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Abstract

Maintaining structural stability and alleviating the intrinsic poor conductivity of conversion-type reaction anode materials are of great importance for practical application. Introducing void space and a highly conductive host to accommodate the volume changes and enhance the conductivity would be a smart design to achieve robust construction, effective electron and ion transportation, thus, lead to prolonged cycling life, and excellent rate performance. Herein, uniform yolk-shell FeP@C nanoboxes (FeP@CNBs) with the inner FeP nanoparticles completely protected by a thin and self-supported carbon shell were synthesized through a phosphidation process with yolk-shell Fe2O3@CNBs as precursor. The volumetric variation of the inner FeP nanoparticles during cycling is alleviated and the FeP nanoparticles can expand without deforming the carbon shell, thanks to the internal void space of the unique yolk-shell
structure, thus preserving the electrode microstructure. Furthermore, the presence of the highly conductive carbon shell enhances the conductivity of the whole electrode. Benefiting from the unique design of the yolk-shell structure, the FeP@CNBs manifests remarkable lithium/potassium storage performance. The as-prepared FeP@CNBs electrode exhibits a high capacity of 609 mAh g\(^{-1}\) at 100 mA g\(^{-1}\) and excellent cycling stability without any significant capacity loss in lithium-ion batteries (476 mAh g\(^{-1}\) after 400 cycles at 500 mA g\(^{-1}\)). In the case of potassium-ion batteries, a reversible capacity of 205 mAh g\(^{-1}\) was retained after 300 cycles at 100 mA g\(^{-1}\).

1. Introduction

Because of their high energy and power densities, lithium ion batteries (LIBs) have been extensively investigated and widely as the power for portable electronics and electrical vehicles\(^{[1][2][3]}\). For large-scale stationary energy storage, however, such as electrical grids, the limited and uneven distribution of lithium resources in the Earth’s crust is restricting the further development of LIBs\(^{[1][4]}\). Recently, potassium ion batteries (PIBs) have attracted tremendous attention as a potential alternative to LIBs, due to the abundant potassium reserves on Earth and its low standard hydrogen potential (-2.93 V versus \(E^0\)), close to that of lithium (-3.04 V versus \(E^0\))\(^{[5][6]}\). The large potassium ion radius (1.38 Å) results in sluggish kinetics, however, during electrochemical processes\(^{[5][7]}\). Although unremitting efforts have been devoted to and great progress achieved in the development of energy storage materials, there is still a long way to go to achieve feasible anode materials for LIBs and PIBs with satisfactory capacity. Among the various proposed anode materials, including carbonaceous materials\(^{[8][9]}\),
alloy materials\textsuperscript{[10][11]}, metal phosphides\textsuperscript{[12][13]}, and metal sulphides\textsuperscript{[14][15]}, iron phosphide is one of the most appealing anode materials for both LIBs and PIBs, because of the abundance of its raw materials (Fe and P) and its high theoretical capacity of ~926 mAh g\textsuperscript{-1}\textsuperscript{[16]}. Nevertheless, FeP undergoes large volume expansion (~200 \%) during the lithiation/potassiation process, leading to pulverization of the electrode materials and fast capacity fading during long-term cycling\textsuperscript{[17]}. What is more, the relatively low electronic conductivity of FeP affects the electrochemical redox reactions to some extent and leads to low utilization of the active materials\textsuperscript{[18]}. To address these issues, FeP with various nanostructures have been proposed, and tuning the morphology and structure has been proven to be an effective way to address the above challenges.\textsuperscript{[19][20][21][22][23][16]} Nanostructured FeP can not only reduce the electron and ions transport paths, but also buffers the strain resulting from volume expansion\textsuperscript{[18]}. For instance, FeP/C nanocubes that consist of FeP nanoparticles distributed in a carbon scaffold have been fabricated\textsuperscript{[21]}. The carbon matrix enhances the conductivity of the electrode and enables fast electron/ion transportation. The unique structure provides abundant reactive sites that are evenly distributed in the carbon nanocubes. As a result, improved electrochemical performance is achieved. Similarly, carbon-coated FeP nanoplates were reported, in which the two-dimensional (2D) nanostructure releases the mechanical strain and buffers the drastic volume expansion to achieve stable cycling performance\textsuperscript{[20]}. Even though improvement of the electrochemical performance has been achieved by introducing conductive carboneous materials into FeP, the structural integrity of the electrode during the cycling process is still a challenge that needs to be
addressed. Therefore, developing a novel FeP based hybrid by introducing particles with a void space and an integrated carbon shell to control the volume changes, shorten the electron/ion diffusion paths, and improve the whole conductivity could be a potential strategy to further promote its electrochemical performance. Herein, yolk-shell structured FeP@carbon nanoboxes (FeP@CNBs) have been synthesized through a novel phosphidation-in-nanobox strategy. By confining FeP particles in hollow carbon cubes, this unique architectural design offers multiple advantages for addressing the problems associated with FeP during electrochemical processes. The complete and robust carbon shell can not only facilitate efficient electron transfer and enhance the electrical conductivity of the composite, but also prevents the agglomeration of the active materials. More importantly, the extra void space of the yolk-shell structure can accommodate the large volume variation of FeP and maintain the integrity of the electrode microstructure. Furthermore, the nanoboxes are expected to have higher tap density than other nanostructures, which is a significant parameter for electrode materials with high volumetric energy density. The as-synthesized FeP@CNBs electrode has demonstrated a high reversible capacity of 609 and 205 mAh g⁻¹ at 100 mA g⁻¹ for LIBs and PIBs, respectively. Remarkable rate performance (380 mAh g⁻¹ at 2 A g⁻¹) and ultra-long cycling life (476 mAh g⁻¹ after 400 cycles at 500 mA g⁻¹) in LIBs have also been achieved.

