



UNIVERSITY
OF WOLLONGONG
AUSTRALIA

University of Wollongong
Research Online

Faculty of Social Sciences - Papers

Faculty of Social Sciences

2016

Sedentary time, physical activity and compliance with IOM recommendations in young children at childcare

Yvonne Ellis

University of Wollongong, yge019@uowmail.edu.au

Dylan P. Cliff

University of Wollongong, dylanc@uow.edu.au

Xanne Janssen

University of Strathclyde, xj512@uowmail.edu.au

Rachel A. Jones

University of Wollongong, rachelj@uow.edu.au

John J. Reilly

University of Strathclyde

See next page for additional authors

Publication Details

Ellis, Y. G., Cliff, D. P., Janssen, X., Jones, R. A., Reilly, J. J. & Okely, A. D. (2017). Sedentary time, physical activity and compliance with IOM recommendations in young children at childcare. *Preventive Medicine Reports*, 7 221-226.

Research Online is the open access institutional repository for the University of Wollongong. For further information contact the UOW Library: research-pubs@uow.edu.au

Sedentary time, physical activity and compliance with IOM recommendations in young children at childcare

Abstract

The aim of this study was to report patterns of sitting, standing and physical activity (PA) and compliance with Institute of Medicine (IOM) recommendations for sedentary behavior (SB) and PA among children aged 1 to 5 years at childcare, and examine sociodemographic variations. Sitting, standing and PA time was assessed using an activPAL inclinometer over a period of 1 to 5 days in 301 children (49% boys; mean age = 3.7 ± 1.0 years) across 11 childcare services in Illawarra, NSW, Australia. Breaks and bouts of sitting and standing were calculated and categorized. Height and weight were assessed and parents completed a demographic survey. Differences by sex, age category (< 3 vs ≥ 3 years), weight status and SES were examined. Children spent 48.4% of their time at childcare sitting, 32.5% standing, and 19.1% in PA. Boys spent significantly more time in PA compared to girls (20.8% vs 17.7%; $P = 0.003$). Toddlers (< 3 years) spent significantly more time in PA compared to preschoolers (≥ 3 years) (22.2% vs 18.3%; $P < 0.001$). Children who were underweight spent significantly more time sitting compared with their overweight peers (52.4% vs 46.8%; $P = 0.003$). 56% and 16% of children met the IOM SB and PA recommendations, respectively. Girls (odds ratio [OR]; 95%CI = 0.26; 0.13 to 0.55) and preschoolers (0.16; 0.07 to 0.38) were less likely to meet the IOM PA recommendation compared to boys and toddlers. Young children spent ~ 50% of their time at childcare sitting. Girls and preschoolers sit more and are less likely to meet PA recommendations, making them important groups to target in future interventions.

Keywords

sedentary, time, physical, activity, compliance, children, iom, childcare, recommendations, young

Disciplines

Education | Social and Behavioral Sciences

Publication Details

Ellis, Y. G., Cliff, D. P., Janssen, X., Jones, R. A., Reilly, J. J. & Okely, A. D. (2017). Sedentary time, physical activity and compliance with IOM recommendations in young children at childcare. *Preventive Medicine Reports*, 7 221-226.

Authors

Yvonne Ellis, Dylan P. Cliff, Xanne Janssen, Rachel A. Jones, John J. Reilly, and Anthony D. Okely

Sedentary Time, Physical Activity and Compliance with IOM Recommendations in Young Children at Childcare

Yvonne G. Ellis^a, MSc; Dylan P. Cliff^a, PhD; Xanne Janssen^b, PhD; Rachel A. Jones^a, PhD; John J. Reilly^b, PhD; Anthony D. Okely^a,
EdD.

Affiliations: ^a Early Start Research Institute, Faculty of Social Sciences, University of Wollongong, ^b University of Strathclyde,
Glasgow, United Kingdom

Email addresses:

Dylan Cliff, PhD^a dylanc@uow.edu.au

Xanne Janssen, PhD^b xanne.janssen@strath.ac.uk

Rachel Jones, PhD^a. rachelj@uow.edu.au

John Reilly, PhD^b john.j.reilly@strath.ac.uk

Anthony D. Okely, EdD^a, tokely@uow.edu.au

Address correspondence to: Yvonne Ellis, Early Start Research Institute, Faculty of Social Sciences, University of Wollongong,
Wollongong NSW 2522, Australia, [yge019@uowmail.edu.au], +61 242 215486

Short title: Sitting/physical activity time in childcare

Abstract

The aim of this study was to report patterns of sitting, standing and physical activity (PA) and compliance with Institute of Medicine (IOM) recommendations for sedentary behavior (SB) and PA among children aged 1 to 5 years at childcare, and examine sociodemographic variations.

Sitting, standing and PA time was assessed using an activPAL accelerometer over a period of 1 to 5 days in 301 children (49% boys; mean age=3.7±1.0years) across 11 childcare services in Illawarra, NSW, Australia. Breaks and bouts of sitting and standing were calculated and categorized. Height and weight were assessed and parents completed a demographic survey. Differences by sex, age category (<3 vs ≥3years), weight status and SES were examined.

Children spent 48.4% of their time at childcare sitting, 32.5% standing, and 19.1% in PA. Boys spent significantly more time in PA compared to girls (20.8% vs 17.7%; P =0.003). Toddlers (<3years) spent significantly more time in PA compared to preschoolers (≥3years) (22.2% vs 18.3%; P<0.001). Children who were underweight spent significantly more time sitting compared with their overweight peers (52.4% vs 46.8%; P=0.003). 56% and 16% of children met the IOM SB and PA recommendations, respectively.

