University of Wollongong

Research Online

Faculty of Engineering and Information
Sciences - Papers: Part B

Faculty of Engineering and Information
Sciences

2019

Effects of Ni and Cr on Cryogenic Impact Toughness of Bainite/Martensite Multiphase Steels

Zishan Yao

Wuhan University of Science and Technology

Guang Xu

Wuhan University of Science and Technology

Zhengyi Jiang

University of Wollongong, jiang@uow.edu.au

Junyu Tian

Wuhan University of Science and Technology

Qing Yuan

Wuhan University of Science and Technology

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: <https://ro.uow.edu.au/eispapers1>

Part of the [Engineering Commons](#), and the [Science and Technology Studies Commons](#)

Research Online is the open access institutional repository for the University of Wollongong. For further information contact the UOW Library: research-pubs@uow.edu.au

Effects of Ni and Cr on Cryogenic Impact Toughness of Bainite/Martensite Multiphase Steels

Abstract

In the present research, the effects of Nickel (Ni) and Chromium (Cr) on cryogenic impact toughness (CIT) of low-carbon bainite/martensite multiphase steels [processed by two different cooling processes: isothermal transformation process (ITP) and continuous cooling process (CCP)] were investigated. It was found that due to the formation of carbides during isothermal treatment, the addition of Ni and Cr yielded no significant improvements in CIT. However, during CCP treatment, the addition of Ni manifested a considerable enhancement in CIT, whereas the addition of both Ni and Cr caused a decrease in CIT. Further, after ITP treatment, the microstructure of all steels consisted of bainite and martensite, while Ni + Cr steel contained the largest amount of bainite. The microstructures of the CCP-treated steels mainly also consisted of bainite and martensite, but no retained austenite and carbides were observed, thus resulting in a superior CIT.

Disciplines

Engineering | Science and Technology Studies

Publication Details

Yao, Z., Xu, G., Jiang, Z., Tian, J., Yuan, Q. & Ma, H. (2019). Effects of Ni and Cr on Cryogenic Impact Toughness of Bainite/Martensite Multiphase Steels. *Metals and Materials International*, 25 (5), 1151-1160.

Authors

Zishan Yao, Guang Xu, Zhengyi Jiang, Junyu Tian, Qing Yuan, and Hongwei Ma

**Effects of Ni and Cr on cryogenic impact toughness of bainite/martensite
multiphase steels**

Zishan Yao¹, GuangXu^{1,}, Zhengyi Jiang², Junyu Tian¹, Qing Yuan¹, Hongwei Ma¹*

¹The State Key Laboratory of Refractories and Metallurgy, Wuhan University of Science and Technology, 947 Heping Avenue, Qingshan District, Wuhan 430081, China.

² School of Mechanical, Materials, Mechatronic and Biomedical Engineering, University of Wollongong, NSW, 2522, Australia;

* Corresponding author: Guang Xu;

Postal address: Mail Box 131, Wuhan University of Science and Technology, 947 Heping Avenue, Wuhan, Hubei, China;

Tel.: 86-027-68862813; Fax: 86-027-68862807

E-mail: xuguang@wust.edu.cn

Abstract: In the present research, the effects of Nickel (Ni) and Chromium (Cr) on cryogenic impact toughness (CIT) of low-carbon bainite/martensite multiphase steels (processed by two different cooling processes: isothermal transformation process (ITP) and continuous cooling process (CCP)) were investigated. It was found that due to the formation of carbides during isothermal treatment, the addition of Ni and Cr yielded no significant improvements in CIT. However, during CCP treatment, the addition of Ni manifested a considerable enhancement in CIT, whereas the addition of both Ni and Cr caused a decrease in CIT. Further, after ITP treatment, the microstructure of all steels consisted of bainite and martenite, while Ni+Cr steel contained the largest amount of bainite. The microstructures of the CCP-treated steels mainly also consisted of bainite

and martensite, but no retained austenite and carbides were observed, thus resulting in a superior CIT.

Keywords: cryogenic impact toughness; bainite/martensite multiphase steels; nickel; chromium; microstructure

1. Introduction

With the continuous progress of society and economic development, and the demands of environment protection and energy saving has put a more stringent requirement for high strength steels with improved mechanical properties and low cost. Traditional martensitic steels are widely used as wear-resistant steel, high-strength structural steel, and tool steel etc. [1-3]. Although martensitic steels possess high strength and hardness, their toughness and plasticity are relatively poor [4,5]. In recent years, nanostructured bainitic steels have attracted considerable attention due to their extremely high strengths (~2.5 GPa) and much higher toughness as compared to martensitic steels [6-8].

