

1-1-2005

Implementation of a new Monte Carlo simulation tool for the development of a proton therapy beam line and verification of the related dose distributions

G A. P Cirrone
Catania University

G Cuttone
INFN Laboratori Nazionali del Sud

S Guatelli
University of Wollongong, susanna@uow.edu.au

S Lo Nigro
Catania University

B Mascialino
Karolinska Institute, barbara.mascialino@ki.se

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: <https://ro.uow.edu.au/engpapers>

 Part of the [Engineering Commons](#)

<https://ro.uow.edu.au/engpapers/4977>

Recommended Citation

Cirrone, G A. P; Cuttone, G; Guatelli, S; Lo Nigro, S; Mascialino, B; Pia, M G.; Raffaele, L; Russo, G; and Sabini, M G.: Implementation of a new Monte Carlo simulation tool for the development of a proton therapy beam line and verification of the related dose distributions 2005, 1756-1758.
<https://ro.uow.edu.au/engpapers/4977>

Authors

G A. P Cirrone, G Cuttone, S Guatelli, S Lo Nigro, B Mascialino, M G. Pia, L Raffaele, G Russo, and M G. Sabini

Implementation of a New Monte Carlo Simulation Tool for the Development of a Proton Therapy Beam Line and Verification of the Related Dose Distributions

G. A. P. Cirrone, G. Cuttone, S. Guatelli, S. Lo Nigro, B. Mascialino, M. G. Pia, L. Raffaele, G. Russo, M. G. Sabini

Abstract—Using the Monte Carlo simulation tool GEANT4 we simulated the hadron-therapy beam line of the CATANA center. CATANA is the unique Italian hadron-therapy facility in which 62 AMeV proton beams are used for the radiotherapeutic treatment of choroidal and iris melanomas. All the elements, such as diffusers, range shifters, collimators and detectors, typical of a proton-therapy line were modelled. The CATANA beam line provides an ideal environment for the experimental testing and validation of the software developed. The software architecture was developed, and now the validation of the software is in its final stage. Simulated ranges, energy distribution, depth and lateral dose distributions for full energy proton beams will be compared to the experimental results obtained at LNS with different detectors

Index Terms—Simulation, Monte Carlo, hadrontherapy, GEANT4.

I. INTRODUCTION

AT Laboratori Nazionali del Sud), a high energy and nuclear physics laboratory, in Catania, the first Italian hadron-therapy facility named CATANA (Centro di AdroTerapia ed Applicazioni Nucleari Avanzate) has been realized.[1] Here 62 AMeV proton beams accelerated by a superconducting cyclotron are used for the radiotherapeutic treatment of some kinds of ocular tumours. Therapy with hadron beams still represents a pioneer technique, and only a few centres worldwide can provide this advanced specialized cancer treatment. On the basis of the experience so far gained, and considering the future hadrotherapy facilities to be developed (Rinecker, Munich Germany, Heidelberg/GSI Darmstadt Germany, PSI Villigen Switzerland, Centro di Adroterapia, Catania Italy) in the next years, a R&D program has been started in the framework of the INFN-GEANT4 collaboration, having as main goal the development of a Monte Carlo tool, based on the GEANT4 simulation toolkit, for the design of protontherapy beam lines and for the test of treatment planning systems. This study reports the first results of our simulation work.

G.A.P.Cirrone and S.Lo Nigro are with the Physics and Astronomy Department of Catania University, Catania, Italy

G.Cuttone, L. Raffaele, G. Russo and M. G. Sabini are with Laboratori Nazionali del Sud, Istituto Nazionale Fisica Nucleare, Catania, Italy

S. Guatelli, B. Mascialino and M. G. Pia are with Istituto Nazionale Fisica Nucleare, Section of Genova, Genova, Italy
e-mail: cirrone@lns.infn.it

