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I. INTRODUCTION

Mobile cloud-based learning is thriving for its ease of use while the shortcomings of sole mobile devices [1]. To make the full use of mobile cloud-based learning, teachers involved in either on-campus or distance learning have shown great interests in the delivery of online courses, which could build virtual teams and adopt collaborative learning [2].

Teamwork in a mobile environment is significantly different from that in traditional learning. In this paper, we introduce an innovative approach to fill these gaps, seeking the optimal collaborative learning outcomes for learners. This approach is realized by the development of a service-oriented mobile-accessible system, Teamwork as a Service (TaaS). Also, we implement TaaS over a cloud platform to make it come into real use in the online courses.

II. MOTIVATION AND FRAMEWORK

In our previous work [3], problems that may cause difficulties to learners who participate in collaborative learning in online courses are summarized. By the mean of service oriented architecture (SOA), and using Kolb’s ‘team learning experience’ (KTLE) as the main concept [4], we orchestrate a teamwork-enhanced learning flow, which can be executed by our newly designed service-oriented and cloud-based system, TaaS, together with LMSs. TaaS consists of five web services, each of which takes one or more of the different modules in the KTLE to organize a certain type of activity in order to support learners to be more focused on teamwork, or to offer them computational choices to get into smart collaborative learning scenarios:

• For a mobile cloud-based course with team based assessment tasks, the Survey Service provides a platform for learners to know one another at the beginning of the collaborative learning, usually concurring with the release of the team assignment. Data about each learner’s social features are collected, in terms of their learning styles and comprehensive teamwork skills set.

• The Jigsaw method introduced in [5] is commonly used for deepening learners’ understanding of ‘team purpose’, the three stages of which can be imitated by the Jigsaw Service. It organizes a cloud Jigsaw Classroom containing different forms of meaningful personnel structures for learners to get into efficient discussion about their team assignments.

• The Bulletin Service allows learners to collaboratively define their ‘team context’ and ‘team purpose’, by writing down their thoughts about how to accomplish the team assignment in a task-subtask umbrella structure. It is also utilized to evaluate each pre-planned task’s difficulty and learners’ preference with regard to the task.

• We abolish the concentrated leadership and share leadership over the both sides of the system and learners. The duty to assign the suited ‘team membership’ for each capable team and allocate the clear-cut ‘team role’ for each team member is done by the Inference Service, which takes the responsibility to find out how to group learners into competitive teams. The reasoning process of team formation is supported by using a genetic algorithm (GA) method.

• Mutual supervision among learners is conducted by the Monitor Service. It works to regularize learners’ behaviors during the ‘team action’ and ‘team process’. In each team, each learner is assigned as the coordinator for another, a penalty mechanism, in addition, is embedded in this service.

III. SYSTEM IMPLEMENTATION

A. System Implementation

To execute a teamwork-enhanced learning flow for mobile cloud-based learning, we have composed TaaS with Moodle. Once an online course takes place, mobile learners
get access to learning resources and perform their conventional learning activities through Moodle, whereas they utilize functions supported by TaaS to facilitate collaborative learning.

We have launched a Linux instance of the Amazon Elastic Cloud Computing (EC2) and have configured the server environment as Apache + PHP + Mysql, hosting system packages of TaaS and Moodle over it. TaaS can also work independently, so that it holds its own complete user registration and administration mechanisms. As shown in Fig. 1, we have integrated the five services introduced in Section II as a system and added a user-management module for controlling accounts.

The Usermanagement class holds the ability to run the necessary functions to control and administer user accounts. By the SingleSignOn() method, the single-sign-on (SSO) technique is realized to enable users (teachers and learners) to log into TaaS if they already have valid Moodle accounts. We have created a new database of TaaS for storing teamwork-related data, such as learners’ KLS capabilities, preferences, etc. The GetUserFormDataFromLms() method guarantees user data in both sides of LMS and TaaS are kept in synchronization all the time, to guarantee data consistency. Based on that, basic learning information are invoked from Moodle through its Web service APIs.

The SurveyService class gives teachers authorities to modify or reset the structure of surveys by themselves. Otherwise, results of five sets of questionnaires preset in the team formation by clicking the corresponding button dropdown list has stages can be timed and set in automatic operation for which required to mark each subtask’s difficulty. Besides, non-publisher learners can view and show their preference to modify or reset the structure of surveys by themselves.

The JigsawService class, web methods mainly function to organize the three-stage Jigsaw Classroom. Groups in each stage are formed with regard to a learner’s best learning style in KLS [6].

