



UNIVERSITY
OF WOLLONGONG
AUSTRALIA

University of Wollongong
Research Online

Faculty of Science, Medicine and Health - Papers

Faculty of Science, Medicine and Health

2011

Efficacy and safety of a modular multi-modal exercise program in prostate cancer patients with bone metastases: a randomized controlled trial

Daniel A. Galvao
Edith Cowan University

Dennis R. Taaffe
University of Newcastle, dtaaffe@uow.edu.au

Prue Cormie
Edith Cowan University

Nigel Spry
Edith Cowan University

Suzanne K. Chambers
Edith Cowan University

See next page for additional authors

Publication Details

Galvao, D. A., Taaffe, D. R., Cormie, P., Spry, N., Chambers, S. K., Peddle-McIntyre, C., Baker, M. K., Denham, J. W., Joseph, D., Groom, G. & Newton, R. U. (2011). Efficacy and safety of a modular multi-modal exercise program in prostate cancer patients with bone metastases: a randomized controlled trial. *BMC Cancer*, 11 (December), 517-1 - 517-7.

Research Online is the open access institutional repository for the University of Wollongong. For further information contact the UOW Library:
research-pubs@uow.edu.au

Efficacy and safety of a modular multi-modal exercise program in prostate cancer patients with bone metastases: a randomized controlled trial

Abstract

Background The presence of bone metastases has excluded participation of prostate cancer patients in exercise intervention studies to date and is also a relative contraindication to supervised exercise in the community setting because of concerns of fragility fracture. However, this group of patients often have developed significant muscle atrophy and functional impairments from prior and continuing androgen deprivation that is exacerbated by subsequent and more intensive interventions such as chemotherapy. The aim of this study is to determine the efficacy and safety of a modular multi-modal exercise program in prostate cancer patients with bone metastases. **Methods/Design** Multi-site randomized controlled trial in Western Australia and New South Wales to examine the efficacy and safety of a modular multi-modal physical exercise program in 90 prostate cancer survivors with bone metastases. Participants will be randomized to (1) modular multi-modal exercise intervention group or (2) usual medical care group. The modular multi-modal exercise group will receive a 3-month supervised exercise program based on bone lesion location/extent. Measurements for primary and secondary endpoints will take place at baseline, 3 months (end of the intervention) and 6 months follow-up. **Discussion** Delaying or preventing skeletal complication and improving physical function for men with bone metastases would provide clinically meaningful benefits to patients. However, exercise programs must be designed and executed with careful consideration of the skeletal complications associated with bone metastatic disease and cumulative toxicities from androgen deprivation such as osteoporosis and increased risk of fractures. The results from this study will form the basis for the development of a specific exercise prescription in this patient group in order to alleviate disease burden, counteract the adverse treatment related side-effects and enhance quality of life.

Disciplines

Medicine and Health Sciences | Social and Behavioral Sciences

Publication Details

Galvao, D. A., Taaffe, D. R., Cormie, P., Spry, N., Chambers, S. K., Peddle-McIntyre, C., Baker, M. K., Denham, J. W., Joseph, D., Groom, G. & Newton, R. U. (2011). Efficacy and safety of a modular multi-modal exercise program in prostate cancer patients with bone metastases: a randomized controlled trial. *BMC Cancer*, 11 (December), 517-1 - 517-7.

Authors

Daniel A. Galvao, Dennis R. Taaffe, Prue Cormie, Nigel Spry, Suzanne K. Chambers, Carolyn Peddle-McIntyre, Michael K. Baker, James W. Denham, David Joseph, Geoff Groom, and Robert U. Newton

STUDY PROTOCOL

Open Access

Efficacy and safety of a modular multi-modal exercise program in prostate cancer patients with bone metastases: a randomized controlled trial

Daniel A Galvão^{1*}, Dennis R Taaffe², Prue Cormie¹, Nigel Spry^{3,4}, Suzanne K Chambers^{5,6}, Carolyn Peddle-McIntyre¹, Michael Baker¹, James Denham^{7,8}, David Joseph^{3,4}, Geoff Groom⁹ and Robert U Newton¹

Abstract

Background: The presence of bone metastases has excluded participation of prostate cancer patients in exercise intervention studies to date and is also a relative contraindication to supervised exercise in the community setting because of concerns of fragility fracture. However, this group of patients often have developed significant muscle atrophy and functional impairments from prior and continuing androgen deprivation that is exacerbated by subsequent and more intensive interventions such as chemotherapy. The aim of this study is to determine the efficacy and safety of a modular multi-modal exercise program in prostate cancer patients with bone metastases.

Methods/Design: Multi-site randomized controlled trial in Western Australia and New South Wales to examine the efficacy and safety of a modular multi-modal physical exercise program in 90 prostate cancer survivors with bone metastases. Participants will be randomized to (1) modular multi-modal exercise intervention group or (2) usual medical care group. The modular multi-modal exercise group will receive a 3-month supervised exercise program based on bone lesion location/extent. Measurements for primary and secondary endpoints will take place at baseline, 3 months (end of the intervention) and 6 months follow-up.

