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Highly active, durable, and inexpensive nanostructured catalysts are crucial for achieving efficient and economical electrochemical water splitting. However, developing efficient approaches to further improve the catalytic ability of the well-defined nanostructured catalysts is still a big challenge. Herein, we report a facile and universal cation-exchange process for synthesizing Fe-doped Ni(OH)2 and Co(OH)2 nanosheets with enriched active sites toward enhanced oxygen evolution reaction (OER). In comparison with typical NiFe layered double hydroxide (LDH) nanosteets prepared by the conventional one-pot method, Fe-doped Ni(OH)2 nanosheets evolving from Ni(OH)2 via an Fe3+/Ni2+ cation-exchange process possess nanoporous surfaces with abundant defects. Accordingly, Fe-doped Ni(OH)2 nanosheets exhibit higher electrochemical active surface area (ECSA) and improved surface wettability in comparison to NiFe LDH nanosheets and deliver significantly enhanced catalytic activity over NiFe LDH. Specifically, a low overpotential of only 245 mV is required to reach a current density of 10 mA cm-2 for Ni0.83Fe0.17(OH)2 nanosheets with a low Tafel slope of 61 mV dec-1, which is greatly decreased in comparison with those of NiFe LDH (310 mV and 78 mV dec-1). Additionally, this cation-exchange process is successfully extended to prepare Fe-doped Co(OH)2 nanosheets with improved catalytic activity for oxygen evolution. The results suggest that this cation-exchange process should have great potential in the rational design of defect-enriched catalysts toward high-performance electrocatalysis.
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**ABSTRACT:** Highly active, durable and inexpensive nanostructured catalysts are crucial for achieving efficient and economical electrochemical water splitting. However, developing efficient approaches to further improve the catalytic ability of the well-defined nanostructured catalysts is still a big challenge. Herein, we report a facile and universal cation-exchange process for synthesizing Fe-doped Ni(OH)$_2$ and Co(OH)$_2$ nanosheets with enriched active sites towards enhanced oxygen evolution reaction (OER). Compared with typical NiFe layered double hydroxide (LDH) nanosteets prepared by the conventional one-pot method, Fe-doped Ni(OH)$_2$ nanosheets evolving from Ni(OH)$_2$ via Fe$^{3+}$/Ni$^{2+}$ cation-exchange process possess nanoporous surfaces with abundant defects. Accordingly, Fe-doped Ni(OH)$_2$ nanosheets exhibit higher electrochemical active surface area (ECSA) and improved surface wettability than NiFe LDH nanosheets, and deliver significantly enhanced catalytic activity over NiFe LDH. Specifically, a low overpotential of only 245 mV is required to reach a current density of 10 mA cm$^{-2}$ for Ni$_{0.83}$Fe$_{0.17}$(OH)$_2$ nanosheets with a low Tafel slope of 61 mV dec$^{-1}$, which is greatly decreased as compared with that of NiFe LDH (310 mV and 78 mV dec$^{-1}$). Additionally, this cation-exchange process is successfully extended to prepare Fe-doped Co(OH)$_2$ nanosheets, which also exhibit better catalytic performance for oxygen evolution than that of pristine Co(OH)$_2$. The results suggest that this cation-exchange process would have a great potential in rational design of defect-enriched catalysts toward high-performance electrocatalysis.

**INTRODUCTION**

Recently, oxygen evolution catalysis has drawn ever-increasing attention because it plays a critical role in a variety of energy conversion and storage devices, such as electrochemical water splitting, metal-air batteries, and so forth.$^{1-3}$ However, it has to be noted that the oxygen
evolution reaction (OER) kinetics is sluggish owing to the complicated four-electron transfer process, which is regarded as the bottleneck for electrochemical water splitting and metal-air batteries.\textsuperscript{4-7} Developing highly active catalysts is critical for achieving accelerated reaction kinetics. Recently, Ni/Co-based layered double hydroxides (LDHs) have demonstrated to be very promising OER catalysts due to their earth abundance, high electrocatalytic activity and good stability.\textsuperscript{8-11} In particular, NiFe LDH, which can be considered as Fe\textsuperscript{3+} doped Ni(OH)\textsubscript{2}, has been extensively studied owing to its extraordinary electrocatalytic activity,\textsuperscript{12} and intensive research efforts have been made to further enhance the catalytic performance of NiFe LDH by tuning the chemical composition and/or engineering various nanostructures.\textsuperscript{10, 12-17} It should be noted that most reports were focused on coupling NiFe LDH with conductive carbonaceous materials (e.g., graphene, carbon nanotubes).\textsuperscript{18-21} In this regard, the catalytic activity is basically enhanced by accelerated charge-transfer kinetics and some so-called synergistic effects. Little attention has been paid to enhancing the intrinsic activity and/or increasing active sites of NiFe LDH. For most transition metal-based 2D materials, the active sites are mainly located at the edge sites, and the oxidation reaction to generate catalytically active phase with high valence is hindered by the closed-packed basal planes in some degree.\textsuperscript{8, 22} Hence, activating these basal planes is vital to further enhance the catalytic activity of nanostructured NiFe LDH. Recently, engineering various defects and nanopores in 2D electrocatalysts has been developed as effective strategies to activate the basal planes.\textsuperscript{23-26} For example, Xie et al. prepared ultrathin β-Ni(OH)\textsubscript{2} nanomesh with abundant nanopores, leading to rich active sites on basal planes, thus exhibiting remarkable catalytic performance.\textsuperscript{24} And Ye et al. introduced abundant active sites on the surface of MoS\textsubscript{2} by oxygen plasma exposure and hydrogen treatment, resulting in significant enhancement of hydrogen evolution activity.\textsuperscript{25} Besides, the nanoporous structure is also helpful to mass transfer
and gas bubbles release. Currently, NiFe LDH is mainly synthesized by one-pot processes, mainly including homogeneous co-precipitation, hydrothermal reaction, and electrodeposition. However, NiFe LDH synthesized by these methods endows close-packed basal planes, which considerably restrict the exposure of active sites.

