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Carbon-coated SnO$_2$–NiO nanocomposite was successfully synthesized via the molten salt route, using SnCl$_2$·H$_2$O and NiCl$_2$·6H$_2$O as the starting materials, with a molten salt composition of H$_2$O : LiOH·H$_2$O : LiNO$_3$ as a solvent at 300 °C. The synthesis was followed by a carbon layering process. The phases and morphology of the as-prepared samples were examined by X-ray diffraction and transmission electron microscopy. Electrochemical investigation was carried out by using a series of complementary techniques, including galvanostatic charge–discharge, cyclic voltammetry, and impedance spectroscopy. The results confirmed that the carbon-coated SnO$_2$–NiO nanocomposite has higher discharge capacity, better rate capability, and excellent cycling performance in comparison to the uncoated SnO$_2$–NiO nanocomposite. The carbon-coated SnO$_2$–NiO nanocomposite electrode exhibited a reversible capacity of about 529 mA h g$^{-1}$ at 800 mA g$^{-1}$, and 265 mA h g$^{-1}$ at 1600 mA g$^{-1}$, even after 500 cycles. The excellent electrochemical performance of the SnO$_2$–NiO–C nanocomposite can be mainly attributed to the combined effects of the nanosize, the carbon layering on the SnO$_2$ and NiO nanoparticles, and the ultra-fine carbon matrix, because the three factors would contribute to high electronic conductivity, reduce the traverse time of electrons and lithium ions, and also prevent high volume expansion during cycling. Due to its excellent electrochemical performance, the SnO$_2$–NiO–C nanocomposite could be considered as a promising anode material for future lithium-ion batteries to be used in electric vehicles and hybrid electric vehicles.

Introduction

Research and development on lithium-ion batteries as portable power sources are continuing to attract more and more attention. Lithium-ion batteries have become a very topical research area in science and industry due to their high-energy storage density, long cycle life, and capacity to act as high power sources.$^{1,2}$ However, the main challenge in the fabrication of these batteries is to ensure that the electrodes maintain their integrity during hundreds of discharge–charge cycles. Many recently proposed electrode systems have limited cycle life due to the growth of passivation layers and/or Li-alloying agglomeration, which leads to high capacity loss and poor cycling performance, thus restricting their application as anode materials for lithium ion batteries.$^{3,4}$ To improve electrode capacity, cycling performance, and rate capability, some hybrid materials with multidimensional networks characterized by high surface area, high conductivity, and highly porous nanostructures have been developed.$^{5,7}$ Recently, stannous oxide (SnO$_2$) has been intensively studied as an active material in hybrid systems. Its high theoretical storage capacity of 782 mA h g$^{-1}$ and maximum intake of 4.4 Li per Sn atom to form Li$_4.4$Sn during alloying make it one of the most suitable compounds to replace carbon anode in the lithium ion battery. However, tin-based materials have a disadvantage, in that they undergo significant volume expansion and contraction during lithium intercalation and de-intercalation.$^{8,9}$ This causes cracking and crumbling, and results in “dead volume”, which is electrically disconnected from the bulk material or the current collector. The mechanically inactive “dead volume” results in subsequent degradation of electrode performance during cycling. Special structures have been designed to stabilize the morphology of electrodes in order to alleviate the volume changes and mechanical stress in Sn-metal alloy$^{10–14}$ or tin-based composite.$^{15–17}$

In such cases, another inactive or less active composition is used as a supporting and conducting matrix to buffer the volume change. Many of these new anode materials are composed of Sn or SnO$_2$ in combination with other metal oxides, such as ZnO–SnO$_2$,$^{18}$ RuO$_2$–SnO$_2$,$^{19}$ CuFeO$_2$–SnO$_2$,$^{20}$ SnO$_2$–SnO$_3$,$^{21}$ CuO(nanotube)–SnO$_2$,$^{22}$ Sn–Co–C,$^{23}$ and Sn–Ni–C.$^{24}$ These hybrid systems have some properties which would be difficult to achieve in a single system, such as higher catalytic activity, capability to absorb the volume variation of the active material during lithium insertion, and ability to react reversibly with a larger amount of lithium, so that they can greatly improve the electrochemical performance compared to the single systems.$^{18,21,25–27}$ However, studies on SnO$_2$–NiO and carbon-coated SnO$_2$–NiO as anode materials for lithium-ion batteries have been rarely reported.
In this study, carbon-coated SnO₂–NiO nanocomposite was synthesized by a simple molten salt technique followed by a carbon layering process. SnO₂–NiO particles were simply and effectively coated by amorphous carbon obtained from malic acid dispersed in toluene. By using this method, we avoided the usual drastic volume variation and agglomeration of nanoparticles, and enhanced the kinetic properties of the active materials. Consequently, the electrochemical performance of the electrode was significantly improved. Furthermore, the molten salt method is easy to carry out and scale up, the treatment temperature is low, and the raw molten salt materials are recyclable, as we reported in our previous work.²⁸ In this investigation, the structural and electrochemical properties of the SnO₂–NiO–C nanocomposite are characterized with the aim of obtaining better anode materials for lithium-ion batteries.

