University of Wollongong

Research Online

Australian Institute for Innovative Materials -
Papers

Australian Institute for Innovative Materials

1-1-2019

Ordered platinum-bismuth intermetallic clusters with Pt-skin for a highly efficient electrochemical ethanol oxidation reaction

Binwei Zhang

University of Wollongong, bz370@uowmail.edu.au

Weihong Lai

University of Wollongong, wl478@uowmail.edu.au

Tian Sheng

Anhui University

Xi-Ming Qu

Xiamen University

Yunxiao Wang

University of Wollongong, yunxiao@uow.edu.au

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: <https://ro.uow.edu.au/aiimpapers>

Part of the [Engineering Commons](#), and the [Physical Sciences and Mathematics Commons](#)

Research Online is the open access institutional repository for the University of Wollongong. For further information contact the UOW Library: research-pubs@uow.edu.au

Ordered platinum-bismuth intermetallic clusters with Pt-skin for a highly efficient electrochemical ethanol oxidation reaction

Abstract

The ethanol oxidation reaction is extensively explored, but electrocatalysts that could achieve a complete oxidation pathway to CO₂/CO₃²⁻ are much less reported. Here, we synthesize a monatomic Pt layer (Pt-skin) on ordered intermetallic PtBi clusters (PtBi@Pt) supported on graphene via a single atom self-assembling (SAS) method to form a superior catalyst. The PtBi@Pt with an ultrafine size (~2 nm) delivers an extremely high mass activity of 9.01 mA μg Pt⁻¹, which is 8-fold more active than the commercial Pt/C; significantly, in situ Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy indicates that ethanol is completely oxidized to CO₃²⁻ on the PtBi@Pt, accompanied by 12 electron transfer, as is further demonstrated by the density functional theory results.

Disciplines

Engineering | Physical Sciences and Mathematics

Publication Details

Zhang, B., Lai, W., Sheng, T., Qu, X., Wang, Y., Ren, L., Zhang, L., Du, Y., Jiang, Y., Sun, S. & Dou, S. (2019). Ordered platinum-bismuth intermetallic clusters with Pt-skin for a highly efficient electrochemical ethanol oxidation reaction. *Journal of Materials Chemistry A*, 7 (10), 5214-5220.

Authors

Binwei Zhang, Weihong Lai, Tian Sheng, Xi-Ming Qu, Yunxiao Wang, Long Ren, Lei Zhang, Yi Du, Yan-Xia Jiang, Shi-Gang Sun, and Shi Xue Dou

Ordered Platinum-Bismuth Intermetallic Clusters with Pt-skin for High Efficient Electrochemical Ethanol Oxidation Reaction

Received 00th January 20xx,
Accepted 00th January 20xx

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/

Bin-Wei Zhang,^a Tian Sheng,^b Xi-Ming Qu,^c Yun-Xiao Wang,^{*a} Long Ren,^a Lei Zhang,^a Yi Du,^a Yan-Xia Jiang,^c Shi-Gang Sun,^{*c} and Shi-Xue Dou^a

Even though the ethanol oxidation reaction is extensively explored, electrocatalysts that could achieve complete oxidation pathway to CO₂ are very rare. Here, we synthesize the monatomic Pt layer (Pt-skin) on ordered intermetallic PtBi clusters (PtBi@Pt) supported on graphene *via* a single atoms self-assembling (SAS) method to form a superior catalyst. The PtBi@Pt with ultrafine size (~2 nm) delivers an extremely high mass activity of 9.01 mA μg_{Pt}⁻¹, which is 8-fold more active than commercial Pt/C; significantly, *in-situ* Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy indicates that ethanol is completely oxidized to CO₃²⁻ on the PtBi@Pt, accompanied by 12 electron transfer, as is further demonstrated by the density functional theory results.

