

1-1-2016

Physical Activity and Fitness Outcomes of a Lifestyle Intervention for Primary Care Patients with Depression and Anxiety: a Randomised Controlled Trial

Adrienne Forsyth

University of Wollongong, akl99@uowmail.edu.au

Frank P. Deane

University of Wollongong, fdeane@uow.edu.au

Peter G. Williams

University of Wollongong, peterw@uow.edu.au

Follow this and additional works at: <https://ro.uow.edu.au/sspapers>



Part of the [Education Commons](#), and the [Social and Behavioral Sciences Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Forsyth, Adrienne; Deane, Frank P.; and Williams, Peter G., "Physical Activity and Fitness Outcomes of a Lifestyle Intervention for Primary Care Patients with Depression and Anxiety: a Randomised Controlled Trial" (2016). *Faculty of Social Sciences - Papers*. 2968.

<https://ro.uow.edu.au/sspapers/2968>

Physical Activity and Fitness Outcomes of a Lifestyle Intervention for Primary Care Patients with Depression and Anxiety: a Randomised Controlled Trial

Abstract

Patients currently being treated for depression and/or anxiety were referred by their GP and randomised to a 12-week individually tailored diet and exercise lifestyle intervention or an attention control group. Assessments at baseline and 12 weeks included the Active Australia Survey for self-reported physical activity, chair stands, arm curls and a 3-min step test to measure physical fitness. Intent-to-treat analyses using linear mixed modelling showed both groups significantly improved participation in physical activity and muscular endurance. There were no significant differences in improvement between groups. The only group by time interaction was found for body mass index and indicated greater improvement in the intervention group compared to the attention control group. Motivated patients with depression and/or anxiety may be able to increase their participation in physical activity without regular individual support from an exercise physiologist. Alternate modes of support should be considered.

Keywords

controlled, randomised, anxiety, depression, patients, care, trial, primary, physical, intervention, lifestyle, outcomes, fitness, activity

Disciplines

Education | Social and Behavioral Sciences

Publication Details

Forsyth, A. K., Deane, F. P. & Williams, P. G. (2016). Physical Activity and Fitness Outcomes of a Lifestyle Intervention for Primary Care Patients with Depression and Anxiety: a Randomised Controlled Trial. *International Journal of Mental Health and Addiction*, Online First 1-10.

1 **Physical activity and fitness outcomes of a lifestyle intervention for primary care patients**
2 **with depression and anxiety: A randomised controlled trial**

3 **Abstract**

4 Patients currently being treated for depression and/or anxiety were referred by their GP and
5 randomised to a 12-week individually tailored diet and exercise lifestyle intervention or an
6 attention control group. Assessments at baseline and 12 weeks included the Active Australia
7 Survey for self-reported physical activity, chair stands, arm curls and a 3-minute step test to
8 measure physical fitness.

9 Intent-to-treat analyses using linear mixed modelling showed both groups significantly improved
10 participation in physical activity and muscular endurance. There were no significant differences
11 in improvement between groups. The only group by time interaction was found for body mass
12 index and indicated greater improvement in the intervention group compared to the attention
13 control group. Motivated patients with depression and/or anxiety may be able to increase their
14 participation in physical activity without regular individual support from an exercise physiologist.
15 Alternate modes of support should be considered.

16 **Key words**

17 Depressive disorder, anxiety, physical activity, physical fitness

18

1 **Introduction**

2 Almost half of all Australians will experience a mental disorder in their lifetime, and twenty
3 percent of Australian adults experience a mental disorder each year (Australian Bureau of
4 Statistics, 2009). Depression, anxiety and substance abuse are the leading diagnoses of mental
5 illness in Australia (Australian Institute of Health and Welfare, 2012), and are most commonly
6 treated with medication and psychological interventions (Royal Australian and New Zealand
7 College of Psychiatrists Clinical Practice Guidelines Team for Depression, 2004). Exercise and
8 Sports Science Australia has recommended that exercise should be considered as a treatment for
9 depression, and that it may also be moderately effective as a treatment for anxiety (Morgan et al.,
10 2013). Despite growing interest and evidence to support exercise interventions in the treatment of
11 mental disorders including depression and anxiety, little is known about the optimal method of
12 delivery of these services.

13 The aim of this randomised controlled trial was to determine whether an individually
14 tailored lifestyle intervention delivered in a primary care setting could improve the mental health
15 outcomes of patients being treated for depression and/or anxiety compared to an attention control.
16 This paper will report the secondary outcomes of fitness and participation in physical activity.