Discussion: Delaying or preventing skeletal complication and improving physical function for men with bone metastases would provide clinically meaningful benefits to patients. However, exercise programs must be designed and executed with careful consideration of the skeletal complications associated with bone metastatic disease and cumulative toxicities from androgen deprivation such as osteoporosis and increased risk of fractures. The results from this study will form the basis for the development of a specific exercise prescription in this patient group in order to alleviate disease burden, counteract the adverse treatment related side-effects and enhance quality of life.

Trial Registration: ACTRN: ACTRN12611001158954

Background

Metastases to bone occurs in approximately 80% of men with advanced prostate cancer [1] and the majority of these patients are at risk of developing pathological fractures, hypercalcemia, bone marrow suppression and nerve compressions or spinal cord compressions that result in significant morbidity, limited function and decreased quality of life [2-4]. The clinical course of metastatic bone disease in prostate cancer survivors is

relatively long, with a 5-year survival rate of approximately 30% [5]. Prostate cancer causes predominately sclerotic lesions and commonly metastasize to the pelvis and axial skeleton [6]. Therefore, patients with bone metastases experience considerable morbidity resulting from skeletal complications and fatigue secondary to chemotherapy for those with castrate-resistance prostate cancer [3,7]. Delaying or preventing skeletal complication, improving physical function and increasing levels of physical activity in prostate cancer patients with bone metastases can provide clinically meaningful benefits to patients.

* Correspondence: d.galvao@ecu.edu.au

¹Edith Cowan University Health and Wellness Institute and School of Exercise and Health Sciences, Edith Cowan University, Joondalup, Australia
Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

Long-term androgen deprivation therapy (ADT) remains the first-line treatment for advanced metastatic prostate cancer, however it acts by inducing severe hypogonadism causing a number of cumulative adverse effects [8,9]. Some of these physical side effects include reduction of bone and muscle mass, increased fat mass and loss of neuromuscular strength [8,10]. We have shown that a 9-month exposure to ADT led to significant reductions in hip (1.5%), spine (3.9%), whole body (2.4%) and upper limb (1.3%) bone mineral density (BMD) [11]. We have also reported that men on ADT had significantly reduced upper- and lower-body muscle strength and impaired overall functional performance and balance compared to healthy aged-matched controls [12]. Notably, ADT has been associated with increased fracture risks and more importantly this risk increases with the number of doses of gonadotropin-releasing hormone agonist administered [8]. These observations raise a major concern for men receiving long-term ADT for advanced prostate cancer, with reduced muscle strength placing prostate cancer patients at higher risk of falling particularly when combined with ADT induced reductions in bone strength, all of the sequelae of falls and fracture. This is a major concern as fractures cause significant morbidity and mortality in men [13] and has been correlated with decreased survival in prostate cancer patients with bone metastases [14].

Apart from previous ADT, men with bone metastases and castrate-resistant prostate cancer would commonly receive chemotherapy given the results of initial phase III trials indicating modest survival benefit for those with symptomatic disease [15,16]. A number of well-established adverse effects including nausea and severe fatigue are associated with chemotherapy [15]. Therefore, prostate cancer survivors with bone metastases suffer not only from the treatment-related side effects common to survivors with localised disease, but also from significant physical [17] and psychological [18,19] issues associated with bone metastatic disease and its treatment.

Clinical trials investigating the efficacy of exercise in prostate cancer survivors including our recent randomized controlled trial excluded those who had bone metastases [20-24], or bone lesions deemed 'unstable' [25] due to the potential increased risk of skeletal fractures. Nevertheless, recent guidelines on Exercise for Cancer Survivors by the American College of Sports Medicine [26] suggest that cancer survivors

including those with bone metastases should "avoid inactivity" given the potential benefits of physical activity even for this group of cancer patients with advanced disease. However, it remains to be determined if exercise can be tolerated by patients with bone metastases given the absence of clinical data on exercise feasibility and efficacy in patients with bone metastases. This situation is highly detrimental since patients with bone metastases are reducing their physical activity levels for fear of bone fracture and clinicians are reluctant to refer patients with bone metastases for physical exercise programs. Such a strategy can only result in greater fatigue, reduced function and further declining muscle and skeletal integrity, greater risk of other chronic disease and reduced quality of life [3,4]. This project is unique as it tests the implementation of a modular multi-modal exercise program (M3EP) taking into consideration location/extent of bone metastases lesions as a strategy to maintain or enhance physical function in this group of patients with advanced prostate cancer. Further, the outcomes from this trial may provide novel data that could be translated to other cancer patients with bone metastases. This is the first trial to our knowledge which is specifically designed to address the potential beneficial effects of exercise in cancer patients with bone metastases.

