



UNIVERSITY
OF WOLLONGONG
AUSTRALIA

University of Wollongong
Research Online

Faculty of Engineering - Papers (Archive)

Faculty of Engineering and Information Sciences

2007

Specific heat and magnetic relaxation analysis of MgB₂ bulk samples with and without additives

C. Senatore

University of Geneva

P. Lezza

University of Geneva

R. Lortz

University of Geneva

Olga V. Shcherbakova

University of Wollongong, olga@uow.edu.au

Wai Kong Yeoh

University of Sydney

See next page for additional authors

<http://ro.uow.edu.au/engpapers/4162>

Publication Details

Senatore, C., Lezza, P., Lortz, R., Shcherbakova, O. V., Yeoh, W., Dou, S. X. and Flukiger, R. (2007). Specific heat and magnetic relaxation analysis of MgB₂ bulk samples with and without additives. *IEEE Transactions on Applied Superconductivity*, 17 (2), 2941-2944.

Research Online is the open access institutional repository for the University of Wollongong. For further information contact the UOW Library: research-pubs@uow.edu.au

Authors

C. Senatore, P. Lezza, R. Lortz, Olga V. Shcherbakova, Wai Kong Yeoh, S. X. Dou, and R. Flukiger

Specific Heat and Magnetic Relaxation Analysis of MgB₂ Bulk Samples With and Without Additives

C. Senatore, P. Lezza, R. Lortz, O. Shcherbakova, W. K. Yeoh, S. X. Dou, and R. Flükiger

Abstract—The effects of SiC and Carbon doping on the superconducting properties of MgB₂ polycrystalline samples have been analysed by means of specific heat and magnetic relaxation measurements. It is known that the addition of nanometric powders of SiC and C leads to the enhancement of B_{irr} and J_c . However, the underlying physical mechanism is not completely understood. Magnetic relaxation measurements did not show detectable effects of both the additions on the pinning properties of MgB₂. It follows that doping acts mainly introducing disorder into the superconductor and thus raising B_{c2} . In the case of MgB_{1.9}C_{0.1}, specific heat measurements show that the C substitution on the B sites modifies the low temperature shoulder related to the second gap. This effect is not visible in the sample doped with SiC. From the distribution of T_c determined from the deconvolution of the calorimetric data, we argue that SiC leads to an inhomogeneous distribution of C.

Index Terms—Magnetic relaxation, MgB₂, nanodoping, specific heat.

I. INTRODUCTION

THE critical current density J_c , the upper critical field B_{c2} and in particular the irreversibility field B_{irr} are the central parameters in view of power applications of MgB₂. Since the discovery of superconductivity in MgB₂, extensive research on chemical substitutions was undertaken in order to improve its current carrying capability at increasing magnetic fields [1]. Among a large number of dopants, carbon substitution for boron appears to be the most promising one, though the reported carbon solubility in MgB₂ and its influence on T_c vary considerably depending on both the synthesis route and the starting materials [2]. Substantially improved J_c was observed in B₄C doped MgB₂ bulk samples and Fe/MgB₂ wires at 4.2 K under high magnetic fields [3], [4]. Dou *et al.* [5], [6] reported the improvement of the critical current density in MgB₂ polycrystalline samples and Fe/MgB₂ wires by nanopowders of SiC and Carbon. In particular, the improvement of the current transport properties is easily achieved by nano SiC doping at a sintering temperature of 650°C [5]. On the other side, carbon

doping is effective at higher sintering temperatures, around 1000°C [6]. Nevertheless, the underlying physical mechanism remains still unclear. It is known that carbon doping is effective for introducing disorder into MgB₂. This disorder shortens the coherence length of the superconductor (“dirty limit”) and is responsible for the interband scattering [7]; both the effects play an important role in raising the upper critical field. SiC is an effective carbon source acting as a dopant for MgB₂. In addition the lower sintering temperature required to prepare SiC doped MgB₂ is thought to lead to a smaller grain size and thus to a higher grain boundary density. Since grain boundaries act as the main pinning mechanism in MgB₂ [8], the addition of SiC has been invoked as the responsible for a more effective pinning.