17 The authors declare no known conflicts of interest.

18 **Methods**

19 Between 2006 and 2008, general practitioners (GPs) of a large primary care organisation
20 (Illawarra Division of General Practice) were invited to refer adult patients currently being
21 treated for depression and anxiety. Patients under 18 years of age and those with
22 contraindications to participation in physical activity were excluded from the study. Eligible
23 participants were individually randomised by GP in order of referral using a randomisation chart

1 generated by www.randomization.com. The treating dietitian/exercise physiologists (DEPs) were
2 aware of the randomisation sequence. Participants were assigned to either an intervention or an
3 attention control condition. Participants were informed of their group allocation at the end of the
4 baseline assessment.

5 The intervention was modelled on the Chronic Disease Management Scheme (Newland &
6 Zwar, 2006) where eligible patients can receive up to five rebated visits to allied health
7 professionals annually. Once referred, patients were eligible for five visits to the DEP, under the
8 Chronic Disease Management Plan plus they received one extra assessment for research
9 purposes. These visits included an initial assessment, four consultations and a final assessment.
10 Visits were initially scheduled approximately fortnightly over a 12 week period. Patients were
11 seen at clinics in GP surgeries or in consulting rooms at the Psychology Clinic at the local
12 University campus. A discussion of considerations involved in the development of this study, and
13 changes made based on an initial pilot study are reviewed elsewhere (Forsyth et al., 2009).

14 Three dual qualified DEPs conducted the intervention including consultations and
15 telephone contact with attention control participants. All DEPs had completed a Master of
16 Science in Nutrition, Dietetics and Exercise Rehabilitation and received additional training in
17 motivational interviewing. Assessments were performed by DEPs or trained final year Nutrition
18 and Exercise Science students. All 12-week assessments were completed by a student or DEP
19 who was not the treating practitioner.

20 The first visit involved obtaining informed consent, taking baseline measures and
21 collecting completed self-report questionnaires. Intervention participants returned for four
22 individual consultations, and attention control participants were contacted by telephone at similar
23 intervals. All participants returned for a final assessment at 12-weeks. GPs were sent reports of
24 patient results and progress after each assessment and consultation.

1 The initial consultation was structured to elicit participants' beliefs, motivations and goals
2 for their health and lifestyle. Motivational interviewing was used in consultations to enhance
3 patient engagement and adherence (Rollnick, 1996). A goal-based approach was used to develop
4 individually tailored patients programs designed according to patient readiness to change for diet
5 and exercise behaviours (Prochaska & DiClemente, 1982). All dietary and physical activity
6 changes were client-driven, and designed to be sustainable, affordable, progressive, suitable to
7 the participant's lifestyle, and able to be performed without professional supervision. All
8 nutrition and physical activity advice was primarily based on the Australian Guide to Healthy
9 Eating (Department of Health and Ageing, 1998) and the Physical Activity Guidelines for
10 Australian Adults (Department of Health and Ageing, 2004). This study did not provide a
11 specific nutrition or physical activity intervention, rather it followed the individualised approach
12 of a large diabetes prevention program that stated: *'Our lifestyle intervention was systematic and*
13 *intensive, with the study participants receiving detailed, individualized counseling. The study,*
14 *however, was not designed to test the relative contributions of dietary changes, increased*
15 *physical activity, and weight loss to the reduction in the risk of diabetes, and the effects of these*
16 *components remain to be determined'* (Diabetes Prevention Program Research Group, 2002, p.
17 398).

18 With facilitation from the DEPs, participants developed short-term goals, identified
19 relevant homework activities, and addressed perceived barriers to participation in homework
20 activities. Homework was recorded on a standard form (Kazantzis et al., 2000) at the end of each
21 session and reviewed at the start of the subsequent session. Most participants worked towards
22 multiple goals throughout the program, including dietary, exercise and other lifestyle related
23 goals. The most commonly chosen physical activity goals include walking, home resistance
24 exercises, gym attendance, cycling and swimming. Smaller numbers of participants choose to

1 increase incidental activity, run, participate in home aerobic exercises or play organised sport. All
2 intervention participants continued with usual care provided by their GPs for the duration of the
3 intervention. Participants assigned to the attention control condition received phone calls from
4 the DEPs at similar intervals to the intervention consultations. Each telephone call typically
5 lasted for five minutes. DEPs asked participants whether they had made any changes to their diet
6 or physical activity patterns, but did not provide advice. Participants in the attention control
7 condition continued with usual care for the duration of the intervention, and were offered the
8 intervention at the end of the 12-week period.