The BulletinService class offers a wiki-like platform to establish a collaborative editing environment. It applies the CheckAuthority() to allow each published task to be modified by its publisher if authority matches, who is also required to mark each subtask’s difficulty. Besides, non-publisher learners can view and show their preference to each published task through SelectSubtaskPreference().

The InferenceService class is responsible for reasoning the suitable task allocation, by matching each learner to the most appropriate subtask and then grouping thereby competitive teams. It invokes raw data about learners and task/subtasks from the SurveyService class and BulletinService class, respectively. Then it conducts a computation using GA. The details of GA can be referred to another of our work [7]. GA can be triggered by teachers in one of the two ways oriented to two different collaborative scenarios: ‘Keeping the balance between each team’ and ‘Letting the learners show their capabilities in the best possible way’ [3] [7].

In the MonitorService class, the SetCoordinator() method runs initially, then each learner is in position. A learner is linked with his/her coordinator by a file transmission channel.

Figure 1. UML Class Diagram of TaaS

B. User Interface

The UI of teachers’ main page of TaaS is shown in Fig. 2. Teachers can click buttons to launch several events, such as starting each stage of the Jigsaw Classroom. They also have authorities to change the structure of surveys, and preset the penalty mechanisms embedded in the Monitor Service (set the maximum times allowed for a learner to get ‘unsatisfactory’ grade, the deduction weight for each ‘unsatisfactory’ and ‘warning’ or percentage of final mark given by teacher if applicable).

Teachers can organize the Jigsaw Classroom at any stage by choosing an option in the dropdown list. The three stages can be timed and set in automatic operation for which a button is placed. They can activate grouping as well by triggering the Inference Service in either way, for which a dropdown list has also been provided. They can also check the team formation by clicking the corresponding button. The UI of learners’ main page is shown as Fig. 3. A learner’s capabilities in five aspects are summarized in a bar chart, and can be checked by their teammates. S/he can click buttons to participate in learning activities by entering new pages. For example, the “Participate in survey” button is designed for showing a learner the interface of answering the five sets of questionnaires pre-installed in the Survey Service. His/her team information and task information are shown at the bottom of the main page.
same way, the other team members can click the “Vote” button on their own main pages to express their decision, by choosing “warning” or “continue” in the subsequent pages to call relevant web methods in the MonitorService class (see Fig. 1). The example UI for processing mutual supervision is shown as in Fig. 4. The status of the message box changes when any new announcement arrives or any new activity occurs, which commonly notify what should be done in the next steps of team learning activity.

A learner can click “Publish task plan” or “Check alternative tasks” buttons to use the Bulletin Service, entering new pages to fill the related contents in the provided textboxes. While they are planning schedules, the structure of tasks is scalable, by adding or reducing subtasks and the stages of subtasks. Based on a learner’s authority for a task, s/he can also set its subtasks’ difficulties in KLS when publishing and his/her preferences when checking.

As a performer of a subtask, a learner can click the “Submit periodical outcomes” button to obtain an upload link for submitting periodical outcomes, which are transferred directly to his/her coordinator. On the other hand, as a coordinator of one of his/her teammates, a learner can click the “Coordinate teammate’s work” button to deal with this job. In a subsequent page, s/he can download the work submitted from the subtasks’ performer who s/he is in charge of, and grade it accordingly. The ‘satisfactory’ and ‘unsatisfactory’ buttons correspond to web methods in the MonitorService class (see Fig. 1).

If an ‘unsatisfactory’ grade is given, the coordinator is required to decide how much ‘extra time’ should be given for work revision. A new message is sent to the performer when the ‘extra time’ ends, at which time the revised work must be resubmitted. Then the coordinator judges it again. Furthermore, if a team member’s in-progress work receives ‘unsatisfactory’ for more than the allowed maximum times preset by the teacher, a vote is held inside a team. In the

**Figure 2 Main Page of the Teacher User**

**Figure 3. Main Page of the Learner User**

**Figure 4. Example UI of Processing Mutual Supervision**

### IV. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we introduced the implementation of a service-oriented system, TaaS. Using these web services through mobile devices, learners are able to deepen their understanding of team learning purpose, practice their planning capabilities, participate in competitive teams with suitable task allocation and supervise other team members to avoid delays and guarantee efficiency. Details about implementation of TaaS are given to show how this system works at the backend, and we demonstrate some typical Uls.
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