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Abstract

Geoarchaeological research is now commonly undertaken as an integral component of archaeological investigations across much of the world. However, in humid tropical regions there is a relative shortfall of this Earth-Science approach to understanding archaeological records. In these regions, where hot and humid conditions prevail for significant parts of the year, sedimentological records are prone to high levels of diagenesis, bioturbation and weathering. This means that understanding and quantifying archaeological site formation processes can be very challenging because we may have not have sufficient existing data to decipher the stratigraphic (and microstratigraphic) features recorded in these sequences. In this paper we introduce a special issue of Journal of Archaeological Science in which we showcase a selection of geoarchaeological research from across equatorial regions of five continents, highlighting the types of stratigraphic sequences and sedimentological features that are likely to be encountered, and evaluating the tools that can be employed to maximise the geoarchaeological potential of these unique records. Additionally, we use this opportunity to review geoarchaeology in the humid tropics from a global perspective, outlining the main problems that geoarchaeologists face working in these environments and the techniques available to mitigate them.

1. Introduction: geoarchaeology in the humid tropics

The environments of the humid tropics are unique, posing major challenges for both archaeologists working in these regions today, and the human populations that have inhabited these climatic zones on and off over the past 200,000 years or so. These hot and humid environments are unforgiving testing grounds for geoarchaeologists working to interpret cultural and sedimentary records as they are wholly unconducive to the preservation of a wide range of archaeologically important materials (e.g. bone, organics, biomarkers, minerals such as calcium carbonate). However, geoarchaeological science is in a perfect position to tackle the problem of reconstructing archaeological site formation processes that are likely to be specific to these humid tropical environments. The remit of geoarchaeological science is wide-ranging and multi-disciplinary, but here we boil it down to crystallize two primary goals: i) the multi-scalar reconstruction of past environments with which human populations have interacted, and ii) the identification and evaluation of processes that form and preserve an archaeological site. Both of these goals are pertinent in interpreting the archaeological record of humid tropical environments,
as well as the sedimentary matrix from which this cultural information is recovered.

This special issue of *Journal of Archaeological Science* showcases a wide range—both chronologically and geographically—of geoarchaeological research from the fascinating and often demanding environments of the humid tropics and adjacent sub-tropical, climatically transitional zones. What draws this collection of papers together is the ever more apparent need to assess the modes and tempo of site formation processes in these environments, and how they differ—if at all—from those recorded in non-tropical or arid tropical regions, both of which have received much attention in the archaeological and geoarchaeological literature (see e.g. Goldberg and Macphail, 2006, and references therein). As such, regionally specific mechanisms of sedimentation need to be carefully assessed along with the geoarchaeological signatures that characterise these atypical and often extreme environments. The research featured in this volume provides valuable insights into a range of these issues, highlighting the depositional and post-depositional environments that are likely to be encountered, and the methods that can best be employed to identify diagnostic signatures and mitigate for the often-aggressive degradation processes operating in humid tropical regions.

The humid tropics cover a significant proportion of the Earth’s terrestrial surface (Tricart, 1972; Thomas, 1974), including areas that are particularly important in our understanding of human evolution and the rise and fall of early civilizations (e.g. equatorial Africa, Southeast Asia, South America). However, despite the ubiquity of these wet, tropical landscapes we know surprisingly little about the geomorphological processes and mechanisms that have shaped them and continue to do so (Gupta, 1993, 2011). As one of the primary goals of geoarchaeology is to reconstruct the environmental conditions under which archaeological sites are formed, modified and preserved, site formation processes operating in the humid tropics are therefore similarly poorly understood (Kourampas et al., 2009; Morley, in press). The research presented in this volume has a wide-ranging global relevance, originating from the tropical (and in some cases marginal sub-tropical) regions of five continents: South America (Brazil), North America (Belize), Africa (Tanzania and Malawi), Asia (Myanmar, Malaysian Borneo, Flores and Timor Leste), and Australasia (Papua New Guinea and Northwest Australia). What links these spatially disparate regions are the hot and humid climates that prevail today, and that have done so on and off throughout the Quaternary (Douglas and Spencer, 1985). The linking theme of these studies, outside of the site-specific research questions that they address, is the reconstruction of the depositional and post-depositional histories of the sites using state-of-the-art geoarchaeological science techniques applied to stratigraphic sequences.

The initial impetus that sparked the inception of this volume was provided by the positive feedback and fruitful discussions that followed a paper presented by one of us (MWM) on this topic at the Australian Archaeology Association’s (AAA) 2014 annual meeting in Cairns, in the tropical Australian north. It became apparent then that geoarchaeologists working in tropical northern Australia and Southeast Asia
felt that they were working with sedimentological records without sufficient comparable analogues available in the relatively copious published geoarchaeological literature, generated primarily from supra-humid tropical regions (e.g. temperate Europe and North America; semi-arid Southern Africa; arid to hyper-arid Southwest Asia). The general feeling was that whilst archaeological sites (and cultural material) located in the wet tropics were exposed to an unusually vigorous combination of the elements characteristic of these harsh environments, there was not a concerted drive to assess the potentially atypical site formation processes at work, and the diagnostic signatures that might help recognise these physical and chemical environments. Despite some of the most important archaeological stories of the present day—understanding the global dispersal of Homo sapiens; reconstructing the early civilizations of the Americas; elucidating the origins of agriculture outside SW Asia, to name just three—bringing these regions into focus, we currently have limited understanding about how these environments might influence the archaeological record, and the potential limitations of techniques at the geoarchaeologist's disposal.