9 Baseline age, sex, self-reported mental health conditions and GP-reported mental health
10 conditions were recorded. Anthropometric measurements including height, weight, waist
11 circumference and blood pressure were measured. Body mass index (BMI) was calculated by
12 dividing weight in kilograms by height in metres squared. A BMI of 18.5 – 24.9 kg m² was
13 considered to be within a healthy weight range. The Depression Anxiety and Stress Scale
14 (DASS-21) was used to measure mental health. The DASS-21 has 21 items comprising three
15 subscales measuring the negative emotional states of depression, anxiety and stress (Lovibond &
16 Lovibond, 1995).

17 The Active Australia Survey (Australian Sports Commission, 1998) was used to measure
18 self-reported participation in physical activity. The Active Australia Survey is composed of two
19 sections. The first asks participants to record the number of occasions and total number of
20 minutes over the past week that they have spent in each of (1) continuous walking, (2) vigorous
21 physical activity and (3) moderate physical activity. The second section asks participants to
22 estimate the total time spent in sedentary recreation on (1) weekdays and (2) weekend days over
23 the past week. This has been found to be a valid (71.8% agreement with other surveys) and

1 reliable (intra-class correlation 0.64) instrument to measure self-reported physical activity
2 (Brown et al., 2004).

3 The chair stand test and arm curl test from the Senior's Fitness Battery (Rickli & Jones,
4 1999a) were selected to measure upper and lower limb muscular endurance. These tests measure
5 the number of arm curls or repeated standing from a chair participants can achieve over 30
6 seconds. The validity of these have not been widely demonstrated in young adults, but in the
7 present study these tools were sensitive enough to detect significant changes over time including
8 amongst fit, young individuals. The results were compared to gender and age specific norms
9 (Rickli & Jones, 1999b) to generate muscular endurance ratings of well below average, below
10 average, average, above average or well above average. The results of participants under 60 years
11 were compared with the youngest available norms. The chair stand test is both reliable (intraclass
12 correlation $R = 0.89$) and valid ($r = 0.77$, compared to 1 RM leg press gold standard) (Rickli &
13 Jones, 1999a). The arm curl test is also reliable (intraclass correlation $R = 0.81$) and valid ($r =$
14 0.78 , compared to combined 1 RM chest press, biceps and upper back gold standard) (Rickli &
15 Jones, 1999a).

16 The YMCA step test (Golding, 2000) was chosen as a measure of aerobic fitness because
17 it is a portable measure with good validity and reliability. This test involves stepping 30 cm at a
18 cadence of 96 beats per minute (or equivalent to 24 complete steps each minute) for three
19 minutes. Post-test heart rate is taken between 5 and 20 seconds following completion of the test,
20 and compared to gender and age specific norms to generate an aerobic fitness rating of very poor,
21 poor, below average, average, above average, good or excellent. The YMCA step test (also
22 known as the Kasch step test) has been demonstrated to have acceptable reliability and validity
23 compared to treadmill testing ($r = 0.95$, Kasch et al., 1966).

1 The data from all participants who commenced the study were included in an intention to
2 treat analysis. Due to high levels of attrition there was a large amount of missing data with 35%
3 loss to follow up, therefore the effectiveness of the lifestyle intervention was assessed using
4 SPSS with linear mixed model analyses (also known as multilevel modelling or hierarchical
5 linear modelling). A linear mixed model analysis was performed by assessing interaction and
6 main effects of group and time for all dependent variables. Before analysis, data for all variables
7 were inspected for missing values, normality and outliers. Violations of normality were identified
8 for BMI (moderate positive skew) and measures of physical activity (severe positive skew).
9 These were addressed by transforming BMI into the square root of the original measure, and by
10 applying a log followed by an inverse transformation to measures of physical activity.