Methods/Design

This is a two-armed prospective randomized controlled trial that will examine the efficacy and safety of a modular multi-modal physical exercise program in prostate cancer survivors with bone metastases. The trial will be a multisite study with clinical sites in Western Australia and New South Wales. Participants randomly assigned to the M3EP exercise intervention group will receive a 3-month supervised exercise intervention program (based on bone lesion location/extent) (Table 1). Participants in the usual medical care group will be asked not to change their baseline levels of physical activity and will be offered the same exercise program after the 3-month control period if the intervention is deemed to be feasible and efficacious.

Recruitment

Subjects will be recruited by invitation of their specialist (radiation oncologist/urologists). Those entering the study will undertake a series of familiarisation sessions and baseline measurements prior to randomisation.

Table 1 Summary of study design

Months	0	3	6
Exercise (M3EP)		Intervention (n = 45)	Follow-up from 3-6 month
Usual Medical Care		Usual Care (n = 45)	Usual Medical Care to receive same intervention (M3EP) from 3-6 month

Randomisation

Patients will be randomly allocated in a ratio of 1:1 to the two study arms for the experimental M3EP exercise intervention or usual medical care groups, subject to maintaining approximate balance regarding stratification for current chemotherapy (yes/no). A research methods consultant with no patient contact will be responsible for randomisation. The exercise physiologists and other researchers conducting the study measures will be blinded to a given participant's group allocation. The exercise intervention will be provided by exercise physiologists not in the research team or performing the tests.

Subjects

Ninety men (45 subjects per arm) with prostate cancer and established bone metastases with no regular exercise (undertaking structured aerobic or resistance training two or more times per week) within the past 3 months will be recruited through invitation by their attending specialist in Perth, Western Australia, and the Central Coast region of New South Wales. All participants will require physician consent. Exclusion criteria will include acute illness, significant bone pain, musculoskeletal or cardiovascular or neurological disorders that could inhibit or put them at risk from exercising. The protocol has been approved (ID: 7699 GALVÃO) by the Edith Cowan University Human Research Ethics Committee and all participants will provide written informed consent.

Calculation of sample size

Data from our research team in prostate cancer patients indicates that the standard deviation (SD) for change in our primary outcome which is the physical function subscale of the Medical Outcomes Study Short-Form 36 (SF-36) equates to ~12 points following a 3-month intervention. Given the health status of men in the proposed study we anticipate that our 3-month exercise regimen will result in an increase of ~5 points in the physical function subscale of the SF-36 whereas the usual medical care group will result in an overall loss of ~3 points over 3 months. Therefore, we anticipate a difference between the exercise and usual medical care groups of ~8 points in change of the physical function subscale from baseline to 3 months. A priori, 36 subjects per group will be required to achieve 80% power at an alpha level of 0.05 (two-tailed), and to demonstrate a difference between groups at the end of the 3-month intervention. Therefore, to adequately ensure that we have sufficient subject numbers at the end of the intervention (accounting for a drop-out rate of ~20%), 90 subjects will be randomized in a ratio of 1:1 to exercise and usual medical care groups, respectively.

Measurements

Measurements for primary and secondary endpoints will take place at baseline, 3 months (end of the intervention) and 6 months follow-up.

Primary study endpoints

Physical function The physical function subscale of the Medical Outcomes Study Short-Form 36 (SF-36) questionnaire will be used as an indicator of patient rated physical functioning.

Secondary study endpoints

Objective measures of physical function A battery of tests will be used to assess functional performance [22,23,27]. Tests will be performed in triplicate (except for the 400-m walk which will be performed once) with sufficient recovery time between trials. The best performance on each test will be used in the analyses. The tests will be: 1) timed up and go, 2) 6-meter walk, usual and fast pace, and 3) 400-m walk. Participants with proximal femur bone lesion will be excluded from the 400-m walk test. Performance in each test will be timed electronically using a Kinematic Measurement System (Fitness Technology, SA, Australia).

Muscle strength Dynamic muscular strength of the upper and lower body will be assessed using the one repetition maximum (1RM) method [28]. The 1RM is the maximal weight an individual can move through a full range of motion without change in body position other than that dictated by the specific exercise motion. Participants will perform 1RM tests for the knee extension and chest press exercises using a standard 1RM protocol [23]. Participants with proximal femur bone lesion will be excluded from leg extension 1-RM. Participants with axial skeleton (thoracic/ribs) bone lesion will be excluded from chest press 1-RM. These exercises were selected as they do not involve compression of the spine or excessive load in the pelvic area.

Balance and risk of falling A Neurocom Smart BalanceMaster (Neurocom, OR, USA) will be used to assess static and dynamic balance. This device measures ground reaction force to track whole body centre of pressure and a tilting visual field and support platform to separate the visual, somatosensory and vestibular balance sense of the patient [23]. Falls self-efficacy will be determined using the Activities-Specific Balance Confidence scale [29]. During the course of the intervention, all participants will record any falls that take place and submit monthly fall records to the investigators.