It has already proved that both tempered martensite structure and isothermal bainite structure manifest excellent toughness [9-11]. Isothermal bainite/martensite multiphase structures generally possess significantly higher toughness than that of the single phase structure of tempered martensite or isothermal bainite [12-14]. However, due to long isothermal process and high energy consumption, their practical applications in engineering industries are still limited. The continuous cooling technology can effectively shorten treatment time and save energy; therefore, it is worthwhile to investigate the effects of continuous cooling on microstructural and

1 mechanical properties of bainite/martensite dual-phase steels.

2 It is well known that the addition of Nickel (Ni) in steels enlarges the austenite
3 phase region, inhibits bainitic transformation, decreases the ductile-brittle transition
4 temperature, and effectively improves cryogenic impact toughness (CIT) [15-17]. In
5 contrast, Chromium (Cr) dissolves into Fe matrix, shrinks the austenite phase region,
6 and promotes bainitic transformation [18]. Therefore, the addition of Cr in steels yields
7 profound improvements in strength and hardness and at the same time, causes a
8 significant increase in ductile-brittle transition temperature [19,20]. Moreover, high Cr
9 contents significantly decrease the impact toughness of steels due to the precipitation
10 of δ -phase [21]. However, there are fewer investigations on the effects of addition of
11 Ni and Cr on microstructure and toughness of bainite/martensite dual-phase steels,
12 especially on the CIT at isothermal transformation process (ITP) and continuous
13 cooling process (CCP).

14 In the present study, two different cooling processes, i.e. isothermal transformation
15 process and continuous cooling process, were adopted to prepare low-carbon
16 bainite/martensite multiphase steels of three different chemical composition (base steel
17 (without Ni and Cr), Ni-steel, and Ni+Cr steel). Further, the effects of different cooling
18 processes on microstructures, low-temperature impact energies, hardness, and CIT of
19 the as-prepared bainite/martensite dual-phase steels were investigated in detail. The
20 research results can provide theoretical reference for the production of
21 bainite/martensite dual-phase steels.

22 **2. Materials and methods**

The chemical compositions of all three experimental steels are presented in Table 1. The base steel without Ni and Cr was used to compare with other two steels. The theoretical starting temperature of bainitic transformation (Bs) and the starting temperature of martensitic transformation (Ms) of the steels were determined by MUCG 83 software developed by Bhadeshia at Cambridge University [22,23].

Table 1

The test steels were refined in a 50 Kg vacuum furnace and then cast into ingots. The hot-rolled (thickness of 12 mm) plates were water-cooled to 500°C and then air-cooled to room temperature. The thermal simulation specimens of 11 mm × 11 mm × 85 mm size were cut from the plates along the rolling direction, and the top and the bottom surfaces of the specimens were conventionally polished. The thermal simulation experiments were implemented in a Gleeble-3800 thermal-mechanical simulator (Fig. 1). All specimens were first heated to 950 °C at 10 °C/s for 10 min for austenitization and then fast-cooled to 380°C at 20 °C/s. The cooling rate of 20 °C/s was high enough to avoid high-temperature transformations, such as ferrite and pearlite transformations. In order to compare the influences of different cooling processes on CIT of the specimens, two cooling processes (ITP and CCP) were carried out after 380 °C. During ITP, the specimens were held at 380 °C for 30 min and then cooled to room temperature at 5 °C/s, whereas the CCP specimens were directly cooled to room temperature from 380 °C at 5 °C/s. In all cases, the change in diameter of the specimens during cooling was recorded using a thermal dilatometer.

Fig. 1

1 After the thermal simulation experiment, the specimens were machined into 55
2 mm × 10 mm × 10 mm size to perform the V-notch Charpy impact test (Impact machine
3 model: JSCJ300–1) at –40 °C and fracture surfaces were analyzed by a Zeiss Sigma
4 300 scanning electron microscope (SEM). The hardness values of the specimens under
5 5 kg load were measured by an MHVS – 50Z Vickers hardness tester equipped with a
6 pyramid-shaped diamond indenter. In addition, metallographic samples were first cut
7 from the soaking zone of each thermal simulation specimen, then mounted and
8 grounded with abrasive papers of 400-grit, 800-grit, 1200-grit, 1500-grit, and 2000-grit,
9 then polished with 1.5 µm diamond paste, and finally etched by 4 % nital, and the
10 microstructures of the as-prepared samples were observed by a Nova 400 SEM.
11 Moreover, the thin foils samples for transmission electron microscope (TEM)
12 observations were prepared by a twin-jet polishing technique using an electrolyte
13 containing perchloric acid (6 %) and ethanol (94 %) and the microstructures were
14 observed by a JEM-2100F TEM. The X-ray diffraction (XRD) analysis was performed
15 to measure the volume fraction of retained austenite (RA) by an Empyrean
16 diffractometer with Co-K α radiation with current of 50 mA and acceleration voltage of
17 35 kV.

