

2009

An enigma: barriers to the identification of gifted students with a learning disability

Catherine Wormald

University of Wollongong, catherine.wormald@optusnet.com.au

UNIVERSITY OF WOLLONGONG

COPYRIGHT WARNING

You may print or download ONE copy of this document for the purpose of your own research or study. The University does not authorise you to copy, communicate or otherwise make available electronically to any other person any copyright material contained on this site. You are reminded of the following:

This work is copyright. Apart from any use permitted under the Copyright Act 1968, no part of this work may be reproduced by any process, nor may any other exclusive right be exercised, without the permission of the author.

Copyright owners are entitled to take legal action against persons who infringe their copyright. A reproduction of material that is protected by copyright may be a copyright infringement. A court may impose penalties and award damages in relation to offences and infringements relating to copyright material. Higher penalties may apply, and higher damages may be awarded, for offences and infringements involving the conversion of material into digital or electronic form.

Unless otherwise indicated, the views expressed in this thesis are those of the author and do not necessarily represent the views of the University of Wollongong.

Recommended Citation

Wormald, Catherine, An enigma: barriers to the identification of gifted students with a learning disability, Doctor of Philosophy thesis, Faculty of Education, University of Wollongong, 2009. <https://ro.uow.edu.au/theses/3076>

Research Online is the open access institutional repository for the University of Wollongong. For further information contact the UOW Library: research-pubs@uow.edu.au

NOTE

This online version of the thesis may have different page formatting and pagination from the paper copy held in the University of Wollongong Library.

UNIVERSITY OF WOLLONGONG

COPYRIGHT WARNING

You may print or download ONE copy of this document for the purpose of your own research or study. The University does not authorise you to copy, communicate or otherwise make available electronically to any other person any copyright material contained on this site. You are reminded of the following:

Copyright owners are entitled to take legal action against persons who infringe their copyright. A reproduction of material that is protected by copyright may be a copyright infringement. A court may impose penalties and award damages in relation to offences and infringements relating to copyright material. Higher penalties may apply, and higher damages may be awarded, for offences and infringements involving the conversion of material into digital or electronic form.

An Enigma: Barriers to the Identification of Gifted Students with
a Learning Disability

**An Enigma: Barriers to the Identification of Gifted Students with
a Learning Disability**

*Catherine Wormald, Diploma of Teaching (Secondary Maths) WIE, Graduate
Certificate in Education (Gifted Education) CSU, M.Ed. UWS.*

A thesis submitted for the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy
University of Wollongong

Faculty of Education

2009

STATEMENT OF ORIGINALITY

This thesis reported the original work of the author, except as stated.
It has not been previously submitted for a degree at this or any other university.

Catherine Wormald
November 2009

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This thesis is dedicated to my mother without whose personality traits I would not have survived, and to my husband for his faith in me and his love.

I also thank:

My son Matthew, who paid me back for my years of pushing him to achieve at school by persistently cracking the whip, my daughter Cassandra, who helped with the transcribing and provided feedback, and my daughter Amanda for her proofreading, editing and constructive criticism.

Associate Professor Wilma Vialle, principal supervisor, for her encouragement, enthusiasm and excitement for this research and her belief in my ability to undertake and complete this project. Professor Karen Rogers, associate supervisor, for her encouragement and excitement at the worthiness of the research.

All the participants who embraced the research and welcomed me into their world of raising a child who is not only gifted, but also has a learning disability and in particular Scott and his mother.

Fellow student Rainbow Tsai-Hung Chen for her support and the hours of academic discussion and debate as well as social interaction. Suzanne Vasilevska for her support and encouragement. Frank Zammit and Geof Hawke for their help with the statistical analysis and guidance with the presentation of the results.

ABSTRACT

The purpose of this research was to understand the barriers to the identification of gifted students with a learning disability. Gifted students with a learning disability are an enigma within schools and are underrepresented in programs for gifted students. The benefits to students, families and teachers of appropriate programs for gifted students with a learning disability have been well documented.

This study employed a mixed method of research and consisted of two phases which ran concurrently. In Phase 1 teachers from New South Wales Department of Education (NSW DET) schools, Sydney Catholic Education Office (CEO) schools in a metropolitan Sydney School Education Area, and an independent Kindergarten to Year 12 school were surveyed using the Survey of Practices with Students of Varying Needs (SOP). The schools included selective high schools, schools with opportunity classes as well as mainstream classes, comprehensive high schools and mainstream primary schools. A number of teachers were also interviewed.