Safety of the exercise program The safety of the exercise program will be assessed by recording the incidence and severity of any adverse events and skeletal complications throughout the intervention. Skeletal complications include pain at known bone metastases sites and pathological skeletal fractures [17]. Bone pain will be monitored according to the Common Terminology

Criteria of the National Cancer Institute: grade 1 mild, not interfering with function; grade 2 moderate pain interfering with function but not interfering with the activities of daily life; and grade 3 severe pain, severely interfering with the activities of daily living. In the presence of bone pain, patients will cease the exercise program and undergo standard clinical evaluation, including plain x-rays and other more specialised imaging, as deemed appropriate.

Body composition Regional and whole body lean mass (including appendicular skeletal muscle mass) and fat mass will be derived from a whole body dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry scan (Hologic Discovery A, Waltham, MA). Measurement of trunk adiposity is an important indicator of chronic disease risk, and will be assessed from trunk fat mass obtained from the whole body scan and the ratios of trunk fat to limb fat, and trunk fat to total fat.

Muscle density and cross-sectional area Peripheral Quantitative Computed Tomography (XCT3000, Stratec, Pforzheim, Germany) will be used to measure muscle density (an indicator of fat infiltration within the muscle and hence muscle quality) and muscle cross-sectional areas of the upper and lower limbs [30].

Health-related quality of life, bone pain and the late life - function index Health-related quality of life outcomes on general health, pain, vitality, social functioning, emotional role, and mental health will be measured using the SF-36 [31]. The FACIT-Bone Pain questionnaire will be used to assess the nature, severity and impact of bone pain [32]. The Late Life - Function Index (LL-FI) will be used to assess patient-reported physical functioning [33].

Anxiety and depression The Brief Symptom Inventory-18 will provide a global measure of current psychological distress with subscale scores for anxiety, depression, and somatisation [34].

Cancer specific distress The Impact of Events Scale (IES) and the Memorial Anxiety Scale for Prostate Cancer (MAX-PC) will be used to measure cancer specific distress [35,36]. The IES has 15 items and contains two subscales: Intrusion and Avoidance [36]. Intrusion can also be used as a proxy measure for rumination about cancer. The MAX-PC consists of 18 items and assesses cancer specific distress across three domains: Prostate Cancer Anxiety; Prostate Specific Antigen (PSA) Anxiety; and Fear of Recurrence [35].

Fatigue Fatigue will be assessed using the Functional Assessment of Chronic Illness Therapy-Fatigue (FACIT-F) questionnaire. The FACIT-F is a 13-item scale commonly used to assess fatigue in cancer patients [37] as well as cancer patients receiving exercise interventions [38].

Sleep quality Items from the Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index (PSQI) will be used to measure sleep quality [39]. The PSQI is used to assess quality of sleep over a 1-month interval, and has been shown to be reliable and sensitive to change [40].

Physical activity motivation The Theory of Planned Behaviour (TPB) is the most widely utilised behavioural framework when examining physical activity motivation in cancer survivors [41]. Therefore, physical activity motivation will be assessed in accordance with the TPB. TPB constructs (affective and instrumental attitude, injunctive and descriptive norm, self-efficacy, perceived behavioural control, intention, and planning) will be assessed in accordance with established guidelines [41].

Physical activity level Self report physical activity level will be assessed by the Godin Leisure-Time Questionnaire. ActiGraph activity monitors (triaxial accelerometer) will be used to objectively assess physical activity levels over a 7-day period [42]. A 6-item sedentary questionnaire will be used to assess the level of sedentary behaviour.

Tolerance of the program Tolerance of the exercise program will be evaluated by recording participants' ratings of perceived exertion on a Borg scale after every exercise session. Additionally, a custom designed survey examining exercise tolerance using a 7-point Likert scale will be administered prior to the first exercise session each week. The number of participants completing the 3-month program as well as the number of sessions attended will be recorded.

Other monitoring measures

Prostate specific antigen (PSA) PSA will be assessed at baseline, 3 months (end of the intervention) and 6 months follow-up by an accredited National Association of Testing Authorities laboratory (Pathwest Diagnostics, Perth, Western Australia).