2. Experimental section

2.1. Material synthesis

Synthesis of Fe₂O₃ nanocubes: Fe₂O₃ nanocubes were prepared using the hydrothermal
method[24]. In the typical synthesis process, 16.22 g FeCl$_3$ was added into 50 mL deionized water to produce a brown solution. Then, 50 mL of 5.4 M NaOH solution was added into the brown solution under magnetic stirring at 75 °C. The resultant solution was then transferred to an autoclave, which was kept at 100 °C for 4 days. After the autoclave was cooled down to room temperature, the product was harvested by centrifugation and washed several times in de-ionized water. The resultant composite was then dried in a 70 °C vacuum oven for 12 h.

Synthesis of Fe$_2$O$_3$@polydopamine (PDA) core-shell nanocubes[25]: 400 mg as-prepared Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanocubes were first dispersed into 500 mL of 10 mM Tris-buffer solution by ultrasonication for 30 min. Then, 200 mg of dopamine hydrochloride was added into the above solution, which was kept stirring for 10 hours. The resultant product was collected via centrifugation and washed three times with de-ionized water and ethanol, respectively, and dried at 70 °C overnight.

Synthesis of yolk-shell Fe$_2$O$_3$@CNBs[26]: the as-prepared core-shell Fe$_2$O$_3$@PDA nanocubes were annealed at 550 °C for 2 h in Ar with a heating rate of 3 °C min$^{-1}$ to yield the core-shell Fe$_2$O$_3$@C nanocubes. Then, the as-obtained core-shell Fe$_2$O$_3$@C nanocubes was dispersed in 4 M HCl solution with stable stirring for 1 h to partially etch the Fe$_2$O$_3$ core to yield the yolk-shell Fe$_2$O$_3$@C nanoboxes. After rinsing, centrifugation, and drying at 70 °C overnight, the yolk-shell Fe$_2$O$_3$@C was harvested. The carbon nanoboxes were prepared by increasing the etching time to 12 h.

Synthesis of yolk-shell FeP@CNBs[27]: Yolk-shell FeP@CNBs were synthesized in a two-zone furnace. Typically, 1 g NaH$_2$PO$_2$ was loaded into an alumina boat which was
put at the center of the upstream zone, while another alumina boat containing 50 mg yolk-shell Fe$_2$O$_3$@C was put in the center of the downstream zone. Flowing Ar gas was initially passed through the tube for 2 h to remove the air. Subsequently, the temperature of the upstream zone was raised to 300 °C and held for 1.5 h with a heating rate of 2 °C min$^{-1}$, meanwhile, the temperature of the downstream zone was raised to 550 °C and held for 2 h with a heating rate of 5 °C min$^{-1}$. After the furnace was cooled down, the yolk-shell FeP@C nanoboxes were obtained. For comparison, FeP nanocubes were synthesized by using the Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanocubes as the precursor, The phosphidation process was the same to that for yolk-shell FeP@C nanoboxes.