Herein, a facile cation-exchange process is developed to synthesize Fe-doped Ni(OH)\textsubscript{2} nanosheets with abundant active sites. The Fe-doped Ni(OH)\textsubscript{2} nanosheets prepared by this cation-exchange process show substantially improved electrocatalytic performance than pristine Ni(OH)\textsubscript{2} nanosheets and NiFe LDH with similar ratio of Ni/Fe. Moreover, this cation-exchange process is successfully utilized to prepare highly active Fe-doped Co(OH)\textsubscript{2} nanosheets. The results suggest that cation exchange is a very promising approach to engineer nanostructures with significantly enriched defects and active sites towards enhanced electrocatalysis.

**EXPERIMENT SECTION**

**Synthesis of Ni(OH)\textsubscript{2} and Co(OH)\textsubscript{2} nanosheets.** Ni(OH)\textsubscript{2} nanosheets were synthesized by a modified solvothermal reaction method. For the synthesis of Ni(OH)\textsubscript{2} nanosheets, 0.9 mmol nickel(II) nitrate hexahydrate and 1.8 mmol urea were dissolved in a mixed solution of DI water (12 mL) and ethylene glycol (EG, 28 mL). After stirring for 1h, the solution was transferred to a 50 mL Teflon-lined stainless steel autoclave for solvothermal reaction at 120 °C for 12 h. After cooling down to room temperature, the products were collected by centrifugation, washed with DI water and ethanol, and followed by drying at 60 °C in oven for 10 h. For the synthesis of Co(OH)\textsubscript{2} nanosheets, 0.9 mmol cobalt(II) nitrate hexahydrate and 1.8 mmol hexamethylenetetramine (HMT) were dissolved in a mixed solution of DI water (16 mL) and EG
(24 mL). Then, the solution was transferred to a 50 mL Teflon-lined stainless steel autoclave for solvothermal reaction at 120 °C for 10 h. The products were collected by centrifugation, washed with DI water and ethanol three times, and eventually dried at 60 °C in oven for 10 h.

**Synthesis of Fe-doped Ni(OH)₂ nanosheets and Fe-doped Co(OH)₂ nanosheets.** Fe-doped Ni(OH)₂ nanosheets were synthesized via the cation-exchange reaction. Firstly, 50 mg Ni(OH)₂ nanosheets was added into 50 mL ethanol. The mixture was sonicated for 3 h and stirred for another 1 h to get a suspension. And then, anhydrous FeCl₃ (5 mg, 12.5 mg or 20 mg) was added to the suspension, and was stirred at 50 °C for 12 h for sufficient cation-exchange reaction to eventually obtain Fe-doped Ni(OH)₂ nanosheets. The Fe-doped Ni(OH)₂ nanosheets were collected by centrifugation, washed with DI water and ethanol for three times, and eventually drying in oven at 60 °C for 10 h. The Ni/Fe ratio of Fe-doped Ni(OH)₂ nanosheets were measured by Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometry (ICP-MS). The nominal composition was determined to be Ni₀.₉₇Fe₀.₀₃(OH)₂, Ni₀.₈₃Fe₀.₁₇(OH)₂, and Ni₀.₇₇Fe₀.₂₃(OH)₂ for the sample using 5, 12.5, and 20 mg FeCl₃, respectively. The synthesis procedure of Fe-doped Co(OH)₂ nanosheets was similar to the that of Fe-doped Ni(OH)₂ nanosheets, while the precursor material is Co(OH)₂ nanosheets rather than Ni(OH)₂.

**Synthesis of NiFe LDH nanosheets.** The synthesis procedure of Ni₀.₈₅Fe₀.₁₅(OH)₂ LDH nanosheets is similar to that of Ni(OH)₂ nanosheets. 0.75 mmol nickel(II) nitrate hexahydrate, 0.15 mmol Iron(III) nitrate nonahydrate and 1.8 mmol urea were dissolved in a mixed solvent of DI water (12 mL) and EG (28 mL). After stirring for 1h, the solution was transferred to a 50 mL Teflon-lined stainless steel autoclave for solvothermal reaction at 120 °C for 12 h. After cooling down to room temperature, the products were collected by centrifugation, washed with DI water and ethanol three times, and eventually dried in oven at 60 °C for 10 h.
**Physical Characterization.** X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns of the samples were measured by GBC Scientific Equipment LLC X-ray powder diffractometer (Cu Ka, λ = 1.54182 Å). The morphology of the samples were acquired using JEM-2010 transmission electron microscope (TEM) with an acceleration voltage of 200 kV. High-angle annular dark field scanning transmission electron microscopy (HAADF-STEM) images were collected using a probe-corrected JEOL ARM200F with an acceleration voltage of 200 kV. The elemental mapping was carried out by four symmetrical EDS signal detectors equipped on FEI Talos F200X. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) was acquired on a Thermo ESCALAB 250Xi instrument (Al Kα). The ICP emission spectrum was conducted on a Perkin Elmer Optima 7300DV ICP emission spectroscope. Contact angles were measured on Dataphysics OCA15 with 1 μL 1 M KOH solution for each testing. Raman spectra were acquired using a Raman spectrometer (Lab RAM HR, Horiba Jobin Yvon SAS).