Exercise intervention

The modular multi-modal physical exercise intervention program (M3EP) will comprise resistance, aerobic and flexibility exercises undertaken 3 times per week in an exercise clinic setting supervised by an exercise physiologist. Exercise training sessions will take approximately 60 min (this includes the warm-up and cool-down periods) and will be conducted in the Exercise Clinics at Edith Cowan University in Perth, and at the University of Newcastle's Central Coast campus in Ourimbah. The programme will include 6 exercises that target the major trunk, upper and lower body muscle groups, which we have used in a number of previous studies [21-23,27,43-46] including men on ADT. There will be a modular component for the resistance exercise prescription based on location/extent of bone metastases (Table 2). To ensure the progressive nature of the

Table 2 Modular multi-modal physical exercise program (M3EP) for prostate cancer with bone metastases

Metastases site	Exercise mode					
	Resistance		Aerobic		Flexibility	
	Upper	Trunk	Lower	WB	NWB	Static
Pelvis	√	√	√**	√	√	√
Axial Skeleton (lumbar)	√		√	√		√***
Axial Skeleton (thoracic/ribs)	√*		√	√	√	√***
Proximal Femur	√	√	√**		√	√
All regions	√*		√**		√	√***

√ = Target exercise region; * = exclusion of shoulder flexion/extension/abduction/adduction - inclusion of elbow flexion/extension; ** = exclusion of hip extension/flexion - inclusion of knee extension/flexion; WB weight bearing (e.g. walking); NWB non-weight bearing (e.g. cycling); *** = exclusion of spine/flexion/extension/rotation.

training program, subjects will be encouraged to work past the specific repetition maximums (RMs) prescribed. The resistance will be increased by 5-10% increment for the next set/training session if subjects are able to perform more repetitions than the RMs specified during a set. Moderate intensity and volume of resistance exercise will range from 10 to 12-RM (e.g. the maximal weight that can be lifted 10 to 12 times) using 3 sets per exercise. This program differs considerably from those commonly prescribed for survivors with localised prostate cancer. Specifically, the M3EP is designed to minimise compressive and shear loads on affected skeletal sites to account for the reduced load bearing capabilities of bone due to metastatic disease in specific regions. Such loading is considerably below the forces exerted on the skeleton during tasks of daily living such as descending stairs or stepping down from a height and will be similar to that of walking. All exercises will be performed at a set cadence of 2 s for both eccentric and concentric phases further minimizing peak forces transmitted to the skeleton. Aerobic exercise component of the M3EP will also be based on location/extent of bone metastases with those with pelvis, axial skeleton (lumbar), proximal femur and all regions bone metastatic disease undergoing non-weight bearing activities (Table 2). The aerobic component will include 20-30 min of cardiovascular exercise using various modes such as walking on a treadmill, cycling or rowing a stationary ergometer, or exercising on a cross training machine based on disease extent (Table 2). Target intensity will be 60%-85% estimated maximum heart rate (220 - age) with individual heart rate monitors (Polar Electro Oy, Finland) provided for each participant. The flexibility component of the M3EP will involve static stretching of all the joint ranges of motion considered important for function. Those with axial skeleton and all regions bone metastases will be excluded from undertaking spine

flexion/extension/rotation (Table 2). The protocol will involve 2-4 sets per muscle group at 30-60 s per set as previously proposed [47]. All M3EP sessions will be conducted in small groups of up to 8 participants under direct supervision to ensure correct technique and minimal risk of injury. Each session will commence with a 10-minute warm-up comprising low-level aerobic activities such as treadmill walking and stationary cycling as determined by bone metastases site, as well as stretching and conclude with a 5-minute cool-down period of stretching activities. The M3EP will be designed to provide adequate stimulus to the cardiorespiratory, skeletal and neuromuscular systems while maximizing compliance and retention. All participants will be asked to maintain customary physical activity and dietary patterns over the intervention period (apart from the programmed exercise). Dietary intake will be assessed at baseline, 3 and 6 months using 3-day record. During the course of the study, participants will be required to maintain an activity log and record their recreational physical activities.

Statistical analysis

Data will be analysed using the SPSS statistical software package and an intention-to-treat approach will be applied. Analyses will include standard descriptive statistics, Student's t tests, correlation and regression, and two-way (group × time) repeated measures ANOVA (or ANCOVA as appropriate) to examine differences between groups over time. All tests will be two-tailed and an alpha level of 0.05 will be applied as the criterion for statistical significance.

Discussion

Prostate cancer patients with bone metastases experience considerable morbidity resulting from skeletal complications and often fatigue secondary to chemotherapy [3,7]. Delaying or preventing skeletal complication and improving physical function for men with bone metastases would provide clinically meaningful benefits to patients. However, exercise programs must be designed and executed with careful consideration of the skeletal complications associated with bone metastatic disease and cumulative toxicities from androgen deprivation such as osteoporosis and increased risk of fractures. Currently, such patients are unable to follow existing exercise guidelines established for patients with localised prostate cancer given the absence of exercise-related data for this population. Consequently, the results from this study will form the basis for the development of a specific exercise prescription in this patient group in order to alleviate disease burden, counteract the adverse treatment related side-effects and enhance quality of life. This project is unique as it will be the

first study examining if a modular multi-modal targeted exercise program incorporating resistance, aerobic and flexibility exercise is safe and well tolerated by prostate cancer patients with bone metastases. In terms of advancement of prostate cancer care, we expect dissemination of the knowledge gained from this project to reduce fracture risk, improve physical and functional ability, quality of life and ultimately survival rates in this population. Lastly, the proposed study will provide strong, innovative information that has the potential to directly influence current clinical recommendations for other advanced cancer patients with bone metastases. Although the intervention in this study will be highly supervised and targeted to patient's specific needs, given the nature of the modular prescription approach, it has the potential to be performed and implemented in different centres and at the community level thereby reaching a significant number of patients.