II. THE CATANA FACILITY

A. The Transport Beam line

CATANA facility is the first Italian center for the radiotherapeutic treatment of ocular lesions with proton beams. CATANA uses 62 AMeV protons accelerated by a Superconducting Cyclotron installed at Laboratori Nazionali del Sud, in Catania. The increasing interest in the use of ions, and particularly protons, in external radiotherapy derives from the improvement in their absorbed dose distribution, as compared to conventional techniques using photon and electron beams. Protons release most of their energy at the end of their path (Bragg curve) and permit to irradiate the tumoral mass sparing surrounding healthy tissues. The proton beam, coming from accelerator, exits in air through a 50 μm kapton window placed at about 3 meters from isocenter. Before the window, under vacuum, the first scattering foil, made by a 15 μm tantalum, is positioned. The first element of the beam in air is a second tantalum 25 μm thickness, provided with a central brass stopper of 4 mm in diameter. The double foils scattering system is optimized to achieve a good homogeneity in terms of lateral dose distributions, minimizing the energy loss. To obtain a specific proton beam energy with a correct energy modulation two devices are necessary. These are the range shifter and the range modulator. The former degrades the energy of the primary beam of a fixed quantity while the latter (a rotating wheel with various steps of increasing thickness) produces a spread out in the energy of the Bragg peak. Two diode lasers, placed orthogonally, provide a system for the isocenter identification and for patient centering during the treatment. A key element of the treatment line is represented by the two transmission monitor chambers and by a four-sectors chamber, implemented to permit an on-line control of the dose furnished to the patients and an information on beam symmetry respectively. The last element before isocenter is the patient collimator located 8 cm upstream of the isocenter. Experimental measurements are carried out placing detectors inside a PMMA (PolyMethylMetachrilate) phantom filled with water, and placed 8 cm after the final collimator. Finally two back and lateral X-rays tubes are mounted for the verification of the treatment fields. Patients, during the treatment phases, are immobilized on a special chair fully computer controlled.

In Figure 1 the complete layout of the CATANA proton-therapy beam line is shown.



Fig. 1. The CATANA treatment room installed at Laboratori Nazionali del Sud

So far, since March 2002, fifty-two patients coming from different Italian regions have been successfully treated. Follow-up data upon 30 patients after one year from the treatment confirm the usefulness of proton treatment.

B. Detectors for Dose Measurements

Inside the CATANA facility particular care is going to be devoted to the development of dosimetric techniques for the determination of the absorbed dose (absolute dosimetry) in the clinical proton beam and 2D and 3D dose distribution reconstruction (relative dosimetry) [2]. A parallel plate Markus ionization chamber has been chosen as reference detector for the absolute dose measurements, while *gafchromic* and *radiographic* films, *termoluminescence detectors*, *diamond* and *silicon diode detectors* are the choices for the relative ones.

III. GEANT4 FOR MEDICAL APPLICATION

The development of an hadron-therapy facility requires a long experimental work, due to the lack of adequate simulation tools. This work concerns mainly the realization of the passive scattering system (to obtain an homogeneous lateral distribution of the beam), of the modulation (to perform a correct energy modulation) and of the collimators system.

On the basis of this we decided to start a simulation work using the Monte Carlo tool GEANT4 [3]. GEANT4 is a toolkit developed to simulate the passage of particles through matter. It contains a large variety of physics models covering the interaction of electrons, muons, hadrons and ions with matter from 250 eV up to several PeV. Specifically for our applications, of particular interest is the *LowEnergy* package developed to extend electromagnetic interaction of particles with matter down to very low energy: 250eV for electrons and photons, 1 keV for hadrons and ions. This package is the unique tool among Monte Carlo codes on market and of relevance for several medical physics applications. GEANT4 provides various features suitable for medical applications; in particular its Object Oriented design permits to

achieve the transparency of the physics, therefore contributing to the validation of the experimental results: that is of fundamental importance on such sensitive applications as the medical ones. Moreover it can be interfaced to a variety of commercial and free tools, such as graphic drivers, object databases, histogramming and analysis packages, CAD, etc.