**Electrochemical measurements.** All electrochemical tests were performed in a standard three electrode system using a WaveDriver 20 electrochemistry workstation (Pine Research Instruments, US) at room temperature. Pt wire was used as the counter electrode and Ag/AgCl (saturated KCl solution) was employed as the reference electrode. For preparation of the working electrode, 4.0 mg catalyst was dispersed in 1 mL water-isopropanol mixed solution with volume ratio of 3:1 containing 30 μL nafion solution (5 wt%), and the above suspension was then sonicated for at least 1h to obtain a homogeneous ink. 10 μL of the catalyst ink was drop-cast onto a glassy carbon electrode (GCE) with diameter of 5 mm, and the mass loading on GCE is 0.204 mg cm⁻². Finally, the catalyst film was dried naturally in air. The potential data were converted to the potential vs. reversible hydrogen electrode (RHE) according to the equation $E_{\text{RHE}} = E_{\text{Ag/AgCl}} + 0.059 \, \text{PH} + 0.197 \, \text{V}$. Linear sweep voltammetry (LSV) was conducted
with a scan rate of 5 mV s\(^{-1}\) at the rotation speed of 1600 rpm. All LSV polarization curves were corrected with 90% iR-compensation. The double layer capacitance \(C_{dl}\), which was used to roughly represent the electrochemical surface area (ECSA), was estimated by cyclic voltammetry (CV) at a potential window of 0.35-0.4 V (vs. Ag/AgCl) at scan rates of 40, 60, 80, 100, 120 and 140 mV s\(^{-1}\). \(C_{dl}\) was obtained by plotting the \(\Delta J = (J_a - J_c)\) at 0.375 V (vs. Ag/AgCl) against the scan rate, and the linear slope is twice of the \(C_{dl}\) value. The AC impedance spectra were performed with frequency ranging from 100 kHz to 10 mHz at 0.5 V (vs. Ag/AgCl).

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Figure 1 illustrates the synthesis of holey Fe-doped Ni(OH)\(_2\) nanosheets by a cation exchange reaction. Firstly, Fe\(^{3+}\) ions adsorb on the surface of Ni(OH)\(_2\) nanosheets, and they prefer to accumulating on the edge and defect sites.\(^{38-39}\) Then, Fe\(^{3+}\) and Ni\(^{2+}\) are involved in the cation exchange process, which means that partial lattice sites of Ni\(^{2+}\) are substituted with Fe\(^{3+}\). It is well known that Ni\(^{2+}\) could be replaced by Fe\(^{3+}\) in the Ni(OH)\(_2\) lattice, generating a stable LDH structure.\(^{40-42}\) It should be noted that, in addition to cation exchange, chemical etching might occur simultaneously accompanied with the generation of Fe(OH)\(_3\) (or FeOOH). As a consequence, considerable amount of nanopores, grain boundaries and defects including Ni and O vacancies would be formed within basal planes accordingly after Ni\(^{2+}\) is dissolved.\(^{43-45}\) Hence, defect-enriched, holey, Fe-doped Ni(OH)\(_2\) nanosheets can be synthesized via this facile cation-exchange process, and this unique structure would ensure the maximal exposure of active sites on the basal planes of doped Ni(OH)\(_2\) nanosheets.
Figure 1. Schematic illustration of preparation of Fe-doped Ni (OH)\(_2\) nanosheets. Hydrogen atoms are omitted.