**Experimental**

**Material preparation**

Commercial lithium hydroxide monohydrate (Aldrich), lithium nitrate (Aldrich), hydrogen peroxide (Sigma-Aldrich), tin(ii) chloride dihydrate (Analar-BDH), and nickel(ii) chloride hexahydrate (Sigma-Aldrich) were used as received. 100 mmol of lithium nitrate, 100 mmol of lithium hydroxide monohydrate, 10 mmol of tin(ii) chloride dehydrate, and 10 mmol of nickel(ii) chloride hexahydrate were mixed in an agate mortar to obtain a homogenous composition. Then, 50 mmol of hydrogen peroxide were added into the mixture and stirred for 3 h. The mixture was then heated at 120 °C for 4 h in a vacuum oven, followed by a further heat-treatment in air at 300 °C for 3 h in a muffle furnace. After cooling naturally in air, the SnO₂–NiO composite was separated from the eutectic mixture by washing with a large amount of de-ionized (DI) water and by centrifugation. The product, the bare SnO₂–NiO, was then dried under vacuum at 100 °C overnight to remove the residual water. The amorphous carbon coated SnO₂–NiO nanopowder was prepared by a surface coating method. Toluene (C₇H₈, 99.5%) and malic acid (C₄H₆O₅, 99%) were used as the solvent and the carbon source, respectively, during the coating process. Both SnO₂–NiO and malic acid were dispersed in toluene with continuous stirring for 2 h at room temperature. The slurry was dried at 150 °C for 6 h at a pressure of 0.1 MPa and then further heat-treated at 300 °C for 3 h in air. The resultant powders were collected, washed, and vacuum-treated again at 120 °C for 24 h to eliminate residual water on the powder surfaces.

**Material characterization**

X-Ray diffraction analysis (XRD) was carried out on the synthesized powders using a GBC MMA diffractometer with Cu Kα radiation and a graphite monochromator. Raman spectroscopy was conducted using a Jobin Yvon HR8000 to identify the amorphous carbon in the composite powder. Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) was performed on a SETARAM Thermogravimetric Analyzer (France) in air to determine the changes in sample weight with increasing temperature and to estimate the amount of carbon present in the SnO₂–NiO nanocomposite. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) was performed using a 200 kV JEOL 2011 instrument equipped with a JEOL-Energy Dispersive X-ray Spectrometer (EDS). TEM powder samples were prepared by dispersion onto holey carbon support films. The as-prepared powders were used to prepare electrodes by dispersing 75 wt% active materials with 20 wt% of acetylene black, and 5 wt% of poly(vinylidene fluoride) (PVDF) binder in 1-methyl-2-pyrrolidinone (NMP) solvent. The mixture was then pressed at 10 MPa to complete the compaction. The cells were assembled in an argon-filled glove box (H₂O, O₂ < 0.1 ppm, MBraun, Unilab, USA). The electrolyte was 1 M LiPF₆ in a mixture of ethylene carbonate (EC) and dimethyl carbonate (DMC) (1 : 1 by volume, provided by MERCK KgaA, Germany), and microporous polypropylene film was used as the separator. The cells were galvanostatically discharged and charged in the voltage range of 0.01–3.0 V vs. Li/Li⁺. Cyclic voltammetry (CV) measurements were performed using an electrochemical workstation (CHI660A) at a scan rate of 0.1 mV s⁻¹ between 0.01 and 3.0 V versus Li/Li⁺. Impedance measurements were carried out several times with a computer controlled CHI instrument at room temperature (RT). The frequency range was varied from 1 MHz to 10 mHz with an ac signal amplitude of 10 mV. The collected data were analyzed using ZPlot® and ZView™ software to obtain the Nyquist plots (Z’ vs. –Z”).