2.2. Materials characterization:

The crystal structures of the as-obtained material were measured using X-ray diffraction (XRD, GBC MMA diffractometer, Cu Kα lines, $\lambda = 1.54056$ Å) with a scanning rate of 1° min$^{-1}$. Raman spectra were collected on a JobinYvon HR800 Raman spectrometer. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) experiments were carried out using a VG multilab 2000 (VG Inc.), and all the binding energy data were calibrated using the C 1s peak at 284.8 eV of the surface adventitious carbon. The photoelectron spectrometer used monochromatic Al Kα radiation under vacuum of $2 \times 10^{-6}$ Pa. The morphology and particle sizes of the as-synthesized samples were characterized on a JEOL JSM-7500FA field-emission scanning electron microscope (FESEM). The details of the crystal structure and EDS mapping were further examined by scanning transmission electron microscope (STEM, JEOL JEM-ARM200F), which was conducted at 200 kV. Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA; TA Instruments 2000) was conducted under air
from 25 to 700°C with a heating rate of 5 °C min⁻¹.

2.3. Electrochemical measurements

The working electrodes were prepared by a slurry-coating method. Typically, the prepared materials were ground carefully with Super P and carboxymethyl cellulose (CMC) in a weight ratio of 8:1:1 in deionized water to form a slurry. Then, the as-prepared slurry was spread out on copper foil using a doctor blade, and the foil was dried at 80 °C under vacuum conditions overnight. The electrochemical tests were conducted with CR 2032 coin type cells, which were assembled in an argon-filled glove box. In the lithium ion batteries (LIBs), the counter electrode was a disk of lithium metal, and Celgard 2400 were used as separator. The electrolyte was 1 M LiPF₆ in ethylene carbonate (EC)/diethyl carbonate (DEC)/dimethyl carbonate (DMC) (1:1:1 by volume). In the case of the potassium ion batteries (PIBs), the electrolyte consisted of a solution of 0.8 M KPF₆ in ethylene carbonate (EC)/diethyl carbonate (DEC) (1:1 by volume). The counter and reference electrodes were potassium metal, and the separator was glass fiber. Cyclic voltammetry was carried out on a VMP-3 electrochemical workstation at a scan rate of 0.1 mV s⁻¹. The charge-discharge tests were conducted on a Land CT2001A battery tester over the voltage range of 0.01-2.5V at different constant current densities. All the specific capacities were based on the weight of the composites.

2.4. Hydrogen evolution reaction characterization and performance test

All electrochemical characterization and performance tests were carried out in a three-electrode configuration in an electrolyte of 0.5 M H₂SO₄ solution on a VMP3 Biologic
potentiostat. The counter and reference electrodes were platinum wire and Ag/AgCl in saturated KCl electrode, respectively. To prepare the working electrodes, 4 mg active materials was first added into 1 mL of a solution consisting of a 9:1 (v/v) ratio of absolute ethanol to Nafion (5 wt%). Then, the as-prepared suspension was sonicated for 2 h to produce a homogeneous ink. After that, 10 μl of the ink was then drop-casted onto a glassy carbon electrode as working electrode. Linear sweep voltammetry (LSV) was conducted with a scan rate of 5 mV s⁻¹. Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) was performed in frequencies ranging from 100 kHz to 0.1 Hz. Potentials were converted from Ag/AgCl to reversible hydrogen electrode (RHE) via + (0.197 + 0.0592 pH) V.