11 Potential moderating factors were; gender (Chen et al., 2006), other treatment modalities
12 (Hale, 1997), reason for referral, years since diagnosis and recurrence of condition within 12
13 months. These factors were examined by incorporating them into the linear mixed model as fixed
14 effects and testing each variable separately. Tests of significance less than or equal to 0.05 were
15 considered statistically significant. To examine the moderating effects, post hoc between groups
16 t-tests at baseline and post-test were conducted for all moderating factors found to have a
17 significant effect on the linear mixed model. Figures were created to demonstrate changes in
18 primary outcome variables and variables where there were significant differences for group, time
19 or group x time effects.

20 This study was part of the Australian Integrated Mental Health Initiative, which was a
21 five-year National Health and Medical Research Council funded program aimed at improving
22 recovery from mental illness. Additional funding was received from the Illawarra Division of
23 General Practice. The funding bodies were not involved in data collection, analysis, interpretation

1 or the decision to submit results for publication. This study was reviewed and approved by the
2 University of Wollongong Human Research Ethics Committee and all participants completed
3 written informed consent prior to participation in this study. All authors certify responsibility for
4 this manuscript.

5 **Results**

6 Over a two year period, 34 GPs referred 119 patients ranging in age from 18 to 84 years to the
7 study. Figure 1 provides the participant flow diagram through the study. Two patients under the
8 age of 18 were referred and excluded from the study. All other referred patients were
9 randomized. Nine of 61 patients allocated to the intervention declined the referral, as did 14 of 56
10 patients allocated to the attention control. Overall, 94 met the inclusion criteria and completed an
11 initial assessment. At the time of the initial assessment, there were 14 males and 38 females in
12 the intervention group, and 12 males and 30 females in the attention control group.

13 For assessment purposes, patients were considered to have dropped out if they did not
14 attend the final assessment. There were 21 drop outs and 31 completers in the intervention group,
15 and 13 drop outs and 29 completers in the attention control. Reasons for drop out were not
16 generally provided, though some participants cited lack of time. All ‘completed’ patients attended
17 both the initial and final assessments and at least two of four consultations. Baseline total DASS
18 and DASS anxiety scores were not significantly different between participants who did not
19 complete the study protocol (Mean = 26.2, SD = 14.9 for total DASS; Mean = 6.7, SD = 4.9 for
20 anxiety) compared to those who did complete the study program (Mean = 21.1, SD = 11.7 for
21 total DASS; Mean = 4.7, SD = 3.7 for anxiety) [$t(92) = -1.8$, $p = .80$ for total DASS; $t(92) = 2.2$,
22 $p = .70$ for anxiety]. Non-completers did not differ from other study participants on any of the
23 other measures tested.

1 Data was available from 94 participants who were included in the analyses. At baseline,
2 there were no significant differences between the intervention and attention control groups on a
3 range of descriptive and outcome variables despite trends toward lower DASS scores, higher
4 Australian Healthy Eating Index scores (Throw, 2007), and greater numbers referred with anxiety
5 in the intervention group. A t-test found a significant between group difference only for total
6 DASS scores at baseline ($p < 0.05$) (see Table 1). For a detailed description and discussion of the
7 baseline characteristics of this patient population see Forsyth et al. (2015b).

8 Changes in measures of depression, anxiety, nutrient intake and diet quality are presented
9 elsewhere (Forsyth et al., 2015a).

10 There were significant improvements from pre-to-post-test across measures of physical
11 activity ($p < 0.05$) and muscular endurance ($p < 0.001$), and near significant improvements over
12 time in aerobic fitness ($p = 0.05$), diastolic blood pressure ($p = 0.05$), weight ($p = 0.05$) and BMI
13 ($p = 0.08$) in both groups. There was a significant Group x Time interaction effect for BMI ($p <$
14 0.05) with greater improvement in the intervention group than the attention control group (see
15 Table 2).

16 Moderating factors did not impact on the outcome of physical activity and fitness levels
17 except for reason for referral which had a significant effect on change in physical activity level (p
18 < 0.05). Patients referred with depression (Mean = 242.4 weekly minutes, SD = 239.1), $t(71.3) =$
19 2.3, $p < 0.05$, spent significantly less time in physical activity than those referred with anxiety
20 (Mean = 410.2 weekly minutes, SD = 462.6) or both depression and anxiety (Mean = 407.9
21 weekly minutes, SD = 426.1).

22 **Discussion**

1 Both the intervention and attention control groups improved their participation in physical
2 activity and their fitness levels over the course of the study period. Improvements in the
3 intervention group were expected and are consistent with findings of studies in which clinical
4 exercise prescription has led to improvements in symptoms of depression (Rimer et al., 2012).