18 **3. Results and discussion**

19 3.1 SEM analysis

20 The microstructures of all steel specimens were analyzed by SEM (Fig. 2). It is
21 noticeable that the microstructures of the samples mainly consisted of martensite (M)
22 and bainitic ferrite (BF). After ITP treatment, tempered martensite (TM) and some lath-

1 like BF were detected in the microstructure of the base steel. Moreover, tempered
2 carbide (TC) was found to be uniformly distributed on the martensite surface (Fig. 2a).
3 It can be seen from Fig. 2b, as compared to the base steel, Ni-steel yielded a higher
4 amount of lath-like bainite, which were distributed in parallel at different orientations
5 in the grains. The original austenite grains were divided by BF plates. M and small
6 amounts of martensite and austenite (M/A) islands were observed in Ni-steel. After
7 isothermal treatment, austenite grains in Ni+Cr steel were remarkably refined; however,
8 large-sized M and granular bainite (GB) were observed in its microstructure (Fig. 2c).
9 In comparison to Ni-steel, Ni+Cr steel yielded a lower amount of lath-like BF. The
10 volume fractions of BF in three steels during ITP treatment can be calculated using the
11 software of Image-Pro Plus [24]. The micrographs of the Ni+Cr steel were shown in Fig.
12 3 as an example to show how to calculate the volume fraction of BF. The darker areas
13 consisted of BF, M and M/A (Fig. 3a). First, the darker areas were automatically
14 colored red by the software of Image-Pro Plus as shown in Fig. 3b. The area percentage
15 of the red areas (labeled as A_1) was calculated by the software. Second, the darker
16 blocky areas were M or M/A which were included in A_1 and must be subtracted. The
17 darker blocky areas were manually marked (Fig. 3c). The area percentage of these areas
18 (labeled as A_2) was measured. Finally, the area percentage of bainite (labeled as A_3) was
19 calculated by $A_3 = A_1 - A_2$. In this example, A_1 was 71.44% and A_2 was 23.78%, so that
20 A_3 was 47.66%. Therefore, the volume fraction of BF in Fig. 3 was 47.66%. In addition,
21 the volume fractions of BF in base steel and Ni-steel during ITP treatment can be
22 calculated by same method and the results were 7.72% and 44.25% respectively.

It is evident from Figs. 2d-f that all three steel samples manifested almost the same microstructure after CCP treatment. The microstructures of the CCP-treated samples mainly consisted of lath martensite (LM) and small amount of bainite, and no residual austenite and tempered carbides were observed. The amount of bainite in the CCP-treated samples was significantly lower than that of the ITP-treated samples. In comparison to the base steel, the lengths of LM and BF in Ni-steel were significantly reduced. In addition, it is obvious from Figs. 2e and 2f that the microstructures of Ni+Cr steel and Ni-steel were almost the same. Martensitic and bainite transformations mainly occurred in three steels during CCP treatment. According to authors' previous study [25], martensite consisted of prior martensite (PM) formed at the beginning stage of the whole cooling process and fresh martensite (FM) formed at later period of the whole cooling process. PM has the lower carbon content due to forming at higher temperature, resulting in better toughness. In addition, PM was easily etched and its microstructure morphology was concave (Fig. 4a). The micrograph of Ni-steel during CCP treatment was selected to calculate the volume fraction of PM. The darker concave areas were manually marked (Fig. 4b) and the area percentage of these areas was measured to be 23.66% by the software. Furthermore, the volume fractions of PM in base steel and Ni+Cr steel were 21.84% and 19.32% calculated by same method. The Ni+Cr steel had the smallest amount of PM, which was attributed to the lowest Ms temperature among three steels (Table 1).