3. Results and discussion

Figure 1a illustrates the detailed synthesis procedure for the FeP@CNBs, which consists of hydrothermal reaction, carbon-coating, Fe₂O₃ etching, and the phosphidation process. First, monodisperse Fe₂O₃ nanocubes were facilely synthesized by hydrothermal reaction and used as the starting materials. Subsequently, a layer of carbon was uniformly and firmly coated on the surfaces of the Fe₂O₃ nanocubes by polydopamine-coating and a high temperature annealing treatment[28]. As shown in Figure 1b and c, the as-synthesized Fe₂O₃@C nanocubes maintain the morphology of the Fe₂O₃ nanocube precursor with a smooth carbon shell. The thickness of the carbon layer was around 30 nm, which can be identified from the TEM image (Figure 1c). In order to form the yolk-shell structure, core-shell Fe₂O₃@C nanocubes were dispersed into hydrochloric acid solution (HCl) for Fe₂O₃ etching. In this step, the Fe₂O₃ core was
partially removed, and a void space between the Fe$_2$O$_3$ and the carbon shell was formed (Figure 1d and e). It is notable that the void space can be controlled by the HCl etching time. When the etching time was extended to 12 h, the Fe$_2$O$_3$ core was totally removed, and the hollow carbon nanocubes were harvested (Figure S1). The above yolk-shell Fe$_2$O$_3$@C nanoboxes were then subjected to a phosphidation process, during which the Fe$_2$O$_3$ yolk was chemically transformed to FeP, and thus, uniform yolk-shell FeP@CNBs were obtained (Figure 1f and g). As shown in the XRD patterns (Figure 1h), during the synthesis process for the FeP@CNBs, the starting materials, α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanocubes, with correspondingly indexed XRD patterns (JCPDS 33-0664), were transformed to γ-Fe$_2$O$_3$@C nanocubes (JCPDS 33-0664) after the high temperature annealing. All the XRD peaks of the FeP@CNBs can be indexed to orthorhombic FeP (JCPDS: 89-2746) without any obvious impurities, indicating that the γ-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanoparticles inside the carbon nanoboxes were successfully transformed to pure-phase FeP crystals during the phosphidation process. Similarly, FeP nanocubes were also synthesized through the same phosphidation procedure, except that α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanocubes were used as the precursors (Figure S2). All the XRD peaks of the FeP nanocubes obtained from the phosphidation of α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanocubes also can be indexed to orthorhombic FeP (JCPDS: 89-2746) (Figure S3).

The scanning electron microscope (SEM) images of the FeP@CNBs (Figure 2a and b), show that the as-obtained FeP@CNBs were highly uniform with an average particle size of ~400 nm, consistent with the Fe$_2$O$_3$@CNBs precursor. The yolk-shell structure is confirmed in the TEM images (Figure 2d and e). In the high-resolution TEM
(HRTEM) image (Figure 2c), the lattice of (200) and (103) crystal planes with a d-spacing of 0.256 and 0.182 nm, respectively, is observed. Alongside the magnified TEM image, the fast Fourier transform (FFT) pattern is consistent with the XRD result. Furthermore, the energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX) elemental mapping results (Figure 2f, g, h, and i) show that the distribution of Fe and P is concentrated around the central cores, verifying the existence of the FeP cores in the carbon nanoboxes. The yolk-shell structure is believed to be important for the electrochemical performance of the composite. With the advantages of FeP cores to deliver high reversible capacity, the carbon shell to improve the electronic conductivity of the composite, and void space to accommodate the volume variation, satisfactory electrochemical performance of the FeP@CNBs can be anticipated. Further evidence for the formation of the FeP@CNBs was obtained from the X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) analysis (Figure 2k, l, and m). The XPS survey scan spectrum revealed the presence of Fe, P, C, and O on the surface of the FeP@CNBs. In the high-resolution Fe 2p XPS spectrum, two peaks with binding energy of 711.2 and 724.5 eV were observed, which can be assigned to the Fe 2p₃/₂ and Fe 2p₁/₂ peaks, respectively [29]. In the high resolution P 2p XPS spectrum, the two peaks centered at 129.3 and 130.2 eV corresponded to the binding energy of P 2p₃/₂ and P 2p₁/₂, respectively [16]. Furthermore, the peak located at 133.6 eV is attributed to PO₄³⁻ or P₂O₅, which is caused by the oxidation of P species because of contact with air [30][31]. In the Raman spectra the intensity ratios of the D to the G band (I_D/I_G) for the FeP@CNBs and γ-Fe₂O₃@C nanocubes were calculated to be 0.90 (Figure S5), suggesting the presence of a
disordered and amorphous carbon component in both composites, which would be favorable to improve the diffusion rate of Li$^+$/K$^+$ ions and electrons\textsuperscript{[32]}. In order to determine the FeP content of the FeP@CNBs, thermal analysis was performed on the FeP nanocubes and FeP@CNBs (Figure S4). In the case of FeP@CNBs, a weight increase was observed between 450-600 °C in the TGA curve, which was due to the oxidation of FeP to Fe$_2$O$_3$ and P$_2$O$_5$ in the air\textsuperscript{[23]}. In the case of the FeP@CNBs, a small weight loss of about 2 wt% first occurred below 100 °C because of the removal of adsorbed water on the surface of the FeP@CNBs. After that, another weight loss took place between 420-500 °C before the weight increased between 500-600 °C. It is believe that both carbon burning and FeP oxidation occurred between the 420-600 °C. The carbon burning was the dominant process between 420-500 °C, however, and thus, a weight loss was observed. Whereas, the FeP oxidation caused the weight increase from 500 to 600 °C. Based on the TGA results forFeP@CNBs and FeP nanocubes, the weight contents of FeP and carbon were calculated to be 81wt% and 19wt%, respectively.