Girls (odds ratio[OR]; 95% CI=0.26;0.13 to 0.55) and preschoolers (0.16;0.07 to 0.38) were less likely to meet the IOM PA recommendation compared to boys and toddlers. Young children spent ~50% of their time at childcare sitting. Girls and preschoolers sit more and are less likely to meet PA recommendations, making them important groups to target in future interventions.

Keywords: Accelerometry; Pediatrics; Sedentary lifestyle; Physical activity; Preschool

BACKGROUND

Young children are showing high levels of sedentary behaviour (SB) and low levels of physical activity (PA) (Okely et al., 2008; Reilly, 2010). Among preschoolers it has been reported that around 73% of their waking hours are spent in SB (Salmon et al., 2011), and that this particular behaviour tracks from early childhood (aged 3-5 years) into childhood (aged 5-8 years) (Jones et al., 2013). Participation in PA during early childhood has been shown to be beneficial for health and development (Carson et al., 2015; Janssen and LeBlanc, 2010). In addition, there is growing evidence that spending excessive time in sedentary pursuits, independent of the amount of moderate- to vigorous-intensity physical activity (MVPA) undertaken, may be adversely associated with adiposity and cardio metabolic health outcomes in children, particularly among those overweight, obese or at-risk of overweight and obesity (Cliff et al., 2014; Saunders et al., 2013). Furthermore, studies in adults have shown that standing and breaking up sitting time are beneficial for cardio-metabolic health (Healy et al., 2015; Júdice et al., 2016).

Several countries and organisations have acknowledged the importance of limiting sedentary time and increasing PA in young children (Canadian Society for Exercise Physiology, 2012; Department of Health, 2011; Department of Health and Aging, 2010). More recently, the Institute Of Medicine (IOM) in the US has provided specific recommendations around SB and PA for childcare or preschool; stating that young children should be allowed to move freely and that sitting or standing still should be limited to 30 minutes at one time, and providing opportunities for children to participate in PA for at least 15 minutes per hour while in care (Institute of Medicine, 2011). Few studies have objectively examined the prevalence of sitting, standing and PA time among children while they attend childcare (Brown et al., 2009), however none have examined how sitting varies by socio-demographic factors, which is important to determine if targeted interventions are required. Furthermore, limited data are available on compliance with current IOM recommendations (Pate et al., 2015). Only one study has objectively assessed PA at childcare, and it was conducted in the USA (Pate et al., 2015). Reporting data from other countries is important to understand prevalence rates across different countries. Accelerometers worn on the waist are currently the most common method to measure SB and PA in children, however this approach has difficulties discriminating between sitting and standing still (Kozey-Keadle et al., 2011), which is important for accurately assessing SB. The activPal is a unique device that is capable of detecting postures, particularly sitting and standing due to its placement on the thigh (De Decker et al., 2013).

The purpose of this study was to 1) report sitting, standing and PA among children aged 1-5 years in childcare; 2) investigate the differences in sitting, standing and PA and sitting and standing breaks and bouts by sex, age, weight-status and socio-economic status;

and 3) determine the compliance with IOM recommendations for SB and PA among young children while they attend childcare using a posture-based motion sensor.

METHODS

Study design

The Standing Preschools Project was a cross-sectional study of 11 childcare services within the Illawarra and Shoalhaven regions of NSW, Australia (population. 0.4 million). Five of the 11 services were located in middle/high socio-economic status (SES) suburbs and six in low SES suburbs. The SES status of the centre suburb was based on the 2011 Socio-Economic Indices for Areas (SEIFA) Index of Relative Socio-Economic Disadvantage (Australian Bureau of Statistics, 2011). If the score of a suburb was located below the fourth decile, it was categorized as low SES, otherwise middle/high SES. Recruitment and data collection took place over a 6-month period (February-July, 2013).

Participants

All parents/guardians of 1- to 5- year-old children attending the services were invited to participate via written information letters and provided consent for their child to participate. To be eligible, a child needed to be independently mobile. This study received approval by the Human Research Ethics Committee at the University of Wollongong (HE13/406).

Measures

Total time spent in sitting, standing and PA were assessed on each weekday that the child attended the service using an activPAL accelerometer during a 1-week period. The activPAL has shown to be a valid measurement tool for discriminating between different postures in young children (Janssen et al., 2014). The activPAL was placed on participants' upper thigh (Davies et al., 2012; Janssen et al., 2014). Trained research assistants attached the activPAL as each child arrived at the service. The staff or parent/guardian removed monitor when child departed childcare in the afternoon. On and off times were recorded by the research assistant or staff. After the monitors were collected from each service, data were downloaded and entered using activPAL software (v7.2.32). Fifteen second epoch files were used with the Centre for Physical Activity and Health Research (CPAHR) MATLAB program to calculate sitting/lying, standing, PA and non-wear time for each participant per day (Dowd et al., 2012). Times before arrival and departure were manually removed from the total minutes monitored. Naptime was excluded for toddlers and so considered non-wear time, as it was shown that over 90% of children this age still nap (Blair et al., 2012). This was not done for preschoolers as research suggests that nearly three-quarters of preschoolers do not sleep during nap time (Pattinson et al., 2014). For a day to be considered valid, children needed to wear the activPAL ≥ 180 minutes and needed >1 valid day to be included in the analyses (Byun et al., 2013). Sitting breaks and bouts were determined from activPAL outputs. Mean breaks per hour of sitting were calculated as the total sum of all the number of bouts (Dowd et al., 2012). Bouts of sitting were categorised as: <1 minutes, 1-4 minutes, 5-9 minutes, 10-19 minutes, 20-29 minutes, or ≥ 30 minutes (Carson et al., 2014). Compliance with the IOM SB recommendation was derived by calculating the combined sitting and