In Phase 2 multiple case studies were undertaken. The participants consisted of students who were identified as gifted with a learning disability, their families and willing professionals they had consulted. Documents, results from various assessments and intervention programs, as well as reports from school counsellors, psychologists, occupational therapists, speech therapists, paediatricians and other educational consultants were provided and analysed. Students and their families were then interviewed.

The results of the research showed that teachers have knowledge of, and a positive attitude towards gifted students but are ambivalent towards students with other special needs. Teachers also demonstrated substantial confusion and conflict about their understanding of gifted students, their educational needs and their responsibility to these students and also students with special needs. There was virtually no understanding of gifted students with a learning disability.

The results indicated that identification of these students is occurring through the efforts of the parents and that there is minimal recognition and support from the schools. All the students have had negative experiences with respect to their schooling.

Finally it was recommended that an identification protocol be established in schools based on the information provided by the parents of the participants in this study. In addition teachers need to be provided with comprehensive training in this field and support from the decision makers across all sectors of education. Furthermore additional extensive research needs to be undertaken on gifted students with a learning disability. Specific research needs to be completed into the effectiveness of an instrument specifically designed for teachers focused on gifted students with a learning disability in order to assess the effectiveness of an identification protocol and in addition research on the social and emotional issues for these students.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

STATEMENT OF ORIGINALITY	iii
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	iv
ABSTRACT	v
TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	vii
LIST OF FIGURES.....	xii
LIST OF TABLES	xiii
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 BACKGROUND	1
1.2 SIGNIFICANCE OF THE STUDY	2
1.3 PURPOSE OF THE STUDY	3
1.4 RESEARCH DESIGN	4
1.5 STRUCTURE OF THE THESIS.....	5
CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW	7
2.1 INTRODUCTION	7
2.2 DEFINITION.....	7
2.2.1 Giftedness	7
2.2.2 Learning Disabilities	12
2.2.3 Gifted learning disabled students	15
2.3 CHARACTERISTICS	17
2.4 IDENTIFICATION	22
2.5 PROGRAMMING.....	25
2.6 TEACHER ATTITUDES.....	28
2.7 GENDER AND DISABILITIES.....	29
2.8 CONCLUSION	30
CHAPTER 3 RESEARCH METHOD	31
3.1 INTRODUCTION	31
3.2 DESIGN	32
3.3 RESEARCH METHOD.....	35
3.4 PHASE 1 - Educational Professionals, Their Knowledge and Attitudes.....	35
3.4.1 Pilot study	35
3.4.2 Site	37
3.4.3 Sample.....	38
3.4.4 Instrumentation and Data Collection	38

3.4.5 Procedure.....	42
3.4.6 Data Analysis.....	43
3.4.7 Limitations.....	46
3.5 PHASE 2 - Case Studies.....	47
3.5.1 Sample.....	47
3.5.2 Instrumentation and Data Collection	49
3.5.3 Procedure.....	51
3.5.4 Data Analysis.....	54
3.5.5 Limitations.....	58
CHAPTER 4 RESULTS OF PHASE 1	59
EDUCATION PROFESSIONALS, THEIR KNOWLEDGE and ATTITUDES	59
4.1 INTRODUCTION	59
4.2 TEACHER SURVEYS	60
4.2.1 Demographics	60
4.2.2 Part I.....	66
4.2.3 Part II.....	70
4.2.4 Part III.....	72
4.2.5 Part IV.....	74
4.2.6 Discussion.....	76
4.3 TEACHER INTERVIEWS	79
4.3.1 Introduction	79
4.3.2 Policy.....	79
4.3.3 Learning Disabilities	81
4.3.4 Classroom and school practices for gifted students and students with learning disabilities	82
4.3.5 Barriers to identification and appropriate programming.....	85
4.3.6 Discussion.....	87
CHAPTER 5 CASE STUDY 1 THOMAS	89
5.1 INTRODUCTION	89
5.2 BACKGROUND INFORMATION	89
5.3 IDENTIFICATION	90
5.3.1 IQ Assessment.....	91
5.3.2 Vision Assessment.....	93
5.3.3 Physical Development Assessments	93