A series of Fe-doped Ni(OH)\(_2\) nanosheets (Ni\(_{1-x}\)Fe\(_x\)(OH)\(_2\)) were synthesized by the cation-exchange process, and Fe content was controlled by tuning the weight of FeCl\(_3\). The nominal composition of Fe-doped Ni(OH)\(_2\) nanosheets was determined to be Ni\(_{0.97}\)Fe\(_{0.03}\)(OH)\(_2\), Ni\(_{0.83}\)Fe\(_{0.17}\)(OH)\(_2\), and Ni\(_{0.77}\)Fe\(_{0.23}\)(OH)\(_2\) for the reaction using 5, 12.5, and 20 mg FeCl\(_3\), respectively. Figure 2a displays the XRD patterns of Ni\(_{1-x}\)Fe\(_x\)(OH)\(_2\) and pristine Ni(OH)\(_2\). As can be seen, Ni\(_{1-x}\)Fe\(_x\)(OH)\(_2\) possess the same hexagonal phase structure with pristine Ni(OH)\(_2\) (JCPDS No. 38-0715), and the diffraction peaks located at around 11.5°, 33.7° and 59.9° correspond to (003), (101) and (110) planes, respectively, suggesting that the crystal structure is well maintained after cation exchange. It is noteworthy that the (003) diffraction peak of Ni\(_{1-x}\)Fe\(_x\)(OH)\(_2\) shifts to higher angles as compared with Ni(OH)\(_2\), and this can be ascribed to the lattice shrinkage induced by the substitution of Ni\(^{2+}\) (69 pm) with smaller Fe\(^{3+}\) (55 pm).
addition, NiFe LDH also shows similar XRD pattern to that of Ni$_{1-x}$Fe$_x$(OH)$_2$ (Figure S1, Supporting Information). Inset of Figure 2a shows photograph of Ni(OH)$_2$ and Ni$_{1-x}$Fe$_x$(OH)$_2$ in ethanol solution. Obviously, the colour of products becomes more yellow with increasing of iron amount. TEM measurements were performed to investigate the microstructure of Ni$_{1-x}$Fe$_x$(OH)$_2$. Figure 2b presents a typical TEM image of Ni$_{0.83}$Fe$_{0.17}$(OH)$_2$, and clearly it shows thin nanosheet morphology, indicating that the nanosheet morphology of Ni(OH)$_2$ (Figure S2a, Supporting Information) is inherited very well after cation exchange. Unlike pristine Ni(OH)$_2$ and NiFe LDH nanosheets (Figure 2c and d) that have very smooth and flexible surface and good growth orientation, Ni$_{0.83}$Fe$_{0.17}$(OH)$_2$ (Figure 2e, Figure S3a-b, Supporting Information) nanosheets have a coarser surface and distinct grain boundaries appears. It can be observed from the atomic force microscope (AFM) images (Figure S4, Supporting Information) that the surface of Ni$_{0.83}$Fe$_{0.17}$(OH)$_2$ nanosheets is coarser than that of NiFe LDH. For Ni$_{0.83}$Fe$_{0.17}$(OH)$_2$, the thickness of the nanosheet varies from 0.8 nm to 1.3 nm with thickness fluctuation of 0.5 nm (Figure S4c, Supporting Information), which is much higher than that of NiFe LDH (0.2 nm). The higher the thickness fluctuation, the coarser the nanosheet is. It has to be mentioned that the increased surface roughness would increase the hydrophilicity of the active materials, which is beneficial to mass diffusion kinetics in aqueous media. As shown in the high-resolution TEM (HRTEM) (Figure 2f), the lattice fringes with a spacing of 0.15 nm can be assigned to (110) planes of Ni$_{0.83}$Fe$_{0.17}$(OH)$_2$. Notably, the lattice spacing of 0.22 nm corresponds to the (020) planes of FeOOH (JCPDS No. 26-0792) (Figure S3c and d, Supporting Information), and this confirms the occurrence of chemical etching besides cation exchange. Moreover, the formation of nanopores (ca. 1 nm) in Ni$_{0.83}$Fe$_{0.17}$(OH)$_2$ nanosheets can be clearly observed from the HAADF-STEM image (Figure 2g). Such holey nanosheet morphology is more beneficial to the
formation of active phase with high valence than the nanosheets with close-packed basal planes.\textsuperscript{8, 22, 24} Furthermore, the holey structure also helps to accelerate electrolyte penetration and the release of gas bubbles.\textsuperscript{48} Both Ni_{0.97}Fe_{0.03}(OH)\textsubscript{2} and Ni_{0.77}Fe_{0.23}(OH)\textsubscript{2}, show similar morphology to Ni_{0.83}Fe_{0.17}(OH)\textsubscript{2}, as can be seen from Figure S5 (Supporting Information). It has to be mentioned that Ni_{0.97}Fe_{0.03}(OH)\textsubscript{2} merely endows abundant grain boundaries without obvious nanopores, while nanopores can be clearly observed in Ni_{0.77}Fe_{0.23}(OH)\textsubscript{2}, indicating enhanced chemical etching with increasing Fe\textsuperscript{3+}. And the yield of Ni_{1-x}Fe\textsubscript{x}(OH)\textsubscript{2} decreases dramatically with increasing Fe\textsuperscript{3+} during reaction, further confirming more severe etching. In addition, the STEM elemental mappings, as shown in Figure 2h, reveal uniform distribution of Ni, Fe and O in Ni_{0.83}Fe_{0.17}(OH)\textsubscript{2} nanosheets, revealing that Fe\textsuperscript{3+} doping is achieved uniformly in the nanosheets by this cation-exchange process. As can be seen form the Raman spectroscopy (Figure S6, Supporting Information), Ni_{0.83}Fe_{0.17}(OH)\textsubscript{2} exhibits a similar broad peak to NiFe LDH at around 531 cm\textsuperscript{-1}, which is corresponding to Fe-O bond,\textsuperscript{30} while this peak is absent for pristine Ni(OH)\textsubscript{2}. The Raman results further validate that Fe\textsuperscript{3+} is successfully doped into Ni(OH)\textsubscript{2} via Fe\textsuperscript{3+}/Ni\textsuperscript{2+} exchange reaction.
Figure 2. (a) XRD pattern of Ni(OH)$_2$ and Ni$_{1-x}$Fe$_x$(OH)$_2$; TEM images of Ni$_{0.83}$Fe$_{0.17}$(OH)$_2$ (b, c), Ni(OH)$_2$ (d), and NiFe LDH (e); (f) HRTEM of Ni$_{0.83}$Fe$_{0.17}$(OH)$_2$; (g) atomic resolution HAADF-STEM image of Ni$_{0.83}$Fe$_{0.17}$(OH)$_2$ nanosheets; (h) HAADF-STEM image and corresponding elemental mappings of Ni$_{0.83}$Fe$_{0.17}$(OH)$_2$. Inset of Figure 2a is digital photos of Ni(OH)$_2$, Ni$_{0.97}$Fe$_{0.03}$(OH)$_2$, Ni$_{0.83}$Fe$_{0.17}$(OH)$_2$, Ni$_{0.77}$Fe$_{0.23}$(OH)$_2$, and NiFe LDH (from left to right) dispersed in ethanol.

