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Abstract
Electrochemical water splitting is one of the potential approaches for making renewable energy production and storage viable. The oxygen evolution reaction (OER), as a sluggish four-electron electrochemical reaction, has to overcome high overpotential to accomplish overall water splitting. Therefore, developing low-cost and highly active OER catalysts is the key for achieving efficient and economical water electrolysis. In this work, Fe-doped NiMoO₄ was synthesized and evaluated as the OER catalyst in alkaline medium. Fe³⁺ doping helps to regulate the electronic structure of Ni centers in NiMoO₄, which consequently promotes the catalytic activity of NiMoO₄. The overpotential to reach a current density of 10 mA cm⁻² is 299 mV in 1 m KOH for the optimal Ni₀.₉Fe₀.₁MoO₄, which is 65 mV lower than that for NiMoO₄. Further, the catalyst also shows exceptional performance stability during a 2 h chronopotentiometry testing. Moreover, the real catalytically active center of Ni₀.₉Fe₀.₁MoO₄ is also unraveled based on the ex situ characterizations. These results provide new alternatives for precious-metal-free catalysts for alkaline OER and also expand the Fe-doping-induced synergistic effect towards performance enhancement to new catalyst systems.
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Iron-doped nickel molybdate with enhanced oxygen evolution kinetics
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Abstract: Electrochemical water splitting is one of potential approaches for making renewable energy production and storage viable. Oxygen evolution reaction (OER), as a sluggish four-electron electrochemical reaction, has to overcome high overpotential to accomplish overall water splitting. Therefore, developing low-cost and highly active OER catalysts is the key for achieving efficient and economical water electrolysis. In this work, Fe-doped NiMoO₄ was synthesized and evaluated as the OER catalyst in alkaline medium. Fe²⁺ doping helps to regulate the electronic structure of Ni centers in NiMoO₄, which subsequently promotes the catalytic activity of NiMoO₄. The overpotential to reach a current density of 10 mA cm⁻² is 299 mV in 1 M KOH for the optimal NiₓFeₓMoO₄, which is 65 mV lower than NiMoO₄. Further, the catalyst also shows exceptional performance stability during a 2-h chronopotentiometry testing. Moreover, the real catalytically active center of NiₓFeₓMoO₄ is also unraveled based on the ex-situ characteristics. These results provide new alternatives for precious metal-free catalysts towards alkaline OER and also expand Fe-doping-induced synergistic effect towards performance enhancement to new catalyst systems.

Introduction

Electrochemical water splitting is one of the most promising energy conversion and storage strategies towards efficient utilization of renewable energy and has drawn ever-increasing research attention recently. During water splitting process, oxygen evolution reaction (OER) at the anode involves a four-electron-transfer process, which is the main sluggish step for water splitting.¹ To date, noble metal-based materials, such as IrO₂ and RuO₂, are still the leading OER catalysts.² Considering the scarcity of noble metals, it is of critical necessity to minimize cost of catalysts for economical water splitting. Therefore, a series of earth-abundant materials, particularly Ni, Co-based materials, have been extensively explored as OER catalysts, and some of them have shown impressive catalytic activities comparable to noble metals.³ For example, Lu et al. reported three-dimension NiFe layered double hydroxide (LDH) film as electrocatalyst for the OER,⁴ and the NiFe LDH film exhibited excellent OER catalytic activity with an overpotential of ~230 mV at 10 mA cm⁻², which is lower than the commercial 20 wt% IrO₂ catalyst. On the other hand, structural engineering is an effective approach in view of exposing more active sites as well as realizing rapid mass diffusion, which is vital for constructing highly active and stable electrocatalysts.⁵ Recently, nanosheet-like nanostructures are emerging fast into electrocatalysis field owing to the unique physicochemical properties, including abundant edge sites, highly exposed active centers, and easily tuned electronic structures.⁶ Various kinds of nanosheets-based nanostructures have shown interesting electrocatalytic activity towards water splitting.⁷

In this work, nickel molybdate (NiMoO₄) with a flower-like nanostructure composed of nanosheets was synthesized via a hydrothermal process followed by annealing in air and were evaluated as OER catalysts in 1 M KOH. The dependence of Fe doping on the catalytic activity of NiMoO₄ was studied, and the results demonstrate that Fe doping can significantly promote the OER kinetics. Detailed electrochemical tests prove that the Fe-doping-induced synergistic effect should be responsible for the performance improvement. Besides, the real active centers in Fe-doped NiMoO₄ were also investigated.