Nonetheless, there are some exceptions to this general rule. Over the past few decades a small number of geoarchaeologists and archaeological scientists have endeavoured to reconstruct archaeological site formation processes in humid tropical environments (e.g. Glover, 1979; Gillieson 1986; Mercader, 2002; Mercader et al., 2003; Gilbertson et al., 2005; Stephens et al., 2005; Lewis, 2007; Araujo et al., 2008; Kourampas et al., 2009, 2015; Mijares and Lewis, 2009; Rabett et al., 2011, 2016). Whilst this list is not exhaustive, by no means would a more comprehensive catalogue be significantly weightier. We hope that this special issue not only expands on this relatively slender body of work, but also stimulates further discussion and helps focus research agendas in this field over the coming years and decades.

2. The papers: a selection of geoarchaeological research from the humid tropical regions of the world

In presenting the papers that form this volume, it seems appropriate to set them out geographically, and we have done this by traversing from the far west (relative to the Europe-centric Mercator map projection), from South and Central America (Villagran et al., in press and Macphail et al., in press, respectively), through to Africa (Sulas et al., in press and Wright et al., in press), and on to Asia (Morley, in press, Marwick et al., in press, Stephens et al., in press, Morley et al., in press, and O'Connor et al., in press), before finally moving into Australasia (Denham and Grono, in press and Vannieuwenhuyse et al., in press) (Figure 1).

We would like to note that there are important chronological and geographical gaps in this selection of papers. The timing of volumes such as these is rarely perfect, and there are regions in which contributions would have been welcome, but the timing of projects and publication schedules simply did not synchronise. We do not claim
that these papers span all of the areas in which humid tropical geoarchaeological research is being undertaken, and regions under-represented (e.g. Northwest South America, Equatorial Africa, South Asia) in this volume, therefore, do not reflect a shortfall of research occurring there, but more our lack of space to publish them or the asynchrony of publication schedules.

We start our tour of the humid tropics in central Brazil, where Villagran and colleagues investigate early Holocene sediments from Lapa do Santo—a rockshelter rich in human remains associated with complex funerary practices. Through the combination of micromorphology, µFTIR, and organic petrology, they identify both in situ hearths and reworked combustion products (most likely relating to housekeeping), shedding new light on the complexity of these practices and the identification of features diagnostic to specific activities. These results are bolstered by the use of experimental work, using observation from heating modern day soils and sediments. Interestingly, they also identify the use of termite mound fragments, possibly as part of the combustion process serving as heat retainers. The close association of the intense fire-use to the human graves shows multi-functional zones of the cave, with combustion by-products being excavated to make way for interments. They were also able to identify clay aggregates that are likely pedogenic material inwashed from outside the site, potentially linking the external geomorphic system with the internal karst system. This study highlights the use of multiple datasets to address questions of both site formation and human activities occurring at a site, a recurring theme in the volume and justly so.

Moving north to Belize, Central America, Macphail et al. (in press) investigate sediments at the Mayan site, Marco Gonzalez, using micromorphology and other geochemical and sedimentological techniques to identify a type of Dark Earth that differs from the intensively-studied Amazonian Dark Earths (ADE’s, or terra preta). The recognition of these anthropogenically-enhanced soils in a Mayan context raises interesting questions about localised human-driven environmental change, both contemporary with the occupation of the site, and contributing to the soil characteristics today. They utilise a range of chemical, physical and mineral magnetic datasets to strengthen their interpretation of the stratigraphy, revealing a series of ground raising deposits that they associate with over 500 years of industrial activity (salt production), prepared floor construction, and the accumulation of both industrial and domestic waste. Magnetic susceptibility measurements proved especially useful in revealing strong tropical weathering. Interestingly, in terms of catchment-wide environmental change, they show that the site was latterly covered with a layer of beach sand relating to a marine incursion, ultimately reclaimed and sealed with a lime plaster floor. The multi-parameter approach employed by the authors highlights the utility of using a number of lines of evidence to robustly recreate past environmental and chemical conditions, and disentangle both anthropogenic and natural site formation processes.

Across the Atlantic, and on east coast of Africa, Sulas et al. (in press) examine a late Holocene, tropical urban environment on the Swahili coast using a suite of analytical
techniques. They employ micromorphology alongside geochemical and magnetic analyses of soils and sediments to answer questions about the use of functional activity zones in the Swahili stone-town, Songo Mnara, Tanzania. They show that geochemical characterisation using ICP-AES enabled them to identify localised use of functional space, including recognising the use of both roofed and unroofed spaces. The development of these geochemical techniques has ramifications for identifying activity zones in other areas where structures may be too ephemeral for recognition by standard archaeological techniques. The successful use of this approach in tropical environments—where enhanced heat and humidity can accelerate diagenetic processes—reveals the utility and strength of geochemical datasets when allied with fine-resolution microstratigraphic analyses.