Fuel cells have been gaining increasing attention because they are efficient and green power sources suitable for portable electronic devices and automotive applications.¹⁻⁴ In comparison with H₂ fuel cells, direct ethanol fuel cells (DEFCs), as one of the most promising renewable energy applications, have many obvious advantages, such as low toxicity, high energy density, and inexhaustible availability from biomass.⁵⁻⁶ It is widely accepted that the ethanol oxidation reaction (EOR) involves two parallel oxidation pathways: complete oxidation and partial oxidation. The complete electro-oxidation of ethanol with the transfer of 12 electrons is accompanied by breaking the C-C bond, leading to the formation of CO₂ (acid media) or CO₃²⁻ (alkaline solutions), which is the C1 pathway. For incomplete oxidation (C2 pathway), the final oxidation product of ethanol is acetate, with the reaction involving the transfer of 4e⁻. Despite C1 pathway possessing higher electro-efficiency, most EOR electrocatalysts prefer the C2 pathway, which incompletely utilizes ethanol to form acetate as the final

oxidation product;⁷⁻⁸ the proportion of Pt-based EOR electrocatalysts favoring the C1 pathway at room temperature is only 1%, according to previous reports, because splitting the C-C bond is kinetically hindered.⁹⁻¹¹ To date, the most effective strategy to enhance the ability to split the C-C bond and improve the selectivity involves modifying the electronic structure of Pt based electrocatalysts by introducing Rh;¹²⁻¹³ it is widely believed that synergistic reactions exist in such multicomponent systems, which could weaken the bonding of intermediates and offer additional adsorbed hydroxyl groups, OH_{ads}, to aid in the further oxidation of carbonaceous intermediates.¹⁴ Nevertheless, the production of Rh is extremely rare, even lower than that of Pt. Rational design of electrocatalysts, which can reduce cost and simultaneously allow them to work via the C1 pathway, is a very powerful objective for the EOR.

It is a remarkable fact that those electrocatalytic reactions only take place on the surface of the catalysts;¹⁵ thus, intensities studies have been carried out to tuning the surface composition of bimetallic electrocatalysts.¹⁶⁻¹⁹ The most successful bimetallic electrocatalysts for controlling surface composition is the Pt-skin structure,²⁰⁻²² which boosting the activity of electrocatalysts. Despite the fact that Pt-skin of Pt₃Co could enhance activity of EOR, it still goes through the incomplete oxidation pathway. Nevertheless, the prosperity of Pt-skin surface is dependent on the inner atoms, which cause the compressive or tensile strain of the Pt-surface; these strain effect will open up new idea to design electrocatalysts. A good example is that the PtPb/Pt core/shell nanoplates, with large biaxial tensile strain, exhibit amazing activity, which used to be believed that could not effectivity improve the oxygen reduction reaction.²³ Besides, the structure of catalysis also affect their electrochemical performance; thus great attention on the morphology of electrocatalysis such as nanowires,^{24, 25} nanoporous,^{26, 27} and nanoclusters²⁸⁻³⁰ materials, are paid. Based on this view, tuning the strain effect of the Pt-skin via exploiting favorable inner atoms and controlling the structure of electrocatalysis may achieve electro-oxidation ethanol via C1 pathway without a loss of catalytic performance.

^a Institute for Superconducting and Electronic Materials, Australian Institute of Innovative Materials, University of Wollongong, Innovation Campus, Squires Way, North Wollongong, New South Wales 2500, Australia.

^b College of Chemistry and Materials Science, Anhui Normal University, Wuhu, 241000, P. R. China.

^c State key laboratory of physical chemistry of solid surfaces, Department of Chemistry, College of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Xiamen University, Xiamen 361005, China.

Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: See DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

Herein, by introducing relatively cheap element Bi in the core, we report a superior catalyst with Pt-skin on order PtBi intermetallic (PtBi@Pt) supported on graphene matrix, which is fabricated from single-atom Pt mixed with PtBi catalyst (PtBi/SA Pt) *via* a single-atom self-assembling (SAS) method. The electrochemical performance towards the EOR of PtBi@Pt was first studied. It delivers a peak current density of 9.01 mA $\mu\text{g}_{\text{Pt}}^{-1}$ with much higher activity than PtBi/SA Pt and Pt/C even after stability testing, which significantly outperforms other reported catalysts for the EOR under alkaline conditions. Moreover, *in-situ* Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) demonstrates that the final product of the EOR is CO_3^{2-} , confirming the selectivity of the C1 pathway.

