

University of Wollongong

Research Online

Faculty of Engineering and Information
Sciences - Papers: Part A

Faculty of Engineering and Information
Sciences

1-1-2015

Flow category landslide susceptibility modelling of the Sydney Basin

D Palamakumbure

University of Wollongong, dp770@uowmail.edu.au

P Flentje

University of Wollongong, pflentje@uow.edu.au

D Stirling

University of Wollongong, stirling@uow.edu.au

Follow this and additional works at: <https://ro.uow.edu.au/eispapers>



Part of the [Engineering Commons](#), and the [Science and Technology Studies Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Palamakumbure, D; Flentje, P; and Stirling, D, "Flow category landslide susceptibility modelling of the Sydney Basin" (2015). *Faculty of Engineering and Information Sciences - Papers: Part A*. 5260.
<https://ro.uow.edu.au/eispapers/5260>

Research Online is the open access institutional repository for the University of Wollongong. For further information contact the UOW Library: research-pubs@uow.edu.au

Flow category landslide susceptibility modelling of the Sydney Basin

Abstract

University of Wollongong Landslide Research Team has completed a GIS-based Landslide Susceptibility model for the entire Sydney Basin region. According to the Australian Bureau of Statistics and the 2011 Census data, the population within the Sydney Basin Study area is approximately one quarter of the population of Australia. This model has been developed with the aid of a large scale Landslide Inventory for NSW, which contains 1823 landslides to date. A composite geology dataset has also been developed using commercially available geology datasets including those from NSW Department of Primary Industries and elsewhere. The model employs a 10m pixel Digital Elevation Model (DEM) across the entire study area derived from either Local Government sourced Airborne Laser Scan data and where absent the 30m pixel year 2000 Shuttle Radar Topography Mission (SRTM) data. Using techniques developed over the last decade and refined ArcGIS tools developed over the last three years, Data Mining methods and ESRI ArcGIS capabilities have enabled the modelling to produce a very useful zoning outcome over the entire Sydney Basin area. The Major advantage of this new tool is that it applies the See5 logic derived from rule sets over a large datasets, and produces a visually interpretable outcome. The authors expect the susceptibility zoning are suitable for use at Regional to Local Advisory level Local Government Planning Development Control Plans.

Disciplines

Engineering | Science and Technology Studies

Publication Details

Palamakumbure, D., Flentje, P. & S, D. (2015). Flow category landslide susceptibility modelling of the Sydney Basin. 12th Australia New Zealand Conference on Geomechanics, (pp. 1-6).

Flow category landslide susceptibility modelling of the Sydney Basin

D. Palamakumbure, P. Flentje¹ and D. Stirling

¹Faculty of Engineering and Information Sciences, University of Wollongong, NSW 2522; email: pflentje@uow.edu.au

ABSTRACT

The University of Wollongong Landslide Research Team has completed a GIS-based Landslide Susceptibility model for the entire Sydney Basin region. According to the Australian Bureau of Statistics and the 2011 Census data, the population within the Sydney Basin Study area is approximately one quarter of the population of Australia. This model has been developed with the aid of a large scale Landslide Inventory for NSW, which contains 1823 landslides to date. A composite geology dataset has also been developed using commercially available geology datasets including those from NSW Department of Primary Industries and elsewhere. The model employs a 10m pixel Digital Elevation Model (DEM) across the entire study area derived from either Local Government sourced Airborne Laser Scan data and where absent the 30m pixel year 2000 Shuttle Radar Topography Mission (SRTM) data. Using techniques developed over the last decade and refined ArcGIS tools developed over the last three years, Data Mining methods and ESRI ArcGIS capabilities have enabled the modelling to produce a very useful zoning outcome over the entire Sydney Basin area. The Major advantage of this new tool is that it applies the See5 logic derived from rule sets over a large datasets, and produces a visually interpretable outcome. The authors expect the susceptibility zoning are suitable for use at Regional to Local Advisory level Local Government Planning Development Control Plans.

Keywords: landslides, susceptibility modelling, GIS, See5, flows

1 INTRODUCTION

This paper discusses the progress of flow category landslide susceptibility modelling of the Sydney Basin study area. After compiling of major datasets for the entire Sydney Basin study area, a susceptibility model for flows was developed along with the slide category landslide susceptibility modelling. The Sydney Basin study area region extends from Newcastle in the north to Batemans Bay in the south and west to include the Blue Mountains, an area of 36,225 square kilometres in NSW, Australia. The Australian Bureau of Statistics and the 2011 census data reports that the population within this area is 5.4 million people, approximately one quarter of the population of Australia. Therefore, proper land-use planning is considered essential to cope up with the increasing pressure to develop marginal land. In local government areas where catastrophic landslides have occurred, Landslide Risk Assessment and management, is recognised as important for proper land-use zoning practices. The Landslide Risk Management Guidelines (AGS, 2007) and JTC-1 2008 (Fell et al., 2008; Fell et al., 2008) state the development of Landslide Inventories and then Landslide Susceptibility Zoning as the first step of landslide risk assessment for effective land use planning.