Moving inland to the west—and back in time to the Middle Stone Age (MSA)—Wright and colleagues investigate an archaeological landscape in the humid sub-tropics of Malawi. Given that the lion’s share of our knowledge concerning human prehistory is derived from archaeological and palaeoenvironmental material recovered from cave and rockshelter sites, this paper attempts to redress the balance, and focus in on the landscape to build a clearer picture on how MSA populations interacted with the dynamic environments in which they lived. Adopting a truly multi-scalar approach, they show that the main phase of occupation of their study area coincided with a relatively dry phase, when the alluvial fan on which hominin activity took place was aggrading slowly, most likely allowing for incipient soils to develop on stable surfaces. It is well known that environments in the humid tropics and sub-tropics are not conducive for the preservation of non-lithic archaeological material, and the authors note this problem in their work, citing heat, humidity, bioturbation and intense weathering as serving to blur the archaeological and sedimentological record. Ultimately, they are able to reconstruct diachronic landscape changes, and link these to archaeological activity, through the use of multiple lines of evidence utilising geoarchaeological and archaeological datasets.

Heading eastwards into the extensive humid tropical landscapes of Southeast Asia, we begin with a review by Morley (in press) on the use of geoarchaeology as a tool for assessing the completeness of the archaeological and palaeoanthropological record of Late Pleistocene human colonisation of the region. Ever since the pioneering work of Eugene Dubois in the mid-19th century (e.g. Dubois, 1896), Southeast Asia has been a critical region in our understanding of modern and archaic human dispersal outside of Africa. However, given that much of the region experiences very hot and humid environmental conditions, there needs to be a concerted effort to qualify how archaeological site formation processes operate in these environments. Morley (in press) explores how geoarchaeological science is currently employed in the region, and how an increase in this approach might fill gaps in our understanding of human dispersals, and bolster claims of important fossil finds that have in the past suffered from stratigraphic uncertainties. In this review paper the author proposes a plan of action to increase the use of geoarchaeological approaches in the region, especially important given that we have
such a poor understanding of how geomorphological systems work in the humid
tropics.

The first of four case studies from Southeast Asia, Marwick et al. (in press) report on
a Late Pleistocene/Holocene record from Khao Toh Chong rockshelter, Thailand,
Mainland Southeast Asia (MSEA). The coastal region of Krabi, in which the
rockshelter is located, lends itself to the study of human interactions, with often
rapid changes in sea level associated with the Pleistocene–Holocene transition and
the mid–Holocene highstand. Using a suite of physical, geochemical and magnetic
properties, the authors explore the ability of these data to assess stratigraphic
integrity and to provide local and site-wide environmental context to the extensive
faunal, shell and stone tool record from the site. They use these datasets to address
one of the grand challenges in archaeology today: to understand the processes
driving the switch from a foraging economy to one that is reliant on agriculture. The
relative deficiency of the archaeological record at this key juncture in the
reorganisation of food production in the region leads the authors to employ a
geoarchaeological approach to analysing the environmental context of the rich
archaeological record from the site. In this way they strive to disentangle the drivers
behind this shift, and attempt to compare natural changes in the environment with
these cultural reconfigurations. Using bulk sediment parameters (mineral magnetic
and grain size) coupled with carbon isotope, XRD and ICP-AES analysis, they show
that the sediments at the site are undisturbed and are delivered from a single
continuous source, aiding in the reconstruction of the depositional history of the
site. This study is important given the significant dataset available from the site that
elucidates cultural and technological change during this understudied chronological
period.

