† Among light emitting species, CdS is quite suitable in both PhCs and some optical devices, for its high refractive index (ranges from 2.3 to 2.5 for wavelength between 800 and 400 nm) and visible photoluminescence (direct bandgap near 2.4 eV for bulk material), respectively. CdS nanoparticles (nano-Cds) have been periodically assembled by templating artificial PhCs, and successfully perform highly tunable spontaneous emission.‡ However, the available artificial PhC structures that can be used to assemble nanoparticles either lack pattern variety, or require super-expensive apparatus, which becomes an obstacle to highly controllable optical devices. So it is of great importance to explore more applicable PhC structures for the assembly of light emitting nanoparticles.

Besides artificial PhCs, nature has created quite a lot PhCs with abundant structure patterns.§ It is proposed that natural PhCs could also be introduced to mediate the assembly of nano-CdS, and might be potential substitutes for pattern-limited artificial PhCs. Such a notion should be feasible, considering that micro-/nano-scale biostructures usually have active surfaces and have already been applied in the fabrication of various functional nanomaterials.¶ In fact, spider silk fibrous structures have been used as active templates to load gold nanoparticles for vapor sensing.¶ Sea urchin skeleton plate,¶¶ cuttlebone-derived organic matrix,¶§ bacteria¶¶ and pollen¶¶ have been involved in the fabrication of inorganic macroporous structures, which might have applications in separation and drug delivery. In addition, eggshell membrane,¶¶ swim bladder membrane,¶¶ wood¶¶ and cellulose,¶¶ which contain natural hierarchical structures, have been replicated by oxide functional materials, for the sake of achieving outstanding catalytic, gas-sensing and photovoltaic properties. Thus, useful natural PhCs with both active surfaces and unusual subtle structures might also exist in nature, and should be superior to artificial substrates in assembling inorganic nanoparticles for advanced nanocomposites with excellent optical performance.¶¶

Among various natural PhCs, butterfly wing scales have attracted great research interest. As we know, the wing scales of some butterfly species contain PhC structures composed of periodically arranged air and protein/chitin hybrids with subtle structures and diverse patterns.¶¶¶ It is noteworthy that they have already been used as PhC templates in materials fabrication. In 2003, Cook et al. achieved silica replication of butterfly wings by chemical vapor deposition.¶¶¶ Subsequently, ferroelectric inverse replication,¶¶¶ Al2O3 hollow replication,¶¶¶ phosphor replication¶¶¶ and TiO2 exact replication¶¶¶ of butterfly wings were accomplished by a sol-gel process, atomic layer deposition, solution casting and a dipping process, respectively. Since PhCs could participate in tuning nano-Cds spontaneous emission,¶¶¶ the combination of nano-Cds (photoluminescence species) and wing scales (natural PhCs) would be promising and significant.

Herein, two species of butterflies with different wing scale patterns are taken as novel reactive PhC scaffolds for...
nanoparticle assembly. Practically, original wings are activated via an EDTA/DMF treatment, then involved in the in situ synthesis of CdS seeds, followed by a solvothermal process (Fig. 1). The influence of the procedure factors on the patterns of nano-CdS/wings is also investigated extensively. It is hoped that wing scales (natural PhCs) could be the substitutes for artificial PhCs in assembling nanoparticles, and this research would broaden the pattern diversity of nano-CdS/PhCs for novel optical materials.

**Experimental**

**Butterflies**

*Euploea mulciber* (subfamily Danainae of the family Danaidae) and *Papilio paris* (subfamily Papilioninae of the family Papilionidae) were purchased from a butterfly garden in Shanghai. The Cd2+ impregnant was prepared by dissolving 0.4 g CdCl2$\cdot$2.5H2O in a mixture of 5 ml ethanol and 4 ml ammonia (pH: 9.7), while the S2-/C0 impregnant was prepared by dissolving 0.18 g Na2S$\cdot$9H2O in 60 ml ethanol. To facilitate the in situ reaction on natural wings, an activation medium (suspension) was prepared by dispersing ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) in dimethylformamide (DMF) with a volume ratio of about 1:10.