**Results and discussion**

The molten salt compositions with their low melting point are helpful in hindering the growth of SnO₂–NiO particles in a eutectic environment. The method shows an accelerated reaction rate and controllable particle morphology, because the salt melt acts as a strong solvent with a high ionic diffusion rate.²⁹ Furthermore, the amorphous carbon obtained by the present carbon-layering process, among other modes of action, suppresses aggregation of nanoparticles and thus generates porous SnO₂–NiO–C nanostructures. The overall synthetic procedure is schematically described in Scheme 1.

The Raman spectrum of the SnO₂–NiO–C composite is shown in Fig. 1. The results exhibit two kinds of peaks, namely, the
graphite carbon peak (G peak) located at ~1597 cm$^{-1}$ and the disordered carbon peak (D peak) at ~1341 cm$^{-1}$. Thus, it confirms that the carbon in the composite is amorphous carbon.\cite{30-32} Fig. 2 presents the X-ray diffraction patterns of the coated and uncoated compounds, which were obtained using a scan rate of 2° per min in the range of 10–80°. Both diffraction patterns clearly show that there is a two-phase structure, where some peaks are broad and have low intensity, and the others are sharp and have relatively high intensities. The broad reflection peaks can be easily indexed to a tetragonal phase of cassiterite SnO$_2$ (JCPDS 2-1340, space group $P4_2/mnm$ (no. 136)), while the sharp reflection peaks can be indexed as a cubic phase of bun-senite NiO (JCPDS 71-1179, space group $Fm3m$ (no. 225)). The approximate crystallite sizes in the powders were estimated to be 1–2 nm for the SnO$_2$ and 13–90 nm for the NiO, respectively, using the Debye–Scherrer equation, where (1 0 1) was used for SnO$_2$ and (2 0 0) for NiO, with the Si standard (2 2 0) peak used as a reference. Broad diffraction peaks or lines corresponding to amorphous or crystalline carbon were of insufficient intensity to be detected against the background in the XRD pattern of the SnO$_2$–NiO–C composite, however, amorphous carbon in the composite product was detected by TGA, as shown in Fig. S1 of the ESI$^\dagger$ and by TEM examination. From comparison with the Joint Committee on Powder Diffraction Standards (JCPDS) database lines in the figure, no other peaks were detected, even after the surface coating, which indicates that SnO$_2$–NiO and carbon-coated SnO$_2$–NiO nanocomposites were successfully obtained under the current synthetic conditions.

Transmission electron microscope (TEM) images of the SnO$_2$–NiO and SnO$_2$–NiO–C powders are shown in Fig. 3. Fig. 3(a) presents a TEM image of the SnO$_2$–NiO powder. This high resolution TEM image of the SnO$_2$–NiO shows that the interplanar spacing of individual particles in the SnO$_2$ (110) and the NiO (111) directions is approximately 0.335 and 0.243 nm between neighbouring planes. The individual particles of SnO$_2$ and NiO in the composite samples matched well with SnO$_2$ and NiO particles which are synthesised separately (Fig. S2$^\dagger$). Meanwhile, the crystallite sizes of individual particles of SnO$_2$ and NiO are in the range of 1–2 nm and 13–90 nm, respectively, which are in good agreement with the values from the Scherrer calculations. The values of the crystal sizes along three crystallographic directions for the SnO$_2$–NiO and SnO$_2$–NiO–C samples are shown in Table S1 in the ESI$^\dagger$. Fig. 3(b) contains the corresponding selected area electron diffraction (SAED) pattern of the SnO$_2$–NiO powder. The diffraction rings and discrete spots are due to the very fine SnO$_2$ and relatively coarse NiO particles, respectively. Therefore, this diffraction pattern can be indexed as mixed SnO$_2$ and NiO. The result is not only consistent with the XRD results presented above, but also with the TEM image of the SnO$_2$–NiO–C in Fig. 3(c), which shows that the powder consists of large NiO and small SnO$_2$ particles, as well as amorphous carbon. It should be noted here that the amorphous carbon was successfully identified by comparing it with the amorphous carbon on the copper grid, as observed in the inset of Fig. 3(c). The EDS spectrum obtained from the marked region of Fig. 3(c) is given in Fig. 3(d). The Sn L peaks come from the SnO$_2$, the Ni K and L peaks from NiO, the O K peak from carbon.
both NiO and SnO$_2$, the C K peak from the carbon coating, and the Cu K and L peaks from the Cu grid. It was noted that after layering with amorphous carbon, the structure of SnO$_2$–NiO clearly indicated the order of each element to be better, neat and orderly (Fig. S3†).

