UNIVERSITY
OF WOLLONGONG
AUSTRALIA

University of Wollongong
Research Online

Faculty of Science, Medicine and Health - Papers

Faculty of Science, Medicine and Health

2015

Geoarchaeology of Aboriginal Landscapes in Semi-Arid Australia. Simon J. Holdaway and Patricia C. Fanning. 2014. CSIRO, Collingwood. 224 pp., color, ISBN 978-0-64310-894-3, \$70 (AU) (paperback)

Ben Marwick

University of Washington, bmarwick@uow.edu.au

Publication Details

Marwick, B. (2015). Geoarchaeology of Aboriginal Landscapes in Semi-Arid Australia. Simon J. Holdaway and Patricia C. Fanning. 2014. CSIRO, Collingwood. 224 pp., color, ISBN 978-0-64310-894-3, \$70 (AU) (paperback). Geoarchaeology: an international journal, 30 (5), 459-461.

Research Online is the open access institutional repository for the University of Wollongong. For further information contact the UOW Library: research-pubs@uow.edu.au

Geoarchaeology of Aboriginal Landscapes in Semi-Arid Australia. Simon J. Holdaway and Patricia C. Fanning. 2014. CSIRO, Collingwood. 224 pp., color, ISBN 978-0-64310-894-3, \$70 (AU) (paperback)

Abstract

Book review - Geoarchaeology of Aboriginal Landscapes in Semi-Arid Australia. Simon J. Holdaway and Patricia C. Fanning. 2014.

Disciplines

Medicine and Health Sciences | Social and Behavioral Sciences

Publication Details

Marwick, B. (2015). Geoarchaeology of Aboriginal Landscapes in Semi-Arid Australia. Simon J. Holdaway and Patricia C. Fanning. 2014. CSIRO, Collingwood. 224 pp., color, ISBN 978-0-64310-894-3, \$70 (AU) (paperback). Geoarchaeology: an international journal, 30 (5), 459-461.

This manuscript was originally published as:

Marwick, B. (2015). *Geoarchaeology of Aboriginal Landscapes in Semi-Arid Australia*. Simon J. Holdaway and Patricia C. Fanning. 2014. CSIRO, Collingwood. 224 pp., color, ISBN 978-0-64310-894-3, \$70 (AU) (paperback). *Geoarchaeology: an International Journal*, 30 (5), 459-461.

Review of Holdaway and Fanning 'Geoarchaeology of Aboriginal Landscapes in Semi-arid Australia' for the journal Geoarchaeology

Ben Marwick

This subject of this monograph will be familiar to anyone who has followed the prolific and impactful publications of Holdaway, Fanning and their students on the archaeology of arid Western New South Wales (Australia), which no doubt have been core readings for several generations of students around the world studying geoarchaeology and stone artefact analysis. The authors use Holocene-aged surface scatters of stone artefacts and hearth contexts to investigate why Aboriginal people were 'so successful in dealing with the ecology of Australia'. The monograph is organised into six chapters, with the first two detailing the motivation and general approach, the third discussing preservation, exposure and visibility of the surface archaeology, the fourth reviewing the chronology of the landscape and archaeology, the fifth on stone artefacts and mobility, and the final chapter synthesizing the results. The organisation of the book indicates the distinctive non-site or siteless archaeology motivation, the authors reject the classical functionalist and typological approaches (eg. site types, assemblage types, artefact types) common in much of the literature on hunter-gatherer archaeology. To challenge such an entrenched way of thinking requires a substantial weight of well-organized evidence, which is an effective summary of this monograph. While the non-site approach allows for stimulating new ways of investigating archaeological landscapes, it may have some CRM archaeologists struggling use of the methods presented in this monograph in their mitigation work, where they need simple rubrics for defining site boundaries in order to manage them, and often do not have the time for the intensive landscape sampling and in situ artefact recording described in the monograph. The field data collection methods are carefully described and reflect the distinctive non-site approach, but specific details of the timing, duration or person-hours spent on field data collection are not provided.

The chapter on preservation, exposure and visibility is motivated by a concern that the contents and distribution of archaeological sites are substantially dependent on local landform history, and only before those details are securely understood can behavioural interpretations be made. They make extensive use of linear regression to investigate relationships between artefact size and elevation to determine if artefact size-sorting has occurred due to surface water flow. They find little evidence of substantial lateral disturbance of the surface scatters, no doubt a reassuring result for others working in similar landscapes. One of the more interesting results from this chapter is the identification of different spatial patterns in quartz and silcrete artefacts, which the authors interpret as a result of different periods of artefact deposition. A key piece of evidence supporting this claim are the different slope values on the regression lines for artefact class count versus total artefact count for each raw material. This approach was adapted from Shott (2008) and presented in the monograph in such a clear and practical way that it

deserves wide a uptake. A few minor statistical details in this chapter were a little confusing, no confidence intervals are reported, several tables reporting Fisher's exact test results had columns of p-values where all of the values were greater than one (perhaps due to a column labeling error, or an exotic implementation of the test that gives non-standard output). In several places correlation statistics are interpreted a 'significant and positive' because the p-value is less than 0.05, but with little regard to the coefficient of determination values (ie. r^2), which are often less than 0.1. This means that the practical, substantive influence of the predictor, for example ground surface type, is very minor in accounting for variance in the dependent variable (eg. the number of artefacts), in many cases explaining less than 10% of the observed variation.