For a typical procedure (Fig. 1), the original butterfly wing was openly immersed in the above activation medium at 110°C for 6 h to obtain the EDTA/DMF activated wing. The activated wing was soaked in Cd2+ impregnant at 60°C for 30 min, taken out and rinsed thoroughly, and then soaked in S2-/C0 impregnant at room temperature (RT) for 30 min, again taken out and rinsed thoroughly. This procedure was adopted to obtain CdS seeds for the subsequent process, and is named as Step I. Step II was performed as follows: the CdS seeds/wing was put into the Cd2+ impregnant, followed by the addition of thiourea (0.195 mol/L), then the system was placed in an autoclave and kept at 100°C for 30–40 min. Finally, the treated wing was taken out and rinsed thoroughly to harvest the target sample CdS/wing. The procedure factors were adjusted to obtain different patterns of CdS small clusters (Table 1).

FTIR measurements were recorded using a Bruker EQUINOX 55 instrument with samples mashed to powder and pressed into a KBr disk. The FESEM measurements were performed on a field-emission scanning electron microscope (Hitachi S-4800) with an accelerating voltage of 2 kV. The samples were sputter-coated with gold before the FESEM measurement. The EDX spectra were recorded using a Carl-Zeiss EVO MA 15 and the energy dispersive X-ray spectrometer (EDX) was coupled to the microscope. The 3D images of the samples were obtained using a LEO 1540VP field emission scanning electron microscope (FESEM) with an accelerating voltage of 1–2 kV. The samples were sputter-coated with gold before the FESEM measurement.

**Table 1** Procedure factors and corresponding patterns of nano-CdS clusters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>a, b</th>
<th>EDTA/DMF</th>
<th>110°C, 6h</th>
<th>(1) Cd2+</th>
<th>(2) S2-</th>
<th>Cd2+ [mol/L]</th>
<th>thiourea [mol/L]</th>
<th>ethanol/ammonia [v/v]</th>
<th>treatment factors</th>
<th>nano-CdS cluster patterns</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>c</td>
<td>EDTA/DMF</td>
<td>110°C, 6h</td>
<td>60°C, 30 min</td>
<td>RT, 30 min</td>
<td>0.195</td>
<td>0.168</td>
<td>5/4</td>
<td>100°C, 30 min</td>
<td>homogeneous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d</td>
<td>EDTA/DMF</td>
<td>110°C, 6h</td>
<td>60°C, 30 min</td>
<td>RT, 30 min</td>
<td>0.195</td>
<td>0.168</td>
<td>5/4</td>
<td>100°C, 30 min</td>
<td>spherical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e</td>
<td>EDTA/DMF</td>
<td>110°C, 6h</td>
<td>60°C, 30 min</td>
<td>RT, 30 min</td>
<td>0.195</td>
<td>0.168</td>
<td>5/4</td>
<td>100°C, 30 min</td>
<td>small island</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f</td>
<td>EDTA/DMF</td>
<td>110°C, 6h</td>
<td>60°C, 30 min</td>
<td>RT, 30 min</td>
<td>0.195</td>
<td>0.168</td>
<td>5/4</td>
<td>100°C, 30 min</td>
<td>spherical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g</td>
<td>EDTA/DMF</td>
<td>110°C, 6h</td>
<td>60°C, 1h</td>
<td>RT, 30 min</td>
<td>0.049</td>
<td>0.168</td>
<td>5/13</td>
<td>100°C, 30 min</td>
<td>small island</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h</td>
<td>EDTA/DMF</td>
<td>110°C, 6h</td>
<td>60°C, 30 min</td>
<td>RT, 30 min</td>
<td>0.049</td>
<td>0.168</td>
<td>5/13</td>
<td>100°C, 30 min</td>
<td>small island</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i</td>
<td>EDTA/DMF</td>
<td>110°C, 6h</td>
<td>60°C, 30 min</td>
<td>RT, 30 min</td>
<td>0.049</td>
<td>0.168</td>
<td>5/13</td>
<td>100°C, 30 min</td>
<td>small island</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The sample derived from the typical procedure. * The deviations from the typical procedure factors are indicated in bold type.
compressed into KBr pellets. XRD measurements were carried out on a D/max-2550/PC instrument. Reflection spectra were measured on a CRAIC QDI 2010 superior microspectrophotometer. FESEM images were investigated on an FEI Sirion 200 field emission gun scanning electron microscope operated at an acceleration voltage of 5.0 kV. TEM/HRTEM measurements were taken on a JEM-2011F transmission electron microscope under an acceleration voltage of 200 kV, with samples shaken into fragments in ethanol by ultrasonic agitation. Bright field images, energy dispersive X-ray spectra (EDX) and selected area electron diffraction (SAED) patterns were examined.