standing bouts ≥ 30 minutes from the eventfile. Children without a sitting and standing bout ≥ 30 minutes were categorised as complying with the recommendation. To report if children spent 15 minutes in PA per hour, their percentage needed to be $\geq 25\%$ per hour. Children aged 1.0 to 2.9 years were categorized as toddlers, and 3.0 to 5.9 years as preschoolers. Each child's date of birth and sex were collected on the consent form. Height and weight were measured and body mass index (BMI: kg/m^2) was calculated using a portable stadiometer (PE87; Mentone Educational Centre) and a calibrated electronic weight scale (Tanita BF-681; Tanita Corporation of America), according to standardised protocols (Wake et al., 2002). Weight status was calculated using LMSGrowth (Medical Research Council, United Kingdom) and UK reference curves (Cole et al., 1995). Children > 2 years two were categorized as underweight, normal weight, overweight, or obese based on the IOTF (International Obesity Task Force) age- and gender-specific cut-points (Cole et al., 1995). For children < 2 years, percentiles were calculated and categorized in weight statuses using UK reference curves (Cole et al., 1995).

Sample Size and Power

The sample size was calculated based on a relative standard error of less than 25%. Based on a prior pilot study, children spent less than 10% of the day in childcare sitting, requiring 144 children to be sampled. As the childcare service was the unit of observation, the sample size was increased by a design effect of 1.5 – to 216 children – to account for clustering.

Statistical analyses

Analyses were performed in STATA 13 and SPSS21. Descriptive statistics were calculated using means and standard deviations for continuous variables and frequencies and percentages for categorical variables. To determine if differences existed in proportion of sample size within sex, age, weight status and SES, independent samples t-tests or Mann-Whitney *U*-tests were used. Mixed linear regressions were used to examine the difference between sitting, standing and PA time by sex, age, weight status and SES of center and to calculate the intraclass correlation coefficient across the centers. To account for the clustered nature of the data, the models included childcare service as a random effect. Fixed effects such as age, sex and weight status were included as covariates in the mixed models when they were not the predictor being tested. Differences in breaks and bouts between boys and girls; toddlers (1-2 years) and preschoolers (3-5 years); underweight, normal weight, overweight and obese; and low and medium SES groups were examined using linear regression and repeated measures ANOVA. To interpret the differences in percentages of children meeting SB and PA recommendations, odds ratios were calculated by using a logistic regression.

RESULTS

Descriptive characteristics are reported in Table 1. Of the 799 eligible 1- to 5-year-old children from 11 childcare services, 550 children (68%) provided parental consent. Of these, 3 children were absent and 28 children declined to participate on the day of testing, 81 children did not have height and weight measured, and 6 monitors were not returned. Data from 145 children were excluded due to no monitor data, a download error, monitor malfunction or children not meeting criteria of wearing monitor for at least 50% of their time spent at childcare, which left data for 301 children (55% response rate; 52% girls, 23% overweight/obese) for analysis. No

significant differences were found in socio-demographic characteristics between the included and excluded groups ($P=0.89$). Among 301 participants, the average monitor wear time was 1.8 days (± 0.9) and 308.6 (± 76) minutes/day. Boys and girls wore the accelerometers on average for 1.8 days (± 1.0) and 1.8 days (± 0.8), respectively. No significant differences were found in wear time between boys (307.3, 56% minutes/day) and girls (309.8, 57% minutes/day).

Sitting, standing and physical activity

The estimated time spent in sitting, standing and PA by sociodemographics are presented in Table 2. On average, children spent 48.3% of their day sitting, 32.5% standing and 19.1% in PA. Boys spent significantly more time in PA per day compared to girls ($P=0.03$). Toddlers spent significantly less time sitting and significantly more time standing and being physical active compared to preschoolers ($P<.001$). Children who were underweight spent significantly more time sitting than their overweight peers ($P=0.03$).

Sitting breaks and bouts

The total number of sitting breaks and bouts per hour are shown in Table 3. On average, children accumulated 11.9 ± 3.0 breaks per hour. Breaks per hour did not differ by demographic characteristics. On average, 95% of children's sitting bouts were <10 minutes. The average number of <1 minute sitting or lying bouts/hour was significantly higher in boys compared to girls ($P<.001$), toddlers compared to preschoolers ($P<.001$), low and middle/high SES children ($P<0.05$), obese compared to normal weight children ($P=0.003$), and overweight compared to normal weight children ($P=0.01$). Underweight children had significantly more <1 minute bouts compared

to normal weight, overweight and obese children ($P<0.01$). The number of 5-9minute bouts was significantly greater in preschoolers compared to toddlers ($P=0.02$). The number of 10-19min, 20-29min or ≥ 30 min sitting bouts per hour did not differ by demographic characteristics.

Compliance with IOM recommendations is shown in Table 4. Of the 301 children, 56% met the IOM recommendation for SB. Only 16% of children met the IOM PA recommendation. Girls (0.26;0.13-0.55), preschoolers (0.16;0.07-0.38) and children from middle/high SES (0.71;0.36-1.41) were less likely to meet the IOM PA recommendation compared to boys, toddlers and participants from low SES, respectively.

DISCUSSION

To our knowledge, this is one of the first studies to report on both objectively measured sedentary time, where sitting is distinguished from standing, PA in young children at childcare and to report compliance with both the IOM SB and PA recommendations. We found that children aged 1 to 5 years spent around half of their total time at childcare sitting, one-third standing, and one-fifth being physically active. We also found significant differences in sitting, standing and PA by socio-demographic factors. Specifically, boys spent more time in PA compared to girls, and toddlers spent less time sitting and more time standing and being physical active compared to pre-schoolers. Further, preschool children had significantly greater 5-9minutes sitting bouts compared to toddlers.