Results and Discussion

The Fe-doped NiMoO₄ (NiₓFeₓMoO₄, x=0, 0.05, 0.1 and 0.2) were synthesized via a hydrothermal process followed by annealing at 500 °C in air. The crystal phase of NiₓFeₓMoO₄ was confirmed by X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns (Figure 1a), and the results reveal the formation of β-NiMoO₄ (JCPDS No. 45-0142).⁸ No characteristic diffraction peaks of iron oxide-based phases are observed, implying the successful doping of Fe atoms into NiMoO₄ lattice. However, it should be noted that the relative density of (220) peak at 26.6° decrease with increasing Fe content. It can be explained that, Fe-O bond distance is shorter than Ni-O bond distance, and thus the NiMoO₄ lattice structure is changed when Ni atoms are partially replaced by Fe atoms.⁹

The scanning electron microscope (SEM) image of NiₓFeₓMoO₄ shows a clear flower-like structure composed of nanosheets (Figure S1, Supporting Information). The SEM-EDS mapping (Figure S2, Supporting Information) reveals that Ni, Fe, Mo and O distribute very homogeneously in NiₓFeₓMoO₄. Transmission electron microscope (TEM) image of NiₓFeₓMoO₄ in Figure 1b reveals a rough and porous surface morphology, which is similar to NiMoO₄ (Figure S3, Supporting Information). The BET surface area of NiₓFeₓMoO₄ and NiMoO₄ is determined to be 156 and 141 m² g⁻¹, respectively (Figure S3, Supporting Information), illustrating that the unique morphology ensures a large surface area and abundant active sites for the OER reaction. Meanwhile, as shown in Figure 1c, the labeled lattice spacing of 0.24 and 0.26 nm can be assigned to the (400) and (31-2) crystal planes of NiₓFeₓMoO₄, respectively,⁰ corresponding well with the inset fast four transform (FFT) result. Besides, the selected area electron diffraction (SAED) of NiₓFeₓMoO₄ is ascribed to a typical β-
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NiMoO₄ phase (Figure 1d), further demonstrating the successful doping of Fe.\(^{[10a]}\)

X-ray diffraction patterns for Ni\(_x\)Fe\(_{0.1}\)MoO₄ (x=0, 0.05, 0.1 and 0.20) (Figure 2a), TEM and HRTEM images of Ni\(_x\)Fe\(_{0.1}\)MoO₄ (Figure 2b), SAED pattern of Ni\(_x\)Fe\(_{0.1}\)MoO₄ (Figure 2d) and Tafel slope derived from LSV curves (Figure 3c). Notably, the peak at 855 eV in the Ni 2p XPS spectrum prove the presence of two chemical environments for nickel atoms (Figure 2b). The spectrum was fitted by considering two resolved doublets at 854.5/872.2 eV and 856.2/873.9 eV, corresponding to Ni\(^{2+}\) and Ni\(^{3+}\), respectively. The proportion of Ni\(^{2+}\) and Ni\(^{3+}\) species is calculated to be 44% and 56%, respectively.

The Ni 2p XPS spectrum of the Ni\(_x\)Fe\(_{0.1}\)MoO₄, in which both of the doublet peaks are deconvoluted in four fitted peaks, indicating the coexistence of Fe\(^{3+}\) (711.9 and 724.4 eV) and Fe\(^{2+}\) (716.0 and 726.3 eV).\(^{[12b, 13]}\) Figure 2d shows Mo 3d XPS spectra of Ni\(_x\)Fe\(_{0.1}\)MoO₄ and NiMoO₄, in which both of the doublet peaks locate at 235.6 eV and 232.4 eV, corresponding to Mo 3d\(_{5/2}\) and Mo 3d\(_{3/2}\) of Mo\(^{6+}\) species, respectively. Unlike Ni, the valence state of Mo does not change after Fe doping.