3.2 XRD

The amounts of RA in the steel samples were measured by XRD (Table 2). No RA peaks were observed in the CCP-treated samples, thus indicating that all austenite completely transformed into M and BF. This result is consistent with SEM results (Figs.2d-f). However, the ITP-treated Ni-steel and Ni+Cr steel contained very small amounts of RA (3 % and 1 %, respectively). Fig. 5 presents the XRD test data of Ni-steel. In comparison to the base steel, Ni-steel contained more bainite. The bainitic transformation was accompanied by carbon partitioning into the surrounding untransformed austenite, thus resulting in an increase in chemical stability of untransformed austenite [26,27]. Therefore, the amount of RA in Ni-steel was found to be relatively higher than that of the base steel. In addition, Cr, as a strong carbide forming element, decreases the solubility of carbon atoms in austenite and promotes carbide formation [28], thus resulting in a decrease in chemical stability of untransformed austenite in Ni+Cr steel. Therefore, the amount of RA in Ni+Cr steel was smaller than that in Ni-steel.

Table 2**Fig. 5**

3.3 Thermal dilatometry

The dilation amounts of the as-prepared steel samples were recorded by a Gleeble-3800 dilatometer. The beginning of isothermal holding at 380 °C during ITP and the beginning of air-cooling during CCP were selected as the zero point of the abscissa and

1 the ordinate axes, respectively. The dilatation curves were drawn in Origin 8.0 software
2 (Fig. 6).

3 The dilation curves of the ITP-treated samples are displayed in Fig. 6a. It is
4 observable that the transformation rate of the base steel was significantly higher
5 (completed in about 200 s) than those of Ni-steel and Ni+Cr steel. The straight increase
6 in dilation before point A signifies martensitic transformation according to authors'
7 previous study [25]. This is proved by microstructure in Fig. 2a, where large amount of
8 tempered martensite appears during isothermal holding. Therefore, the dilation after
9 point A represents bainitic transformation. Further, Ni-steel and Ni+Cr steel
10 experienced no martensitic transformation before isothermal holding process, thus they
11 yielded higher amounts of bainite as compared to the base steel. It is noticeable from
12 Table 1 that the theoretical Bs of Ni+Cr steel was lower than that of Ni-steel; therefore,
13 the chemical driving force required for the bainitic transformation of Ni+Cr steel was
14 smaller than that of Ni-steel, thus resulting in a relatively slower bainitic transformation
15 rate in Ni+Cr steel (Fig. 2a). Moreover, carbon contents in untransformed austenite
16 started to decrease due to the continuous precipitation of Cr carbides during isothermal
17 holding process, thus causing a decrease in the chemical stability of untransformed
18 austenite in Ni+Cr steel. Hence, the final amount of bainitic transformation in Ni+Cr
19 steel was found to be higher than that of Ni-steel.

20 The CCP-treated specimens experienced transformation dilatation and cooling
21 shrinkage (Fig. 6b), and bainitic and martensitic transformations occurred during air-
22 cooling after 380 °C. Small amount of austenite first transformed into bainite, and the

1 remaining austenite were converted into martensite during air-cooling; thus the
2 microstructures of the CCP-treated steels mainly consisted of lath martensite (Figs. 2d-
3 f). The dilation decrease caused by cooling shrinkage was the same for all three steel
4 specimens because of the same cooling route. Therefore, the total amount of bainitic
5 and martensitic transformations in the base steel was higher than those Ni-steel and
6 Ni+Cr steel. The rate of transformation and the amount of transformation of base steel
7 is larger than the others. Moreover, although the transformation rate of Ni+Cr steel was
8 lower than Ni-steel, the amount of transformation was larger than Ni-steel.

9 **Fig. 6**

10 3.4 Cryogenic impact toughness

11 In order to understand the influence of different cooling processes on CIT of the
12 experimental steels, the V-notch Charpy impact toughness tests were conducted at -40
13 °C and the corresponding results are presented in Fig. 7. As compared to the ITP-treated
14 specimens, the CCP-treated specimens manifested better low-temperature impact
15 toughness. The low temperature impact energy of three steels by CCP treatment reached
16 89 J/cm², 99 J/cm², and 78 J/cm², respectively, suggesting that the steels have larger
17 CIT by CCP treatment. In addition, the CIT is improved by the addition of Ni, while it
18 decreases with composite addition of Ni and Cr.