The core-skin PtBi@Pt was gradually synthesized by controlling thermal treatment temperatures without using any surfactant or organometallic precursors. Firstly, an intermediate, PtBi/SA Pt, is prepared by controlled thermal treatment method at 600 °C for 12 h (Supporting Information). By increasing the thermal treatment time to 24 h, as illustrated in **Figure 1a**, these single Pt atoms stabilized by graphene will migrate to the surface of the ordered PtBi intermetallic, and then self-assemble to form Pt-skin on the ordered PtBi intermetallic clusters. The formation of single Pt atoms in PtBi/SA Pt is mostly ascribed to that the functional groups of graphene could stabilize the single Pt atoms;³¹ and part of the Pt will be reduced together with Bi by H_2 at 600 °C to form an ordered PtBi intermetallic. **Figure 1b** and **Figure S1** show atomic resolution high-angle annular dark field (HAADF) scanning transmission electron microscope (STEM) images of PtBi/SA Pt, which demonstrate that isolated Pt single atoms are uniformly distributed at high density throughout the graphene, and PtBi clusters are also detected, with dimensions of 1.3 ± 0.5 nm. There are so many single Pt atoms in the **Figure 1b** and **S1**, so we just circle some of them, demonstrating the presence of SA Pt in PtBi/SA Pt. In particular, the Bi columns could show higher intensity than the Pt columns, due to the fact that the atomic number Z of Bi is 83, which is higher than that of Pt ($Z = 78$).³² By comparing the brightness variation of Pt and Bi intensities in the HAADF images, we concluded that these single atoms were Pt. There are some Pt clusters (< 0.5 nm), containing 2-3 Pt atoms, in PtBi/SA Pt; the reason why PtBi/SA Pt exists in clusters is that it is difficult to stabilize pure metal atoms in graphene due to their mobility and instability;³¹ and at such high Pt loading level ($\sim 8.24\%$), it is easy to form clusters.³³ The image of PtBi@Pt (**Figure 1c**) demonstrates that most of these clusters are well dispersed on the graphene, accompanied by the SA Pt self-assembling on PtBi intermetallic. To determine the monatomic nature of the Pt layer on PtBi@Pt, we examined two sites by intensity analysis, which are shown in **Figure 1e**. Both sites have 1-2 atomic layer of Pt; Pt and Bi appear to be distributed alternately, as shown by the alternating brightness and darkness in **Figure 1d**, indicating that these PtBi intermetallic clusters are ordered. Furthermore, the d -spacings of 2.15 Å and 2.22 Å correspond to the (110) and (012) planes of PtBi intermetallic, respectively, indicating that the clusters are viewed along the [001] zone axis.³⁴ This inner PtBi intermetallic certain will have a tensile strain on the Pt-skin surface. The

mean size of PtBi@Pt is evaluated to be $\sim 2.0 \pm 0.7$ nm, as analyzed from 200 clusters (**Figure S2**, Supporting Information), which indicates that after long time thermal treatment, SA Pt and Pt cluster could self-assemble on the surface of PtBi cluster, and formation of bigger clusters. We also examine many areas of PtBi@Pt, and they don't present single Pt atoms, which suggest that most of PtBi particles were converted to PtBi@Pt. The X-ray diffraction (XRD) results in **Figure 1f** demonstrate that the PtBi phase in PtBi/SA Pt and PtBi@Pt is in good agreement with the ordered PtBi intermetallic phase.³⁵ The broad peak at $\sim 26^\circ$ is assigned to the graphene.

X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) is applied to investigate the chemical states of Pt and Bi, as shown in **Figure 2**. The Pt 4f_{7/2} spectrum can be deconvoluted into pure Pt (Pt^0) and Pt^{2+} ; the binding energy (BE) of Pt^0 in PtBi/SA Pt and PtBi@Pt are 71.60 and 71.35 eV, respectively. Interestingly, the BE of Pt^0 in PtBi@Pt is near to that in pure Pt (71.2 eV), which indicates that the surface states of PtBi@Pt are close to those of metallic Pt. It also implies that the electronic structure of the Pt-skin surface is modified by the inner ordered PtBi intermetallic, which results in tensile strain of Pt-skin surface. In contrast, the BE of Pt^0 in PtBi/SA Pt is the highest; the difference in the values indicates that Pt in the ordered PtBi intermetallic has a strong interaction with Bi and that single Pt atoms are oxidized as Pt^{2+} (i.e. PtO).³⁶ The XPS reflect the overall state of materials, thus demonstrating that mainly single Pt atoms self-assemble to form Pt-skin on PtBi@Pt. The Bi XPS spectrum in PtBi/SA Pt and PtBi@Pt is similar (**Figure 2b**). Both of them only have Bi^{3+} , and the BE is 159.0 eV; this also demonstrates that there is a strong interaction between Pt and Bi in the ordered PtBi intermetallic.³⁷

