University of Wollongong

Research Online

Australian Institute for Innovative Materials -
Papers

Australian Institute for Innovative Materials

1-1-2017

Gallium-Doped $\text{Li}_7\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_{12}$ Garnet-Type Electrolytes with High Lithium-Ion Conductivity

Jiang-Fang Wu

Huazhong University of Science and Technology

En-Yi Chen

Huazhong University of Science and Technology

Yao Yu

Huazhong University of Science and Technology

Lin Liu

Yue Wu

University of North Carolina, yw282@uowmail.edu.au

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: <https://ro.uow.edu.au/aiimpapers>

Part of the [Engineering Commons](#), and the [Physical Sciences and Mathematics Commons](#)

Research Online is the open access institutional repository for the University of Wollongong. For further information contact the UOW Library: research-pubs@uow.edu.au

Gallium-Doped $\text{Li}_7\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_{12}$ Garnet-Type Electrolytes with High Lithium-Ion Conductivity

Abstract

Owing to their high conductivity, crystalline $\text{Li}_{7-3x}\text{Ga}_x\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_{12}$ garnets are promising electrolytes for all-solid-state lithium-ion batteries. Herein, the influence of Ga doping on the phase, lithium-ion distribution, and conductivity of $\text{Li}_{7-3x}\text{Ga}_x\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_{12}$ garnets is investigated, with the determined concentration and mobility of lithium ions shedding light on the origin of the high conductivity of $\text{Li}_{7-3x}\text{Ga}_x\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_{12}$. When the Ga concentration exceeds 0.20 Ga per formula unit, the garnet-type material is found to assume a cubic structure, but lower Ga concentrations result in the coexistence of cubic and tetragonal phases. Most lithium within $\text{Li}_{7-3x}\text{Ga}_x\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_{12}$ is found to reside at the octahedral $96h$ site, away from the central octahedral $48g$ site, while the remaining lithium resides at the tetrahedral $24d$ site. Such kind of lithium distribution leads to high lithium-ion mobility, which is the origin of the high conductivity; the highest lithium-ion conductivity of 1.46 mS/cm at 25 °C is found to be achieved for $\text{Li}_{7-3x}\text{Ga}_x\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_{12}$ at $x = 0.25$. Additionally, there are two lithium-ion migration pathways in the $\text{Li}_{7-3x}\text{Ga}_x\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_{12}$ garnets: $96h$ - $96h$ and $24d$ - $96h$ - $24d$, but the lithium ions transporting through the $96h$ - $96h$ pathway determine the overall conductivity.

Disciplines

Engineering | Physical Sciences and Mathematics

Publication Details

Wu, J., Chen, E., Yu, Y., Liu, L., Wu, Y., Pang, W., Peterson, V. & Guo, X. (2017). Gallium-Doped $\text{Li}_7\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_{12}$ Garnet-Type Electrolytes with High Lithium-Ion Conductivity. *ACS Applied Materials and Interfaces*, 9 (2), 1542-1552.

Authors

Jiang-Fang Wu, En-Yi Chen, Yao Yu, Lin Liu, Yue Wu, Wei Kong Pang, Vanessa K. Peterson, and Xin Guo

Gallium-doped $\text{Li}_7\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_{12}$ garnet-type electrolytes with high lithium-ion conductivity

Jian-Fang Wu¹, En-Yi Chen¹, Yao Yu¹, Lin Liu¹, Yue Wu^{1, 2}, Wei Kong Pang^{3, 4},
Vanessa K. Peterson^{3, 4}, Xin Guo^{1*}

1. School of Materials Science and Engineering, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan 430074, P.R. China

2. Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, North Carolina 27599-3255, USA

3. Australian Centre for Neutron Scattering, Australian Nuclear Science and Technology Organisation, Locked Bag 2001, Kirrawee DC, New South Wales 2232, Australia

4. Institute for Superconducting & Electronic Materials, Faculty of Engineering, University of Wollongong, NSW 2522, Australia