19 **Fig. 7**

20 3.5 Fracture surface analysis

21 The fracture surfaces of the experimental steels were analyzed by SEM (Fig. 8).
22 Both the ITP-treated base steel and Ni-steel manifested a typical brittle fracture with

1 large blocky cleavages (Figs. 8a and 8b), and some quasi-cleavages were also observed
2 in Ni-steel. Moreover, cracks were formed along the original austenite grain boundaries.
3 In addition, in the fracture morphology of the ITP-treated Ni+Cr steel, dimples were
4 coexistent with quasi-cleavages (Fig. 8c); hence, it yielded higher toughness as
5 compared to the base steel and Ni-steel. Moreover, the volume fraction of BF in base
6 steel during ITP treatment (7.72%) was obviously lower than Ni-steel (44.25%) and
7 Ni+Cr steel (47.66%). Therefore, less amount of BF in base steel led to the brittle
8 fracture. The micro-morphology of the fractures is consistent with the volume fractions
9 of BF in three steels by ITP treatment.

10 However, the CCP-treated steels manifested ductile fracture morphologies (Figs.
11 8 d-f), and fracture surfaces were composed of a large number of ductile dimples.
12 Moreover, in Ni-steel, larger dimples were surrounded by smaller dimples (Fig. 8e),
13 thus indicating that Ni-steel had better low-temperature toughness. However, some
14 strip-dimples and river-like quasi-cleavages were observed in the fractography of
15 Ni+Cr steel (Fig. 8f), meaning that the addition of Cr caused a decrease in low-
16 temperature toughness of Ni+Cr steel. Furthermore, higher volume fraction of PM
17 resulted in the larger impact toughness. The volume fraction of PM in Ni-steel by CCP
18 treatment (23.66%) was higher than that of other steels. Therefore, the micro-
19 morphology of the fracture matches with the results of the impact toughness tests in Fig.
20 7 and the volume fraction of PM in three steels during CCP treatment.

21 **Fig. 8**

22 3.6 Hardness

1 In the present study, five macro hardness values were averaged to obtain the
2 correct result (Fig. 9). It is evident that Ni had no significant effect on hardness;
3 however, the addition of both Ni and Cr caused a significant increase in macro hardness,
4 which can be attributed to the solid solution of Cr and Ni as well as the fine grain
5 strengthening. Furthermore, Cr is a carbide forming element, thus the precipitation of
6 Cr carbide yields the second phase strengthening effect [29,30]; hence, the addition of
7 Cr manifested a profound enhancement in macro hardness. Moreover, as the CCP-
8 treated samples contained more LM, their hardness values were found to be much
9 higher than those of the ITP-treated samples.

10 **Fig. 9**

11 3.7 TEM analysis

12 The CCP-treated specimens manifested higher CIT as compared to the ITP-treated
13 steels (Fig. 7). The results of TEM analysis are presented in Figs. 10-13. Fig. 10 displays
14 the TEM bright field images of the ITP-treated specimens, and it is discernible that the
15 presence of carbide precipitations in the samples resulted in poor CIT. Fig. 10a revealed
16 that a large number of thin-film tempered carbides was distributed along austenite grain
17 boundaries and in grains in the ITP-treated base steel. Carbides led to dislocations and
18 stress concentration, thus crack sources were easily formed at certain stress. Hence, the
19 ITP-treated base steel yielded a significantly lower CIT value and manifested brittle
20 fracture morphology (Fig. 8a).

21 **Fig. 10**

22 XRD results in Table 2 indicate that Ni-steel was composed of 3 % RA. Fig. 11

1 displays the homologous selected area electron diffraction (SAED) pattern obtained
2 from one of the dark regions near the grain boundary with $[1\ 1\ 6]$ zone and the dark
3 region can be indexed as the face-centered cubic austenite structure (γ -Fe), proving that
4 the dark area in Fig. 11 is RA. Further, twin martensite was formed in the island-like
5 M/A structure (Fig. 10b). As twin martensite possess higher carbon contents, they are
6 difficult to plastically deformation [31-33]. Moreover, the presence of twin martensite
7 along grain boundaries hinders the development of dislocations, and crack sources were
8 easily formed at certain stress. Therefore, the ITP-treated Ni-steel yielded a
9 significantly lower CIT value, and its fracture morphology was dominated by cleavages
10 (Fig. 8b).

11 The TEM bright field microstructure of the ITP-treated Ni+Cr steel indicates that
12 spheroidized carbide particles were distributed on bainite plates (Fig. 10c). The
13 compositions of carbide particles were detected by EDS point scanning (Fig. 12 and
14 Table 3). The first three spectrums represent carbides, whereas the fourth one signifies
15 the matrix. It is evident that the amounts of Cr and Manganese (Mn) in carbides were
16 significantly higher than those in the matrix, thus indicating the formation of carbide
17 precipitation in Ni+Cr steel during bainitic transformation. However, Ni+Cr steel
18 manifested higher CIT as compared to other two steels, which can be attributed to the
19 fewer carbides and twin martensite along grain boundaries as well as to the existence
20 of fine bainite in its microstructure (Fig. 10c). In addition, according to the calculated
21 volume fractions of BF (7.72%, 44.25% and 47.66% for three steels, Fig. 3) during ITP
22 treatment, the amount of BF in Ni+Cr steel was highest, resulting in a larger CIT value

1 of the ITP-treated Ni+Cr steel.