Moving down into Island Southeast Asia, onto the Sunda Shelf, Stephens et al. (in
press) present a micromorphological study of sediments from Niah Cave, Malaysian
Borneo, building on previous work at the site (Stephens et al., 2005). The study uses
micromorphology to identify evidence of post-depositional modification of the
archaeological sediments, especially important in humid, tropical environments
where diagenesis can be severe and have polygenetic origins. As with many
sedimentological records from the region, they find that guano played a significant
role in the formation and subsequent modification of the site, with recognised
populations of insectivorous bat populations providing a highly acidic environment
in which elements of the deposits and archaeology can be degraded or removed. The
authors highlight a raft of potential geomorphological processes that they show
influenced the formation of the Niah Cave stratigraphy, all of which are commonly
encountered in humid tropical environments. These include weathering and
illuviation of fines, diagenetic alteration of minerogenic and biological inclusions,
bioturbation, and the slumping of saturated sediments. These examples serve to
highlight the challenges that exist for geoarchaeologists working in the region. That
these processes were identified in the microstratigraphic record emphasises the
capacity of microstratigraphic analyses in reconstructing the depositional and post-
depositional history of a site.
Heading east into Wallacea, the region of Island Southeast Asia where islands retain their insular status even during the lowest sea levels of the Pleistocene, Morley et al. (in press) present the first micromorphological results from Liang Bua, Flores, the cave from which the only known bones of Homo floresiensis have been recovered (e.g. Morwood et al., 2004). The paper focuses on an area of the site not previously studied, which fills a known ~25 ka gap in the chronology caused by a major erosional event occurring in the area of the cave where the hominin fossils were found (Sutikna et al., 2016). Using micromorphology and vibrational spectroscopy (FTIR and Raman) they identify three periods of major environmental change at the site, likely linked to changes in regional climate. They claim that major shifts in sediment characteristics relate to the alternating activation and deactivation of the karst hydrogeological system, connecting the rear of the site to a source of water ingress via cracks and fissures in the bedrock. Evidence for post-depositional alteration of the sediments includes a severely phosphatised flowstone, indicating marked diachronic changes in chemical environments in the cave. Notably, they also present evidence for the use of fire at the site from ~41–24 ka, with in-situ fireplaces and reworked combustion bi-products, which they associate with modern human activity at the site. This is important as it significantly narrows the time gap between the last appearance of H. floresiensis (~50 ka) and the first appearance of Homo sapiens on Flores.

Moving further east into Wallacea, and onto the Sahul Shelf, research by O'Connor et al. (in press) at cave sites on Timor Leste and Papua New Guinea provides some interesting insights about commonly occurring cave breccias, which often form useful relationships with archaeological material. A suite of new radiocarbon dates from the three caves reveal useful information about the mechanisms responsible for the removal of deposits, and the potential this has for creating large chronological hiatus’ within sedimentary and archaeological records. The authors conclude that these sediment removal events do not coincide with changes in regional precipitation, instead invoking catchment or site-level shifts in the hydrological system. On a broader note, they note that stratigraphic sequences formed in caves in humid tropical regions are extremely complex, potentially more so than those found in other climatic regions owing to the cycle of cut and fill events related to the switching on and off of monsoon system, an observation also made by Morley and colleagues in this volume.

The final two papers in the volume keep us firmly on the Sahul Shelf. Denham and Grono (in press) present research from Papua New Guinea, in the north of this large continental landmass. Kuk Swamp is an internationally important archaeological site that has yielded important information about the transition to early farming practices in the region, dating as far back as 7,000 cal BP. They use a multi-parameter approach to assess the shallow sedimentary sequence preserved at the site, and investigate the utility of geoarchaeological methods to investigate cultivation practices and isolate these from natural pedogenic processes. Their work highlights the need for complimentary techniques applied to the study of sediment
sequences, mapping both physical and chemical spatial characteristics, to fully
evaluate signals diagnostic of natural (pedogenic) processes or anthropogenic
(agricultural) practices. Denham and Grono’s (in press) study again highlights the
small number of studies that focus on humid tropical environments, demonstrated
by the dearth of modern analogue sediments outside of temperate NW Europe and
semi-arid Southwest Asia to use as a yard-stick for their interesting results.

Moving south to the Kimberley region of northwestern Australia, Vannieuwenhuyse
et al. (in press) examine two archaeological sites that straddle semi-arid and
tropical monsoonal climatic zones, placing them in a marginal area that is
particularly sensitive to monsoon dynamics. Using micromorphological analysis
allied with traditional sedimentological techniques, they study sequences that
extend back as far as 45 ka (Carpenter’s Gap 1) and 34 ka (Carpenter’s Gap 3),
revealing a suite of microstratigraphic features associated with changes in site
environment that link to major shifts in regional climate. They detect marked
variations in humidity that they correlate with the switching on and off of monsoon
influence at the two sites. The micromorphological work also helps identify periods
of human activity at the sites, allowing for insights into human-environment
interactions in this marginal zone. Importantly, their work shows that we cannot
invoke environmental change as the primary driver behind the occupation of
Australian cave and rockshelter sites. At present, mirroring the situation in nearby
Southeast Asia, high-resolution geoarchaeological work is rarely undertaken in
Australia, and this paper really makes an important and convincing step along the
path of employing Earth-Science approaches to understanding the use of cave and
rockshelter sites, and the mechanisms driving changes in population dynamics.

3. The problems: interpreting archaeological records in humid tropical
environments

Working in the humid tropics can be a physical and intellectual challenge as we still
do not fully appreciate how many tropical landscapes form and evolve, and at what
tempo, and we do not have a full appreciation of how sediments are transported,
and by which mechanisms (Gupta, 1993, 2011). This has ramifications for
geoarchaeologists, whose job (fundamentally, at least) is to determine how an
archaeological site has formed, and assess to what degree the site has been modified
or preserved (Goldberg and Macpahil, 2006; Gilbert, 2016). At present we have not
generated sufficient geoarchaeological data from the humid tropics to be confident
in the recognition of biological and chemical signatures specific to these exceptional
environments (Morley, in press). An examination of the papers that constitute this
volume show that a number of crosscutting themes and recurring issues are evident
that are either specific to the humid tropics, or at least are significantly accelerated
or catalysed in these chemically active environments. At best, these issues can
generate a level of uncertainty that makes it difficult to assess its completeness or
degree of preservation, and at worst seriously jeopardise accurate interpretations of
the archaeological record. The benefit of thematic volumes such as this special issue
is the opportunity that is afforded of appraising a collection of papers in a single monograph, allowing for recurring problems to be identified and ultimately mitigated against.