5 Control group improvements in physical activity trials occur in more than 25% of trials
6 and may be attributed to behavioural measurements (monitoring) and participant characteristics
7 (Waters et al., 2012). Several factors may have contributed to the positive outcome for control
8 group participants in this study. All participants in this study were aware of their depression
9 and/or anxiety and voluntarily seeking treatment from their GP. They had agreed to take part in
10 the lifestyle intervention, either immediately or following a 12-week delay, demonstrating a
11 readiness to change and desire to modify lifestyle behaviours. Improvements may have also been
12 a result of other concurrent treatment such as medication or counselling which could have
13 directly improved mental health, and indirectly improved lifestyle behaviours through increased
14 motivation.

15 Aspects of the attention control condition may also have prompted and encouraged
16 participants to increase their participation in physical activity. Attention control group
17 participants took part in an initial assessment and received phone calls at the same intervals as
18 intervention group face-to-face appointments. While no advice or motivational interviewing
19 techniques were employed during the phone calls, patients were aware that the DEP would be
20 calling at regular intervals and asking about any changes to their physical activity patterns. It is
21 possible that these prompts motivated participants to be more accountable for their lifestyle
22 behaviours. The extensive assessment process would have also drawn attention to health and
23 lifestyle goals. For some participants this may have developed discrepancy between current
24 behaviours and desired outcomes and motivated them to adopt or increase participation in

1 physical activity. For others, participation in the physical assessment could have improved their
2 self-efficacy beliefs which are known to predict health outcomes (Bandura, 2005).

3 Lower levels of participation in physical activity at baseline for patients referred with
4 depression may be related to difficulty with motivation to participate in physical activities. Prior
5 reviews have found that baseline depression is significantly associated with subsequent sedentary
6 lifestyle and poor adherence to exercise prescriptions (Roshanaei-Moghaddam et al., 2009).
7 However, at least one study that examined the transition between activity and inactive
8 recreational activity patterns has found that major depression increased the risk of transition into
9 inactive patterns for those previously active, but did not affect the probability of moving from an
10 inactive to an active lifestyle (Patten et al., 2009). This is promising as it suggests that while
11 depression may be associated with lower levels of physical activity, it does not necessarily
12 predict a poor response to behaviour modification. In our study, desired behaviours were planned,
13 and this activity scheduling may have assisted participants to adhere to their physical activity
14 goals.

15 There are a number of limitations that may affect the generalisability of these results.
16 Adherence to self-selected goals was not systematically assessed. Treatment compliance can
17 predict outcomes (Mattson et al., 1998). In this case, outcome measures such as changes in
18 physical activity or fitness levels may indicate compliance, thus those participants who improved
19 on these variables are most likely to have complied with their homework. Because both the
20 attention control and the intervention groups improved on these variables over time, it is possible
21 that members of the attention control group were also participating in increased levels of physical
22 activity. This spontaneous activity may have occurred as a result of referral to the program,
23 extensive assessment of diet and exercise factors and participant expectations that some
24 intervention had been initiated and would lead to change.

1 Physical activity levels of participants in this study were greater than those of the general
2 population at baseline, as described in Forsyth et al. (2015a). As such, there was less ‘room for
3 improvement’ in this study population, and even small changes, which may be too small to
4 demonstrate significance in a sample of this size, would indicate a considerable improvement and
5 greater alignment with the National Physical Activity Guidelines.

6 Reason for referral was identified to be a moderating factor for changes in physical
7 activity, and the intervention group had more than twice as many participants referred with
8 anxiety (n=13) as the attention control group (n=6). It is possible that participants with higher
9 levels of anxiety may have experienced greater perceived barriers to behaviour change.

10 There was a high, but not unexpected, rate of attrition in this study, with only 64% of
11 participants completing the study protocol. This is similar to the rate of completion in other trials
12 involving participants with mental illness (Dimidjian et al., 2006; White et al., 2010). Although
13 this was a limitation of the study, missing data was able to be inferred through the use of the
14 linear mixed model and multivariate analyses.