Abbreviations

ADT: Androgen deprivation therapy; IES: Impact of events scale; MAX-PC: Memorial anxiety scale for prostate cancer; M3EP: Modular multi-modal exercise program; PSA: Prostate specific antigen; SF-36: Medical outcomes study short-form 36; FACIT-F: Functional assessment of chronic illness therapy-fatigue; TPB: Theory of planned behaviour; LL-FI: The late life - function index; PSQI: Pittsburgh sleep quality index.

Acknowledgements

This study was funded by Movember through Prostate Cancer Foundation of Australia's Research Program ID: NDDA151. DAG is funded by a Movember New Directions Development Award obtained through Prostate Cancer Foundation of Australia's Research Program. SKC is supported by an Australian National Health and Medical Research Council Fellowship (ID 496003). PC is supported by the Cancer Council Western Australia Postdoctoral Research Fellowship.

Author details

¹Edith Cowan University Health and Wellness Institute and School of Exercise and Health Sciences, Edith Cowan University, Joondalup, Australia. ²School of Environmental and Life Sciences, University of Newcastle, Ourimbah, Australia. ³Department of Radiation Oncology, Sir Charles Gairdner Hospital, Nedlands, Australia. ⁴Faculty of Medicine, University of Western Australia, Nedlands, Australia. ⁵Griffith Health Institute, Griffith University, Gold Coast, Australia. ⁶Viertel Centre for Research in Cancer Control, Cancer Council Queensland, Brisbane, Australia. ⁷School of Medicine and Public Health, University of Newcastle, Newcastle, Australia. ⁸Newcastle Mater Hospital, Newcastle, Australia. ⁹Perth Radiological Clinic, Joondalup Health Campus, Joondalup, Australia.

Authors' contributions

DAG, PC, NS, DRT, and RUN developed the study concept and protocols and initiated the project. SKC, CPM, MB, JD, GG and DJ assisted in further development of the protocol. DAG, PC, DRT and RUN drafted the manuscript. NS, JD, and DJ will provide access to patients. DAG, PC, DRT, CPM, MB and RUN will implement the protocol and oversee collection of the data. All authors contributed and approved the final manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Received: 22 November 2011 Accepted: 13 December 2011
Published: 13 December 2011