Cyclic voltammograms (CVs) of Pt/C, PtBi/SA Pt and PtBi@Pt in 1 M KOH solution are shown in **Figure S6**, where neither of the PtBi catalysts show any apparent hydrogen adsorption or desorption compared with Pt/C. This result indicates the presence of Bi in the core would modify the electron state of surface of Pt and block the sites for adsorbed monatomic hydrogen, H_{ads} . The similar characteristic also been observed in the Pt-skin of Pt_3Co and Pt_3Ni ,^{7,15} which also suggested the presence of Pt-skin on the PtBi@Pt. Thus, the CV properties of both PtBi/SA Pt and PtBi@Pt could be assigned to the formation of ordered PtBi intermetallic.^{35, 15} The electrocatalytic characteristics of the EOR for these three catalysts were investigated in a basic medium (1 M $\text{CH}_3\text{CH}_2\text{OH}$ and 1 M KOH), as shown in **Figure 3a**. All of them exhibited one forward scan peak, corresponding to the oxidation of $\text{CH}_3\text{CH}_2\text{OH}$ to intermediate products^{38, 39} and one reverse scan peak, typically assigned to the further oxidation of intermediate products.^{38, 39} Significantly, PtBi@Pt shows the highest peak current in the forward anodic scan of 9.01 mA $\mu\text{g}_{\text{Pt}}^{-1}$ at -0.114 V, when compared with PtBi/SA Pt (4.69 mA $\mu\text{g}_{\text{Pt}}^{-1}$ at -0.067 V), Pt/C (1.09 mA $\mu\text{g}_{\text{Pt}}^{-1}$ at -0.119), and results in the recent literatures (**Table S1**). It is widely acknowledged that the ratio of the forward peak current (I_f) to the reverse peak current (I_r) reflects the toleration of electrocatalyst against poisoning.⁴⁰ The value of I_f / I_r for PtBi@Pt is 1.59, which is higher than those of PtBi/SA Pt (1.06) and Pt/C (1.05),

indicating that the PtBi@Pt electrocatalyst has the best tolerance to intermediate accumulation. The current-time curves at -0.3 V (Hg/HgO) on these three catalysts at room temperature are shown in Figure 3b. After 1800 s, the activity of PtBi@Pt is still higher than those of PtBi/SA Pt and Pt/C, demonstrating that PtBi@Pt possesses better operation stability. To further investigate the electrochemical durability of the PtBi@Pt and PtBi/SA Pt, they were evaluated at the potential between -0.4 and 0.1 V versus Hg/HgO 5,000 cycles in 1 M KOH solution, as shown in Figure S10. After 5,000 sweeping cycles, PtBi@Pt also maintains a high mass activity of 7.08 mA $\mu\text{g}_{\text{Pt}}^{-1}$; however, under the same condition, the PtBi/SA Pt only remains 2.10 mA $\mu\text{g}_{\text{Pt}}^{-1}$ and almost 50 % loss of mass activity. This high activity of PtBi@Pt could be attributed to the tensile strain of Pt-skin surface from the inner ordered PtBi intermetallic⁴¹, which may promote the ethanol dehydrogenation; meanwhile, the better stability of PtBi@Pt may also originate from their special structure, in which the Pt-skin structure could prevent the loss of the interior Bi during CVs and durability test.

Unlike Pt-skin surface of Pt₃Co@Pt only enhancing the activity via C2 pathway,⁷ the Pt-skin surface of PtBi@Pt may both improve the activity and selectivity of EOR. To evaluate the selectivity towards ethanol oxidation, *in-situ* FTIR was applied to identify the intermediate and final products of these three samples, as shown in Figure 3c-e. The signature peak at 1550 cm^{-1} , found in all three samples, is attributed to the asymmetric stretching vibrations of the carboxyl group in CH₃COOH, which is usually applied to the analysis of incomplete oxidation of CH₃CH₂OH.^{7, 42} Notably, in none of these three samples can the signature peak of CO₂ (2345 cm^{-1}) be detected, suggesting that the KOH in solution reacts with CO₂, leading to the formation of CO₃²⁻. Therefore, the symmetric stretching band of COO at 1415 cm^{-1} is coming from the superposition of bands from CO₃²⁻ and CH₃COO⁻, which represents the overall electro-oxidation of CH₃CH₂OH.³⁵ To investigate the capability for C-C bond breaking in CH₃CH₂OH, we compared the ratio of integrated intensities associated with total electro-oxidation (1415 cm^{-1}) and incomplete electro-oxidation (1550 cm^{-1}) at various working potentials, as shown in Figure 3f. The results show that the value in PtBi@Pt for the C1 pathway is above 90%, demonstrating that the compressive strain of the Pt-skin surface influenced by inner PtBi intermetallic could enhance the selectivity towards the C1 pathway. Remarkably, the values for the C1 pathway in PtBi/SA Pt and Pt/C are reduced to 30% and 50%, respectively, indicating that the activity of both of them towards ethanol electro-oxidation is primarily via the C2 pathway, especially at high potential (> -0.7 V). This result suggests that, although single Pt atoms and ordered PtBi intermetallic have high reactivity, they show very poor EOR selectivity; when single Pt atoms are segregated on the surface of PtBi intermetallic, PtBi@Pt not only has high activity, but also could completely oxidize ethanol to CO₃²⁻.