15 **Conclusion**

16 A formal lifestyle intervention for patients with depression and anxiety, such as that described in
17 this study, can be successful in improving their physical fitness and participation in physical
18 activity. However, such an approach is not essential to effect lifestyle behaviour change in
19 primary care patients being treated for depression and/or anxiety. The attention control group
20 participants in this study improved their fitness and physical activity to a similar extent as
21 program participants. This may reflect factors such as a readiness to change, receiving concurrent
22 pharmacotherapy or psychotherapy, being held accountable with regular phone calls, or
23 participating in an extensive assessment of fitness and physical activity. Based on these findings,

1 it is likely that other program modalities such as telephonic and online services could provide
2 similar benefit to more intensive face-to-face individualised programs.

3 **Acknowledgements**

4 The authors would like to thank all of the participants who took part in this study, the students
5 who conducted the assessments, and the program staff DEPs Alicia Thackray and Kate
6 Battocchio.

7
8 Adrienne Forsyth, Frank Deane and Peter Williams declare that they have no conflict of interest.
9 All procedures followed were in accordance with the ethical standards of the responsible
10 committee on human experimentation (institutional and national) and with the Helsinki
11 Declaration of 1975, as revised in 2000 (5). Informed consent was obtained from all patients for
12 being included in the study.

13 **References**

14 Australian Bureau of Statistics. (2009). *4326.0 - National Survey of Mental Health and*
15 *Wellbeing: Summary of Results*, 2007, Canberra, Australian Bureau of Statistics.

16 Australian Institute of Health and Welfare. (2012). *Australia's Health 2012*, Canberra, Australian
17 Institute of Health and Welfare.

18 Australian Sports Commission. (1998). *Active Australia National Physical Activity Survey 1997*.
19 Canberra: ASC.

20 Bandura, A. (2005). The primacy of self-regulation in health promotion. *Applied Psychology*, *54*,
21 245-254.

- 1 Brown, W.J., Trost, S.G., Bauman, A., Mummery, K. & Owen, N. (2004). Test-retest reliability
2 of four physical activity measures used in population surveys. *Journal of Science &*
3 *Medicine in Sport*, 7, 205-215.
- 4 Chen, S., Jordan, C. & Thomson, S. (2006). The effect of cognitive behavioural therapy (CBT)
5 on depression: The role of problem-solving appraisal. *Research on Social Work Practice*,
6 16, 500-510.
- 7 Department of Health and Aging. (1998). *The Australian Guide to Healthy Eating. Background*
8 *Information for Consumers*. Canberra, A.C.T.: The Commonwealth of Australia.
- 9 Department of Health and Aging. (2004). *National Physical Activity Recommendations for*
10 *Adults*. Canberra, A.C.T.: Commonwealth of Australia.
- 11 Diabetes Prevention Program Research Group. (2002). Reduction in the incidence of Type 2
12 Diabetes with lifestyle intervention or Metformin. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 346,
13 393-403.
- 14 Dimidjian, S., Hollon, S.D., Dobson, K.S., Schmaling, K.B., Kohlenberg, R.J., & Addis, M.E.
15 (2006). Randomized trial of behavioural activation, cognitive therapy, and antidepressant
16 medication in the acute treatment of adults with major depression. *Journal of Consulting*
17 *and Clinical Psychology*, 74, 658-670.
- 18 Royal Australian and New Zealand College of Psychiatrists Clinical Practice Guidelines Team
19 for Depression . (2004). Australian and New Zealand clinical practice guidelines for the
20 treatment of depression. *Australian & New Zealand Journal of Psychiatry*, 38, 389-407.
- 21 Forsyth, A., Deane, F. P. & Williams, P. (2009). Dietitians and exercise physiologists in primary
22 care: lifestyle interventions for patients with depression and/or anxiety. *Journal of Allied*
23 *Health*, 38, 63E-68E.