The main findings of the chapter on chronology will surprise few archaeologists familiar with Australian desert archaeology because of the authors' previous publications demonstrating discontinuities of occupation during the Holocene. The main surprise in the monograph is the absence of discussion of the implications for broader narratives of Australian archaeology, such as intensification and population increase in the mid to late Holocene. This chapter presents radiocarbon from heat-retainer hearth remains and OSL dates from sediments underlying artefact scatters and hearths. Perhaps the most intriguing and practical part of this chapter is the use of Bayesian statistical analysis to identify if a set of hearths are best interpreted as a single phase of activity or if there a gaps in their ages of construction. The authors show that gaps exist at several locations, which will no doubt raise questions among readers about whether similar gaps exist in their dataset, and promote the regular use of OSL and radiocarbon dating as a tool for understanding surface scatters and hearth contexts. Unfortunately the software used by the authors to compute the Bayes Factors was not available at the time this review was prepared, and the text is too brief to allow the reader to implement the method at another service such as OxCal or BCal (neither compute Bayes Factors in their usual operations). With some effort I was able to compute pseudo-Bayes Factors for the authors' data using OxCal, and reproduce some of their results. The reliance on defunct software and underspecification of method is regrettable a limitation of the usefulness of this chapter.

In the chapter on stone artefacts and mobility we see the authors' resolve to eschew typological methods weaken slightly, with up to eight types of cores and nine types of retouched artefacts recorded. Noteworthy aspects of the stone aretfact analysis include a focus on core volume (using a spheroid geometry, which seems appropriate but this is not demonstrated), surface area and cortex amounts, and an absence of reduction indices for the retouched artefacts. Retouched artefacts make up a small proportion of the assemblages, but are still often >100 pieces, so credible comparisons would seem to be possible. The omission of more detailed analysis of retouch attributes is at odds with much current hunter-gather stone artefact research that uses retouch data to address questions about resource management and risk minimization. The volume-surface area-cortex measurements are used to determine there has been a net inflow or outflow of flakes from the scatters, as a proxy for mobility. The dominant raw materials are quartz, silcrete and quartzite and the authors find that despite the difference in abundance and size of nodules of each raw material type, they were treated in largely similar ways to facilitate mobility over sedentism. There is a brief observation that the results accord with Kuhn's (1994)

'person provisioning' model, and a note that this interpretation is at odds with 'others previously recorded and published in Australia'. The reader is directed to a detailed treatment of these claims in the authors' previously published work, which diminishes the impact of this chapter.

The synthesis chapter addresses some behavioural questions and makes a case that the locations studied represent a palimpsest of discontinuous visits for short periods, and were not occupation sites where a wide range of activities occurred. These claims are thoroughly supported by the preceding chapters, but given that their primary explanatory foils for the movement of stone are studies published in the 1980s (ie. Gould 1980), this discussion seems disengaged from current scholarship on foragers in arid regions on general, and Australian archaeology in particular where similar interpretations are not uncommon from more mainstream functionalist analyses. The authors acknowledge that their general approach is dependent on analogies and uniformitarian assumptions about landscape processes. This emphasis on the role of geomorphic processes is one the key original contributions of this monograph - it a robust demonstration of how productive and substantial a research project can be when it is motivated by geoarchaeological investigations of site formation processes. This approach is equally interested in the absence of people on the landscape, as well as their presence, giving meaning to artefact found between traditionally-defined site areas, and to artefacts missing from the surface scatters. This is an important and valuable shift in emphasis that leads to a richer appreciation of how Aboriginal people experienced the landscape.

Readers who has been following the work of Holdaway and Fanning over the last two decades might question whether their library should purchase the monograph when there is already compelling and rigorous presentations of many of their findings in numerous journal articles. This raises the broader question of the role of scholarly monographs in archaeology, especially in more empirical parts of the discipline such as geoarchaeology where journal articles are the primary mode of scholarly communication. Part of the answer in this case is a trade off between evidential detail (a strength of this monograph) and contextualisation and interpretation (strengths of the authors' journal articles). Even so, this monograph presents only summaries of the data, the reader is limited in how they much they can explore the data with new approaches and statistical methods, or combine with their own data. This contrasts with archaeological monographs published in the past that aimed to be a complete compendium of a research project, where in many cases it was possible to present all the raw data that the substantive findings depended on. Modern archaeology is complex to the point where that it is impractical to include pages of raw data, or even media such as DVDs, with monographs to share the raw data with other researchers. The solution here is to deposit the data in an open format (ie. a file format not dependent on a specific program) at an online data repository that issues persistent URLs that can be cited in the monograph. Most universities have their own repositories, and there are several commercial services dedicated to archiving archaeological data (eg. tDAR, Open Context, The Archaeology Data Service). Providing the raw data in this way permits computational reproducibility that might lead the work to have greater impact and reuse, and certainly give it a richer empirical foundation (Kintigh 2006). Holdaway and Fanning no doubt have more to write about on their Fowlers Gap research (they mention computer

simulations as one future direction) and I look forward to one of their future papers including an announcement of the public availability of all the raw data that underlies the rich and persuasive analysis presented in this monograph.

References cited

Gould, R. A. (1980). *Living Archaeology*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Kintigh, K. (2006). The Promise and Challenge of Archaeological Data Integration. *American Antiquity*, 71(3), 567 - 578.

Kuhn, S. L. (1994). A Formal Approach to the Design and Assembly of Mobile Toolkits. *American Antiquity*, 59(3), 426 - 442.

Shott, M. J. (2008). Lower Paleolithic Industries, Time, and the Meaning of Assemblage Variation. In Holdaway, S. & Wandsnider, L. (Eds.), *Archaeology: Time Perspectivism Twenty Years Later* (pp. 4 - 60). University of Utah Press.