Approximately half of the children met the IOM recommendation for SB, but less than one in five children met the IOM recommendation for PA.

Previous studies have reported children's sitting or sedentary time during childcare (Brown et al., 2009; Carson et al., 2016a, b; Pate et al., 2004; Tandon et al., 2015), one of which also examined standing time (Brown et al., 2009). Using hip-mounted accelerometers, Carson et al. (Carson et al., 2016) reported that young Australian children were sedentary for 48% of their time at childcare, which is consistent with the current study. Brown et al. (Brown et al., 2009) used direct observation and reported a lower proportion of time sitting (43%) and standing (15%) than the current study (Brown et al., 2009). Methodological differences might explain the contrasting findings for standing time. The current study and Carson et al. (Carson et al., 2016) used accelerometry, whereas Brown et al. (Brown et al., 2009) used a momentary time sampling strategy to collect data via direct observation. Accelerometers collect data on each individual child while direct observation typically collects data on a randomly selected subset of children. Additionally, only standing still was coded as standing in Brown et al.'s (Brown et al., 2009) study. If children were standing stationary but performing another activity, such as throwing, dancing or climbing, they categorised it as an alternative activity rather than standing. However, in the current study the activPAL would have coded this as standing. Collectively, these studies indicate that young children spend close to half their time sitting and between 20-30% of their time standing while at childcare.

Few studies examined the time spent in PA among children at childcare. However, given that stepping (output activPAL) represents activity of a similar intensity to light-moderate- and vigorous-intensity physical activity (LMVPA), which is the intensity identified in PA recommendations for young children (Canadian Society for Exercise Physiology, 2012; Department of Health, 2011; Department of Health and Aging, 2010), the results can be compared with other studies that have reported the proportion of time spent in LMVPA at childcare. Brown et al. (Brown et al., 2009) reported that 8% of total intervals by activity levels was spent in light activity and 3% in MVPA, resulting in a total proportion of time in LMVPA of 11% (Brown et al., 2009), which is 7% less compared to the current study. Pate et al. (Pate et al., 2008) used the Actigraph over two weeks and showed that children in preschool spent 17.5% of their hour in light activity and 13% in MVPA, which is a total of 30.5% spent in LMVPA per hour. This is 12% more compared to the present study. Differences in sample characteristics may explain the differences in findings between the current study and Pate et al.'s (Pate et al., 2008; Pate et al., 2015; Pate et al., 2004). One other study (Pate et al., 2015) reported the prevalence of compliance with IOM PA recommendation for preschool children in two independent samples (41.6% and 50.2%), which is roughly three times higher than the current study. Methodological differences might explain these large differences. Pate et al. (Pate et al., 2015) used a hip-mounted Actigraph to measure PA, while the current study used an activPAL. Pate et al. (Pate et al., 2015) also measured PA across the whole day during and outside of childcare hours, whereas assessments in the current study were completed only during childcare hours. As such, the results for our sample suggest that PA levels during childcare were low and may require intervention.

Consistent with previous studies, boys were more active than girls (Finn et al., 2002; Jackson et al., 2003; McKenzie et al., 1992). Furthermore, boys were more likely to meet the IOM PA recommendation compared to girls, which is consistent with Pate et al. (Pate

et al., 2015). An explanation for these findings is that certain observational studies of preschool children indicate that boys engage in more vigorous intensity activities, play in larger groups in more open settings, and engage in more risk-taking behaviour (Eaton and Enns, 1986; Hoffmann and Powlishta, 2001). This could explain why boys in our sample spent more time in PA. This finding is useful for educators and pediatricians in their role of promoting PA for young children, with an additional focus on girls during the early years.

No studies have looked at the difference in activity levels between toddlers and preschoolers in childcare. Gubbels et al. (Gubbels et al., 2011) showed activity levels of 2- and 3-year-old children, without any differences. However, a previous study has reported that children of 3 year old were more active compared to 4- and 5-year-olds (Pate et al., 2008). Pate et al. (Pate et al., 2008) showed that in particular, 3 year old boys were more active than 4- and 5-year-old children: however, this difference was not observed for girls. Children aged 4 and 5 years also spent more time in sedentary pursuits compared to 3-year-old children (Pate et al., 2008). A possible explanation could be that 4- and 5-year-old children undertake more structured activities; to prepare them for elementary school, resulting in more time spent sitting and less time being physically active. This could explain our other findings that preschoolers accumulated more 5-9 minutes bouts compared to toddlers, and that toddlers are more likely to meet the PA recommendation. These results suggest a balance is needed between meeting children's educational and health needs to reduce sitting. At this stage the optimal length of a bout of sitting time and how frequently sitting time should be broken up in young children is not known. However,

providing children with the choice to break-up sitting time while at childcare is important. Possible modifications could involve children work at standing-desks to complete academic activities such as writing, drawing or reading.

To the authors' knowledge no studies have measured differences in sitting time by weight status in young children at childcare. There were no differences between normal weight and other weight groups. We found that underweight children (n=19) had higher levels of sitting compared to overweight children (n=51), although the small number of children included in each group may have contributed to these findings. Another possible explanation for this counterintuitive result might be potentially poorer physical and motor development, which supports participation in active play among underweight children compared to normal weight children (Roberts et al., 2012). Young children with poorer motor skills demonstrate more time in SB and less time in PA (Williams et al., 2008).