- 1 Forsyth, A., Deane F. P. & Williams, P. (2015a). A lifestyle intervention for primary care
2 patients with depression and anxiety: A randomised controlled trial. *Psychiatry Research*,
3 230, 537-544.
- 4 Forsyth, A., Williams, P. & Deane, F. P. (2015b). Physical activity, but not fitness level, is
5 associated with depression in Australian adults. *Journal of Sports Medicine and Physical*
6 *Fitness*, 55 (7-8), 845-854.
- 7 Golding, L.A. (ed). (2000). *YMCA Fitness Testing and Assessment Manual*, 4th edition.
8 Champaign, IL: Human Kinetics.
- 9 Hale, A.S. (1997). ABC of mental health. Depression. *British Medical Journal*, 315, 43-46.
- 10 Kasch, F.W., Phillips, H., Ross, W.D., Carter, J.E.L. & Boyer, J.L. (1966). A comparison of
11 maximal oxygen uptake by treadmill and step-test procedures. *Journal of Applied*
12 *Physiology*, 21, 1387-1388.
- 13 Kazantzis, N., Deane, F.P. & Ronan, K.R. (2000). Homework assignments in cognitive and
14 behavioural therapy: A meta-analysis. *Clinical Psychology: Science and Practice*, 7, 189-
15 202.
- 16 Lovibond, P.F. & Lovibond, S.H. (1995). The structure of negative emotional states: comparison
17 of the Depression Anxiety Stress Scales (DASS) with the Beck Depression and Anxiety
18 Inventories. *Behaviour Research & Therapy*, 33, 335-343.
- 19 Mattson, M.E., Del Boca, F.K., Carroll, K.M., Cooney, N.L., DiClemente, C.C., Donovan, D ...
20 Zweben, A. (1998). Compliance with treatment and follow-up protocols in Project
21 MATCH: predictors and relationship to outcome. *Alcoholism Clinical & Experimental*
22 *Research*, 22, 1328-1339.

- 1 Morgan AJ, Parker AG, Alvarez-Jimenez M et al. (2013). Exercise and mental health: An
2 Exercise and Sports Science Australia commissioned review. *Journal of Exercise*
3 *Physiology, 16*, 64-73.
- 4 Newland, J. & Zwar, N. (2006). General practice and the management of chronic conditions--
5 where to now? *Australian Family Physician, 35*, 16-9.
- 6 Patten, S.B., Williams, J.V.A., Lavorato, D.H. & Eliasziw, M. (2009). A longitudinal community
7 study of major depression and physical activity. *General Hospital Psychiatry, 31*, 571-575.
- 8 Prochaska, J.O. & DiClemente, C.C. (1982). Transtheoretical therapy toward a more integrative
9 model of change. *Psychotherapy: Theory, Research and Practice, 9*, 276-287.
- 10 Rickli, R.E. & Jones, C.J. (1999a). Development and validation of a functional fitness test for
11 community-residing older adults. *Journal of Aging and Physical Activity, 7*, 129-161.
- 12 Rickli, R.E. & Jones, C.J. (1999b). Functional fitness normative scores for community-residing
13 older adults, ages 60-94. *Journal of Aging and Physical Activity, 7*, 122-181.
- 14 Rimer J, Dwan K, Lawlor DA et al. (2012). Exercise for depression. *Cochrane Database of*
15 *Systematic Reviews*, Issue 7, Art.No.:CD004366.
- 16 Rollnick, S. (1996). Behaviour change in practice: targeting individuals. *International Journal of*
17 *Obesity & Related Metabolic Disorders: Journal of the International Association for the*
18 *Study of Obesity, 20* (Suppl 1), S22-S26.
- 19 Roshanaei-Moghaddam, B., Katon, W.J. & Russo, J. (2009). The longitudinal effects of
20 depression on physical activity. *General Hospital Psychiatry, 31*, 306-315.
- 21 Throw, A.M., (2007). *Australian Diet Quality Index Project*. Australian Institute of Health and
22 Welfare, Canberra.

- 1 Waters, L.A., Reeves, M., Fjeldsoe, B. & Eakin, E.G. (2012). Control group improvements in
2 physical activity intervention trials and possible explanatory factors: a systematic review.
3 *Journal of Physical Activity and Health*, 9, 884-895.
- 4 White, K.S., Allen, L.B., Barlow, D.H., Gorman, J.M., Shear, M.K., & Woods, S.W. (2010).
5 Attrition in a multicenter clinical trial for panic disorder. *Journal of Nervous and Mental*
6 *Disease*, 198, 665-671.
- 7

Table 1 Demographic, treatment, and clinical characteristics of both groups at baseline

	Intervention			Attention Control		
	(n=52)			(n=42)		
	Mean	SD	n%	Mean	SD	n%
BMI (kg/m ²)	31.6	7.5		31.8	6.6	
Total DASS score	21.2 ^a	13.2		26.5 ^a	13.7	
Total weekly minutes in physical activity	331.6	384.6		318.4	330.9	
Total Aust-HEI score	43.6	9.2		42.9	9.1	
Number referred with depression			24 (46%)			23 (55%)
Number referred with anxiety			13 (25%)			6 (14%)
Number referred with both depression and anxiety			15 (29%)			13 (31%)
Number being treated by a psychiatrist			10 (19%)			7 (17%)
Number reporting a recurrence this year			41 (79%)			37 (88%)

Note: ^a significant between group difference at $p < .05$. Aust-HEI = Australian Healthy Eating Index.