The lithium storage behavior of the FeP@CNBs was first investigated by cyclic voltammetry (CV) at a scan rate of 0.1 mV s$^{-1}$ in the voltage range of 0.01-2.5 V. Figure 3a shows the representative CV curves from the first to the fourth cycles. In the first cathodic scan, two cathodic peaks located at 1.2 and 1.0 V are observed. The 1.2V peak can be explained by the intercalation of Li$^+$ into the FeP to form Li$_x$FeP (FeP + $x$Li$^+$ + $xe^-$ $\rightarrow$ LixFeP ($x$ = 0-3))\textsuperscript{[33]}. Whereas, the 1.0 V peak is associated with the formation of solid-electrolyte interphase (SEI) film and the reduction of Li$_x$FeP to Fe and Li$_3$P (Li$_x$FeP + (3-$x$)Li$^+$ + (3-$x$)e$^-$ $\rightarrow$ Fe + Li$_3$P)$^{[34]}$. During the following anodic scan, only
one broad peak centered at 1.1 V was observed, which is related to the oxidation of Fe

to FeP. It is noteworthy that there is an obvious difference between the first and the

following cycles, that is, the cathodic peak shifted to 0.6 V after the first cycle.

Nevertheless, both the cathodic and anodic peaks in the CV curves overlap from the

second cycle onward, suggesting the excellent reversibility and stability of the electrode.

A similar phenomenon is also observed in the CV curves of the FeP nanocubes (Figure

S6).

The lithium storage performance was also investigated by galvanostatic discharge-

charge measurements. The FeP@CNBs delivered a high discharge capacity of 1125.5

mAh g$^{-1}$ and a charge capacity of 762.8 mAh g$^{-1}$ in the first cycle, giving an initial
coulombic efficiency of 67.8%. The 32.2% irreversible capacity loss of the initial cycle
can be attributed to the formation of the SEI layer on the surface of the FeP@CNBs and
electrolyte decomposition. Unexpectedly, as shown in the charge-discharge profile

(Figure 2b), there were no obvious plateaus, but sloping lines are observed at 0.6 and

1.1 V in discharge and charge curves, respectively, which can be explained by

insufficiently active reactions in the lithiation of FeP to Li$_x$FeP ($x = 0$-3) and the reverse
delithiation of Li$_x$FeP ($x = 0$-3) to FeP$^{[16][32]}$. Figure 3c presents the cycling performance

of the FeP@CNBs at a current density of 100 mAh g$^{-1}$. As expected, the FeP@CNBs

electrode manages to maintain a high reversible capacity of 609 mAh g$^{-1}$ after 70 cycles

without any distinct decay, indicating remarkable cycling stability. Based on the content

of FeP (81%) in the FeP@CNBs and the reversible capacity (250 mAh g$^{-1}$) contributed