Results and discussion

Original butterfly wings are constituted by protein and chitin. As indicated in Fig. 2, the absorption bands at 1655 and 1543 cm⁻¹ are the signals of amide I and amide II for protein structure, respectively. The bands at 1157, 1115, 1074 and 1030 cm⁻¹ should be assigned to the vibrational motion of characteristic C–O bonds of chitin. The 1728 cm⁻¹ band (C=O stretching from COOH) corresponds to the COOH of aspartic and glutamic acid residues, and the 1250 cm⁻¹ band is attributed to S=O stretching vibration. Although there are some original active sites (like –COOH and –OH) on butterfly wings that derived from the protein/chitin components, the activation of wings is essential to obtain ideal scaffolds with sufficient active sites, resulting in the slight intensification of the 1415 cm⁻¹ band (COO⁻ stretching) in the FTIR spectrum. Then the activated wing is taken as the reactive scaffold to bind Cd²⁺, and in Step I that was obtained by immersing the activated wing in Cd²⁺ impregnant. The evolution of the 1728 cm⁻¹ band (amide I: C=O stretching from –CONH–) shifts to 1640 cm⁻¹ (amide I: C=O stretching from –CONH–) – NCOH (amide II) – NH₂CN – NH₄CNO with higher intensity. Therefore, not only the previously mentioned COO⁻ and ring C–OH, but also C=O on peptide bonds are involved in the synthesis and assembly. It should also be mentioned that the minor band at 2173 cm⁻¹ in the FTIR spectrum of the final product CdS/wing is due to the small amount of the remnant byproduct (N≡C=O), which supports reaction (2). Besides, the additional band at 2002 cm⁻¹ (N≡C=S) from the coexisting impurity of thiourea suggests the existence of thiourea, while that at 619 cm⁻¹ (N=C–S asymmetric bending of thiourea-Cd²⁺) indicates the chelation between thiourea and CdS in the final product. Accordingly, butterfly wings could be activated by EDTA/DMF suspension to serve as reactive scaffolds for the in situ formation and successive assembly of CdS, and the obtained CdS covering is bonded by thiourea.