5.4 DISCUSSION.....	100
5.5 PARENT RESPONSE.....	101
5.6 THOMAS'S UNDERSTANDING	102
5.7 FAMILY EFFECTS	103
5.8 CONCLUSION.....	104
CHAPTER 6 CASE STUDY 2 ADAM AND MARK.....	106
6.1 INTRODUCTION	106
6.2 BACKGROUND INFORMATION	106
6.3 ADAM	106
6.3.1 IDENTIFICATION	106
6.3.2 PHYSICAL DEVELOPMENT ASSESSMENTS	113
6.3.3 DISCUSSION.....	117
6.3.4 PARENT RESPONSE.....	118
6.3.5 ADAM'S UNDERSTANDING	120
6.4 MARK.....	123
6.4.1 IDENTIFICATION	123
6.4.2 PHYSICAL DEVELOPMENT ASSESSMENTS	130
6.4.3 DISCUSSION.....	135
6.4.4 PARENT RESPONSE.....	136
6.4.5 MARK'S UNDERSTANDING	137
6.4.6 DISCUSSION.....	138
6.4.7 FAMILY EFFECTS	138
6.4.8 CONCLUSION.....	141
CHAPTER 7 CASE STUDY 3 EMMA.....	142
7.1 INTRODUCTION	142
7.2 BACKGROUND INFORMATION	142
7.3 IDENTIFICATION	143
7.3.1 IQ Assessment.....	143
7.3.2 Learning Ability Evaluation	150
7.3.3 A Neale Analysis of Reading (3 rd Edition) – Form 1 – Assessment.....	156
7.3.4 Time Order Processing (TOP Test)	162
7.3.5 Vision.....	162
7.4 PHYSICAL DEVELOPMENT ASSESSMENTS	164
7.5 DISCUSSION.....	165

7.6 PARENT RESPONSE.....	168
7.7 FAMILY EFFECTS	170
7.8 CONCLUSION.....	171
CHAPTER 8 CASE STUDY 4 DAVID	172
8.1 INTRODUCTION.....	172
8.2 BACKGROUND INFORMATION	172
8.3 IDENTIFICATION	172
8.3.1 IQ Assessment.....	174
8.3.2 Visual Assessment.....	177
8.3.3 Auditory Assessment	178
8.4 PHYSICAL DEVELOPMENT ASSESSMENTS	179
8.5 DISCUSSION.....	185
8.6 PARENT RESPONSE.....	186
8.7 DAVID’S UNDERSTANDING	189
8.8 FAMILY EFFECTS	190
8.9 CONCLUSION.....	192
CHAPTER 9 CASE STUDY 5 SCOTT	193
9.1 INTRODUCTION.....	193
9.2 BACKGROUND INFORMATION	193
9.3 IDENTIFICATION	194
9.3.1 IQ Assessment.....	196
9.3.2 Visual Assessments	199
9.4 PHYSICAL DEVELOPMENT ASSESSMENTS	200
9.5 DISCUSSION.....	204
9.6 PARENT RESPONSE.....	205
9.7 SCOTT’S UNDERSTANDING	210
9.8 FAMILY EFFECTS	215
9.9 CONCLUSION.....	216
CHAPTER 10 DISCUSSION	217
10.1 INTRODUCTION.....	217
10.2 RESEARCH QUESTION 1.....	217
10.3 RESEARCH QUESTION 2.....	229
10.4 RESEARCH QUESTION 3.....	235
10.5 EMERGING THEMES.....	240

10.5.1 Partnerships	240
10.5.2 Knowledge Acquisition.....	242
10.6 RECOMMENDATIONS.....	243
10.7 LIMITATIONS	245
10.8 FURTHER RESEARCH.....	246
10.9 CONCLUSION.....	247
REFERENCES AND APPENDICES	249
APPENDIX A GAGNÉ MODEL.....	259
APPENDIX B SURVEY OF PRACTICES WITH STUDENTS OF VARYING NEEDS (SOP) ...	261
APPENDIX C PARTICIPATION CORRESPONDENCE AND FORMS.....	268
APPENDIX D CODEBOOK FOR SURVEYS	287
APPENDIX E DOCUMENTS RELATED TO CASE STUDY 3 – EMMA.....	297
APPENDIX F DOCUMENT FOR CASE STUDY 4 – DAVID.....	314
APPENDIX G DOCUMENTS RELATED TO CASE STUDY 5 – SCOTT	316
APPENDIX H DESCRIPTIONS OF ASSESSMENTS AND INTERVENTIONS	324