Figure 3a shows the survey scan XPS spectrum of Ni$_{0.83}$Fe$_{0.17}$(OH)$_2$, and all the peaks are labelled and reveal the existence of Ni, Fe, and O. As can be seen from Figure 3b, two peaks located around 856.1 eV and 873.7 eV correspond to Ni 2p$_{3/2}$ and Ni 2p$_{1/2}$, respectively, and the spin-energy separation of 17.6 eV is the characteristic of Ni$^{2+}$ in Ni(OH)$_2$. In Figure 3c, the peaks with binding energy of 712.5 eV and 725.5 correspond to Fe 2p$_{3/2}$ and Fe 2p$_{1/2}$,
respectively. After fitting, the peaks at 713.4 and 726.6 eV correspond to Fe 2p$_{3/2}$ and Fe 2p$_{1/2}$ of Fe-OH in Ni$_{0.83}$Fe$_{0.17}$(OH)$_2$, and the peaks at 711.0 and 724.6 eV can be assigned to Fe 2p$_{3/2}$ and Fe 2p$_{1/2}$ of FeOOH. With regard to the high-resolution spectrum for O 1s (Figure 3d), the peaks at 532.2, 531.2, and 529.5 eV can be attributed to water molecules adsorbed on nanosheets surface, hydroxyl groups in Ni$_{0.83}$Fe$_{0.17}$(OH)$_2$, and lattice oxygen in FeOOH. Notably, both the peaks of Fe 2p$_{3/2}$ and Fe 2p$_{1/2}$ shift to lower binding energy with increasing Fe$^{3+}$ during reaction (Figure S7, Supporting Information), which can be ascribed to the increment of FeOOH. This phenomenon is also confirmed by the O1s spectrum (Figure S8, Supporting Information), and the proportion of lattice oxygen increases gradually with the increase of iron doping amount. Specifically, the percentage of FeOOH in Ni$_{0.97}$Fe$_{0.03}$(OH)$_2$, Ni$_{0.83}$Fe$_{0.17}$(OH)$_2$ and Ni$_{0.77}$Fe$_{0.23}$(OH)$_2$ is determined to be around 1.5%, 3.2% and 5.3%, respectively.

![Figure 3](image)