2 **Fig. 11**

3 **Fig. 12**

4 **Table 3**

5 Fig. 9 illustrates the hardness values of the ITP-treated samples. The hardness of
6 the base steel was found to be smaller than other two steel samples. It happened because
7 martensite was tempered at 380 °C during isothermal holding, thus resulting in the
8 decrease in both dislocation density and carbon content. Further, Ni+Cr steel yielded
9 the largest hardness value, which can be attributed to solution strengthening, carbide
10 precipitation strengthening and grain (bainite) refinement strengthening.

11 Fig. 7 reveals that the CCP-treated steels yielded higher CIT values as compared
12 to the ITP-treated samples. Both SEM (Fig. 2) and TEM (Fig. 13) images indicate that
13 the microstructures of the CCP-treated steels mainly consisted of carbide-free bainite
14 and martensite, thus resulting in better CIT values. Moreover, Ni-steel had higher CIT
15 as compared to the base steel; therefore, it can be inferred that Ni-steel contained more
16 bainite because of its lower Ms temperature. The bainitic transformation time of Ni-
17 steel was longer than that of the base steel; hence the addition of Ni manifested a
18 considerable enhancement in CIT, whereas the addition of Ni and Cr caused a decrease
19 in CIT. Fig. 13c indicates that the microstructure of Ni+Cr steel consisted of twin
20 martensite and GB. It is well known that GB and twin martensite causes a reduction in
21 toughness [10,32-34]. Moreover, from the calculated results (Fig. 4), it is observed that
22 the amount of PM (19.32%) in Ni+Cr steel was smaller than other two steels, leading

1 to a lower CIT value in the CCP-treated Ni+Cr steel.

2 As the CCP-treated samples had more dislocations in LM, their hardness values
3 were found to be higher than those of the ITP-treated steels (Figs. 10 and 13). The
4 Ni+Cr steel manifested the largest hardness value, which can be attributed to both
5 solution strengthening and the presence of twin martensite in its microstructure.

6 **Fig. 13**

7 **4. Conclusion**

8 In the present study, three low-carbon high-strength steels of different chemical
9 compositions were designed and treated by two different cooling routes: ITP and CCP.
10 The effects of Ni and Cr on CIT of the as-prepared steels were investigated by thermal
11 simulation, SEM, TEM, XRD and Charpy impact toughness tests. The following main
12 conclusions can be drawn:

13 (1) As carbide precipitations were suppressed during CCP treatment, the CCP-treated
14 specimens resulted in higher CIT as compared to the ITP-treated specimens.

15 (2) During CCP treatment, the addition of Ni manifested a considerable enhancement
16 in CIT; however, the addition of both Ni and Cr caused a decrease in CIT and an
17 increase in hardness.

18 (3) During ITP treatment, Ni had no significant effects on CIT, whereas the addition of
19 both Ni and Cr caused discernible improvements in both CIT and hardness. However,
20 due to the formation of carbides during isothermal holding, the overall CIT of the ITP-
21 treated steels was poor.

22 **Acknowledgments**

1 The authors gratefully acknowledge the financial supports from the National Natural
2 Science Foundation of China (NSFC) (Nos. 51874216 and 51704217), The Major
3 Projects of Technology Innovation of Hubei Province (No.2017AAA116), The project
4 of Science and Technology Plan of Wuhan (No.2018010402011187) and Hebei Joint
5 Research Fund for Iron and Steel (No.E2018318013).

6 **Abbreviations**

7 The following abbreviations are used in this manuscript:

8 CIT	cryogenic impact toughness
9 ITP	isothermal transformation process
10 CCP	continuous cooling process
11 Ni	nickel
12 Cr	chromium
13 Bs	starting temperature of bainitic transformation
14 Ms	starting temperature of martensitic transformation
15 SEM	scanning electron microscope
16 TEM	transmission electron microscope
17 XRD	X-ray diffraction
18 RA	retained austenite
19 M	martensite
20 BF	bainitic ferrite
21 TM	tempered martensite
22 TC	tempered carbide
23 M/A	martensite and austenite
24 GB	granular bainite
25 LM	lath martensite
26 AM	prior martensite
27 FM	fresh martensite

1 Mn manganese

2

3 References

4 1. S. V. Konovalov, V. E. Kormyshev, Y. F. Ivanov, V. E. Gromov and I. A. Komissarova,
5 *Mater. Sci. Forum.* **906**, 101 (2017).