IV. SIMULATION OF TREATMENT BEAM LINE

We started our work developing a GEANT4 application, named *hadronTherapy*, that simulates entirely the proton therapy beam line starting from the scattering system up to the diagnostic monitor chambers and the final collimators placed just before the patient. In figure 2 the proton therapy beam line is shown, as it is simulated and displayed by our application.




Fig. 2. The proton therapy beam line as it is simulated and displayed by hadronTherapy application

Moreover we introduced two sensitive detectors that exactly reproduce the experimental ones; these are the Markus chamber (for the Bragg curve reconstruction) and the radiochromic film (for the lateral dose distributions measurements). For the simulation of the sensitive detectors we implemented the *cut by region* modality: we fixed the cut for the beam transport along the beam line to 10 mm and the cut inside the detectors to 200 μm. In this way *cut by region* permitted us to speed up the simulation process more than a factor ten. For the simulation we implemented the *LowEnergy* package and the hadronic processes, activating for these the precompound model.

V. RESULTS AND CONCLUSION

The first step was the validation of the simulated detectors. In particular we compared the Bragg curves obtained with simulation, for interaction of protons of energies between, 10 AMeV up to 62 AMeV with different materials (water, Aluminum, Copper and PMMA), to data from ICRU [4] (fig.3). The agreement obtained between the two distributions (below 0.2 % for all materials and energies) demonstrates the goodness of the simulation of the sensitive detectors from a software point of view.




Fig. 3. Comparison between simulated and tabulated by NIST range of proton beams

Moreover an accurate reconstruction of the beam characteristics (its initial energy, energy and fluence distribution, etc.) was performed on the basis of the experimental available data. Then the reconstruction of Bragg curves was carried out for various energies and materials (water, PMMA and aluminum). Figure 4 shows the Bragg peak, in water, for the simulated beam compared with the experimental one measured with the Markus chamber.




Fig. 4. Comparison between simulated and experimental Bragg curve in water of 62 AMeV proton beams

Starting from Bragg distributions, proton ranges values were derived and compared with the experimental ones, the agreement resulting better than 1.4%. The reconstruction of the lateral distributions of the therapeutic proton beam at isocenter and at various depth in water was performed, too. Simulated results are in agreement with exper-

imental ones. (Figure 5).




Fig. 5. Simulated and experimental lateral dose distribution for proton beams at the patient position

Results obtained encourage us to continue our work. The simulation developed permits us to optimize positions and shapes of the beam line elements, to know depth and lateral dose distributions also in situations where the experimental measure is very difficult. This will provide an improvement in the dose distributions released to the patients. Results from simulation can represent a test for the routine-used treatment planning systems. Our work represents also a general tool that can be used by other users to start the design and construction of a new hadron-therapy facility. Future steps will be the simulation of the rotating modulator wheel, the insertion of the DICOM images (i.e. those coming from a Computed Tomography examination) and the possibility to run the application on the Grid to reduce the simulation times: we think this will contribute to draw up the Monte Carlo-based medical applicatitons with respect to the analytical-based ones, like those conventionally used for treatment planning systems.

VI. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We wish to thank Dr. H.Paganetti of Department of Radiation Oncology, Massachusetts General Hospital & Harvard Medical School, for his assistance in the development of the code and Dr. L. Valastro, Dr. P.Lojacono and Dr. V.I.Patti for their support for the acquisition of experimental data.

REFERENCES

- [1] G. Cuttone et al., *Physica Medica* Vol. XV, No.3, July-September 1999, 121-130.
- [2] L. Raffaele et al., *Physica Medica* Vol. XVII, Supplement 3, 35 (2001).
- [3] S. Agostinelli et al., *NIM A* 506, 250 (2003).
- [4] *ICRU Report 49* (1993).