Electrochemical performances of the SnO$_2$–NiO and SnO$_2$–NiO–C electrodes are presented in Fig. 4. Fig. 4(a) shows cyclic voltammograms obtained in the potential range of 3.0–0.01 V and collected at a sweep rate of 0.1 mA s$^{-1}$. Several reduction and oxidation peaks could be observed in the CV results, implying that the samples have a multiple reaction mechanism, which could be characterized by the following equations:

\[
\begin{align*}
2\text{Li}^+ + \text{NiO} + 2e^- &\rightarrow \text{Ni} + \text{Li}_2\text{O} \quad (1) \\
4\text{Li}^+ + \text{SnO}_2 + 4e^- &\rightarrow \text{Sn} + 2\text{Li}_2\text{O} \quad (2) \\
4.4\text{Li}^+ + \text{Sn} + 4.4e^- &\rightarrow \text{Li}_4\text{Sn} \quad (3) \\
\text{xLi}^+ + \text{C} \text{(amorphous carbon)} + xe^- &\rightarrow \text{Li}_x\text{C} \quad (4)
\end{align*}
\]

NiO has been proposed$^4$ to have a one-step reaction with lithium, as expressed in eqn (1). As observed in the reduction process, the peak located at 0.95 V corresponds to the reduction process of NiO into Ni, and the formation of amorphous Li$_2$O and the solid electrolyte interphase (SEI). The two oxidation peaks of NiO positioned at 1.58 and 2.2 V could be related to the decomposition of the SEI and Li$_2$O, and the formation of NiO, which is fully reversible, according to the reaction of eqn (1).$^{33,34}$ Meanwhile, SnO$_2$ is well known to have a two-step reaction, as expressed in eqn (2) and (3).$^{35}$ In the first step reaction, the peaks positioned at 0.66 and 0.35 V correspond to the reduction reaction of SnO$_2$ with lithium ions to form metallic Sn and Li$_2$O (eqn (2)). The oxidation peaks observed at 0.55 and 1.28 V can be related to the reaction between metallic Sn and lithium ions to form Li$_x$Sn (eqn (3)). Only this third reaction took place for the next oxidation/reduction process.$^{36,37}$ It might be believed that the amorphous carbon participates in the electrochemical reaction as expressed in eqn (4).$^{38}$ where the sharp peak at around 0.02 V corresponds to the Li insertion into amorphous carbon, however, we are unable to identify the de-insertion reaction peak of carbon in our CV result. From the CV results, we can conclude that the overall electrochemical process for the composite materials might involve all four reactions given above.

In order to allow full estimation of the electrochemical performance of SnO$_2$–NiO and SnO$_2$–NiO–C electrodes, the consecutive cycling behaviours (Fig. 4(b)) and galvanostatic charge–discharge voltage profiles (Fig. 4(c)) at various charge/discharge rates (50, 200, 400, 600, and 800 mA g$^{-1}$) are shown in Fig. 4. The specific discharge capacity of the SnO$_2$–NiO–C electrode at 600 mA g$^{-1}$ is 58% of its initial specific discharge capacity at 50 mA g$^{-1}$. In fact, when discharged at 800 mA g$^{-1}$, the specific discharge capacity still shows approximately 54% of the first specific capacity that obtained at 50 mA g$^{-1}$. On returning to 50 mA g$^{-1}$, the electrode delivers a specific discharge capacity of about 852 mA h g$^{-1}$, even after 60 cycles. In contrast, the specific discharge capacity of the SnO$_2$–NiO electrode at 600 mA g$^{-1}$ and 800 mA g$^{-1}$ is only 11% and 7% of its initial specific discharge capacity at 50 mA g$^{-1}$, respectively. Fig. 4(d) shows the variation in the cell capacity as a function of the applied discharge rate, expressed in mA g$^{-1}$. The lowest slope indicates the best rate capability. That is to say, the SnO$_2$–NiO–C electrode shows the best rate capability. Here, the carbon component could increase the electron transfer and reduce the charge transfer resistance within the electrode, giving the carbon coated composite the best rate capability. At the low discharge rate of 50 mA g$^{-1}$, no differences in specific capacity can be observed for the two electrodes. This is reasonable because Li$^+$ insertion/extraction is sufficient at the relatively low charge/discharge rate. The specific capacity difference between the SnO$_2$–NiO and SnO$_2$–NiO–C electrodes increases with increasing charge/discharge rate. This result confirms that the carbon coating on SnO$_2$–NiO particles can significantly improve the kinetics of the SnO$_2$–NiO–C electrode. Fig. 4(e) presents the cycle life up to 500 cycles for both samples at the high discharge rates of 800 mA g$^{-1}$ and 1600 mA g$^{-1}$. For the SnO$_2$–NiO electrode, the degradation in the specific discharge capacity is very significant, and this trend can be observed throughout the whole cycling process. However, after carbon coating, the electrochemical properties improved dramatically, and the SnO$_2$–NiO–C electrode demonstrates a stable specific capacity as high as 529 mA h g$^{-1}$ at 800 mA g$^{-1}$ and 265 mA h g$^{-1}$ at 1600 mA g$^{-1}$, even after 500 cycles. This
excellent performance is better than those of other Sn-based or Ni based composite materials, which makes the materials more promising for further investigation for lithium battery applications.