Recent recommendations around SB at childcare from the IOM suggest that young children should be allowed to move freely and sitting or standing should be limited to 30 minutes at a time (Institute of Medicine, 2011). Only just over half of the children (56%) met the IOM recommendation for SB. No other studies confirm this finding. This reinforces that childcare services should implement activities to encourage children to move and walk more frequently as part of their daily routines. Furthermore, the current IOM recommendation for SB is different compared to the widely accepted definition of SB from the Sedentary Behaviour Research Network (Sedentary Behaviour Research Network, 2012). This presents a challenge for researchers and practitioners in the assessment and operationalization of these recommendations in practice. It is suggested that this inconsistency is resolved in the near future.

The strengths of the current study include the use of an objective and direct measure to assess sitting, standing and PA, thus overcoming some of the limitations in other assessment methods. Second, the large and diverse sample from different geographical areas including children aged less than three years, for which there is limited evidence in the literature, strengthens the generalizability of the findings. Third, SB and PA were only assessed in the childcare setting, which allowed the assessment of compliance with the IOM recommendation. Limitations include the low response rate, because a considerable proportion of the consented children had to be excluded due to not having all required valid data. Furthermore, the inclusion of nap time for the small proportion of pre-schoolers who might still nap may have impacted on the estimates of their behaviours.

CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, young children in our sample spent approximately half of their time sitting while at childcare, and only a small proportion meet childcare based PA recommendations. Strategies to replace or break-up sitting time with more standing and LMVPA are warranted, particularly in girls and preschool aged children. Implementing changes in policies, practices, and environments within the childcare service are imperative to reduce total sitting time and increase PA.

Abbreviations: BMI: Body Mass Index; SES: Socio-Economic Status; MVPA: Moderate to Vigorous Physical Activity; PA: Physical Activity; SB: Sedentary Behavior.

Availability of data and materials: The dataset(s) supporting the conclusions of this article will not be shared as the data is still being used for other analyses during my PhD. This will be available for the public at the end of my PhD.

Conflict of interests: The authors declare that they have no conflict of interests.

Funding/support: This study was funded by a faculty research grant by The University of Wollongong. Cliff was funded an Australian Research Council (ARC) Discovery Early Career Researcher Award (DE140101588). Okely was funded a National Heart Foundation of Australia Career Development Fellowship (CR11S 6099). The funders of the study had no role in the design and conduct of the study: collection, management, analysis, or interpretation of the data: preparation, review, or approval of the manuscript: and decision to submit the manuscript for publication.

Author contributions: All authors were involved in the development of the research questions and the design of the study. YE had full access to all of the data in the study and take responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis. YE conducted data manipulation and analyses and drafted the manuscript. All authors contributed to the interpretation of data and were involved in the writing and critically revising of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final version.

Acknowledgments: We thank the participating children and parents, the childcare services located in the Illawarra regions, Tamara

Raso (Project manager), and Penny Cross (Data manager).

REFERENCES

- Australian Bureau of Statistics, 2011. Census of Population and Housing: Socio-Economic Indexes for Areas (SEIFA) 2033.055.001. 28 March 2013 ed. Australian Bureau of Statistics, Canberra. Available from: Retrieved Sep 12, 2015 from <http://www.abs.gov.au/AUSSTATS/abs@.nsf/DetailsPage/2033.055.0012011?OpenDocument>.
- Blair, P.S., Humphreys, J.S., Gringras, P., Taheri, S., Scott, N., Emond, A., Henderson, J., Fleming, P.J., 2012. Childhood sleep duration and associated demographic characteristics in an English cohort. *Sleep* 35:353-60.
- Brown, W.H., Pfeiffer, K.A., McIver, K.L., Dowda, M., Addy, C.L., Pate, R.R., 2009. Social and environmental factors associated with preschoolers, nonsedentary physical activity. *Child development* 80 (1):45-58.
- Byun, W., Liu, J., Pate, R.R., 2013. Association between objectively measured sedentary behavior and body mass index in preschool children. *Int J Obes (Lond)* 37(7):961-5.
- Canadian Society for Exercise Physiology, 2012. Canadian Sedentary Behaviour Guidelines for the early years 0-4, Canadian Sedentary Guidelines Statements, Ontario. Retrieved May 20, 2015 from http://www.csep.ca/cmfiles/Guidelines/csep_sbguidelines_early-years_en.pdf.
- Carson, V., Hunter, S., Kuzik, N., Wiebe, S.A., Spence, J.C., Friedman, A., Tremblay, M.S., Slater, L., Hinkley, T., 2015. Systematic review of physical activity and cognitive development in early childhood. *J Sci. Med. Sport* 19(7): 573-8
- Carson, V., Salmon, J., Crawford, D., Hinkley, T., Hesketh, K.D., 2016. Longitudinal levels and bouts of objectively measured sedentary time among young Australian children in the HAPPY study. *J. Sci. Med. Sport* 13:173. doi:10.1016/j.jams.2015.01.009
- Carson, V., Stone, M., Faulkner, G., 2014. Patterns of sedentary behavior and weight status among children. *Pediatr. Exerc. Sci* 26(1): 95-102.
- Cliff, D.P., Jones, R.A., Burrows, T.L., Morgan, P.J., Collins, C.E., Baur, L.A., Okely, A.D., 2014. Volumes and bouts of sedentary behavior and physical activity: associations with cardiometabolic health in obese children. *Obesity* 22:E112-E18.
- Cole, T.J., Freeman, J.V., Preece, M.A., 1995. Body mass index reference curves for the UK, 1990. *Archives of disease in childhood* 73(1):25-29.
- Davies, G., Reilly, J., McGowan, A., Dall, P., Granat, M., Paton, J., 2012. Validity, practical utility, and reliability of the activPAL in preschool children. *Med. Sci. Sports and Exerc.* 44:761-68.
- De Decker, E., De Craemer, M., Santos-Lozano, A., Van Cauwenberghe, E., De Bourdeaudhuij, I., Cardon, G., 2013. Validity of the ActivPAL™ and the ActiGraph monitors in preschoolers. *Med. Sci. Sports and Exerc.* 45:2002-11.
- Department of Health, 2011. UK physical activity guidelines. Retrieved Aug 12, 2015 from <http://www.gov.uk/government/publications/uk-physical-activity-guidelines>.
- Department of Health and Aging, 2010. National Physical Activity Recommendations for Children 0-5 Years, Physical activity. Commonwealth of Australia, Canberra, pp. Physical activity guidelines for 0-5 year olds. Retrieved Oct 2, 2015 from <http://www.healthgov.au/internet/main/publishing.nsf/Content/npra-05yrs-brochure>
- Dowd, K.P., Harrington, D.M., Bourke, A.K., Nelson, J., Donnelly, A.E., 2012. The measurement of sedentary patterns and behaviors using the activPAL™ Professional physical activity monitor. *Physiological measurement* 33:1887.