On the basis of these considerations, we report on magnetic relaxation and specific heat measurements of sintered polycrystalline samples of MgB₂, binary and with C and SiC nanopowder additions. The time relaxation of the magnetization allowed us to probe the effect of the two additives on the pinning properties of MgB₂. Moreover, a deconvolution of the specific heat data was used to determine the distribution of T_c in the samples in order to investigate possible inhomogeneities due to the local variation of the C content or to the morphology of the grains.

II. SAMPLE PREPARATION AND DESCRIPTION

Three polycrystalline MgB₂ bulk samples have been studied in this work. The samples were prepared at the Institute for Superconductivity and Electronic Materials (ISEM) of the University of Wollongong. Powders of magnesium (99%) and amorphous boron (99%) were mixed for the fabrication of the binary MgB₂. For processing the SiC doped MgB₂ sample, a mixture of Mg:2B with 10 wt.% SiC nanoparticles (size of 20-30 nm) was prepared. In both the cases the pellets were sintered at 800°C for 30 min. The third sample was doped with nanocarbon powders at the nominal composition of MgB_{1.9}C_{0.1} and sintered at 1000°C.

A first characterization of the samples was performed by AC susceptibility (Fig. 1). The temperatures corresponding to the onset and to the 10% of the superconducting transition are reported in Table I for the three samples. The MgB₂ and MgB_{1.9}C_{0.1} samples exhibit a sharp transition whereas $\Delta T = 2$ K is found in the case of the sample with SiC addition.

The inductive critical current density J_c has been extracted from the magnetization loops using the Bean model formula

$$J_c = 30 \frac{\Delta M}{b} \frac{2}{3 - \frac{b}{a}} \quad (1)$$

Manuscript received August 28, 2006. This work was supported by the Swiss National Science Foundation through the National Centre of Competence in Research Materials with Novel Electronic Properties (MaNEP).

C. Senatore, R. Lortz, and R. Flükiger are with the Département de Physique de la Matière Condensée & MaNEP, Université de Genève, Geneva CH-1211, Switzerland (e-mail: carmine.senatore@physics.unige.ch; rolf.lortz@physics.unige.ch; rene.flukiger@physics.unige.ch).

P. Lezza is with the Groupe de Physique Appliquée, Université de Genève, Geneva CH-1211, Switzerland (e-mail: paola.lezza@physics.unige.ch).

O. Shcherbakova and S. X. Dou are with the Institute for Superconductivity and Electronic Materials, University of Wollongong, Wollongong NSW-2522, Australia (e-mail: os966@uow.edu.au; shi@uow.edu.au).

Digital Object Identifier 10.1109/TASC.2007.899556




Fig. 1. Superconducting transition from AC susceptibility measurements for MgB_2 (solid squares), $\text{MgB}_2 + 10 \text{ wt.}\% \text{ SiC}$ (open circles) and $\text{MgB}_{1.9}\text{C}_{0.1}$ (solid triangles).

TABLE I
NOMINAL CARBON COMPOSITION AND CRITICAL TEMPERATURES
OF THE SAMPLES

Sample	Nominal Carbon (at. %)	$T_{\text{onset}} [\chi_1'']$ (K)	$T_{10\%} [\chi_1'']$ (K)
MgB_2	0	38.4	37.8
$\text{MgB}_2 + 10 \text{ wt.}\% \text{ SiC}$	3.7	38.3	36.3
$\text{MgB}_{1.9}\text{C}_{0.1}$	3.3	36.3	36.0




Fig. 2. Inductive critical current density J_c as a function of the magnetic field for MgB_2 (solid squares), $\text{MgB}_2 + 10 \text{ wt.}\% \text{ SiC}$ (open circles) and $\text{MgB}_{1.9}\text{C}_{0.1}$ (solid triangles) at $T = 5 \text{ K}$ (black symbols) and 20 K (gray symbols).

where a and b are the dimensions in the basal plane ($a > b$) and B perpendicular to this plane) and M is the irreversible magnetization.