AC electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) was performed on the SnO$_2$–NiO and SnO$_2$–NiO–C composite electrodes, as shown in Fig. 4(f). Prior to the AC impedance testing, the electrodes were cycled galvanostatically for five cycles to ensure the stable formation of the SEI layer on the surface of the electro-active particles. Impedance studies were carried out on the cycled SnO$_2$–NiO and SnO$_2$–NiO–C electrodes at the potential of 1.85 and 2.25 V vs. Li, respectively. An equivalent circuit (Fig. 4(f), inset) was used for fitting the electrochemical impedance spectra. The intercept at the $Z_{\text{real}}$ axis at high frequency corresponds to the ohmic resistance ($R_{\text{ohm}}$), which represents the total resistance of the electrolyte, separator, and electrical contacts. The semicircle in the middle frequency range indicates the charge transfer resistance ($R_{\text{ct}}$). The inclined line at lower frequency represents the Warburg impedance ($W$), which is associated with lithium-ion diffusion in the SnO$_2$–NiO particles. The resistance of the combination of the electrolyte, separator, and electrical contacts ($R_{\text{ct}}$) is similar for both electrodes. This is because the electrodes were prepared by adding a conductive carbon black agent, which induces good conductivity in the electrode. It can be clearly seen that the fitted value of $R_{\text{ct}}$ is much smaller for the SnO$_2$–NiO–C electrode ($R_{\text{ct}} = 41 \pm 5 \Omega$) than for the SnO$_2$–NiO electrode ($R_{\text{ct}} = 303 \pm 5 \Omega$), which indicates that the carbon coating could enable much easier charge transfer at the electrode/electrolyte interface, and consequently decrease the overall battery internal resistance. The electrode could accordingly possess higher reactivity and lower polarization. In other respects, the modifications in impedance are also associated with the component alterations of the electrode. The amorphous carbon layering on the nanoparticles has multiple actions: extending the surface area, preventing aggregation, and enhancing the electronic conductivity of the composite material, which would contribute together to enhance the structural stability, improve the lithium storage kinetics, and stabilize SEI films, resulting in improved rate and cycling performance.

Conclusions

Carbon-coated SnO$_2$–NiO nanocomposite has been successfully produced by a molten salt method, followed by a carbon layering process. The composite, along with bare SnO$_2$–NiO, was investigated as anode for lithium-ion batteries. The XRD studies show that the product was a dual phase oxide composed of tetragonal SnO$_2$ and cubic structure NiO. TEM images of the SnO$_2$–NiO–C powder indicate that the crystallite sizes of SnO$_2$ and NiO are in the range of 1–2 nm and 13–90 nm, respectively. The oxide particles were found to be covered by an amorphous carbon layer, and the carbon covered SnO$_2$ and NiO particles are embedded in a carbon matrix. It was found that the discharge capacity of SnO$_2$–NiO was dramatically improved after coating with amorphous carbon. The carbon coated composite showed the best electrochemical performance in terms of high capacity, enhanced rate capability, and excellent cycling stability, with capacity of 529 mA h g$^{-1}$ at 800 mA g$^{-1}$ and 265 mA h g$^{-1}$ at 1600 mA g$^{-1}$, even after 500 cycles. This high performance can be ascribed to the combined effects of the nanosize compound, good interface behavior between the carbon matrix and the SnO$_2$–NiO structure, and the highly porous nature of the carbon matrix. This research suggests that carbon-coated SnO$_2$–NiO nanocomposite could be a promising anode material for lithium-ion batteries.
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