The OER electrocatalytic performance of the Ni\(_x\)Fe\(_{0.1}\)MoO₄ was tested in a typical three electrodes system in 1 M KOH. The LSV curve of the NiMoO₄ shows an oxidation peak at 1.37 V (vs. RHE) due to the oxidation of Ni\(^{2+}\) to Ni\(^{3+}\) (Figure 3a). Notably, the oxidation peak shifts positively after Fe incorporation, which is attributed to the valence state change of Ni after Fe doping, and it is also a specific indicator to determine whether Fe is doped into NiMoO₄.\(^{[14, 15]}\) Ni\(_{0.05}\)Fe\(_{0.05}\)MoO₄ and Ni\(_{0.1}\)Fe\(_{0.1}\)MoO₄ show higher OER activity than NiMoO₄. Ni\(_{0.05}\)Fe\(_{0.05}\)MoO₄ requires an overpotential of 299 mV to generate an anodic current of 10 mA cm\(^{-2}\), which is 65 mV lower than NiMoO₄ (364 mV). Tafel plots were constructed from polarization curves to study the OER kinetics of the electrocatalysts (Figure 3b).\(^{[16]}\) The Tafel slope of Ni\(_{0.05}\)Fe\(_{0.05}\)MoO₄ is calculated to be 63 mV dec\(^{-1}\), which is lower than NiMoO₄ (82 mV dec\(^{-1}\)), illustrating an accelerated OER kinetics of Ni\(_{0.05}\)Fe\(_{0.1}\)MoO₄. The overpotentials (10 mA cm\(^{-2}\)) and Tafel slopes of Ni\(_x\)Fe\(_{0.1}\)MoO₄ are displayed in Figure 3c.
The Ni$_{0.9}$Fe$_{0.1}$MoO$_4$ exhibit the best OER activity with the minimum overpotential and the smallest Tafel slope, which also exhibit comparable activity to some typical transition metal-based catalysts and commercial IrO$_2$ (Figure S5, Supporting Information). When the Fe content further increased, Ni$_{0.8}$Fe$_{0.2}$MoO$_4$ shows an increased overpotential (390 mV). Besides, the Ni$_{0.8}$Fe$_{0.2}$MoO$_4$ electrocatalyst exhibit excellent stability, which was another critical parameter for evaluating electrocatalysts. As indicated by the chronopotentiometry (CP) measurement (Figure 3d), Ni$_{0.8}$Fe$_{0.2}$MoO$_4$ shows a slightly increase of potential from 1.54 to 1.55 V (vs. RHE) after running for 2 h at 10 mA cm$^{-2}$, which reveals a similar stability but a much lower potential increase with NiMoO$_4$ (increasing from 1.61 to 1.63 V). The excellent stability performance can be related to the porous structure that allows the volume change through the OER process. Combined with the aforementioned XPS results, the greatly improved OER performance can be attributed to the Fe doping-induced high valence Ni$^{3+}$ in Ni$_{0.8}$Fe$_{0.2}$MoO$_4$. The existence of Ni atom in a higher valence facilitates the surface adsorption of oxygen, and thus endows the Ni-OOH formation, accelerating the OOH species protonation to harvest O$_2$.

The electrochemically active surface area (ECSA) was explored to study factors of OER activity enhancement. As shown in Figure 4a-b, the ECSAs of Ni$_{0.8}$Fe$_{0.2}$MoO$_4$ and NiMoO$_4$ were evaluated by the double-layer capacitance ($C_{dl}$) depend on CV curves measured with non-Faradaic currents. The slope of the fitted plots is $C_{dl}$(Figure 4c). The $C_{dl}$ of Ni$_{0.8}$Fe$_{0.2}$MoO$_4$ is 0.338 mF cm$^{-2}$, which is three times larger than that of NiMoO$_4$ (0.117 mF cm$^{-2}$). As illustrated by the BET result of NiMoO$_4$ and Ni$_{0.8}$Fe$_{0.2}$MoO$_4$ (Figure S3, Supporting Information), the $C_{dl}$ increment should be mainly derived from the enhanced adsorption capacity promoted by Ni$^{3+}$ after Fe doping. Furthermore, as shown in the electrochemical impedance spectra (EIS) (Figure 4d), the low-frequency resistance of Ni$_{0.8}$Fe$_{0.2}$MoO$_4$ is around 13.1 Ω, which is greater than that of NiMoO$_4$ (25.7 Ω), revealing the greatly improved OER performance of Ni$_{0.8}$Fe$_{0.2}$MoO$_4$ sample after CV cycles.