3.1 Enhanced biological activity in the humid tropics

Humid tropical regions are biologically rich, and so the disturbance, truncation, modification and destruction of archaeological sequences in these environments by biological mechanisms is commonplace (e.g. Gillieson, 1986; Gilbertson et al., 2005; Lenoble et al., 2006; Kourampas et al., 2009). These destructive processes fall into two broad categories: physical and chemical. Bat and bird guano is often recorded in archaeological contexts in non-tropical regions (e.g. Karkanas et al., 2002; Shahack-Gross et al., 2004), but in the humid tropics these deposits can be exceptionally thick and well developed, significantly reducing the pH of percolating water (Gilbertson et al., 2005; Bird et al., 2007; Wurster et al., 2010). Guano-driven diagenesis can significantly modify sedimentological and archaeological records, introducing bias and uncertainty into the interpretation of assemblages of biological material (e.g. faunal record) (Karkanas et al., 2002). At Niah Cave, (Stephens et al., 2005, in press) we have seen that guano is exceptionally common and has played a significant role in the formation and subsequent preservation of the archaeological record. At Liang Bua, Morley et al. (in press) have indirectly inferred the presence of guano by the analysis of diagnostic chemical signatures left imprinted on elements of the stratigraphy. It is clear that the recognition of guano, whether directly or indirectly, is absolutely critical in reconstructing the depositional and post-depositional history of cave and rockshelter sites, especially important in understanding Pleistocene archaeological records, the majority of which are found in these depositional environments. Furthermore, stable isotope compositional analyses of guano reveal the utility of these deposits as diachronic archives of palaeoenvironmental change (e.g. Bird et al., 2007).

Physical bioturbation of sediment sequences through the action of floral (e.g. root action) or faunal (e.g. burrowing) agency is likewise a problem that is exacerbated in biologically productive environments associated with elevated moisture and temperature levels (O'Connor et al., 2010). Cave fauna can burrow into sediment layers creating large and/or complex galleries (Stephens et al., in press; Vannieuwenhuyse et al., in press), and these can be filled with material that post-dates the original layer, creating problems for both the stratigraphic integrity of finds and geochronological age estimates. The mixing and homogenisation of archaeologically important sediments and soils due to these activities can fundamentally affect the recognition, interpretation and dating of archaeological assemblages (e.g. Denham and Grono, in press). Deep and extensive root systems can cause a great deal of disturbance to archaeological sites (Vannieuwenhuyse et al., in press), and this can have an impact on various components of the research, including the geochronological program (e.g. vertical movement of datable material, such as sand-sized quartz grains). If these disturbances are not observable at the
macroscopic level, micromorphological analyses can provide unequivocal evidence for faunal bioturbation in the form of infilled gallery voids and insect granules.

3.2 Monsoon system dynamics and the effect of seasonal rain on archaeological sites

The northward and southward migration of monsoon fronts can have a marked consequence on the diachronic interaction of an archaeological site with percolating or running water (Hope et al., 2004; Westaway et al., 2007; Kourampas et al., 2009; Wurster et al., 2010), a potential source of both erosion and as a catalyst for chemical reactions. It should be borne in mind that the humid tropics of today may not have been so throughout the climatic oscillations of the Quaternary (e.g. Bird et al., 2005). In fact, these regions may have experienced periodic temperate or even semi-arid climate regimes during glacial cycles of the Pleistocene. The study of sites located in climatically marginal zones—relative to migrating monsoon fronts—affords not only insights into the adaptability (or not) of modern humans inhabiting these environments, but also allows for the geoarchaeological assessment of sediment sequences that have been subject to alternating cycles of higher and lower water flux to the site (Kourampas et al., 2009; Morley et al., in press).

Vannieuwenhuyse and colleague’s examination of rockshelter sequences in the Kimberley region of Northwest Australia (in press) exemplifies research from a study area that straddles two climatic envelopes—tropical monsoon and arid environments—thus making it particularly sensitive to migrations of the Indonesian–Australian monsoon system (Bird et al., 2004; Reeves et al., 2013). It is because of this marginal location that the site experienced periodic shifts to more arid conditions over the Last Glacial Maximum (LGM) period as the deserts in this area expanded (Vannieuwenhuyse et al., in press). Wright et al. (in press) also report from a dimatically marginal region in Malawi, Africa, where marked fluctuations in the presence of groundwater and the process of de-watering (and concomitant volume reduction) at the site can be correlated with long-term monsoon system dynamics. The high seasonal rainfall that they infer from the sedimentological and microstratigraphic record coincides with a depauperate faunal record and an absence of archaeological features such as hearths that might normally have been preserved. In Thailand, Marwick et al. (in press) record changes in monsoon seasonality that they see reflected in the archaeological record as shifts in food procurement strategies.