- Eaton, W.O., Enns, L.R., 1986. Sex differences in human motor activity level. *Psychological bulletin* 100(1):19.
- Finn, K., Johannsen, N., Specker, B., 2002. Factors associated with physical activity in preschool children. *J. Pediatr.* 140:81-85.
- Gubbels, J.S., Kremers, S.P., Van Kann, D.H., Stafleu, A., Candel, M.J., Dagnelie, P.C., Thijs, C., De Vries, N.K., 2011. Interaction between physical environment, social environment, and child characteristics in determining physical activity at child care. *Health Psychology* 30(1):84.
- Healy, G.N., Winkler, E.A., Owen, N., Anuradha, S., Dunstan, D.W., 2015. Replacing sitting time with standing or stepping: associations with cardio-metabolic risk biomarkers. *European heart journal*. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/ehv308>
- Hoffmann, M.L., Powlishta, K.K., 2001. Gender segregation in childhood: A test of the interaction style theory. *J. Genetic Psychol.* 162(3):298-313.
- Institute of Medicine, 2011. Early Childhood Obesity Prevention Policies Institute Of Medicine. Retrieved Feb 2, 2015 from <http://iom.edu/Reports/2011/Early-Childhood-Obesity-Prevention-Policies/Recommendations.aspx>.
- Jackson, D.M., Reilly, J.J., Kelly, L.A., Montgomery, C., Grant, S., Paton, J.Y., 2003. Objectively Measured Physical Activity in a Representative Sample of 3, to 4, Year Old Children. *Obesity research* 11(3):420-25.
- Janssen, I., LeBlanc, A.G., 2010. Systematic review of the health benefits of physical activity and fitness in school-aged children and youth. *International journal of behavioral nutrition and physical activity* 7:40.
- Janssen, X., Cliff, D., Reilly, J.J., Hinkley, T., Jones, R., Batterham, M., Ekelund, U., Brage, S., Okely, T., 2014. Validation of activPAL TM Defined Sedentary Time and Breaks in Sedentary. *Pediatr. Exerc. Sci.* 26(1):110-117
- Jones, R.A., Hinkley, T., Okely, A.D., Salmon, J., 2013. Tracking physical activity and sedentary behavior in childhood: a systematic review. *Am. J. Prev. Med* 44(6):651-58.
- Júdice, P.B., Hamilton, M.T., Sardinha, L.B., Zderic, T.W., Silva, A.M., 2016. What is the metabolic and energy cost of sitting, standing and sit/stand transitions? *European journal of applied physiology* 116(2):263-73.
- Kozey-Keadle, S., Libertine, A., Lyden, K., Staudenmayer, J., Freedson, P.S., 2011. Validation of wearable monitors for assessing sedentary behavior. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 43(8):1561-67.
- McKenzie, T.L., Sallis, J.F., Nader, P.R., Broyles, S.L., Nelson, J.A., 1992. Anglo-and Mexican-American preschoolers at home and at recess: activity patterns and environmental influences. *J. Dev. & Behav. Pediatr.* 13(3):173-80.
- Okely, A., Salmon, J., Trost, S., Hinkley, T., 2008. Discussion paper for the development of physical activity recommendations for children under five years. Canberra: Australian Department of Health and Ageing:1-191.
- Pate, R.R., McIver, K., Dowda, M., Brown, W.H., Addy, C., 2008. Directly observed physical activity levels in preschool children. *J Sch Health* 78(8):438-44.
- Pate, R.R., O'Neill, J.R., Brown, W.H., Pfeiffer, K.A., Dowda, M., Addy, C.L., 2015. Prevalence of Compliance with a New Physical Activity Guideline for Preschool-Age Children. *Child Obes.* 11(4), 415-420.
- Pate, R.R., Pfeiffer, K.A., Trost, S.G., Ziegler, P., Dowda, M., 2004. Physical activity among children attending preschools. *Pediatrics* 114(5):1258-63.