by the carbon hollow spheres (Figure S8), the utilization rate of the FeP was calculated
to be 75%. In contrast, the FeP nanocubes show a relatively low reversible capacity of 477.5 mAh g\(^{-1}\) in the first cycle, and it decreases to 250 mAh g\(^{-1}\) after 70 cycles at 100 mA g\(^{-1}\). To further evaluate the cycling stability of the FeP@CNBs, a long-term cycling test was conducted. The results show that the FeP@CNBs achieved a high initial reversible capacity of 545 mAh g\(^{-1}\) and retained a charge capacity of 476 mAh g\(^{-1}\) after 400 cycles at 500 mAh g\(^{-1}\) (Figure 3e). Apart from its remarkable cycling performance, FeP@CNBs demonstrated excellent rate capability at varied current rates. As shown in Figure 3d, FeP@CNBs delivered high specific capacities of 608, 563, 490, 441, and 380 mAh g\(^{-1}\) at 0.1, 0.2, 0.5, 1, and 2 A g\(^{-1}\), respectively, which is much higher than for the FeP nanocubes, revealing the excellent kinetics of the FeP@CNBs. The effects of the yolk-shell structure towards improving the lithium storage performance of the composite were further investigated by the EIS analysis comparing the FeP@CNBs and FeP nanocubes electrodes (Figure 3f). The results show that the interfacial resistance of the FeP@CNBs is smaller than that of the FeP nanocubes electrode, which can be explained by the presence of the highly conductive carbon shell\(^{[16]}\). Furthermore, the morphology of the FeP@CNBs electrode after 70 cycles at 100 mA g\(^{-1}\) was characterized by TEM (Figure 3g). It is clear that the yolk-shell structure of the FeP@CNBs was retained, with a layer of SEI also present on the surface of the carbon shell. Void space can still observed in the TEM image, indicating that the void space in the FeP@CNBs is large enough to accommodate the volume changes during the repeated charge-discharge processes. \textit{Ex situ} XRD was also performed to identify the composition of the FeP@CNBs (Figure S 9). Cells were disassembled after charged in
the 70th cycle to 2.5V and the working electrodes were washed with propylene carbonate to remove the residual LiPF$_6$, the XRD patterns of the cycled working electrode consist of FeP and Cu signals due to the use of Cu as current collector. Apart from the Cu and FeP peaks, no other peaks are observed in the XRD results, indicating that the composition of the working electrode turned back to FeP after 70 cycles in Lithium ion batteries.

Potassium storage properties were also examined. In the CV curves of both the FeP@CNBs (Figure 4a) and the FeP nanocubes (Figure S10) electrodes, only two peaks located at 0.8 and 2.0 V were observed in the cathodic and anodic scans after the first cycle, respectively, associated with the potassiation and de potassiation of FeP. The profiles of the charge-discharge curves are consistent with the CV results, with sloping plateaus at 0.8 and 2.0 V appearing in the discharge and charge curves, respectively (Figure 4b). Similar to the LIBs voltage profiles, the voltage profiles of KIBs are also sloping and no obvious plateaus observed, which can be ascribed to the insufficient activity of the potassiation/depotassiation reactions. The cycling performance of the FeP@CNBs at 100 mA g$^{-1}$ within a voltage range of 0.01-2.5 V is shown in Figure 4c. Similar to the LIB performance, the PIBs exhibited high reversible capacity of 264 mAh g$^{-1}$ in the first cycle with an initial coulombic efficiency of 47% and remarkable cycling stability. The loss the first reversible capacities is mainly ascribed to the decomposition of electrolyte to form a surface passivation SEI layer. Apart from this, another reason is that irreversible K ion trapped into the porous structure of the carbon layer and lattice of the FeP.$^{35,36}$ TEM is performed to identify the morphology and structure of the
FeP@CNBs after the first potassiation. It is clearly that yolk-shell structure is maintained after the first potassiation (Figure S 11). No obvious capacity loss was observed over 300 cycles and a capacity as high as 205 mA g\(^{-1}\) was obtained. Considering that the reversible capacity contributed from the carbon hollow spheres is negligible after 50 cycles (Figure S12), most of the reversible capacity must have come from the active materials. In order to confirm the importance of the yolk-shell structure for the electrochemical performance, the cycling performance of FeP nanocubes were evaluated for comparison under the same conditions. The FeP nanocubes, however, only offered a low reversible capacity of 189 mA g\(^{-1}\) in the first cycle and showed gradual capacity fading in the subsequent cycles. The relatively low reversible capacity of the FeP nanocubes is abscribed to low utilization of the bare FeP nanocubes resulting from the relatively low conductivity of the FeP.

Figure 4d shows the rate capacity performances of both FeP@CNBs and FeP nanocubes under different current densities ranging from 0.1 to 2 A g\(^{-1}\). In the case of the FeP@CNBs, reversible capacities of 201, 156, 101, 65 and 37 mAh g\(^{-1}\) are obtained at 0.1, 0.2, 0.5, 1 and 2 A g\(^{-1}\), respectively. Furthermore, the capacity of the FeP@CNBs could be restored back to 200 mAh g\(^{-1}\) when the current density was set back to 0.1 A g\(^{-1}\), indicating the outstanding potassium storage performance of FeP@CNBs.