Importantly, ex-situ XPS and TEM analysis of the Ni$_{0.8}$Fe$_{0.2}$MoO$_4$ after CV cycles were carried out to unravel the real active centers. As shown in Figure 5a, we can directly observe Ni 2p$_{3/2}$ peak at 856.0 eV shifts positively after CV cycles, suggesting the oxidation state of Ni increases during the catalytic process. The fitted result demonstrates that Ni$^{3+}$ proportion increases from 56% to 79%, which might be due to the formation of NiOOH during the catalytic process. Meanwhile, Mo 3d and Fe 2p spectrum keep unchanged (Figure 5b and c). Moreover, as shown in Figure 5d, the TEM image in Figure 5d, the lattice spacing of 0.24 nm can be indexed to the (002) crystal plane of hexagonal NiOOH.[21] Additional, interplanar distance of 0.21 nm also can be viewed, which is ascribed to the (111) planes of NiO. Based on the aforementioned discussion, these results illustrate that Ni is the real active catalytic center of Ni$_{0.8}$Fe$_{0.2}$MoO$_4$ catalyst during water oxidation.

**Table 1.** TOF of Ni$_{0.8}$Fe$_{0.2}$MoO$_4$ and NiMoO$_4$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>TOF (s$^{-1}$) @η=300 mV</th>
<th>TOF (s$^{-1}$) @η=350 mV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ni$<em>{0.8}$Fe$</em>{0.2}$MoO$_4$</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td>0.106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NiMoO$_4$</td>
<td>0.0042</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The turnover frequency (TOF) was further calculated to evaluate the intrinsic OER catalytic activities of Ni$_{0.8}$Fe$_{0.2}$MoO$_4$ and NiMoO$_4$. The TOF is calculated as the number of turnovers per second at a given overpotential. The results show that Ni$_{0.8}$Fe$_{0.2}$MoO$_4$ exhibits a higher TOF compared to NiMoO$_4$, indicating a better catalytic efficiency.
NiMoO$_4$. As shown in Table 1, the TOF of Ni$_{0.8}$Fe$_{0.2}$MoO$_4$ is 0.032 s$^{-1}$ at the overpotential of 300 mV, which is almost six folds higher than that of NiMoO$_4$ (0.0042 s$^{-1}$). The result further confirms the enhanced intrinsic activity induced by Fe doping. It has to be mentioned that, compared with most Ni-based catalysts such as NiO or Ni(OH)$_2$, the proportion of Ni content is much lower in Ni$_{0.8}$Fe$_{0.2}$MoO$_4$, but it delivers similar and even better mass activity than NiO [23]. Thus, it can be inferred that, in addition to the oxidation state of Ni, the coordination environment of Ni might also determine the intrinsic activity of Ni active sites.

Conclusions

In summary, NiMoO$_4$-based catalysts were synthesized and evaluated for alkaline OER, and the OER kinetics was substantially enhanced by Fe doping. The optimal Ni$_{0.8}$Fe$_{0.2}$MoO$_4$ exhibited a lower overpotential of 299 mV than NiMoO$_4$ (364 mV) at a current density of 10 mA cm$^{-2}$. Ni species are demonstrated to be the real active sites, and NiOOH/NiO were formed in situ during OER. This work demonstrates Fe doping is also an effective strategy for improving the catalytic activity of NiMoO$_4$-based catalysts besides NiO and Ni(OH)$_2$.

Experimental Section

All the chemicals were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (AR grade) and used as received without further purification.

Synthesis of NiMoO$_4$ and Ni$_{0.8}$Fe$_{0.2}$MoO$_4$

NiMoO$_4$ were synthesized via a typical hydrothermal treatment followed by annealing process. 1 mmol of Ni(NO$_3$)$_2$·6H$_2$O and 1 mmol of Na$_2$MoO$_4$·2H$_2$O were dissolved in 30 mL deionized (DI) water with vigorous stirring. Next, 0.24 g urea was added into the solution. After stirring for 30 min, the final solution was transferred to a 45 mL Teflon lined stainless steel autoclave and kept at 160 °C for 8 h. Fe-doped NiMoO$_4$ was prepared via the similar procedure by altering the molar ratio of Ni(NO$_3$)$_2$·6H$_2$O to Fe(NO$_3$)$_3$·9H$_2$O. For Ni$_{0.8}$Fe$_{0.2}$MoO$_4$, 0.1 mmol Fe(NO$_3$)$_3$·9H$_2$O and 0.9 mmol Ni(NO$_3$)$_2$·6H$_2$O were added in the precursor solution. After the autoclaves were cooled down, the precursor samples were washed with DI water and ethanol three times and dried at 65 °C for 10 h. Finally, the products were kept at 500 °C in air for 2 h to obtain NiMoO$_4$ and Ni$_{0.8}$Fe$_{0.2}$MoO$_4$.