- Pattinson, C.L., Staton, S.L., Smith, S.S., Sinclair, D.M., Thorpe, K.J., 2014. Emotional Climate and Behavioral Management during Sleep Time in Early Childhood Education Settings. *Early Childhood Research Quarterly*;29(4):660-68.
- Reilly, J.J., 2010. Low levels of objectively measured physical activity in preschoolers in child care. *Med. Sci. Sports Exerc*;42(3):502-07.
- Roberts, D., Veneri, D., Decker, R., Gannotti, M., 2012. Weight status and gross motor skill in kindergarten children. *Pediatr. Phys. Ther.* 24(4):353-60.
- Salmon, J., Tremblay, M.S., Marshall, S.J., Hume, C., 2011. Health risks, correlates, and interventions to reduce sedentary behavior in young people. *Am J Prev Med* 41(2):197-206.
- Saunders, T.J., Tremblay, M.S., Mathieu, M.-È., Henderson, M., O'Loughlin, J., Tremblay, A., Chaput, J.-P., group, Q.c.r., 2013. Associations of sedentary behavior, sedentary bouts and breaks in sedentary time with cardiometabolic risk in children with a family history of obesity. *PloS one.* 8(11):e79143
- Sedentary Behaviour Research Network, 2012. Standardized use of the terms “sedentary” and “sedentary behaviours”. *Appl Physiol. Nutr. Metab.* 37:540-42.
- Tandon, P.S., Saelens, B.E., Christakis, D.A., 2015. Active Play Opportunities at Child Care. *Pediatrics:peds.* 2014-750.
- Wake, M., Salmon, L., Waters, E., Wright, M., Hesketh, K., 2002. Parent-reported health status of overweight and obese Australian primary school children: a cross-sectional population survey. *Int. J. Obes. Relat. Metab. Disord.* 26(5):717-24.
- Williams, H.G., Pfeiffer, K.A., O'Neill, J.R., Dowda, M., McIver, K.L., Brown, W.H., Pate, R.R., 2008. Motor skill performance and physical activity in preschool children. *Obesity* 16(6):1421-26.

Table 1. Descriptive characteristics of participants, Illawarra NSW, Australia, July 2013.

| Characteristics | Total (n=301) | Boys (n=145) | Girls (n=156) |
|-----------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|
| <i>Age (y), mean (SD)</i> | 3.7 (1.0) | 3.7 (1.0) | 3.7 (0.9) |
| Toddlers (1-2.9) (n=68), mean(SD) | 2.2 (0.5) | 2.2 (0.6) | 2.3 (0.4) |

| | | | |
|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Preschoolers (3.0-5.9) (n=233), mean (SD) | 4.1 (0.6) | 4.2 (0.6) | 4.1 (0.6) |
| <i>Weight status*</i> | | | |
| Underweight (n, %) | 6 (19) | 10 (7) | 9 (6) |
| Normal weight (n, %) | 213 (71) | 98 (67) | 115 (74) |
| Overweight (n, %) | 18 (53) | 30 (21) | 35 (15) |
| Obese (n, %) | 5 (14) | 5 (4) | 9 (6) |
| <i>Socio-economic status</i> | | | |
| Low-income (n, %) | 155(52) | 82 (57) | 73 (47) |
| Middle/high-income (n, %) | 146 (48) | 63 (43) | 83 (53) |
| <i>Wear time</i> | | | |
| Days (n±se) | 1.8 (0.9) | 1.8 (1.0) | 1.8 (0.8) |
| Wear time (min)/d | 309 (76) | 307 (79) | 310 (74) |

* Under 2 yrs: underweight <5 percentile, normal weight 5-85 percentile, overweight 85-95 percentile, obese >95 percentile

Table 2. Time spent in sitting, standing and physical activity (PA) (mean %, SE), Illawarra NSW, Australia, July 2013.

| Characteristics | No. | Sitting | P | 95% CI | Standing | P | 95% CI | PA | P |
|--|-----|-------------|-------------------|-----------|-------------|--------|-----------|-------------|--------|
| ICC | | 0.11 | | | 0.02 | | | 0.14 | |
| Total sample | 301 | 48.3 (0.7) | | | 32.5 (0.5) | | | 19.1 (0.4) | |
| Sex^a | | | | | | | | | |
| Boys | 146 | 47.2 (1.5) | 0.22 | 43.9-50.8 | 32.0 (0.8) | 0.34 | 30.2-33.8 | 20.8 (0.5) | <0.003 |
| Girls | 155 | 49.3 (1.5) | | 45.8-52.5 | 33.0 (1.0) | | 30.9-35.2 | 17.7 (0.4) | |
| Age^b | | | | | | | | | |
| Toddlers (1-2.9) | 71 | 40.3 (1.4) | <0.001 | 35.0-39.9 | 37.4 (1.1) | <0.001 | 34.9-39.9 | 22.2 (1.1) | <0.001 |
| Preschoolers (3.0-5.9) | 230 | 50.6 (0.7) | | 29.2-33.0 | 31.1 (0.5) | | 29.2-32.9 | 18.3 (0.4) | |
| Weight status^c | 299 | | | | | | | | |
| Underweight | 19 | 52.4 (2.0) | | 47.9-56.9 | 28.8 (1.7) | | 26.3-33.6 | 17.2 (1.5) | |
| Normal weight | 215 | 48.2 (1.3) | | 45.4-51.1 | 32.8 (0.7) | | 31.0-34.3 | 19.1 (0.8) | |
| Overweight | 51 | 46.8 (2.3) | 0.03 ^e | 41.8-51.8 | 33.0 (1.2) | | 29.6-36.8 | 20.3 (0.9) | |
| Obese | 14 | 46.7 (3.8) | | 39.2-54.8 | 33.7 (2.3) | | 29.1-37.4 | 19.6 (1.8) | |
| Socio-economic status^c | | | | | | | | | |
| Low-income | 155 | 46.5 (0.9) | 0.93 | 41.8-51.3 | 33.6 (0.7) | 0.67 | 31.4-35.8 | 19.9 (0.5) | 0.45 |
| Middle/high income | 146 | 50.1 (1.2) | | 47.5-52.6 | 31.5 (0.5) | | 29.9-33.0 | 18.5 (0.5) | |

Analyses adjusted for clustering, ^aAdjusted for age category, ^bAdjusted for sex ^cAdjusted for sex, age
^eUnderweight compared to overweight; ICC=*Intraclass Correlation Coefficient*