Comparisons between our work and the state-of-the-art results reported for previous research on FeP based anode materials are also provided in Table S1. The cycling and rate performances of FeP@CNBs in LIBs are comparable to those for most reported anodes. The remarkable electrochemical performance of the FeP@CNBs can be
ascribed to the advantages of the yolk-shell structure. First, the carbon shell, which
serves as a self-supporting framework, can prevent the aggregation of FeP particles.
This carbon shell is well maintained even when the FeP expands during the
lithiation/potassiation processes, thanks to the sufficient void space of the yolk-shell
structure. What is more, the growth of a stable SEI layer on the surface of the carbon
shell, in turn, prevents the continual rupturing and reformation of the SEI, which occurs
commonly in all alloy-based anode materials. Second, the highly electronically and
ionically conducting carbon shell greatly enhances the transport kinetics. Third, the
electrode microstructure can be well preserved due to the void space, which allows the
FeP to expand without deforming the electrode microstructure, so that pulverization is
prevented. It is also notable that FeP@CNBs has also been demonstrated as a promising
option for the hydrogen evolution reaction (Figure S13), due to its advantages.

4. Conclusions

In summary, yolk-shell structured FeP carbon nanoboxes (FeP@CNBs) consisting of
FeP nanoparticles surrounded and protected by a carbon shell, have been developed and
proved to be a promising materials for rechargeable batteries and the hydrogen
evolution reaction. Benefiting from its unique structural features, the FeP@CNBs
electrode achieved remarkable electrochemical performance. Notably, the existence of
the carbon shell can prevent the aggregation of the FeP nanoparticles and enhance the
conductivity of the composite, while the void space between the carbon shell and the
FeP accommodates the volume expansion of the FeP during charge-discharge without
destroying the electrode microstructure. As a result, the FeP@CNBs electrode has
achieved high reversible capacity, superior rate performance, and an extremely stable
cycling life.
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Figure 1. Schematic illustration of the yolk-shell structured FeP@C nanobox design and characterization of the intermediate materials
(a) Schematic illustration of the synthesis process for the FeP@CNBs; (b, d, and f) SEM and (c, e, and g) TEM images of Fe₃O₄@C nanocubes (b and c), Fe₃O₄@CNBs (d and e), and FeP@CNBs (f and g); (h) XRD patterns of the intermediate materials.
Figure 2. Characterization of the FeP@CNBs
(a and b) SEM images, (c) HRTEM image with the corresponding FFT pattern in the inset, (d) dark-field and (e) bright-field TEM images, and (f, g, h, and i) elemental mapping analysis of FeP@CNBs; (j, k and l) XPS spectra of FeP@CNBs: survey spectrum (k), Fe 2p (l), and P 2p (m).
Figure 3. Lithium storage properties of FeP@CNBs.

(a) CV curves of the FeP@CNBs in the first four cycles. (b) Charge/discharge curves of FeP@CNBs electrode at 0.1 A g\(^{-1}\) in the 1\(^{st}\), 2\(^{nd}\), and 70\(^{th}\) cycles. (c) Cycling performance of the FeP@CNBs and the FeP nanocubes at 0.1 A g\(^{-1}\). (d) Rate performance of the FeP@CNBs and FeP nanocube electrodes at varied current densities.

(e) Long-term cycling of the FeP@CNBs electrode at 500 mA g\(^{-1}\). (f) Nyquist plots of the FeP@CNBs and FeP nanocubes, with the inset showing the equivalent circuit. (g) TEM image of FeP@CNBs after 70 cycles at 0.1 A g\(^{-1}\). The capacities here are charge...
Figure 4. Potassium storage properties of FeP@CNBs.
(a) CV curves of the FeP@CNBs in the first four cycles. (b) Charge/discharge curves of FeP@CNBs electrode at 0.1 A g\(^{-1}\) in the 1\(^{\text{st}}\), 2\(^{\text{nd}}\), and 300\(^{\text{th}}\) cycles. (c) Cycling performance of FeP@CNBs and FeP nanocubes at 0.1 A g\(^{-1}\). (d) Rate performance of the FeP@CNBs and the FeP nanocubes electrodes at varied current densities. The capacities here are charge capacity.
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A unique yolk-shell structured FeP@C nanobox is developed as effective nanostructured anode materials for rechargeable batteries. The complete and robust carbon shell can not only facilitate efficient electron transfer and enhance the electrical conductivity of the composite, but also prevents the agglomeration of the active materials. More importantly, the extra void space of the yolk-shell structure can accommodate the large volume variation of FeP and maintain the integrity of the electrode microstructure. Thus, high reversible capacity and long cycling life are achieved in lithium/potassium ion batteries.
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