Magnetic hysteresis loops have been measured using a vibrating sample magnetometer (VSM) equipped with a 9 T magnet. Fig. 2 shows the critical current density curves $J_c(B)$ at 5 K and 20 K for the three samples. As is well known, the addition of SiC and C lead to higher critical current in magnetic field. By using the 100 A/cm^2 criterion the irreversibility field B_{irr} has been determined at different temperatures. The resulting irreversibility lines are reported in Fig. 3. At $T = 20 \text{ K}$ B_{irr} is markedly higher for the samples with additives [3]–[6]. In the next section we will investigate the mechanisms related to the addition of nanoparticles being responsible for the improvement of the current transport properties.




Fig. 3. Irreversibility lines determined from the inductive $J_c(B)$ by using the 100 A/cm^2 criterion for MgB_2 (solid squares), $\text{MgB}_2 + 10 \text{ wt.}\% \text{ SiC}$ (open circles) and $\text{MgB}_{1.9}\text{C}_{0.1}$ (solid triangles).

III. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Magnetic Relaxation Measurements

The magnetic relaxation, arising from thermally activated flux creep, has been intensively studied in conventional and high temperature superconductors [9]. The magnetization decreases logarithmically in time. This logarithmic dependence is predicted in the framework of the Anderson-Kim model [10]. In fact, by considering the thermally activated motion of flux bundles in the presence of correlated defects, these authors obtained from the magnetic diffusion equation the following time dependence for the current density:

$$J = J_{c0} \left[1 - \frac{k_B T}{U_0} \ln \left(\frac{t}{t_0} \right) \right], \quad (2)$$

where U_0 is the volume pinning energy for $T = 0$ and $B = 0$, t_0 is a characteristic time scale. Since the magnetization M is proportional to J when the sample is fully penetrated by the field, M relaxes with the same logarithmic flux creep correction as the current density, given by (2). Moreover, the volume pinning energy is found to be inversely proportional to the normalized relaxation rate:

$$S = \frac{1}{M_0} \frac{dM}{d \ln t} = -\frac{k_B T}{U_0}, \quad (3)$$

where M_0 is the magnetization corresponding to the unrelaxed value.

In our magnetic relaxation measurements magnetization was measured as a function of time for 6000 s, keeping the external field constant. Measurements have been performed at different dc fields from 0 to 8 T in the temperature range 5–20 K.

The standard logarithmic relaxation law $M \propto \ln(t)$ is recovered for the three samples for $t > 5 \text{ s}$, as shown in Fig. 4 at $T = 10 \text{ K}$ and $B = 3 \text{ T}$. Bearing in mind the simple relation between relaxation rates and pinning energy in (3), we compare in Fig. 5 the relaxation rates of MgB_2 , $\text{MgB}_2 + 10 \text{ wt.}\% \text{ SiC}$ and $\text{MgB}_{1.9}\text{C}_{0.1}$, as a function of the magnetic field at $T = 5, 10$ and 20 K . Relaxation rates are defined as the decay of the magnetization (in %) over a decade of the time-log scale.

It is clear that the experimental points corresponding to the three different samples lie on the same line at each temperature. This means that additives do not lead to a strong improvement of




Fig. 4. Magnetic relaxation measurements at $T = 10$ K and $B_{dc} = 3$ T for MgB₂ (solid squares), MgB₂ + 10 wt.% SiC (open circles) and MgB_{1.9}C_{0.1} (solid triangles). The logarithmic behavior is recovered for $t > 5$ s.




Fig. 5. Comparison of the relaxation rates of MgB₂ (squares), MgB₂ + 10 wt.% SiC (circles) and MgB_{1.9}C_{0.1} (triangles) at $T = 5$ K, 10 K and 20 K.

pinning. In particular the precipitates of impurity phases in the case of the SiC additions do not act as additional pinning centers. This could be ascribed to the large size of these precipitates compared to the dimensions of the vortex bundles involved in the flux creep processes. The increased irreversibility fields for the samples doped with SiC and C are thus correlated to the enhancement of the upper critical field B_{c2} . Therefore, the effect of the additives is the introduction of disorder that drives the system MgB₂ in the dirty limit. In the following we will analyse the results of the specific heat measurements in order to verify if SiC and C introduce the same kind of disorder in MgB₂.