**Figure 3.** XPS spectra of Ni$_{0.83}$Fe$_{0.17}$(OH)$_2$: (a) survey spectrum, (b) Ni 2p, (c) Fe 2p and (d) O 1s.
The catalytic activity of Ni$_{1-x}$Fe$_x$(OH)$_2$ along with pristine Ni(OH)$_2$ and NiFe LDH was measured using a rotating disk electrode in O$_2$-saturated 1 M KOH solution. Figure 4a shows representative iR-corrected LSV polarization curves of the samples. In the polarization curve of Ni(OH)$_2$, the peak at around 1.42 V versus RHE is associated with the redox reaction of Ni$^{2+}$/Ni$^{3+}$. Notably, this redox reaction appears at a higher potential for Ni$_{0.83}$Fe$_{0.17}$(OH)$_2$ and NiFe LDH, possibly due to the electronic structure change of the material after Fe incorporation, and this potential shift is also a good indicator to determine whether Fe is doped into Ni(OH)$_2$ or Co(OH)$_2$ catalysts. Clearly, the catalytic ability of Ni$_{1-x}$Fe$_x$(OH)$_2$ varies significantly with Fe doping content. In particular, Ni$_{0.83}$Fe$_{0.17}$(OH)$_2$ shows the best OER performance, which is substantially enhanced as compared with other samples. To reach a current density of 10 mA cm$^{-2}$, a low overpotential of only 245 mV is required for Ni$_{0.83}$Fe$_{0.17}$(OH)$_2$, which is 115 and 65 mV lower than that of pristine Ni(OH)$_2$ and NiFe LDH, respectively (Figure 4b). It has to be noted that the catalytic performance of Ni$_{0.83}$Fe$_{0.17}$(OH)$_2$ is among the best of the reported Ni(OH)$_2$ and NiFe LDH catalysts tested under similar conditions, and is even comparable to that of the samples with conductive substrates (e.g., nickel foam) or conductive additives (e.g., carbon nanotubes) (Table S1, Supporting Information). In addition, Ni$_{0.97}$Fe$_{0.03}$(OH)$_2$ and Ni$_{0.77}$Fe$_{0.23}$(OH)$_2$ also exhibit better catalytic performance than pristine Ni(OH)$_2$. The electrocatalytic ability of Ni$_{1-x}$Fe$_x$(OH)$_2$ is well consistent with previous reports that the OER performance of Ni(OH)$_2$-based catalysts can be greatly improved after Fe incorporation. The exact reason for Fe doping-induced performance improvement is still under debate. It was proposed that Fe doping into Ni(OH)$_2$ may result in conductivity improvement and accelerated charge transfer from Fe sites to Ni active centers, thereby inducing enhanced
catalytic performance.\textsuperscript{36} However, Li et al. hypothesized that the Lewis acidity of Fe\textsuperscript{3+} would increase Ni-O hybridization, thus resulting in improved catalytic activity.\textsuperscript{58} Based on the aforementioned discussion, Ni\textsubscript{0.77}Fe\textsubscript{0.23}(OH\textsubscript{2}) contains considerable amount of FeOOH, which is nearly electrochemically inert for OER (Figure S9, Supporting Information), and the inactive FeOOH nanograins located on nanosheets surface also hinder exposure of the active sites. Consequently, the catalytic activity of Ni\textsubscript{0.77}Fe\textsubscript{0.23}(OH\textsubscript{2}) deteriorates severely as compare with Ni\textsubscript{0.83}Fe\textsubscript{0.17}(OH\textsubscript{2}). The improved catalytic kinetics of Ni\textsubscript{0.83}Fe\textsubscript{0.17}(OH\textsubscript{2}) is also evidenced by its reduced Tafel slope, as shown in Figure 4c. The Tafel slope of Ni\textsubscript{0.83}Fe\textsubscript{0.17}(OH\textsubscript{2}) is 61 mV dec\textsuperscript{-1}, which is lower than both of Ni(OH\textsubscript{2}) (72 mV dec\textsuperscript{-1}) and NiFe LDH (78 mV dec\textsuperscript{-1}). Furthermore, as shown in Figure 4d, the current density of Ni\textsubscript{0.83}Fe\textsubscript{0.17}(OH\textsubscript{2}) reaches as high as 41 mA cm\textsuperscript{-2} at an overpotential of 300 mV, which is 20 and 5 times higher than those of Ni(OH\textsubscript{2}) (2.0 mA cm\textsuperscript{-2}) and NiFe LDH (7.5 mA cm\textsuperscript{-2}), respectively. Figure 4e shows the performance durability of Ni\textsubscript{0.83}Fe\textsubscript{0.17}(OH\textsubscript{2}) evaluated at 10 mA cm\textsuperscript{-2}. One can see that the potential only increases by 20 mV during 10-h operation, suggesting very promising catalytic performance stability. Moreover, even after accelerated electrochemical aging (electrochemical cycling between 1.023 and 1.623 V vs. RHE, 200 cycles), Ni\textsubscript{0.83}Fe\textsubscript{0.17}(OH\textsubscript{2}) possess almost unchanged morphology, and the nanopores (1-2 nm) on the nanosheets can still be clearly observed (Figure S10, Supporting Information), revealing good morphology stability of the Ni\textsubscript{0.83}Fe\textsubscript{0.17}(OH\textsubscript{2}) nanosheets over cycling.
Figure 4. (a) iR-corrected LSV polarization curves measured at a scan rate of 5 mV s\(^{-1}\) in 1M KOH solution, (b) overpotential required at 10 mA cm\(^{-2}\), (c) tafel plots derived from LSV curves, (d) current densities at an overpotential of 300 mV, (e) chronopotentiometry curve of Ni\(_{0.83}\)Fe\(_{0.17}\)(OH)\(_2\) at a constant current density of 10 mA cm\(^{-2}\).
It has to be noted that, besides pristine Ni(OH)$_2$ nanosheets, the catalytic activity of Ni$_{0.83}$Fe$_{0.17}$(OH)$_2$ also significantly outperforms NiFe LDH with similar chemical composition (Ni$_{0.85}$Fe$_{0.15}$(OH)$_2$) that is prepared via a one-step solvothermal process. The enhanced OER activity of Ni$_{0.83}$Fe$_{0.17}$(OH)$_2$ over NiFe LDH can be attributed to the defect-enriched holey basal planes of Ni$_{0.83}$Fe$_{0.17}$(OH)$_2$ prepared via the cation-exchange process. Firstly, the holey nanosheet morphology along with abundant Ni and O vacancies, which are induced by chemical etching of Fe$^{3+}$, help to expose more ion-accessible sites and hence more active sites. The double layer capacitance ($C_{dl}$) determined based on the CV curves is used to roughly represent the corresponding ECSA of the samples. As can be seen from Figure S11 (Supporting Information), the current density of Ni$_{0.83}$Fe$_{0.17}$(OH)$_2$ is much higher than that of NiFe LDH. The linear slope of NiFe LDH is 2.0 mF cm$^{-2}$, while Ni$_{0.83}$Fe$_{0.17}$(OH)$_2$ has a higher linear slope of 7.6 mF cm$^{-2}$ (Figure 5a), which means Ni$_{0.83}$Fe$_{0.17}$(OH)$_2$ possesses higher ECSA than NiFe LDH. Secondly, Ni$_{0.83}$Fe$_{0.17}$(OH)$_2$ exhibits better wettability than NiFe LDH and pristine Ni(OH)$_2$. As shown in Figure 5c-e, the static contact angle is 25° and 32° for pristine Ni(OH)$_2$ and NiFe LDH. In contrast, the contact angle of Ni$_{0.83}$Fe$_{0.17}$(OH)$_2$ decreases to 13°, revealing a much more hydrophilic surface. The improved catalyst surface wettability would ensure fast electrolyte penetration and accelerate migration of hydroxyl groups and oxygen release as well. 48, 59-60