This study focuses on using data mining techniques, whereby decision tree derived rule-sets are used to model the susceptibility of flow category landslides. Decision trees have been used to map landslide susceptibility on numerous occasions now and this technique is well known for its enhanced predictive capabilities, transparency and interpretability (Flentje et al., 2007; Saito et al., 2009; Miner et al., 2010; Wang and Niu, 2010; Yeon et al., 2010). See5 data mining software (Quinlan, 2013) developed based on C5 learning algorithm, was used in this study to develop the decision tree derived rules.

Expansion of the UOW landslide inventory from its Illawarra centric coverage to include the landslides across the entire Sydney Basin study area has been undertaken by the Landslide Research Team (LRT) of University of Wollongong (Flentje et al., 2012). To date, it contains 1823 landslides and 267 of these are flow category landslides. Compilation of a high/medium resolution composite Digital elevation model and Geology datasets has been completed. With the data collection now being finalized, two susceptibility maps for slide and flow category landslides have been prepared. These susceptibility zoning outcomes are suitable for use as Preliminary or up to Intermediate level

Susceptibility Zoning for Local Government Planning Development Control Plans in the absence of any other information.

2 DATA SETS AND TOOLS

2.1 Digital Elevation model

High resolution Airborne Laser Scan data (ALS) is available for some parts of the study area. In order to cover remaining parts of the study area, CSIRO/Geoscience Australia/NASA Global DEM V2.0 (NASA, 2011) at 30m was used. The high density ALS point cloud is suitable for preparing a high resolution DEM at 10m. Therefore, NASA GDEM was resampled into 10m grid cell size before combining it with the ALS DEM to produce a composite digital elevation model to cover the study area. Subsequently, from this DEM, eight other derivatives namely, *Slope*, *Aspect*, *Curvature*, *Profile Curvature*, *Plan Curvature*, *Flow Accumulation*, *Wetness Index* and *Terrain classification* were obtained as model input layers

2.2 Software tools

For the model development and multilayer data analysis, ArcGIS v.10 software environment was used. Furthermore, See5 software was used to derive decision tree based rule-sets. The entire data mining and GIS process was automated by developing an ArcGIS Landslide data mining (LSDM) add-in toolbar (Palamakumbure et al., 2014). This tool automates a series of tedious manual processes involved in data extraction, preparation, deriving See5 rules and preparation of the ArcGIS susceptibility grid.

3 LANDSLIDE PREDICTIONS AND THE SUSCEPTIBILITY

The ArcGIS LSDM toolbar has been used to finalise the process of extracting attributes of the GIS data layers, calling See5, applying rule based predictions over the study area and making the final susceptibility map. The training dataset was prepared by selecting all of the flow category landslide pixels and an equal number of non-flow pixels to balance the numerical output of the model. The attribute values of each input layers corresponding to all of the flow pixel locations and selected non-flow locations were extracted as separate training cases. The See5 constructs decision tree classifiers by defining test conditions based on the attribute values and splitting the training data into smaller subsets.

Normally the See5 learning algorithm being a discrete or categorical classifier predicts a discrete class corresponding to a case. However, according to the Landslide Risk Management (LRM) guidelines, landslide susceptibility has to be expressed as a continuous number. Therefore, real valued likelihood values were produced using confidence values of rules. Confidence of the predications made is evaluated using the Laplace ratio $(n-m+1)/(n+2)$ where n is the number of training cases that a specific rule covers and m , is the number of wrongly classified cases. The average confidence value of the rules participated in classifying a pixel ranges from 0 to 1. When a pixel satisfies the conditions of landslide and non-landslide class rules, the class which holds the highest average confidence value wins. If the average confidence value of the non-landslide class is greater than that of the landslide class, the confidence of the non-landslide class prediction is given by multiplying the average confidence by -1. This method allows the landslide susceptibility to be presented with a value which ranges from -1 to 1.