Table 2 Changes in fitness, physical activity and anthropometric measures over time.

		Attention Control			Intervention			p-value	p-value	p-value
		Pre test	Post test	Difference (% change)	Pre test	Post test	Difference (% change)	Group effect	Time effect	Group x Time effect
Total time in physical activity (minutes per week) *	Mean (SD) [n]	318.4 (330.9) [42]	432.4 (471.7) [31]	114.0 (35.8%)	331.6 (384.6) [52]	434.5 (355.8) [31]	102.9 (31.0%)	.87	.04 ^a	.73
	Median (interquartile range)	185.0 (60.0, 495.0)	285.0 (77.9, 565.0)	100.0 (54.0%)	197.5 (22.5, 537.5)	300.0 (150.0, 675.0)	102.5 (51.9%)			
Time in moderate physical activity (minutes per week) *	Mean (SD) [n]	140.4 (205.0) [37]	153.8 (246.4) [29]	13.4 (9.5%)	102.8 (176.1) [50]	98.7 (160.0) [31]	-4.1 (-4.0%)	.92	.22	.67
	Median (interquartile range)	50.0 (0.0, 180.0)	142.5 (30.0, 624.0)	92.5 (185%)	32.5 (0.0, 120.0)	60.0 (1.0, 120.0)	27.5 (84.6%)			
Time in vigorous physical activity (minutes per week) *	Mean (SD) [n]	36.6 (72.5) [41]	69.7 (100.3) [30]	36.1 (98.6%)	59.0 (99.9) [50]	91.1 (104.9) [31]	32.1 (54.4%)	.80	.50	.85
	Median (interquartile range)	0.0 (0.0, 30.0)	97.5 (0.0, 222.0)	97.5	0.0 (0.0, 97.5)	60.0 (0.0, 150.0)	60.0			
YMCA step test (heart rate/minute)	Mean (SD) [n]	119.5 (15.6) [36]	122.8 (22.0) [27]	3.8 (3.2%)	123.8 (22.5) [42]	116.6 (19.1) [27]	-7.2 (-5.8%)	.99	.05 ^a	.37
Muscular endurance (Chair stands + arm curls)	Mean (SD) [n]	30.9 (6.4) [41]	35.2 (7.6) [29]	4.3 (13.9%)	32.3 (8.2) [52]	37.5 (11.6) [31]	5.2 (16.1%)	.31	.00 ^b	.59

		Attention Control			Intervention			p-value	p-value	p-value
in 30 seconds)										
Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)	Mean (SD) [n]	140.0 (19.0) [42]	132.3 (18.7) [30]	-7.7 (-5.5%)	133.4 (14.1) [52]	134.0 (15.0) [31]	0.6 (0.4%)	.32	.23	.09
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)	Mean (SD) [n]	84.4 (9.6) [42]	81.2 (9.8) [30]	-3.2 (-3.8%)	83.6 (9.6) [52]	81.6 (8.5) [31]	-2.0 (-2.4%)	.91	.05 ^a	.58
Weight (kg)	Mean (SD) [n]	88.0 (18.9) [42]	86.5 (18.7) [30]	-1.5 (-1.7%)	90.6 (25.6) [32]	88.7 (24.2) [52]	-1.9 (-2.1%)	.91	.04 ^a	.58
BMI (kg/m ²)*	Mean (SD) [n]	31.8 (6.6) [42]	31.1 (7.1) [30]	-0.7 (-2.2%)	31.6 (7.5) [52]	30.7 (8.1) [32]	-0.9 (-2.8%)	.64	.08	.04 ^a
	Median (interquartile range)	30.4 (27.1, 35.7)	30.1 (26.6, 35.7)	-0.3 (-1.0%)	30.9 (27.1, 35.8)	29.6 (23.4, 35.8)	-1.3 (-4.2%)			

Note. ^a significant difference at $p < .05$ ^b significant difference at $p < .001$ * expressed also as median (interquartile range). Pre and post values expressed as mean. Where data was highly skewed, median valued are also reported. Differences between groups, over time, and group by time interactions for dependent variables displayed as p values.




Figure 1. Participant flow diagram