References

1. Small EJ, Smith MR, Seaman JJ, Petrone S, Kowalski MO: Combined analysis of two multicenter, randomized, placebo-controlled studies of pamidronate disodium for the palliation of bone pain in men with metastatic prostate cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 2003, **21**(23):4277-4284.
2. Fizazi K, Beuzeboc P, Lumbroso J, Haddad V, Massard C, Gross-Goupil M, Di Palma M, Escudier B, Theodore C, Loriot Y, et al: Phase II trial of consolidation docetaxel and samarium-153 in patients with bone metastases from castration-resistant prostate cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 2009, **27**(15):2429-2435.
3. Carlin BI, Andriole GL: The natural history, skeletal complications, and management of bone metastases in patients with prostate carcinoma. *Cancer* 2000, **88**(12 Suppl):2989-2994.
4. Saad F, Olsson C, Schulman CC: Skeletal morbidity in men with prostate cancer: quality-of-life considerations throughout the continuum of care. *Eur Urol* 2004, **46**(6):731-739, discussion 739-740.
5. Jemal A, Siegel R, Xu J, Ward E: Cancer statistics. *CA Cancer J Clin* 2010, **60**(5):277-300.
6. Lee RJ, Saylor PJ, Smith MR: Treatment and prevention of bone complications from prostate cancer. *Bone* 2011, **48**(1):88-95.
7. Weinfurt KP, Li Y, Castel LD, Saad F, Timbie JW, Glendenning GA, Schulman KA: The significance of skeletal-related events for the health-related quality of life of patients with metastatic prostate cancer. *Ann Oncol* 2005, **16**(4):579-584.
8. Shahinian VB, Kuo YF, Freeman JL, Goodwin JS: Risk of fracture after androgen deprivation for prostate cancer. *N Engl J Med* 2005, **352**(2):154-164.
9. Sharifi N, Gulley JL, Dahut WL: Androgen deprivation therapy for prostate cancer. *JAMA* 2005, **294**(2):238-244.
10. Diamond TH, Higano CS, Smith MR, Guise TA, Singer FR: Osteoporosis in men with prostate carcinoma receiving androgen-deprivation therapy: recommendations for diagnosis and therapies. *Cancer* 2004, **100**(5):892-899.
11. Galvão DA, Spry NA, Taaffe DR, Newton RU, Stanley J, Shannon T, Rowling C, Prince R: Changes in muscle, fat and bone mass after 36 weeks of maximal androgen blockade for prostate cancer. *BJU Int* 2008, **102**(1):44-47.
12. Galvão DA, Taaffe DR, Spry N, Joseph D, Turner D, Newton RU: Reduced muscle strength and functional performance in men with prostate cancer undergoing androgen suppression: a comprehensive cross-sectional investigation. *Prostate Cancer Prostatic Dis* 2009, **12**(2):198-203.
13. Ebeling PR: Clinical practice. Osteoporosis in men. *N Engl J Med* 2008, **358**(14):1474-1482.
14. Oefelein MG, Ricchiuti V, Conrad W, Resnick MI: Skeletal fractures negatively correlate with overall survival in men with prostate cancer. *J Urol* 2002, **168**(3):1005-1007.
15. Petrylak DP, Tangen CM, Hussain MH, Lara PN Jr, Jones JA, Taplin ME, Burch PA, Berry D, Moinpour C, Kohli M, et al: Docetaxel and estramustine compared with mitoxantrone and prednisone for advanced refractory prostate cancer. *N Engl J Med* 2004, **351**(15):1513-1520.
16. Oudard S, Banu E, Beuzeboc P, Voog E, Dourthe LM, Hardy-Bessard AC, Linassier C, Scotte F, Banu A, Coscas Y, et al: Multicenter randomized phase II study of two schedules of docetaxel, estramustine, and prednisone versus mitoxantrone plus prednisone in patients with metastatic hormone-refractory prostate cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 2005, **23**(15):3343-3351.
17. Coleman RE: Metastatic bone disease: clinical features, pathophysiology and treatment strategies. *Cancer Treat Rev* 2001, **27**(3):165-176.
18. Eton DT, Lepore SJ: Prostate cancer and health-related quality of life: a review of the literature. *Psychooncology* 2002, **11**(4):307-326.
19. Albertsen PC, Aaronson NK, Muller MJ, Keller SD, Ware JE Jr: Health-related quality of life among patients with metastatic prostate cancer. *Urology* 1997, **49**(2):207-216, discussion 216-207.
20. Windsor PM, Nicol KF, Potter J: A randomized, controlled trial of aerobic exercise for treatment-related fatigue in men receiving radical external beam radiotherapy for localized prostate carcinoma. *Cancer* 2004, **101**(3):550-557.
21. Galvão DA, Nosaka K, Taaffe DR, Peake J, Spry N, Suzuki K, Yamaya K, McGuigan MR, Kristjanson LJ, Newton RU: Endocrine and immune