Along with the further deposition of CdS on the scaffold (Step II), the 1415 cm⁻¹ band (COO⁻) and the 1115 cm⁻¹ band (ring C–OH) are again intensified and the 1655 cm⁻¹ band (amide I: C=O stretching from –CONH–) shifts to 1640 cm⁻¹ (C=O–Cd²⁺) with higher intensity. The band at 1115 cm⁻¹ is considered to be the ring C–OH groups of wing protein (COO⁻ Cd²⁺), tailing and the 1115 cm⁻¹ band (ring C–OH) are again intensified and the 1655 cm⁻¹ band (amide I: C=O stretching from –CONH–) shifts to 1640 cm⁻¹ (C=O–Cd²⁺) with higher intensity. Therefore, not only the previously mentioned COO⁻ and ring C–OH, but also C=O on peptide bonds are involved in the synthesis and assembly. It should also be mentioned that the minor band at 2173 cm⁻¹ in the FTIR spectrum of the final product CdS/wing is due to the small amount of the remnant byproduct (N≡C=O), which supports reaction (2). Besides, the additional band at 2002 cm⁻¹ (N≡C=S) from the coexisting impurity of thiourea suggests the existence of thiourea, while that at 619 cm⁻¹ (N=C–S asymmetric bending of thiourea-Cd²⁺) indicates the chelation between thiourea and CdS in the final product. Accordingly, butterfly wings could be activated by EDTA/DMF suspension to serve as reactive scaffolds for the in situ formation and successive assembly of CdS, and the obtained CdS covering is bonded by thiourea.

The loading of CdS on butterfly wings is also evidenced by both naked-eye and XRD measurements as shown in Fig. 3. The original forewing of male butterfly Euploea mulciber exhibits a shining violet color, which should correspond to its PhC structure (supported by the reflection spectrum). After

![Fig. 2](image1.png) FTIR spectra of the original wing, the activated wing, the Cd²⁺-wing and the product CdS/wing. Cd²⁺-wing represents the reaction intermediate in Step I that was obtained by immersing the activated wing in Cd²⁺ impregnant. The evolution of the 1728 cm⁻¹ and 1415 cm⁻¹ bands is described in the ESI.

![Fig. 3](image2.png) (a) XRD patterns of the original wing, the activated wing and the final product CdS/wing. Photographs of (b) the original wing, (c) the activated wing and (d) the final product CdS/wing. (e) Reflectance spectra of the original wing and the final product CdS/wing at normal incidence. (The forewing of male Euploea mulciber butterflies was used.)
activation, the wing turns to purplish blue with lower brightness and color saturation. This should be ascribed to the change of PhC parameters (e.g. refractive index and lattice distance) during the activation process, and the colored phenomenon implies that the PhC structure might still be present. In addition, there appear three similar main peaks at 21.5°, 23.8° and 26.7° on the respective XRD patterns of the original wing and the activated wing, indicating they have the same components. The 21.5° and 23.8° peaks also appear in the final product, so the chemical components of the original wing are considered to be almost intact during the whole loading process. Besides, the additional broadened peaks at 26.6°, 44.2° and 52.3° in the XRD pattern of the final product CdS/wing demonstrate the formation of CdS crystallites on the wing, and the broadening is a hint that as-formed CdS might be small-sized crystallites. It should be mentioned that the peak at 26.6° is a combination peak of chitin (26.7°) and CdS at this range. Due to the successful CdS loading, the final product CdS/wing displays a shining greenish blue color that correlates to the reflection peak centered on 465 nm. The reflection peak should imply the existence of a photonic bandgap, thus the color should be considered as PhC structural color. Considering the differences between the reflection spectra of the original wing and the CdS/wing, it is suggested that the wing’s PhC structure is inherited but the PhC parameters (e.g. refractive index and lattice distance) are changed by the loaded CdS. Therefore, CdS crystallites could be loaded on activated butterfly wings without destroying the PhC structures, which is supported by the reflection spectra and can be further demonstrated by FSEM measurement as follows.

Owing to the CdS coating, the surface of the final product is slightly rougher than that of the original wing by FSEM observation under high magnification (Fig. 4a). However, the coating is so homogeneous that the subtle structure of the original wing is precisely covered from micrometer down to ~100 nm. Not only the microscaled parallel ridges (Fig. 4b), but also the nanoscaled overlapping layers within the ridges (Fig. 4a) are preserved and clearly revealed in the final product CdS/wing. These structures are essential to the PhCs of original wing, so it can be concluded that the efficient structure details of the natural PhCs could be maintained. Besides, the pillars structure beneath the ridges is also covered with the smooth CdS layer as revealed in the image of the cross-sectioned wing scale (Fig. 4c), indicating the uniform CdS coating of the interior structure. Under low magnification (Fig. 4d), the final product presents similar arrays of scales as the original wing. Thus, CdS nanocrystallites are distributed homogeneously on both the exterior and the interior surfaces of wing scaffolds from nano-scale up to macro-scale, having the ability to precisely coat the natural PhC scaffolds and finally achieve promising hybrid nanocomposites.