4 ANALYSIS OF LANDSLIDE SUSCEPTIBILITY ZONES

Data from eight different layers derived from the Digital Elevation model was extracted corresponding to the landslide category and randomly selected non-landslide pixel locations. Modelling of Slide category (Palamakumbure et al., 2014) and Flow category landslides have been conducted separately using the same See5 methodology with the results summarised in Table 1.

Table 1: Summary of Flow and Slide category landslide susceptibility modelling

Attribute	Flow	Slide
	Parameter Usage in rules (%)	
Slope	100	38
Plan Curvature	39	7
Profile Curvature	26	11
Curvature	26	9
Aspect	16	11
Terrain	14	4
Wetness Index	12	12
Geology	-	100
Flow Accumulation	<1%	<1%
Training cases	32,862	670,164

Table 2: Distribution of flows in the landslide susceptibility classes.

Susceptibility Class	% of the Study Area	Area (km ²) of class	% of Flow population	Area of Flows (km ²)	% of area effected by flows
Very Low - 1	59	18,233	9	0.15	0.000811
Low - 2	11	3,399	5	0.08	0.002417
Moderate - 3	14	4,326	32	0.53	0.012153
High - 4	16	4,944	54	0.89	0.017945

Table 3: Comparison of the susceptibility descriptors of Flow and Slide category models

Susceptibility Descriptors	Recommended % of landslides as in Table 4(b) of LRM Guidelines (AGS 2007)	% landslides		% study area		% area effected	
		flows	slides	flows	slides	flows	slides
Very Low - 1	0 to 1	9	0.4	59	69.6	~0	1.32
Low - 2	>1 to 10	5	3.5	11	15.5	0.002	0.19
Moderate - 3	>10 to 50	32	15.7	14	8.4	0.01	0.02
High - 4	>50	54	80.4	16	6.5	0.02	1.32




Figure 1. Classification of Susceptibility Zones using the distribution of the confidence values

The *Geology* data layer was not used in modelling of the flow category landslides as it is assumed that the occurrence of flows does not largely depend on *Geology*. According to Table 1, *Geology* has contributed to classify 100% of the data and the second largest amount of data was classified using *Slope* for Slide category landslides. When modelling of flows, *Slope* has classified 100% of the data. *Plan Curvature*, *Profile Curvature*, *Curvature* and *Terrain classification* have classified more data in modelling of flows than that of the slides and the contribution of *Flow accumulation* was negligible in both models.

The Susceptibility modelling of Flow category landslides (Table 2) has classified 16% of the study area (approximately 4,944 km²), as High Susceptibility. This area contains 54% of the known flows with a density of 0.02%. The moderate susceptibility class covers nearly 14% of the study area (4,326 km²) and contains 32% of the slide population with a slide density of 0.01%. The area of Low Susceptibility zone is 3,399 km² (11% of the study area) and contains 5% of the flow population with a flow density of 0.002%. Almost 59% of the study area, approximately 18,233 km², has been classified as Very Low Susceptibility containing 9% of the landslide population with a density of 0.0008%. Furthermore, considering the combined results of High and Moderate susceptibility classes, nearly 86% of the flows occur in 30% of the study area.

The percentage of landslides included in the Very Low category of the flow model is greater than that of the slide model and 8% higher than the recommended value in the Table 4(b) of LRM Guidelines (AGS, 2007). Furthermore, the High susceptibility class of the flow model covers 16% of the study area whereas in the slide model, the corresponding value is 6.5%. The area of the Very Low class of the flow model is 10% greater than that of the slide model. The number of training points available to train the slide category susceptibility model is almost 20 times greater than that of the flow category susceptibility modelling. Furthermore, the proportion of the each susceptibility class affected by flow category landslides is lower than the corresponding values of the slide category model outcome. The flow category landslide susceptibility map is shown in the Figure 2.

5 CONCLUSION

Large scale GIS based data layers and the NSW Landslide Inventory have been used in the modelling of the flow category Landslide Susceptibility. The See5 based data mining approach was successful in meeting the majority of the AGS (2007) Table 4(b) objectives. The slide category susceptibility model has been more successful in producing values that match the recommended susceptibility descriptors of the guidelines than the flow category model. This is due to the limited number of flows in the inventory and hence participating in the model training phase and their irregular distribution over the study area.

The Landslide Susceptibility toolbar (Palamakumbure et al., 2014) has demonstrated its suitability for application in modelling large scale high resolution datasets. This research is in its final stages concerning the selection of the size of non-landslide pixel training dataset and See5 modelling parameters suitable to conduct a large scale and high resolution modelling work. Assembling and preparation of data was one of the main challenges in this project and in particular the design and development of the Landslide Inventory (Flentje et al, 2012).