** Author to whom correspondence should be addressed.*

Tel: +86-27-87559804; Fax: +86-27-87559804; E-mail: xguo@hust.edu.cn

Abstract

Owing to their high conductivity, crystalline $\text{Li}_{7-3x}\text{Ga}_x\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_{12}$ garnets are promising electrolytes for all-solid-state lithium-ion batteries. Herein, the influence of Ga doping on the phase, lithium-ion distribution, and conductivity of $\text{Li}_{7-3x}\text{Ga}_x\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_{12}$ garnets is investigated, with the determined concentration and mobility of lithium ions shedding light on the origin of the high conductivity of $\text{Li}_{7-3x}\text{Ga}_x\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_{12}$. When the Ga concentration exceeds 0.20 Ga per formula unit, the garnet-type material is found to assume a cubic structure, but lower Ga concentrations result in the coexistence of cubic and tetragonal phases. Most lithium within $\text{Li}_{7-3x}\text{Ga}_x\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_{12}$ is found to reside at the octahedral $96h$ site, away from the central octahedral $48g$ site, while the remaining lithium resides at the tetrahedral $24d$ site. Such kind of lithium distribution leads to high lithium-ion mobility, which is the origin of the high conductivity; the highest lithium-ion conductivity of 1.46 mS/cm at 25 °C is found to be achieved for $\text{Li}_{7-3x}\text{Ga}_x\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_{12}$ at $x = 0.25$. Additionally, there are two lithium-ion migration pathways in the $\text{Li}_{7-3x}\text{Ga}_x\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_{12}$ garnets: $96h$ - $96h$ and $24d$ - $96h$ - $24d$, but the lithium ions transporting through the $96h$ - $96h$ pathway determine the overall conductivity.

Keywords: $\text{Li}_{7-3x}\text{Ga}_x\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_{12}$ garnet, lithium ion, lithium vacancy, ionic conductivity, mobility

1. Introduction

Lithium ion batteries are one of the most promising energy storing devices, offering high volumetric and gravimetric energy density compared with other battery technologies¹. However, the flammability of organic liquid electrolytes results in notorious safety issues that hamper their full utilization in electric vehicles and stationary energy storage systems^{1, 2}. Solid electrolytes are advantageous to conventional electrolytes in solving such safety issues. Additionally, their excellent stability potentially enables metallic Li to be used as a negative electrode, which, in combination with a high-voltage positive electrode, could provide high energy density and long cycle life. Consequently, all-solid-state lithium-ion batteries based on solid electrolytes are attracting increasing attention in battery research³⁻⁶. In some cases, in which power sources are to be operated under extreme environmental conditions, such as at high temperature and pressure in space, in defense applications and oil and gas exploration, all-solid-state lithium-ion batteries are clearly the best choice⁷.

Amongst the oxide solid electrolytes, $\text{Li}_7\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_{12}$ (LLZO) garnets are regarded as ideal candidates for lithium ion batteries^{5, 8-10}. LLZO was first synthesized and characterized by Murugan *et al.*¹¹, although it was only recently that Geiger *et al.* showed that LLZO is crystallized with both cubic and tetragonal symmetry, with the cubic phase exhibiting a lithium-ion conductivity two orders of magnitude higher than that for the tetragonal phase¹². $\text{Li}_7\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_{12}$ is formed by a three-dimensional $\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_{12}$ -type framework structure consisting of 8-coordinate La^{3+} and 6-coordinate Zr^{4+} , where two tetrahedral sites are bridged by a single octahedron via face-sharing,

constructing a migration pathway for lithium ions. The lithium ion distribution is the key factor in determining the modification of LLZO¹³. Tetragonal LLZO contains a completely ordered distribution of lithium ions and crystallizes in the space group $I4_1/acd$. Cubic LLZO crystallizes in the space-group $Ia\bar{3}d$, and exhibits a disordered lithium ion distribution and vacancies caused by lithium deficiency. Cation doping increases the number of vacancies and results in a disordered Li sublattice, where all Li sites are partially occupied in the LLZO structure. Ta^{5+} ¹⁴, Nb^{5+} ¹⁵, Te^{6+} ¹⁶, and W^{6+} ¹⁷ that substitute on the Zr^{4+} site, as well as Al^{3+} ¹¹ and Ga^{3+} ¹⁸⁻²⁶ that substitute in the Li sublattice, have been employed to stabilize the cubic phase.

The lithium-ion conductivity (σ) of LLZO can be expressed by the following equation:

$$\sigma = e \cdot n_c \cdot \mu \quad (1)$$

in which e is the elementary charge, n_c the concentration of mobile Li ions, and μ the Li mobility. Therefore, the lithium-ion conductivity of LLZO is determined by the concentration and mobility of mobile lithium ions, both of which can be tuned by doping.