Details of the CdS coating could be studied by TEM analyses (Fig. 5). To prepare the TEM sample, the final product CdS/wing was shaken into fragments in ethanol by ultrasonic agitation. Cd, S and C elements were detected using an EDX accessory, corresponding to the CdS coating and the protein/chitin components of the original wing. It is noteworthy that the existence of CdS in the fragments should be due to the chemical bonding between CdS and wing, as revealed by the previously mentioned FTIR results. The HRTEM image shows the building blocks of the CdS layer to be CdS nanocrystallites with diameters of 6–7 nm, which is coincident with the broadened peaks of the XRD patterns. The SAED image displays ring and dot (Fig. 5c) patterns, relating to the major and minor phases in the product, respectively. The clear rings match well with the cubic CdS phase reported in JCPDS card No. 89-0440, and the relevant planes could be indexed as (111), (220), (311), (331) and (422), respectively. In addition, the weak dots could be indexed as (102) and (103) planes of the hexagonal CdS phase. The lattice fringes (d-spacing: 0.338 nm) of the single nanoparticle in the HRTEM image should be considered as the (111) reflection of the cubic CdS phase. Therefore, the as-prepared CdS coating is mainly constructed by cubic CdS nanocrystallites with diameters of 6–7 nm, and contains only a small amount of hexagonal CdS.

It is well known that the patterns of nano-CdS/PhCs are essential to their optical properties. Herein, several types of wing scales with different patterns are applied to mediate the assembly of nano-CdS. Fig. 6a presents the side view of the parallel ridges on a scale of CdS/wing that based on the colored wing of the male Euploea mulciber butterflies was used. (The forewing of male Euploea mulciber butterflies was used).

Fig. 4 FESEM images of the final product CdS/wing obtained by the typical procedure as described in the experimental section. Inset in (a) shows the FESEM image of the original wing under corresponding magnification for comparison. (The forewing of male Euploea mulciber butterflies was used.)

Fig. 5 (a) TEM image, (b) EDX results and (c) SAED pattern of the final product CdS/wing obtained by the typical procedure as described in the experimental section. Inset in (a) displays the HRTEM image of a single CdS nanoparticle. (The forewing of male Euploea mulciber butterflies was used.)
Euploea mulciber butterfly. It is clear that the overlapping lamellas within the ridges are slightly tilted from the scale surface, parallel to each other, and connected by the microribs that are perpendicular to the lamellas. The number of lamella periods of one ridge could be determined by a parallelogram that has two sides tracing the microribs as shown in Fig. 6a, and is considered to be four. Herein, the ridge-lamella structure is essential to the PhC colors of the original wing and the CdS/wing, considering that the distance between adjacent lamellas (100–200 nm) is comparable to visible light wavelength. On the other hand, the normal brown scales without PhC color of the same butterfly (Euploea mulciber) could also act as scaffolds for nano-CdS assembly as presented in Fig. 6b and c. The scale of CdS/wing in Fig. 6b lacks enough number of lamella periods (two), and the scale in Fig. 6c is missing the parallel lamella structures. Thus, both of them display normal yellow brown color. By applying the process to the Papilio paris butterfly wing, nano-CdS could precisely cover the PhC colored scales (Fig. 6d) and the dark brown scales (Fig. 6e) as well. According to the cross section of the composite colored scale (Fig. 6d), several parallel layers with thickness 100–150 nm also comparable to visible light wavelength are present beneath the patterned surface (inset in Fig. 6d). They should be considered as the origin of the PhC shining bluish green and yellowish green scales, as displayed between Fig. 6d and e. Similar to the phenomenon of the male Euploea mulciber butterfly (Fig. 3), the PhC colors of the composites nano-CdS/Papilio paris are different from those of corresponding original wing and activated wing (not shown here). This may be due to the change of the parallel layers’ PhC parameters, such as refractive index and lattice distance, and could imply the successful inheritance of the PhC features by nano-CdS coating. Moreover, Fig. 6e shows that the holes structure with sizes of several hundred nanometers is preserved in the nano-CdS/Papilio paris wing. Such structure could result in a darkening of the color, so that the composites exhibit a dark brown color. Therefore, various complex patterns, including two types of PhC structures, have been achieved on nano-CdS/wing scales, based on manipulating diverse scales from specific parts of a butterfly or from different butterfly species.