Figure 5b presents the electrochemical impedance spectra (EIS) of Ni$_{0.83}$Fe$_{0.17}$(OH)$_2$ and NiFe LDH. Both EIS curves consist of two apparent semicircles. Basically, the high-frequency semicircle is mainly associated with charge transfer resistance and the low-frequency semicircle is related to the mass-diffusion process. 61 Clearly, both charge transfer and mass-diffusion resistances of Ni$_{0.83}$Fe$_{0.17}$(OH)$_2$ are significantly reduced compared with NiFe LDH, and this can be mainly ascribed to the holey nanosheets composed of nanograins and improved wettability of
Ni$_{0.83}$Fe$_{0.17}$(OH)$_2$. The high ECSA and decreased resistances eventually result in substantially accelerated reaction kinetics for Ni$_{0.83}$Fe$_{0.17}$(OH)$_2$.

![Graphs](image)

**Figure 5.** (a) Current density differences at 0.375 V (vs. Ag/AgCl) plotted against the scan rate measured in a non-Faradaic range. (b) Electrochemical impedance spectra measured at 0.5 V (vs. Ag/AgCl). Contact angle measurements of (c) pure Ni(OH)$_2$, (d) Ni$_{0.83}$Fe$_{0.17}$(OH)$_2$ and (e) NiFe LDH.

This facile cation-exchange process is also successfully extended to synthesize Fe-doped Co(OH)$_2$ nanosheets (Figure S12, Supporting Information). As shown in Figure S13 (Supporting Information), Fe-doped Co(OH)$_2$ nanosheets contain significant grain boundaries and have rougher surface after Fe$^{3+}$/Co$^{2+}$ cation exchange reaction. Moreover, improved hydrophilicity is also achieved after Fe incorporation (Figure S14, Supporting Information). Similar to Fe-doped Ni(OH)$_2$, Fe-doped Co(OH)$_2$ delivers better catalytic performance than that of pristine Co(OH)$_2$ nanosheets. As can be seen from Figure S15 (Supporting Information), Fe-doped Co(OH)$_2$ shows
lower overpotential and Tafel slope (320 mV at 10 mA cm⁻², 53 mV dec⁻¹) than those of pristine Co(OH)₂ (370 mV at 10 mA cm⁻², 69 mV dec⁻¹). The enhanced performance of Fe-doped Co(OH)₂ can also be ascribed to the altered electronic property of Co(OH)₂ after Fe doping, increased grain boundaries and consequently more active sites. The results demonstrate that this cation exchange process is universal for preparing highly active Ni(OH)₂- and Co(OH)₂-based OER catalysts.