The erosive action of water, including saturation-induced mudflows and mass movements, can have a major detrimental effect on the preservation of archaeologically important sediment sequences (Gillieson, 1986; Stephens et al., 2005, in press; Dykes, 2007; Sutikna et al., 2016). In caves this can relate to reconfigurations of the karst hydrological system, which might be linked to shifts in Quaternary climate (e.g. shift to more humid conditions), tectonic activity (e.g. earthquakes), or internal geomorphological processes (e.g. fissures blocked by carbonate precipitation). On the island of Flores, Indonesia, Morley et al. (in press)
record alternating suites of sediment packages that appear to be switch between
wet and dry deposition in response to changes in the internal hydrology of the cave,
which they correlate with regional spelothem records marking northward and
southward migration of the ITCZ. This alternating input of water to the site is the
probable driver behind marked erosive events caused by water flowing through the
site, as well as increased chemical diagenesis recorded in some parts of the
sequence. Douglas and Spencer (1985) claim that the greatest erosional effect will
occur during monsoon transitional periods, from dry to wet, at which time physical
erosion by falling rain has the chance to erode bare soils/sediments during the lag
time before which vegetation takes hold again. This is likely to be the same over
longer, millennial timescales, such as the warming limb of a glacial–interglacial
transition.

3.3 Diagenetic and taphonomic processes

Water is the prime mover in catalysing chemical processes (Thomas, 1974), so the
combination of high temperatures and the presence of groundwater can have a
profound effect on the preservation of archaeological sequences. Chemical
diagenesis is significantly promoted in hot and wet climatic regimes, and this can
affect the preservation of both organic and inorganic archaeological material
(Weiner et al., 2002; Stephens et al., 2005; Lewis, 2007; Kourampas et al., 2009).
Geoarchaeological analytical techniques seek to identify signatures diagnostic of
these diagenetic processes, using high-resolution optical or geochemical analyses to
recognise bi-products of chemical reactions, such as authigenic mineral production
or the alteration of components of the stratigraphy (Karkanas et al., 2000; Weiner et
al., 2002; Mcadams, 2016). The weathering and partial dissolution of limestone
clasts can serve as a useful indicator of the level of diagenesis that a sediment
sequence has undergone, and such evidence is commonly observed in humid
tropical environments (Stephens et al., in press). The presence of authigenic
minerals, such as gypsum, can reveal much about the environments of deposition in
which they formed. In the Kimberley region of northwest Australia, for example,
Vannieuwenhuyse et al. (in press) record repeated instances of gypsum, which they
link to the rapid evaporation of percolating groundwater, potentially catalysed by
the presence of other sources of calcium such as anthropogenic ashes.

The mobilisation and precipitation of readily dissolved and transported minerals,
such as calcium carbonate, in suspension can complicate taphonomic considerations
of archaeological horizons, for example through the formation of calcite breccias
and associated ‘false floors’ (O’Connor et al., in press). The durability of these calcite
formations (which can include both natural and archaeological material) can
confound straightforward stratigraphic relationships as removal and re-
sedimentation of more recent material can result in younger material having a
physical stratigraphic relationship beneath older remnants of calcite-cemented
sediment (e.g. Liu et al., 2010). Taphonomic pathways and chronological
assessments of material can also be confused given potentially long residence times
within breccias before liberation into younger sediments. It should be noted that
even thick layers of dense calcite can undergo complete diagenetic modification, as recorded in the phosphatisation of the well-formed calcite flowstone at Liang Bua, Flores (Morley et al., in press). However, the dissolution of archaeologically important material, such as bone and ash, might be buffered if the background pH is sufficiently high and alkaline conditions persist, such as recorded at Lapa do Santo by Villagran and co-workers (in press).

3.4 Weathering profiles and soil forming processes in the humid tropics

Given that both temperature and precipitation are important factors in the development of a soil (Young, 1980), the heat and humidity experienced across much of the tropics has a significant effect on the degree of pedogenic processes. Whereas the rate of weathering in drier tropical regions is quite slow, dominated by physical attrition, the humid tropics are associated with deep weathering profiles and soils (Gupta, 2011). Tropical soils are often thick and well developed, a function of intense and deep weathering profile and the high acidity levels experienced in tropical humus, giving rise to characteristic oxisols (Aruajo et al., 2008; Gupta, 2011). Microorganisms present in soils produce carbonic acid that drives the dissolution of carbonate rocks, a process relevant to archaeological narratives given that caves and rockshelters form primarily in these rock types. Heavy weathering profiles and deep laterite (ferricrete) and oxisol development is a humid tropical phenomena, producing often severely indurated (particularly at or near surface) substrates rich in oxides such as iron and aluminium (Faniran and Lee, 1983).