Table 3. Differences in mean (SD) number of breaks and bouts of sitting per hour by demographics, Illawarra NSW, Australia, July 2013.

| Characteristics | Breaks in sitting per hour | Bouts of sitting per hour | | | | |
|------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|-----------|------------|-----------|------------|
| | | <1min | 1-4min | 5-9min | 10-19min | 20-30min |
| Total sample | 11.9 (0.3) | 6.3 (2.1) | 4.1 (1.3) | 0.9 (0.4) | 0.5 (0.3) | 0.1 (0.1) |
| Sex | | | | | | |
| Boys | 12.0 (0.3) | 6.5 (2.4)* | 4.0 (1.3) | 0.9 (0.4) | 0.5 (0.3) | 0.1 (0.1) |
| Girls | 11.9 (0.4) | 6.1 (1.9) | 4.2 (1.4) | 1.0 (0.4) | 0.5 (0.3) | 0.1 (0.1) |
| Age | | | | | | |
| Toddlers (1-2.9) | 12.0 (0.3) | 6.8 (2.4)* | 4.0 (1.7) | 0.7 (0.4)* | 0.3 (0.3) | 0.08 (0.1) |
| Preschoolers (3.0-5.9) | 11.9 (0.3) | 6.2 (2.0) | 4.1 (1.2) | 1.0 (0.4) | 0.5 (0.3) | 0.1 (0.1) |
| Weight status | | | | | | |
| Underweight | 11.5 (0.4) | 5.6 (2.1)** | 3.9 (1.4) | 0.9 (0.5) | 0.6 (0.1) | 0.2 (0.2) |
| Normal weight | 12.0 (0.3) | 6.3 (2.1)*** | 4.1 (1.3) | 0.9 (0.4) | 0.5 (0.3) | 0.1 (0.1) |
| Overweight | 12.1 (0.4) | 6.5 (2.2) | 4.2 (1.3) | 0.8 (0.5) | 0.5 (0.5) | 0.1 (0.5) |
| Obese | 11.7 (0.5) | 6.9 (1.8) | 4.1 (1.3) | 0.8 (0.6) | 0.3 (0.2) | 0.2 (0.2) |
| Socio-economic status | | | | | | |
| Low-income | 12.2 (0.2) | 6.7 (2.2)* | 4.1 (1.4) | 0.9(0.4) | 0.4 (0.3) | 0.1 (0.1) |
| Middle/high income | 11.7 (0.2) | 5.9 (2.0) | 4.1 (1.3) | 1.0(0.4) | 0.5 (0.3) | 0.1 (0.1) |

Analyses (linear regression and repeated measures ANOVA) adjusted for clustering, * $P<0.001$, ** $P<0.001$ underweight vs normal weight, overweight, obese, *** $P=0.01$ normal weight vs obese.

Table 4. Percentage of children achieving the IOM recommendations for sedentary behaviour (SB) and physical activity (PA) by socio-demographic factors, Illawarra NSW, Australia, July 2013.

| Characteristics | No. | Number of Sitting and/or Standing bouts >30 min/day Mean (SD) | IOM Recommendation SB (%) | OR (95% CI) unadjusted | OR (95% CI) adjusted | IOM Recommendation PA (%) | OR (95% CI) unadjusted |
|--|-----|--|---------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|
| Total sample | 301 | 0.4 (0.7) | 56 | | | 16 | |
| Sex¹ | | | | | | | |
| Boys | 146 | 0.4 (0.6) | 59 | 1.00 (ref) | 1.00 (ref) | 24* | 1.00 (ref) |
| Girls | 155 | 0.5 (0.7) | 53 | 0.78 (0.49, 1.23) | 0.78 (0.50, 1.30) | 8 | 0.29 (0.14, 0.61) |
| Age² | | | | | | | |
| Toddlers (1-2.9) | 71 | 0.3 (0.8) | 63 | 1.00 (ref) | 1.00 (ref) | 37** | 1.00 (ref) |
| Preschoolers (3.0-5.9) | 230 | 0.4 (0.6) | 54 | 0.68 (0.39, 1.19) | 0.53 (0.23, 1.21) | 10 | 0.19 (0.09, 0.41) |
| Weight status³ | | | | | | | |
| Underweight | 19 | 0.5 (0.5) | 58 | 1.11 (0.43, 2.86) | 1.28 (0.34, 4.74) | 11 | 0.64 (0.14, 2.93) |
| Normal weight ⁴ | 215 | 0.4 (0.4) | 55 | 1.00 (ref) | 1.00 (ref) | 16 | 1.00 (ref) |
| Overweight | 53 | 0.4 (0.4) | 55 | 0.97 (0.53, 1.78) | 0.82 (0.35, 1.92) | 19 | 1.27 (0.58, 2.81) |
| Obese | 14 | 0.3 (0.3) | 64 | 1.45 (0.47, 4.47) | 0.98 (0.16, 6.21) | 21 | 1.49 (0.39, 5.84) |
| Socio-economic status³ | | | | | | | |
| Low-income | 155 | 0.3 (0.5) | 63 | 1.00 (ref) | 1.00 (ref) | 19 | 1.00 (ref) |
| Middle/high income | 146 | 0.5 (0.7) | 49 | 0.58 (0.37, 0.92) | 0.59 (0.37, 0.94) | 13 | 0.65 (0.35, 1.21) |

CI = confidence interval; OR = odds ratio.

Logistic regression with unadjusted and adjusted analyses

¹Adjusted for age category, ²Adjusted for sex, sex*age category, ³Adjusted for sex, age category, ⁴Normal weight compared to other weight statuses, * Significant difference between sexes (P<0.001), **Significant differences between age category (P<0.001)