According to the theoretical model proposed in the literature,⁴³ the C1 product selectivity can be estimated quantitatively by comparing the barrier difference between α -

dehydrogenation and β -dehydrogenation, i.e., $\Delta E_a = E_{a,\alpha\text{-CH}} - E_{a,\beta\text{-CH}}$, where E_a is the activation energy. As shown in Figure 4, on the PtBi(0001) surface with a single Pt site, the α -C-H bond breaks to form CH₃COH* ($E_{a,\alpha\text{-CH}} = 0.83$ eV), which is more kinetically favored than the β -C-H bond ($E_{a,\beta\text{-CH}} = 0.93$ eV), formation of CH₂CHOH*; as a result, the ΔE_a of the PtBi(0001) surface with a single Pt site is -0.1 eV, indicating that CH₃CO* would be the predominate product on the surface derived from ethanol decomposition, which could then be oxidized to acetate. When more Pt atoms are adsorbed at the adjacent position near the single Pt site, impressively, the new surface is found to be very reactive towards the β -C-H bond breaking process; the calculated barrier for CH₂CHOH* formation is 0.79 eV, which is lower than 0.93 eV for CH₃COH* formation. Therefore, the ΔE_a of the Pt-PtBi(0001) is 0.14 eV, indicating that its main product is CH₂CHOH*, which will form CH₂CO*; this result also confirms that the tensile strain of Pt-skin surface from the inner PtBi intermetallic would help the dehydrogenation of ethanol. Significantly, the CH₂CO* acts as a further precursor for C-C bond splitting, demonstrating that it could via C1 pathway. This result is corresponding to the *in situ* FTIR results, demonstrating that ethanol will be oxidized to CO₂ on PtBi@Pt.

Conclusions

In summary, the core-skin PtBi@Pt was successfully prepared by the single Pt atoms self-assembling on the surface of PtBi intermetallic using the SAS method. Rather than utilizing compressive strain to enhance the EOR activity, the tensile strain of Pt-skin surface of PtBi@Pt was realized to achieve a very high mass activity of 9.01 mA $\mu\text{g}_{\text{Pt}}^{-1}$, which is 8.26 times higher than that of Pt/C towards the EOR. Remarkably, the *in-situ* FTIR spectra and DFT results demonstrate that PtBi@Pt has better selectivity towards the EOR, which mainly proceeds via the C1 pathway, while PtBi/SA Pt and Pt/C mainly go through the C2 pathway. Such Pt-skin surface synthesized from a general approach is promotional to enhance the catalytic activity and selectivity toward these multimetal active sites chemical reactions.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This research was supported by the Australian Research Council (ARC) (DE170100928 and DP170101467), The Natural Science Foundation of China (21621091), the Commonwealth of Australia through the Automotive Australia 2020 Cooperative Research Centre (AutoCRC), and the Baosteel-Australia Joint Research and Development Center (Baosteel Grant no. BA14006). The authors acknowledge the use of the facilities at the UOW Electron Microscopy Centre funded by

ARC grants (LE0882813 and LE0237478) and Dr. Tania Silver for her critical reading.