Thick soil cover and intense weathering are phenomena that have implications for the recognition and interpretation of archaeological sites. Open sites may be interred beneath thick suites of soil and regolith, and stratigraphic sequences may be blurred and homogenised by the action of weathering and pedogenesis. The development of humid tropical soils is a topic tackled by Denham and Grono (in press) (Papua New Guinea; PNG) and Macphail et al. (in press) (Belize). In PNG, the recognition of cultivated soils holds the potential for identifying anthropogenic modification of the landscape linked to the domestication of plants. Identifying and discriminating between sediments and soils is absolutely key in these circumstances, and Denham and Grono (in press) use microstratigraphic techniques to analyse the structure of sedimentary units collected from Kuk Swamp, features that would otherwise be invisible to the naked eye. The type and frequency of characteristic pedofeatures recorded in thin sections allowed them to recognise overprinting of soil horizons and show that these palaeosols are likely the result of more than one soil forming period. In Belize, Macphail et al. (in press) use a range of geoarchaeological techniques to identify cultivatable soils in a Mayan context. Again, micromorphology is used to tackle these questions, and ultimately to demonstrate that the soils at the Mayan site of Marco Gonzalez are distinct from the Amazonian Dark Earths (ADEs) recognised in South America. These anthropogenic soils, enriched by the Mayans populating the site, are evidence that past human activity can have profound effects on the present-day characteristics of a soil, essentially promoting their productivity.
As mentioned above, it is important to understand karstic processes operating in the humid tropics given the propensity for archaeological sites (caves and rockshelters) to form within carbonate rocks. Faniran and Jeje (1983) state that due to the high quantities of organic material available coupled with high levels of microbial action, “soil water is very aggressive in the humid tropics” (1983: 324), causing high levels of carbonic acid to be released by soil microorganisms. On tropical islands, where a reef limestone nucleus is often at the heart of an island, much thinner soils are likely to develop. At Songo Mnara, shallow terra rossa soils are prevalent due to the very pure reef limestone platform on which they form (Sulas et al., in press), somewhat in contrast to thick oxisol and laterite development in many humid tropical environments.

The problems outlined above that geoarchaeologists must confront when conducting research in the humid tropics are not insurmountable, and increased collaboration between scientists working in these regions will no doubt continue to elucidate the mechanisms and processes. The research featured in this volume tackles these issues head on, with the aim of stimulating geoarchaeological research in humid tropical environments.

4. The solutions: state-of-the-art methods employed to interpret humid tropical archaeological records

An important outcome of this special issue has been to showcase the growing number of analytical techniques currently available to the geoarchaeologist with which to reconstruct past environmental conditions and the life history of an archaeological site (Morley, in press). Moreover, multi-disciplinary datasets can allow for a much greater degree of confidence to be placed in palaeoenvironmental reconstructions and assessments of archaeological site formation and degradation. Geoarchaeological science is gradually moving into a new era, where the use of novel, cutting-edge techniques and increased collaboration and integration with other scientists and datasets (e.g. palaeoenvironmental) is enabling geoarchaeologists to interpret archaeological sites with a greater degree of accuracy. A key development in the progress of the discipline in this regard has been the ability to undertake various analyses at the same micro-scale, potentially (and preferably) on the same micromorphological thin sections (and therefore the same intact portion of an archaeological site), thus preserving physical associations and increasing the ability to directly correlate and integrate a wide range of analytical data, ultimately including sedimentological, microstratigraphic, geochemical and geochronological analyses (although we are some way off at present in terms of integrating all of these techniques).

What is notable is that eight of the eleven papers that form this volume use microstratigraphic analyses (micromorphology) to help interpret the sedimentary archives under examination (Goldberg and Aldeias, 2016). The microscopic analyses...
of deposits not only document the composition, texture, and porosity, for example, but as importantly, the geometrical relationships of these components (i.e. fabric). Together, they can reveal much about the formation, preservation and alteration that a sediment sequence has been exposed to since deposition—information that often cannot be discerned at the macroscopic (field) level (Goldberg and Berna, 2010). The technique can also illuminate the types of human activities being carried out at a site, providing information about the lifeways of past inhabitants. Three and a half decades of the use of this technique to explore archaeological sequences (Goldberg and Aldeias, 2016) has seen this sub-discipline grow from a small niche technique to one that is used much more routinely to tackle a wide range of archaeological questions and challenges.

Advances in scientific techniques that allow for highly spatially-resolved analyses of in situ sedimentary arrangements (‘microarchaeology’; Weiner, 2010) now means that the analysis of micromorphological thin sections is not restricted solely to optical analysis using standard polarising microscopy techniques. Spectroscopic analyses using Fourier Transform Infrared (FTIR) or Raman vibrational spectroscopy, and on-site techniques such as µ-FTIR and pXRF (Mentzer, 2014) opens up the possibility for a geoarchaeologist to obtain quantitative geochemical and mineralogical data from microfacies already identified during micromorphological analysis. Villagran et al. (in press) employs µFTIR analysis to analyse thin sections from Lapo do Santa, enabling a number of inferences to be made including estimates of temperature attained in archaeological fires and the degree of thermal alteration of archaeological sediments. Morley et al. (in press) use vibrational spectroscopy (FTIR and Raman) to bolster optical analyses of diagenetic modification of a calcite flowstone, and the microscope and imaging functionality of this apparatus allows for the spatial analysis of thin sections and the targeting of microfacies recognised during standard optical analysis.