B. Specific Heat Measurements

The temperature dependence of the specific heat was measured from 2 to 45 K for the three MgB₂ samples, at zero field and at 14 T. Measurements were performed using a relaxation calorimeter [11]. A Cernox chip was employed as sample holder and thermometer/heater. The mass of the samples was 32.901 mg (MgB₂), 19.083 mg (MgB₂ + 10 wt.% SiC) and 10.543 mg (MgB_{1.9}C_{0.1}).

The specific heat of the binary MgB₂ is shown in Fig. 6. At zero field the onset of the superconducting transition is at $T = 38.4$ K, whereas superconductivity is suppressed at 14 T.

The superconducting transition of the three samples has been isolated from the phononic background by subtracting the specific heat curves measured at high field from those measured in zero field. The resulting superconducting contributions are illustrated in Fig. 7. The jump at T_c is well pronounced for the binary




Fig. 6. Specific heat versus T for the MgB₂ sample, at zero field (solid squares) 14 T (open squares).




Fig. 7. Superconducting contribution to the specific heat: (a) MgB₂ versus MgB₂ + 10 wt.% SiC; (b) MgB₂ versus MgB_{1.9}C_{0.1}.

and the Carbon doped samples but gets broader with the SiC addition. The specific heat in the superconducting state exhibits for all samples an excess at low temperature when compared to the conventional single gap BCS behavior. This is a peculiar feature of the two gap nature of the superconductivity in MgB₂. Within the two gap model, two electronic bands, characterized by two different superconducting gaps, contribute to the specific heat proportionally to their partial density of states [12]. In the case of substitution of C on the B sites, the density of the states of the band corresponding to the smaller gap is changed. This is the case for the MgB_{1.9}C_{0.1}, the low temperature shoulder related to the second gap being markedly changed with respect to the undoped sample. On the contrary the calorimetric transition of the MgB₂ + 10 wt.% SiC does not show any change in the low temperature region, the effect of the C substitution on the second gap shoulder being hidden by the distribution of T_c in the sample. At this point the superconducting contributions to the specific heat have been analysed by means of a particular deconvolution method in order to determine the distribution of T_c [13], [14]. The distributions of the critical temperature are reported in Fig. 8 for the three samples. The onset T_c and the half height width of the distributions are reported in Table II. The half height ΔT was found to increase with the SiC added sample, while the width of the distributions for the MgB₂ and MgB_{1.9}C_{0.1} results to be comparable.

From the different behavior encountered in the specific heat of the MgB_{1.9}C_{0.1} and MgB₂ + 10 wt.% SiC samples we can argue that the SiC addition leads to an inhomogeneous distribution of C. This inhomogeneity masks the effect of the substitution of C for B on the second gap shoulder. In both the doped samples, the C substituted on the B sites introduces scattering




Fig. 8. Distribution of T_c obtained by the deconvolution of the specific heat data: (a) MgB_2 versus $\text{MgB}_2 + 10 \text{ wt. \% SiC}$; (b) MgB_2 versus $\text{MgB}_{1.9}\text{C}_{0.1}$.

TABLE II
DISTRIBUTION OF T_c : ONSET TEMPERATURE AND HALF HEIGHT WIDTH

Sample	Onset T_c (K)	half height ΔT_c (K)
MgB_2	38.4	1.9
$\text{MgB}_2 + 10 \text{ wt. \% SiC}$	38.3	2.9
$\text{MgB}_{1.9}\text{C}_{0.1}$	36.6	1.6




Fig. 9. Selected regions of the X-ray diffraction pattern for the three MgB_2 samples. As a consequence of the additions, a shift is clearly identified in the 101 peak, but not in the 002 peak.

centers that reduce the electron mean free path and thus raises B_{c2} .