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1 Renzulli's 'What Makes Giftedness' (1993)	9
Figure 2.2 Gagné's Differentiated Model of Giftedness and Talent (DMGT) (2004)	11
Figure 3.1 Research Process	33
Figure 4.1 Formal Study in Gifted Education or Learning Disabilities.....	60
Figure 4.2 Gifted and Learning Disability Provisions Excluding Selective High Schools	61
Figure 4.3 Responsibilities for Gifted and Learning Disabilities excluding Selective High Schools	62
Figure A.1 Gagné (2009) Differentiated Model of Giftedness and Talent (DMGT).....	259

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1	Positive and Negative Characteristics of Children with Gifts/Learning Disabilities (Adapted from Fetzer, 2000)	21
Table 3.1	Codes for Teacher Interviews	46
Table 3.2	Documentation provided by participants	55
Table 3.3	Codes for Analysis of Participant Interviews.....	57
Table 4.1	Teacher Demographics (n = 131)	63
Table 4.2	Formal Study in Gifted Education or Learning Disabilities.....	64
Table 4.3	Gifted and Learning Disability Provisions	65
Table 4.4	Responsibility for Gifted and LD	66
Table 4.5	Mean teacher and school counsellor knowledge and attitude towards gifted learners and struggling learners	68
Table 4.6	Effects of Teachers' Age and Educational Setting on Attitudes to Gifted and Struggling Students	69
Table 4.7	Effect of training on participants' responses to gifted scale	70
Table 4.8	Effect of training on participants' responses to struggling learners scale ...	70
Table 4.9	Percentage Rankings of the Relative Amount of Time Spent with Academically Diverse Learners as Measured by Part II of the Survey of Practices with Students of Varying Needs (SOP)	71
Table 4.10	Mean Rankings of Confidence with Classroom Differentiation as Measured by Part III of the Survey of Practices with Students of Varying Needs (SOP)	73
Table 4.11	Percentage of Respondents indicating that they would use various instructional strategies with academically diverse learners as measured by Part IV of the Survey of Practices with Students of Varying Needs (SOP) ...	75
Table 5.1	Results of IQ Assessment	92
Table 5.2	Results of Visual Assessment	93
Table 5.3	Results of the Beery-Buktenica Developmental Test of Visual Motor Integration.....	94
Table 5.4	Results of Bruininks-Oseretsky Test of Motor Proficiency.....	95
Table 5.5	Results of Clinical Observation of Motor and Postural Skills	96
Table 5.6	Clinical Observations of Handwriting – (non-standardised)	98
Table 5.7	Handwriting Speed Test	98
Table 5.8	Clinical Observations of Motor and Perceptual Skills.....	99
Table 5.9	Test of Visual Perceptual Skills – Non Motor	99
Table 6.1	Results of IQ Assessment	110

Table 6.2	Results of Neale Analysis of Reading (3rd Edition) – Form 1.....	111
Table 6.3	Results of Auditory Assessment	113
Table 6.4	Results for Physical Development Assessments.....	115
Table 6.5	Results of IQ Assessment	125
Table 6.6	Results of Neale Analysis of Reading (3rd Edition) – Form 1.....	127
Table 6.7	Results of Auditory Assessments.....	128
Table 6.8	Results of Physical Development Assessments.....	132
Table 7.1	Results of IQ Assessments.....	145
Table 7.2	Results of Diagnostic Evaluation of Levels of Cognitive and Language Function.....	152
Table 7.3	Results Summary of Woodcock-Johnson III tests of Cognitive Abilities and Test of Achievement	154
Table 7.4	Results of Reading Assessment	155
Table 7.5	Results of Neale Analysis of Reading (3rd Edition) – Form 1.....	157
Table 7.6	Results of South Australian Spelling Test.....	157
Table 7.7	Results of SCAN – C Test.....	160
Table 7.8	Results of Time Order Processing Test (Speed).....	162
Table 7.9	Results of Test of Visual Perceptual Skills (non-motor) by Gardner.....	163
Table 7.10	Results of Vision Assessment	164
Table 7.11	Results of BarOn EQ-I:YV Assessment	166
Table 8.1	Results of IQ Assessment	175
Table 8.2	Results of Neale Analysis of Reading – (3rd Edition) – Form 1 – Assessment	177
Table 8.3	Results of Auditory Assessment	179
Table 8.4	Results of Physical Development Assessments.....	182
Table 9.1	IQ Assessment Results	198
Table A.1	Scaling System in the Primitive/Postural Reflex Assessment	351