This being a regional spatial model, rainfall intensity has not been incorporated in the modelling work as rainfall data is highly variable. Efforts have been made to include surface hydrogeology parameters. It was noted that *Flow Accumulation* was the least contributing factor towards classifying data in both models. *Geology* has not been considered as an important parameter in the flow modelling but when modelling slides, it was the main contributor towards classifying the data. In both models, *Slope* has been selected as an important parameter. Furthermore, in the flow category landslide susceptibility model, all of the *curvature* parameters have contributed more towards classifying the data than in the slide model. However, Wetness Index has been more useful in classifying slides than flows.

The authors note that the flow Category Susceptibility Zoning outcomes are suitable for use as Preliminary and perhaps up to Intermediate level Susceptibility Zoning for Local Government Planning Development Control Plans where no other information exists. The modelling will differentiate between man-made and natural failures but we have not progressed to that level of work thus far. The inventory

does differentiate man-made failures although more data regarding these type of failures does need to be collected. It is an area for future development.




Figure 2. Flow category landslide susceptibility map of the Sydney Basin

6 REFERENCES

- AGS (2007). Guidelines for Landslide susceptibility, Hazard and Risk Zoning for Land Use Planning. Australian Geomechanics Journal, vol. 42, issue (1), 23.
- Fell R., Corominas J., Bonnard C., Cascini L., Leroi E. and Savage W. Z. (2008). "Guidelines for landslide susceptibility, hazard and risk zoning for land-use planning." Engineering Geology, vol. 102, issue (3-4), 99-111.
- Fell R., Corominas J., Bonnard C., Cascini L., Leroi E. and Savage W. Z. (2008). "Guidelines for landslide susceptibility, hazard and risk zoning for land use planning." Engineering Geology, vol. 102, issue (3-4), 85-98.
- Flentje P., Stirling D. and Chowdhury R. (2007). Landslide susceptibility and Hazard derived from a landslide inventory using Data mining - an Australian case study. First North American Landslide Conference, Landslide and Society: Integrated Science, Engineering, Management and Mitigation, Vail, Colorado.
- Flentje P., Stirling D. and Palamakumbure D. (2012). An Inventory of Landslides within the Sydney Basin to aid the development of a refined Susceptibility Zoning. 11th Australia New Zealand Conference on Geomechanics (ANZ 2012), Ground Engineering in a Changing World, Melbourne, Australia, Australian Geomechanics
- Miner A. S., Vamplew P., Windle D. J., Flentje P. and Warner P. (2010). A comparative study of Various Data Mining techniques as applied to the modeling of Landslide susceptibility on the Bellarine Peninsula, Victoria, Australia. 11th IAEG Congress of the International Association of Engineering Geology and the Environment, Auckland, New Zealand.
- NASA (2011). ASTER GDEM v10. T. The Advanced Spaceborne Thermal Emission and Reflection Radiometer (ASTER) Global Digital Elevation Model (GDEM) is concurrently distributed from the Ministry of Economy, and Industry (METI) Earth Remote Sensing Data Analysis Center (ERSDAC) in Japan and the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) Earth Observing System (EOS) Data Information System (EOSDIS) Land Processes (LP) Distributed Active Archive Center (DAAC) in the United States.
- Palamakumbure D., Flentje P. and Stirling D. (2014). Landslide Inventory and Susceptibility Modelling of the Sydney Basin. 10th ANZ Young Geotechnical Engineering Conference (10YGPC), Noosa, Brisbane
- Palamakumbure D., Stirling D. and Flentje P. (2014). ArcGIS v.10 Landslide Susceptibility Data Mining add-in tool integrating data mining and GIS techniques to model landslide susceptibility. IAEG 2014, Torino (In press), Springer.
- Quinlan J. R. (2013). "Data Mining Tools See5 and C5.0." GNU General Public License, Release 2.07 GPL Edition. Retrieved 10/01/2012, 2012, from <http://www.rulequest.com/>.
- Saito H., Nakayama D. and Matsuyama H. (2009). "Comparison of landslide susceptibility based on a decision-tree model and actual landslide occurrence: The Akaishi Mountains, Japan." Geomorphology, vol. 109, issue (3-4), 108-121.
- Wang X. and Niu R. (2010). "Landslide intelligent prediction using object-oriented method." Soil Dynamics and Earthquake Engineering, vol. 30, issue (12), 1478-1486.
- Yeon Y. K., Han J. G. and Ryu K. H. (2010). "Landslide susceptibility mapping in Injae, Korea, using a decision tree." Engineering Geology, vol. 116, issue (3-4), 274-283.