Scanning electron microscopes (SEMs) and Electron Probe Micro-Analyzers (EPMA) fitted with analytical tools such as Energy-Dispersive X-ray Spectroscopy (EDS), including QEMSCAN, and Wavelength-Dispersive X-ray Spectroscopy (WDS) can also be used to geochemically characterise thin sections of archaeological sediment to the elemental level with a very high degree of spatial resolution (e.g. Mentzer, 2014; Mentzer and Quade, 2012). Macphail et al. (in press) use SEM/EDS analytical tools to differentiate soil types and infer past industrial activities at a Mayan site, and to investigate how these soil types influence present day soil characteristics in the region. Inductively Coupled Plasma-Atomic Emission Spectrometry (ICP-AES) has been shown by Sulas et al. (in press) to be very instructive. They use the technique to identify functional zones within an urban archaeological site, recognised by characteristic chemical signatures relating to specific archaeological activities at the site.
5. The future: geoarchaeological research in the humid tropics

What geoarchaeologists working in hot and wet regions of Earth must endeavour to achieve over the next decade and moving into the future is to adapt the ‘geoarchaeology manual’ that has been written over the past three decades of so by geoarchaeologists working in temperate, arid and hyper-arid regions, and produce a revised edition that takes into account the relatively atypical geomorphological processes of the humid tropics. In regions outside of the humid tropics, depositional environments and processes of sedimentation are generally well explicated as scientists are increasingly familiar with the diagnostic chemical and sedimentological signatures that relate to specific environments of deposition and human activities. The point here is that we do not know how much of the existing geoarchaeology vade mecum will be applicable when studying geomorphological landforms, archaeological sites, and stratigraphic sequences located in humid tropical environments. It is likely to be a revision of what we know rather than a complete re-write as initial signs show that the processes are similar, but perhaps accelerated in hot and wet environments. In 1972, the French geomorphologist, Jean Tricart claimed that our “knowledge of the morphogenic characteristics of the humid tropics...remains insufficient, in spite of certain pioneer works...that are too few in number” (1972: xv). Although this number has grown to some extent since then (e.g. Thomas, 1974; Faniran and Jeje, 1983; Douglas and Spencer, 1985; Gupta, 2011), there still remains “…a limited understanding of the geomorphic processes, landforms and sediment in the tropics” (Gupta, 2011: 3). There needs to be a concerted drive by geoarchaeologists working in these environments to advance the science and, perhaps most importantly, to pool their knowledge and analytical expertise concerning the preservation—or not—of archaeological records in the humid tropics.

The collection of papers that form this volume, and the practices and problems this research highlights, only really scratch the surface of the work that is being undertaken in humid tropical environments today, encompassing a wide range of chronological periods and archaeological topics. What we have ventured to achieve here is to present a representative sample of this research—from the Mayans of Central America, to the ‘Hobbits’ of Flores, through to some of the earliest Australians—to provide examples of the broad spectrum of problems that working in these environments can throw up. By doing this we have showcased the techniques and practices employed by geoarchaeologists to circumvent these difficulties, with the ultimate aim of producing robust environmental and depositional reconstructions with which to contextualize and evaluate archaeological narratives.

The humid tropics are challenging environments to work in, both in terms of conducting research and interpreting palaeoenvironmental datasets, but the rewards are great. Many of the great archaeological questions of today are posed against a humid tropical backdrop. Thus, steps need to be taken to ensure that high quality geoarchaeological research is undertaken more routinely in these regions,
but also—and possibly even more importantly—that geoarchaeologists share their knowledge and the data generated working in these environments, so that robust datasets and reference collections of characteristic features specific to these environments can be developed. We hope that this volume will serve to drive forward this process, highlighting the need for geoarchaeologists to step forward as key players in tropical geomorphological and archaeological research.

It is our intent that this special issue not only advances geoarchaeological research in these unique climatic zones, but also underscores the difficulties encountered working in these environments, and how we might overcome or mitigate these challenges. Similar problems can be encountered elsewhere in other climate zones, but what we have seen is that the modes and tempo of archaeological site formation processes are more pronounced and often accelerated in these environments. Readers will clearly see that there are significant problems and features specific to tropical geoarchaeology that are much more strongly expressed in these hot and wet environments than other, already well understood, climatic zones. This needs to be taken into account in the future, and we hope that this volume will encourage people to work in these interesting environments that are largely understudied to date.
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Figure 1: Location maps of the study areas referred to in each of the papers in this volume, from the far west (The Americas) at top, to the far east (Australasia) at base. GoogleEarth images use mapping layers from Peel et al. (2007), an updated version of Köppen's (1923) climate classification system. Legend description: A: equatorial, wet; B: dry, arid; C: warm temperate; D: continental; E: polar (not shown).