- responses to resistance training in prostate cancer patients. *Prostate Cancer Prostatic Dis* 2008, **11**(2):160-165.
22. Galvao DA, Taaffe DR, Spry N, Joseph D, Newton RU: **Combined resistance and aerobic exercise program reverses muscle loss in men undergoing androgen suppression therapy for prostate cancer without bone metastases: a randomized controlled trial.** *J Clin Oncol* 2010, **28**(2):340-347.
 23. Galvao DA, Nosaka K, Taaffe DR, Spry N, Kristjansson LJ, McGuigan MR, Suzuki K, Yamaya K, Newton RU: **Resistance training and reduction of treatment side effects in prostate cancer patients.** *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2006, **38**(12):2045-2052.
 24. Schmitz KH, Courneya KS, Sigal RJ, Kenny GP, Prud'Homme DG, Malone SC, Wells GA, Scott CG, Slovinec D'Angelo ME: **Randomized controlled trial of resistance or aerobic exercise in men receiving radiation therapy for prostate cancer.** *J Clin Oncol* 2009, **27**(3):344-351.
 25. Segal RJ, Reid RD, Courneya KS, Malone SC, Parliament MB, Scott CG, Venner PM, Quinney HA, Jones LW, D'Angelo ME, et al: **Resistance exercise in men receiving androgen deprivation therapy for prostate cancer.** *J Clin Oncol* 2003, **21**(9):1653-1659.
 26. Schmitz KH, Courneya KS, Matthews C, Demark-Wahnefried W, Galvao DA, Pinto BM, Irwin ML, Wolin KY, Segal RJ, Lucia A, et al: **American College of Sports Medicine roundtable on exercise guidelines for cancer survivors.** *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2010, **42**(7):1409-1426.
 27. Galvao DA, Taaffe DR: **Resistance exercise dosage in older adults: single-versus multiset effects on physical performance and body composition.** *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2005, **53**(12):2090-2097.
 28. Taaffe DR, Duret C, Wheeler S, Marcus R: **Once-weekly resistance exercise improves muscle strength and neuromuscular performance in older adults.** *J Am Geriatr Soc* 1999, **47**(10):1208-1214.
 29. Myers AM, Fletcher PC, Myers AH, Sherk W: **Discriminative and evaluative properties of the activities-specific balance confidence (ABC) scale.** *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci* 1998, **53**(4):M287-294.
 30. Taaffe DR, Henwood TR, Nalls MA, Walker DG, Lang TF, Harris TB: **Alterations in muscle attenuation following detraining and retraining in resistance-trained older adults.** *Gerontology* 2009, **55**(2):217-223.
 31. Ware JE Jr, Gandek B: **Overview of the SF-36 health survey and the international quality of life assessment (IQOLA) project.** *J Clin Epidemiol* 1998, **51**(11):903-912.
 32. Broom R, Du H, Clemons M, Eton D, Dranitsaris G, Simmons C, Ooi W, Cella D: **Switching breast cancer patients with progressive bone metastases to third-generation bisphosphonates: measuring impact using the functional assessment of cancer therapy-bone pain.** *J Pain Symptom Manage* 2009, **38**(2):244-257.
 33. Sayers SP, Jette AM, Haley SM, Heeren TC, Guralnik JM, Fielding RA: **Validation of the late-life function and disability instrument.** *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2004, **52**(9):1554-1559.
 34. Chambers SK, Lynch BM, Aitken J, Baade P: **Relationship over time between psychological distress and physical activity in colorectal cancer survivors.** *J Clin Oncol* 2009, **27**(10):1600-1606.
 35. Roth AJ, Rosenfeld B, Kornblith AB, Gibson C, Scher HI, Curley-Smart T, Holland JC, Breitbart W: **The memorial anxiety scale for prostate cancer: validation of a new scale to measure anxiety in men with prostate cancer.** *Cancer* 2003, **97**(11):2910-2918.
 36. Sundin EC, Horowitz MJ: **Impact of event scale: psychometric properties.** *Br J Psychiatry* 2002, **180**:205-209.
 37. Reddy S, Bruera E, Pace E, Zhang K, Reyes-Gibby CC: **Clinically important improvement in the intensity of fatigue in patients with advanced cancer.** *J Palliat Med* 2007, **10**(5):1068-1075.
 38. Cramp F, Daniel J: **Exercise for the management of cancer-related fatigue in adults.** *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2008, **16**(2):CD006145.
 39. Beck SL, Schwartz AL, Towsley G, Dudley W, Barsevick A: **Psychometric evaluation of the Pittsburgh sleep quality index in cancer patients.** *J Pain Symptom Manage* 2004, **27**(2):140-148.
 40. Buysse DJ, Reynolds CF, Monk TH, Berman SR, Kupfer DJ: **The Pittsburgh sleep quality index: a new instrument for psychiatric practice and research.** *Psychiatry Res* 1989, **28**(2):193-213.
 41. Ajzen I: **The theory of planned behavior.** *Orgn Behav Hum Dec Processes* 1991, **50**:179-211.
 42. Plasqui G, Westerterp KR: **Physical activity assessment with accelerometers: an evaluation against doubly labeled water.** *Obesity (Silver Spring)* 2007, **15**(10):2371-2379.
 43. Galvao DA, Spry N, Taaffe DR, Denham J, Joseph D, Lamb DS, Levin G, Duchesne G, Newton RU: **A randomized controlled trial of an exercise intervention targeting cardiovascular and metabolic risk factors for prostate cancer patients from the RADAR trial.** *BMC Cancer* 2009, **9**(1):419.
 44. Newton RU, Taaffe DR, Spry N, Gardiner RA, Levin G, Wall B, Joseph D, Chambers SK, Galvao DA: **A phase III clinical trial of exercise modalities on treatment side-effects in men receiving therapy for prostate cancer.** *BMC Cancer* 2009, **9**:210.
 45. Galvao DA, Taaffe DR, Spry N, Joseph D, Newton RU: **Acute versus chronic exposure to androgen suppression for prostate cancer: impact on the exercise response.** *J Urol* 2011, **186**(4):1291-1297.
 46. Newton RU, Hakkinen K, Hakkinen A, McCormick M, Volek J, Kraemer WJ: **Mixed-methods resistance training increases power and strength of young and older men.** *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2002, **34**(8):1367-1375.
 47. Galvao DA, Newton RU: **Review of exercise intervention studies in cancer patients.** *J Clin Oncol* 2005, **23**(4):899-909.

Pre-publication history

The pre-publication history for this paper can be accessed here:
<http://www.biomedcentral.com/1471-2407/11/517/prepub>

doi:10.1186/1471-2407-11-517

Cite this article as: Galvão et al.: Efficacy and safety of a modular multi-modal exercise program in prostate cancer patients with bone metastases: a randomized controlled trial. *BMC Cancer* 2011 **11**:517.

**Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central
and take full advantage of:**

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

Submit your manuscript at
www.biomedcentral.com/submit