6 2. Y. Cao, Z. D. Wang, J. Kang and G. D. Wang, *J. Iron Steel Res. Int.* **4**, 70 (2013).

7 3. V. I. Novikov, V. V. Dmitriev and K. I. Nedashkovskii, *Met. Sci. Heat Treat.* **56**, 159
8 (2014).

9 4. M. I. Hartshorne, C. McCormick, M. Schmidt, P. Novotny, D. Isheim, D. N. Seidman
10 and M. L. Taheri, *Metal. Mater. Trans. A* **47**, 1517 (2016).

11 5. D. Delagnes, F. Pettinari-Sturm, M. H. Mathon, R. Danoix, F. Danoix, C. Bellot, P.
12 Lamesle and A. Grellier, *Acta Mater.* **60**, 5877 (2012).

13 6. H. J. Hu, G. Xu, L. Wang, Z. L. Xue, Y. L. Zhang and G. H. Liu, *Mater. Des.* **84**, 95
14 (2015).

15 7. W. Solano-Alvarez, E. J. Pickering and H. K. D. H. Bhadeshia, *Mater. Sci. Eng. A*
16 **617**, 156 (2014).

17 8. H. Lan, L. Du, N. Zhou and X. H. Liu, *Acta Metall. Sinica.* **27**, 19 (2014).

18 9. W. Yan, L. Zhu, W. Sha, Y. Y. Shan and K. Yang, *Mater. Sci. Eng. A* **517**, 369 (2009).

19 10. F. G. Caballero, H. Roelofs, S. Hasler, C. Capdevila, J. Chao, J. Cornide and C.
20 Garcia-Mateo, *Mater. Sci. Technol.* **28**, 95 (2012).

21 11. B. Avishan, S. Yazdani and S. H. Nadjad, *Mater. Sci. Eng. A* **548**, 106 (2012).

22 12. Z. J. Luo, J. C. Shen, S. U. Hang, Y. H. Ding, C. F. Yang and X. Zhu, *J. Iron Steel*

- 1 *Res. Int.* **17**, 40 (2010).
- 2 13. J. Chakraborty, P. P. Chattopadhyay, D. Bhattacharjee and I. Manna, *Metal. Mater.*
3 *Trans. A* **41**, 2871 (2010).
- 4 14. D. Y. Liu, H. Xu, K. Yang, B. Z. Bai and H. S. Fang, *Acta Metall. Sinica.* **40**, 882
5 (2004).
- 6 15. M. Wang, Z. Y. Liu and C. G. Li, *Acta Metall. Sinica.* **30**, 48 (2017).
- 7 16. P. Wang and K. S. Kumar, *Mater. Sci. Eng. A* **519**, 184 (2009).
- 8 17. L. U. Ma, G. J. Liang, J. Tan, L. J. Rong and Y. Y. Li, *J. Mater. Sci. Technol.* **15**, 67
9 (1999).
- 10 18. J. Y. Tian, G. Xu, M. X. Zhou, H. J. Hu and X. L. Wan, *Metals*, **7**, 40 (2017).
- 11 19. D. D. Shen, S. H. Song, Z. X. Yuan and L. Q. Weng, *Mater.Sci. Eng. Ser. A* **394**, 53
12 (2005).
- 13 20. S. H. Song, H. Zhuang, J. Wu, Z. X. Yuan and T. H. Xi, *Mater. Sci. Eng. A* **486**, 433
14 (2008).
- 15 21. C. M. Lin, C. M. Chang, J. H. Chen and W. Wu, *Mater. Sci. Eng. A* **527**, 5038,
16 (2010).
- 17 22. H. Pous-Romero and H. K. D. H. Bhadeshia, *Metal. Mater. Trans. A* **45**, 4897 (2014).
- 18 23. M. N. Yoozbashi, S. Yazdani and T. S. Wang, *Mater. Des.* **32**, 3248 (2011).
- 19 24. M. X. Zhou, G. Xu, H. J. Hu, Q. Yuan and J. Y. Tian, *Steel Res. Int.* DOI:
20 10.1002/srin.201600377.
- 21 25. J. Y. Tian, G. Xu, M. X. Zhou and H. J. Hu, *Steel Res. Int.* DOI:
22 10.1002/srin.201700469.