Notes and references

- M. Liu, R. Zhang, W. Chen, *Chem. Rev.* 2014, **114**, 5117.
- Y. G. Guo, J. S. Hu, L. J. Wan, *Adv. Mater.* 2008, **20**, 2878.
- Z. Wu, Y. Lv, Y. Xia, P. A. Webley, D. Y. Zhao, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2012, **134**, 2236.
- C. Guo, Y. Zheng, J. Ran, F. Xie, M. Jaroniec, S. Z. Qiao, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2017, **56**, 8359.
- W. Du, G. Yang, E. Wong, N. A. Deskins, A. I. Frenkel, D. Su, X. Teng, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2014, **136**, 10862.
- N. Erini, R. Loukrakpam, V. Petkov, E. A. Baranova, R. Yang, D. Teschner, Y. Huang, S. R. Brankovic, P. Strasser, *ACS Catal.* 2014, **4**, 1859.
- B. W. Zhang, T. Sheng, Y. X. Wang, X. M. Qu, J. M. Zhang, Z. C. Zhang, H. G. Liao, F. C. Zhu, S. X. Dou, Y. X. Jiang, S. G. Sun, *ACS Catal.* 2017, **7**, 892.
- A. Ferre-Vilaplana, C. Buso-Rogero, J. M. Feliu, E. Herrero, *J. Phys. Chem. C* 2016, **120**, 11590.
- Y. Wang, S. Zou, W. B. Cai, *Catalysts* 2015, **5**, 1507.
- L. Jiang, L. Colmenares, Z. Jusys, G. Q. Sun, R. J. Behm, *Electrochim. Acta* 2007, **53**, 377.
- W. Huang, X. Y. Ma, H. Wang, R. Feng, J. Zhou, P. N. Duchesne, P. Zhang, F. Chen, N. Han, F. Zhao, J. Zhou, W. B. Cai, Y. Li, *Adv. Mater.* 2017, **29**, 1703057.
- A. Kowal, M. Li, M. Shao, K. Sasaki, M. B. Vukmirovic, J. Zhang, N. S. Marinkovic, P. Liu, A. I. Frenkel, R. R. Adzic, *Nat. Mater.* 2009, **8**, 325.
- T. Sheng, Y. F. Xu, Y. X. Jiang, L. Huang, N. Tian, Z. Y. Zhou, I. Broadwell, S. G. Sun, *Acc. Chem. Res.* 2016, **49**, 2569.
- C. Bianchini, P. K. Shen, *Chem. Rev.* 2009, **109**, 4183.
- B. W. Zhang, H. L. Yang, Y. X. Wang, S. X. Dou, H. K. Liu, *Adv. Energy Mater.* 2018, **0**, 1703597.
- A. L. Strickler, A. Jackson, T. F. Jaramillo, *ACS Energy Letters* 2017, **2** (1), 244.
- P. Wang, K. Jiang, G. Wang, J. Yao, X. Huang, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2016, **55** (41), 12859.
- Z. Niu, N. Becknell, Y. Yu, D. Kim, C. Chen, N. Kornienko, G. A. Somorjai, P. Yang, *Nat. Mater.* 2016, **15**, 1188.
- A. Oh, H. Baik, D. S. Choi, J. Y. Cheon, B. Kim, H. Kim, S. J. Kwon, S. H. Joo, Y. Jung, K. Lee, *ACS Nano* 2015, **9** (3), 2856.
- V. R. Stamenkovic, B. Fowler, B. S. Mun, G. F. Wang, P. N. Ross, C. A. Lucas, N. M. Markovic, *Science* 2007, **315** (5811), 493.
- V. R. Stamenkovic, B. S. Mun, M. Arenz, K. J. J. Mayrhofer, C. A. Lucas, G. Wang, P. N. Ross, N. M. Markovic, *Nat. Mater.* 2007, **6** (3), 241.
- C. Chen, Y. J. Kang, Z. Y. Huo, Z. W. Zhu, W. Y. Huang, H. L. Xin, J. D. Snyder, D. G. Li, J. A. Herron, M. Mavrikakis, M. F. Chi, K. L. More, Y. D. Li, N. M. Markovic, G. A. Somorjai, P. D. Yang, V. R. Stamenkovic, *Science* 2014, **343** (6177), 1339.