In Fig. 9 we report the X-ray diffraction data for the three samples in correspondence of selected regions of the pattern. The same value of the a lattice parameter is found for the two doped samples (see Table III). This indicates that the average substitutional level of the C on the B sites is similar. However, the (101) peak of the $\text{MgB}_2 + 10 \text{ wt. \% SiC}$ exhibits a larger full width at half maximum respect to the other two samples. This

TABLE III
LATTICE PARAMETERS

Sample	a (Å)	c (Å)
MgB_2	3.0853(2)	3.5259(3)
$\text{MgB}_2 + 10 \text{ wt. \% SiC}$	3.0777(3)	3.5268(3)
$\text{MgB}_{1.9}\text{C}_{0.1}$	3.0776(2)	3.5259(2)

is a consequence of the inhomogeneous Carbon distribution, as already deduced from the specific heat analysis.

IV. SUMMARY

In the present study, we have investigated the effects of SiC and Carbon doping on the superconducting properties of MgB_2 polycrystalline samples. From magnetic relaxation measurements, no sizeable effects were detected on the pinning properties of MgB_2 which could be attributed to the presence of additives. The doping acts mainly by introducing disorder into the superconductor, thus raising B_{c2} . This happens by the C substitution on the B sites. As shown by specific heat measurements, in the case of SiC the distribution of T_c is broader as a consequence of an inhomogeneous distribution of C in the sample.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors would like to thank Mr. Michel Moret for the technical support in the design of the specific heat probe.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. J. Cava, H. W. Zandbergen, and K. Inumara, *Physica C*, vol. 385, p. 8, 2003.
- [2] A. Bharathi, S. Jemima Balaselvi, S. Kalavathi, G. L. N. Reddy, V. Sankara Sastry, Y. Hariharan, and T. S. Radhakrishnan, *Physica C*, vol. 370, p. 211, 2002.
- [3] A. Yamamoto, J. Shimoyama, S. Ueda, I. Iwayama, S. Horii, and K. Kishio, *Supercond. Sci. Technol.*, vol. 18, p. 1323, 2005.
- [4] P. Lezza, C. Senatore, R. Gladyshevskii, and R. Flükiger, *Supercond. Sci. Technol.*, vol. 19, p. 1030, 2006.
- [5] S. X. Dou, A. V. Pan, S. H. Zhou, M. Ionescu, X. L. Wang, J. Horvat, H. K. Liu, and P. R. Munroe, *J. Appl. Phys.*, vol. 94, p. 1850, 2003.
- [6] S. Soltanian, J. Horvat, X. L. Wang, P. R. Munroe, and S. X. Dou, *Physica C*, vol. 390, p. 185, 2003.
- [7] A. Gurevich, *Phys. Rev. B*, vol. 67, p. 184515, 2003.
- [8] C. Senatore, P. Lezza, and R. Flükiger, *Adv. Cry. Eng.*, vol. 53, p. 654, 2006.
- [9] Y. Yeshurun, A. P. Malozemoff, and A. Shaulov, *Rev. Mod. Phys.*, vol. 68, p. 911, 1996.
- [10] P. W. Anderson and Y. B. Kim, *Rev. Mod. Phys.*, vol. 36, p. 39, 1964.
- [11] D. Sanchez, A. Junod, J.-Y. Genoud, T. Graf, and J. Muller, *Physica C*, vol. 200, p. 1, 1992.
- [12] F. Bouquet, R. A. Fisher, N. E. Phillips, D. G. Hinks, and J. D. Jorgensen, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, vol. 87, p. 047001, 2001.
- [13] A. Junod, T. Jarlborg, and J. Muller, *Phys. Rev. B*, vol. 27, p. 1569, 1983.
- [14] Y. Wang, C. Senatore, V. Abächerli, D. Uglietti, and R. Flükiger, *Supercond. Sci. Technol.*, vol. 19, p. 263, 2006.