- 1 26. A. S. Podder and H. K. D. H. Bhadeshia, *Mater. Sci. Eng. A* **527**, 2121 (2010).
- 2 27. J. H. Ryu, D. I. Kim, H. S. Kim , H. K. D. H. Bhadeshia and D. W. Suh, *Scripta*
- 3 *Mater.* **63**, 297 (2010).
- 4 28. K. Iwanaga, T. Tsuchiyama, S. Takaki, *Key Eng. Mater.* **171**, 477 (2000).
- 5 29. Z. Oksiuta and N. Baluc, *J. Nucl. Mater.* **374**, 178 (2008).
- 6 30. Y. Y. Song, D. H. Ping, F. X. Yin, X. Y. Li and Y. Y. Li, *Mater. Sci. Eng. A* **527** 614
- 7 (2010).
- 8 31. A. Kostka, K. G. Tak, R. J. Hellmig, Y. Estrin and G. Eggeler, *Acta Mater.* **55**, 539
- 9 (2007).
- 10 32. L Daróczi, S. Gyöngyösi, L. Z. Tóth, and D. L. Beke, *Scripta Mater.* **114** 161 (2016).
- 11 33. P. Boullay, D. Schryvers and J. M. Ball, *Acta Mater.* **51**, 1421 (2003).
- 12 34. P. Zhang, Y. Chen, W. Xiao, D. H. Ping and X. Q. Zhao, *Prog. Nat. Sci-Mater. Int.*
- 13 **26**, 169 (2016).

1 **Table captions**

2 **Table 1** Chemical composition (wt. %) and Ms, Bs (°C) of three test steels

Steel	C	Si	Mn	Mo	Cr	Ni	P	S	Ms	Bs
base	0.22	0.41	2.00	0.19	-	-	0.008	0.0029	376	517
Ni	0.24	0.42	2.08	0.20	-	1.50	0.005	0.0024	349	488
Ni+Cr	0.25	0.42	2.04	0.22	1.63	1.50	0.010	0.0030	308	439

3 **Table 2** RA content of samples after different cooling processes (%)

	base steel	Ni-steel	Ni+Cr steel
Isothermal cooling	0	3%	1%
Continuous cooling	0	0	0

4 **Table 3** Spectral element composition of EDS point scanning (wt. %)

Spectrum	Cr	Mn	Fe	Ni	Total
Spectrum 1	13.61	6.48	79.29	0.62	100.00
Spectrum 2	11.45	5.90	82.07	0.58	100.00
Spectrum 3	12.22	5.83	81.32	0.63	100.00
Spectrum 4	2.22	0.13	95.69	1.95	100.00

5

6

Figure captions

Fig. 1 The schematic illustration of experimental procedures.

Fig. 2 SEM micrographs, for ITP treatment: (a) base steel; (b) Ni-steel; (c) Ni+Cr steel; and for CCP treatment: (d) base steel; (e) Ni-steel; (f) Ni+Cr steel.

Fig. 3 The calculation of the volume fraction of BF in Ni+Cr steel during ITP treatment: (a) the original graph; (b) the darker areas are colored red; (c) the darker blocky areas are marked.

Fig. 4 The calculation of the volume fraction of AM in Ni-steel during CCP treatment: (a) the original graph; (b) the darker concave areas are marked.

Fig. 5 XRD pattern for Ni-steel at ITP treatment.

Fig. 6 Diameter change in the samples during different cooling processes after

380 °C: (a) ITP treatment; (b) CCP treatment.

Fig. 7 Charpy impact values of tested steels at -40°C (J/cm^2).

Fig. 8 Fracture surfaces for ITP treatment: (a) base steel; (b) Ni-steel; (c) Ni+Cr steel; and for CCP treatment: (d) base steel; (e) Ni-steel; (f) Ni+Cr steel.

Fig. 9 Hardness of different specimens (HV).

Fig. 10 Bright field TEM images showing the microstructure of specimens for ITP treatment: (a) base steel; (b) Ni-steel; (c) Ni+Cr steel.

Fig. 11 TEM bright field image of the M/A on the original austenite grain boundary and the corresponding electron diffraction pattern from $[1\ 1\ 6]$ zone of one of the γ -Fe retained austenite.

Fig. 12 EDS of carbide particles in Ni+Cr steel by ITP treatment

Fig. 13 TEM bright field TEM images showing the microstructure of specimens by CCP treatment: (a) base steel; (b) Ni-steel; (c) Ni+Cr steel.