- L. Bu, N. Zhang, S. Guo, X. Zhang, J. Li, J. Yao, T. Wu, G. Lu, J. Y. Ma, D. Su, X. Huang, *Science* 2016, **354** (6318), 1410.
- C. Young, J. Wang, J. Kim, Y. Sugahara, J. Henzie, Y. Yamauchi, *Chemistry of Materials* 2018, **30**, 3379.
- M. Li, Z. Zhao, T. Cheng, A. Fortunelli, C.-Y. Chen, R. Yu, Q. Zhang, L. Gu, B. V. Merinov, Z. Lin, E. Zhu, T. Yu, Q. Jia, J. Guo, L. Zhang, W. A. Goddard, Y. Huang, X. Duan, *Science* 2016, **354**, 1414.
- C. Li, M. Iqbal, J. Lin, X. Luo, B. Jiang, V. Malgras, K. C. W. Wu, J. Kim, Y. Yamauchi, *Accounts of chemical research* 2018, **51**, 1764.
- C. Li, B. Jiang, Z. Wang, Y. Li, M. S. A. Hossain, J. H. Kim, T. Takei, J. Henzie, Ö. Dag, Y. Bando, Y. Yamauchi, *Angewandte Chemie* 2016, **128**, 12938.
- E. C. Tyo, S. Vajda, *Nat Nano* 2015, **10**, 577.
- S. Yao, X. Zhang, W. Zhou, R. Gao, W. Xu, Y. Ye, L. Lin, X. Wen, P. Liu, B. Chen, E. Crumlin, J. Guo, Z. Zuo, W. Li, J. Xie, L. Lu, C. J. Kiely, L. Gu, C. Shi, J. A. Rodriguez, D. Ma, *Science* 2017, **357**, 389.
- Z. L. Wang, K. Sun, J. Henzie, X. Hao, C. Li, T. Takei, Y.-M. Kang, Y. Yamauchi, *Angewandte Chemie International Edition* 2018, **57**, 5848.
- D. Deng, X. Chen, L. Yu, X. Wu, Q. Liu, Y. Liu, H. Yang, H. Tian, Y. Hu, P. Du, R. Si, J. Wang, X. Cui, H. Li, J. Xiao, T. Xu, J. Deng, F. Yang, P. N. Duchesne, P. Zhang, J. Zhou, L. Sun, J. Li, X. Pan, X. Bao, *Sci. Adv.* 2015, **1** (11).
- W. Liu, L. Zhang, X. Liu, X. Liu, X. Yang, S. Miao, W. Wang, A. Wang, T. Zhang, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2017, **139**, 10790.
- H. Wei, X. Liu, A. Wang, L. Zhang, B. Qiao, X. Yang, Y. Huang, S. Miao, J. Liu, T. Zhang, *Nat. Commun.* 2014, **5**, 5634.
- X. L. Ji, K. T. Lee, R. Holden, L. Zhang, J. J. Zhang, G. A. Botton, M. Couillard, L. F. Nazar, *Nat. Chem.* 2010, **2** (4), 286.
- D. Volpe, E. Casado-Rivera, L. Alden, C. Lind, K. Hagerdon, C. Downie, C. Korzeniewski, F. J. DiSalvo, H. D. Abruña, *J. Electrochem. Soc.* 2004, **151**, A971.
- J. Liu, M. Jiao, L. Lu, H. M. Barkholtz, Y. Li, Y. Wang, L. Jiang, Z. Wu, D. J. Liu, L. Zhuang, C. Ma, J. Zeng, B. Zhang, D. Su, P. Song, W. Xing, W. Xu, Y. Wang, Z. Jiang, G. Sun, *Nat. Commun.* 2017, **8**, 15938.
- B. W. Zhang, Y. X. Jiang, J. Ren, X. M. Qu, G. L. Xu, S. G. Sun, *Electrochim. Acta* 2015, **162**, 254.
- A. Chen, P. Holt-Hindle, *Chem. Rev.* 2010, **110**, 3767.
- C. W. Xu, H. Wang, P. K. Shen, S. P. Jiang, *Adv. Mater.* 2007, **19**, 4256.
- S. Fu, C. Zhu, D. Du, Y. Lin, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* 2015, **7**, 13842.
- Y. Qin, M. Luo, Y. Sun, C. Li, B. Huang, Y. Yang, Y. Li, L. Wang, S. Guo, *ACS Catal.* 2018, **8**, 5581.
- Z. Y. Zhou, Q. Wang, J. L. Lin, N. Tian, S. G. Sun, *Electrochim. Acta* 2010, **55**, 7995.
- T. Sheng, W. F. Lin, C. Hardacre, P. Hu, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 2014, **16**, 13248.