CONCLUSION

In summary, a general cation exchange process was developed to synthesize efficient Ni(OH)₂- and Co(OH)₂-based catalysts for oxygen evolution catalysis. As a proof-of-concept application, holey Fe-doped Ni(OH)₂ nanosheets with abundant defects were prepared via Fe³⁺/Ni²⁺ cation exchange, and showed high electrochemical active surface area and improved surface wettability. Consequently, the active site-enriched Fe-doped Ni(OH)₂ nanosheets delivered substantially enhanced catalytic performance over pristine Ni(OH)₂ and NiFe LDH. Moreover, highly active Fe-doped Co(OH)₂ nanosheets were also successfully prepared via the similar process. We believe that this novel strategy would shed light on developing high-performance heteroatom-doped catalysts with abundant active sites.
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Figure S1. XRD pattern of NiFe LDH.
Figure S2. TEM images of pure Ni(OH)$_2$ (a, c) and NiFe LDH (b, d). Ni(OH)$_2$ and NiFe LDH both exhibited smooth surface and flexible, transparent feature, suggesting fundamental characteristics in common of this kind of ultrathin sheet-like nanostructure. The lattice spacing of 0.154 nm and 0.25 nm is corresponding to Ni(OH)$_2$ (110) plane and NiFe LDH (012) plane, respectively.
Figure S3. (a-d) TEM images of Ni$_{0.83}$Fe$_{0.17}$(OH)$_2$. After cation-exchange and chemical etching process, Ni$_{0.83}$Fe$_{0.17}$(OH)$_2$ nanosheets exhibits rough surface and distinct grain boundaries. The generation of FeOOH confirms the existence of reaction: FeCl$_3$+Ni(OH)$_2$ $\rightarrow$ Fe(OH)$_3$ (FeOOH)+NiCl$_2$ in this system.
Figure S4. AFM images of (a) Ni$_{0.83}$Fe$_{0.17}$(OH)$_2$ and (b) NiFe LDH, (c) Cross-section line profile of the Ni$_{0.83}$Fe$_{0.17}$(OH)$_2$ and NiFe LDH ultrathin nanosheet shown in (a) and (b).
Figure S5. TEM images of Ni$_{0.97}$Fe$_{0.03}$(OH)$_2$ (a, c), Ni$_{0.77}$Fe$_{0.23}$(OH)$_2$ (b, d).
Figure S6. Raman spectrums of Ni(OH)$_2$, Ni$_{0.83}$Fe$_{0.17}$(OH)$_2$ and NiFe LDH.
Figure S7. Fe 2p spectrum of Ni$_{1-x}$Fe$_x$(OH)$_2$
**Figure S8.** O 1s spectrum of Ni<sub>0.97</sub>Fe<sub>0.03</sub>(OH)<sub>2</sub> (a), Ni<sub>0.83</sub>Fe<sub>0.17</sub>(OH)<sub>2</sub> (b), Ni<sub>0.77</sub>Fe<sub>0.23</sub>(OH)<sub>2</sub> (c); (d) percentage of Fe-O for Ni<sub>1-x</sub>Fe<sub>x</sub>(OH)<sub>2</sub>. 
Figure S9. iR-corrected polarization curve of FeOOH measured at scan rate of 5 mV s$^{-1}$ in 1M KOH solution. The overpotential of FeOOH at current density of 10 mA cm$^{-2}$ is about 620 mV.
Figure S10. (a-d) TEM images of Ni_{0.97}Fe_{0.03}(OH)_2 after 200 cycles.
Figure S11. CV curves of (a) NiFe LDH and (b) Ni$_{0.83}$Fe$_{0.17}$(OH)$_2$ electrode in 1M KOH with different scan rates.
Figure S12. XRD pattern of Co(OH)$_2$ and Fe-doped Co(OH)$_2$. Fe-doped Co(OH)$_2$ and Co(OH)$_2$ both show peaks at around 10.5°, 33.1° and 59.0° correspond to (003), (101) and (110) planes of typical α-hydroxides,\(^1\) indicating the crystal structure of Fe-doped Co(OH)$_2$ is maintained after cation exchange. It is noted that the (110) diffraction peak of Fe-doped Co(OH)$_2$ shifts to higher degree as compared with Co(OH)$_2$, which can also be attributed to the lattice shrinkage induced by the substitution of Ni$^{2+}$ (65 pm) with smaller Fe$^{3+}$ (55 pm). In addition, the peak at 39.8° in curve of Fe-doped Co(OH)$_2$, which can be indexed to FeOOH (JCPDS No. 26-0792) induced by chemical etching.
Figure S13. TEM images of pure Co(OH)$_2$ (a, b) and Fe-doped Co(OH)$_2$ (c, d).
Figure S14. (a) iR-corrected polarization curves measured at scan rate of 5 mV s⁻¹ in 1M KOH solution, (b) tafel plots derived from LSV curves.
Figure S15. Contact angle measurements of (a) pure Co(OH)₂ and (b) Fe-doped Co(OH)₂. Fe-
doped Co(OH)₂ with rough surface and distinct grain boundaries is much more hydrophilic with a
contact angle of 26°, in contrast to 49° for Co(OH)₂, which importantly facilitated the affinity
between the catalyst and electrolyte and enhanced the permeation of electrolyte ions.
Table S1 OER performance comparison of some Ni(OH)₂ and NiF LDH-based materials.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Catalyst</th>
<th>Substrate</th>
<th>Catalyst loading (μg cm⁻²)/Thin film thickness (nm)</th>
<th>Electrolyte</th>
<th>Overpotential (mV)</th>
<th>Tafel slope (mV dec⁻¹)</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Holey β-Ni(OH)₂</td>
<td>GC</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>1.0 M KOH</td>
<td>335</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α-Ni(OH)₂ nanoparticles</td>
<td>GC</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>1.0 M KOH</td>
<td>299</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe:Ni(OH)₂ film</td>
<td>Au</td>
<td>/30</td>
<td>1.0 M KOH</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>⁴</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NiFe LDH</td>
<td>GC</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>1.0 M KOH</td>
<td>347</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>⁵</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NiFe LDH exfoliated</td>
<td>GC</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>1.0 M KOH</td>
<td>302</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>⁵</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NiFe LDH-MoO₄²⁻/Ketjen black</td>
<td>GC</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>1.0 M KOH</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>⁶</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NiFe LDH</td>
<td>HOPG</td>
<td>444</td>
<td>1.0 M KOH</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>47.6</td>
<td>⁷</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NiFe LDH/CNT hybrid</td>
<td>CFP</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>1.0 M KOH</td>
<td>247</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>⁸</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeNi LDH</td>
<td>NF</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>1.0 M KOH</td>
<td>232</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>⁹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeNi-rGO LDH hybrid</td>
<td>NF</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>1.0 M KOH</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>⁹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni-Fe/3D-ErGO</td>
<td>Au</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>1.0 M KOH</td>
<td>259</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>¹⁰</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NiFe/RGO</td>
<td>GC</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>1.0 M KOH</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>¹¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NiFe LDH@HPGC</td>
<td>GC</td>
<td>285</td>
<td>1.0 M KOH</td>
<td>265</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>¹²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NiFe LDH-NS@DG hybrid</td>
<td>GC</td>
<td>283</td>
<td>1.0 M KOH</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>¹³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni₀.₈₃Fe₀.₁₇(OH)₂</td>
<td>GC</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>1.0 M KOH</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>61</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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