Characterization of materials

The X-ray diffraction (XRD) was performed using Mac Science XRD (λ = 1.5406 Å, 25 mA, 40 kV, 1° min$^{-1}$ from 20 to 80°). XPS measurements were carried out on a Phoibos 100 analyzer, using monochrome Al Kα (hv=1486.6 eV) as the X-ray excitation source. The sample morphologies were recorded by the field emission scanning electron microscope (SEM, JEOL JSM-7500FA, 10 kV) and transmission electron microscopy (TEM, JEOL JEM-2010, 200 kV). The specific surface areas of the samples were measured by a Tristar II 3020 BET/BJH analyzer.

Electrochemical Measurements

The electrochemical performance were tested by rotating disk electrode system (Pine Instruments, WaveDriver), which were performed in 1 M KOH aqueous solution. The reference and counter electrode is Mercury/Mercuric oxide (Hg/HgO, 1 M KOH) and platinum foil, respectively. The working electrode was a glassy carbon electrode (0.196 cm$^2$) coated with different catalyst samples. For sample preparation on rotating disk, 2.0 mg catalyst was dispersed in a mixed solution (Nafion solution: 8 μL, deionized water: 192 μL, Isopropanol: 100 μL). The mixture was ultrasonicated for 1 h to obtain homogeneous dispersion. 10 μL of the dispersion was coated on the polished GC electrode. The electrode was then dried and used for electrochemical studies. The rotating speed was set at 1600 rpm to prevent O$_2$ concentrate on the electrode surface. Before LSV test, the catalysts were first activated by CV test (1.0 to 1.7 V vs. RHE, 10 mV s$^{-1}$) for 30 cycles. LSV polarization curves were acquired at 5 mV s$^{-1}$ to illustrate the catalytic activity, corrected with 95% iR compensation. Chronopotentiometry measurement was performed at 10 mA cm$^{-2}$ to measure the stability performance. EIS was tested at 0.6 V (vs. Hg/HgO) with the frequency ranging from 0.1 to 100 kHz. The ECSA was evaluated by calculated the COI of the samples based on the CV curves via Equ. (1) [17b]. The potential window of CV was 0.3-0.4 V vs. Hg/HgO, and the scan rates were set at 10, 20, 50, and 100 mV s$^{-1}$.

$$i = \frac{nF}{\Delta V} = \frac{v}{C_{DL}}$$

By plotting $\Delta i$ and scan rate, values of $C_{DL}$ can be determined by the slope. $i_1$ and $i_2$ are the currents at 0.35 V vs. Hg/HgO, $v$ is scan rate.

The TOF of the electrocatalysts were determined by Equ. (2) and (3).

$$n = \frac{m_{mass}}{M}$$

$$TOF = \frac{iA}{4Fn}$$

where $n$ is the number of moles of the active sites (Ni) on the rotating disk electrode, $m_{mass}$ is mass loading of active materials and M is molar mass of active materials, respectively. $J$ is the current density at overpotential of 300 and 350 mV in A cm$^{-2}$. $A$ is the area of the rotating disk electrode (0.196 cm$^2$) and F is the Faraday constant (96485 C mol$^{-1}$). The TOF was calculated assuming a 100% Faradic efficiency.
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Fe-doped NiMoO$_4$ was synthesized toward enhanced alkaline OER. The excellent catalytic activity can be attributed to the high valence Ni$^{3+}$ species induced by Fe doping, benefiting the formation of Ni$^{3+}$-OOH. The results provide new alternatives to precious metal-free catalysts for alkaline OER.

Jiayi Chen, Guoqiang Zhao, Yaping Chen, Kun Rui, Hui Mao,* Shi Xue Dou, and Wenping Sun*

Iron-doped nickel molybdate with enhanced oxygen evolution kinetics