The above-mentioned samples and the sample corresponding to Fig. 7a and b were all derived from the typical procedure as described in the experimental section, displaying a homogeneous distribution of nano-CdS on the wing scaffolds. Furthermore, along with different procedure factors as listed in Table 1, there appear three types of nano-CdS small clusters, including the spherical (Fig. 7c and f), the worm-like (Fig. 7d, g and h) and the small island clusters (Fig. 7e, i and j). In particular, the samples in Fig. 7c–e were prepared by various activation procedures (Table 1), namely, the absence of the procedure, the shorter duration time, and the different activation agent, respectively. According to the foregoing FTIR analysis, the EDTA/DMF activation could provide additional active COO⁻/C0⁺ sites for the subsequent loading process. Herein, the three kinds of nano-CdS
cluster patterns on the final products (Fig. 7c–e) could be due to the different amounts of additional active sites, which demonstrates the importance of the activation process (see also ESI†). In addition, the Step I and Step II processes should also be crucial to achieve the final patterns, according to Fig. 7f–h and Fig. 7i–j, respectively. It seems that both insufficient CdS seeds (Fig. 7f and g) and the excess CdS seeds (Fig. 7h) on the wing scaffolds by Step I (Table 1) would draw down the aggregation of nano-CdS during Step II, and the various patterns of nano-CdS clusters in the final nanocomposites should correlate to the different amounts of CdS seeds. Moreover, the low Cd\(^{2+}\) concentration and the high pH value of the system for Step II (Table 1) could slow the heterogeneous nano-CdS deposition and finally result in small island patterns (Fig. 7i and j). Thus, the small cluster patterns of the primary nano-CdS on butterfly wing scaffolds could be controlled by the procedure factors.

**Conclusions**

In summary, butterfly wings could be activated via an EDTA/DMF treatment to produce tunable amounts of COO\(^{-}\) active sites on their structure surfaces, and then serve as the reactive scaffolds to direct the in situ formation and subsequent assembly of nano-CdS during a room-temperature soaking and a solvothermal procedure in succession. As-prepared nano-CdS are mainly cubic phase nanocrystallites with diameters of 6–7 nm, which precisely cover the wing scaffolds on both the exterior and the interior structures at the dimension from macro-scale down to nano-scale. The assembly patterns of nano-CdS in the final nano-CdS/wing scales are successfully controlled at two levels: one is the PhC structures (>100 nm) that can be varied by taking diverse scales from specific parts of a butterfly or from different butterfly species as the scaffolds, the other is the nano-CdS small clusters (<100 nm) that can be controlled by adjusting procedure factors. Therefore, butterfly wings have been demonstrated to be convenient substitutes for artificial PhCs in the assembly of nano-CdS. The obtained nano-CdS/wings are new PhCs with the reflection peak in the visible spectrum, which is essential in the control of light propagation. Furthermore, corresponding optical properties are supposed to be tunable by the nano-CdS/wing assembly patterns. A related investigation is currently under way which should illuminate new valuable applications in light emitting and other PhCs fields.
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