In the $Li_{7-x}La_3Zr_{2-x}Ta_xO_{12}$ system, a maximum value of lithium-ion conductivity was theoretically demonstrated to be ~ 6.4 Li per formula unit^{13, 27}. Not all lithium ions can contribute to conduction simultaneously, because only 2.6 vacancies per formula are available in $Li_{6.4}La_3Zr_{1.4}Ta_{0.6}O_{12}$. Ahmad estimated that the concentration of charge carriers in LLZO is $\sim 12.3\%$ ²⁸, which is close to that found for W-doped LLZO²⁹. The majority of lithium ions are trapped and the concentration of vacancies

is higher than that of the mobile lithium ions, it is therefore possible to improve the lithium-ion conductivity of LLZO by activating lithium ions to participate in conduction. Additionally, enlarging the size of the lithium-ion migration pathway can increase the mobility of lithium ions³⁰. This may also be achieved by strategic doping in cubic LLZO, such as by doping Sr^{2+} onto La^{3+} sites.

Moreover, there are coulombic interactions between the ions in the migration pathway due to their small separation distance³¹⁻³³, and it is conceivable that the coulombic repulsion between dopants, such as Al^{3+} , Zn^{2+} and Ga^{3+} , and Li^+ is stronger than that between lithium ions; such coulombic repulsion can activate lithium ions. Thus, lithium ions can be activated by proper doping, which affects the concentration and mobility of charge carriers.

Among all dopants, Ga is the most effective in enhancing the lithium-ion conductivity^{23, 34}. Ga_2O_3 is introduced into LLZO according to

Although several researchers reported improved lithium-ion conductivity of LLZO by Ga-doping^{18-21, 24}, the reported lithium-ion conductivity was only $\sim 10^{-4}$ S/cm. More recently Bernuy-Lopez *et al.* reported a lithium-ion conductivity of 1.3 mS/cm for $\text{Li}_{6.4}\text{Ga}_{0.2}\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_{12}$ at 24 °C, and showed, using nuclear magnetic resonance measurements, that the Ga^{3+} was located on tetrahedral sites²³. Jalem *et al.* tried to explain the high conductivity of Ga-doped LLZO through understanding the influence of Ga^{3+} on the Li site connectivity using molecular dynamics simulations, with their results showing that > 90% connectivity was retained up to $x = 0.30$ in

$\text{Li}_{7-3x}\text{Ga}_x\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_{12}$ ²². Even more recently, Rettenwander *et al.* reported a lithium-ion conductivity of 1.32 mS/cm for $\text{Li}_{6.4}\text{Ga}_{0.2}\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_{12}$ at 20 °C, with a detailed study of the crystalline structure explaining the high lithium-ion conductivity³⁴. In this work, the lithium-ion conductivity of LLZO at room temperature is improved to 1.46 mS/cm through Ga-doping, and the origin of high lithium-ion conductivity of $\text{Li}_{7-3x}\text{Ga}_x\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_{12}$ electrolytes is expounded.

2. Experimental

2.1 Sample preparation

$\text{Li}_{7-3x}\text{Ga}_x\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_{12}$ ($x = 0.10, 0.15, 0.20, 0.25, 0.30, 0.35$, and 0.40) electrolytes were prepared via a solid-state reaction from Li_2CO_3 , La_2O_3 , ZrO_2 , and Ga_2O_3 . 10 mol.% excess of Li_2CO_3 was used to compensate the lithium loss during high temperature calcination. The powders were ball-milled for 15 h, heated in air at 900 °C for 6 h, followed by ball-milling for another 15 h. The powders were then cold isostatically pressed into pellets at 250 MPa and sintered at 1100 °C for 24 h in air. In order to avoid Al^{3+} contamination, a pellet of the same composition was put between the crucible and the sample during sintering. The samples were also covered with respective mother powders to prevent Li loss during sintering.

2.2 Composition and structure characterization

The chemical compositions of the samples were determined by inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectroscopy (ICP-OES) with an Optima 4300DV (America PerkinElmer Corporation). The relative densities of the samples were measured by the Archimedes method using water. Preliminary characterization of the crystalline

phases was performed using X-ray diffraction (XRD) with a XRD-7000S (Japan Shimadzu Corporation). Raman spectra were recorded in the range of 50 to 1200 cm^{-1} at room temperature (LabRAM HR800, France Horiba JobinYvon Corporation). Neutron powder diffraction (NPD) data were collected using ECHIDNA, the high-resolution neutron powder diffractometer at the Australian Nuclear Science and Technology Organisation (ANSTO)³⁵. The neutron beam wavelength was determined to be 0.162172(5) nm using the La^{11}B_6 NIST standard reference material (SRM 660b). GSAS-II was used to analyze the NPD data³⁶. ^6Li magic angle spinning nuclear magnetic resonance (MAS NMR) spectra were used to probe the local chemical environment of Li atoms and analyze the lithium-ion dynamics. The ^6Li MAS NMR spectra were gathered at a Larmor frequency of 58.9 MHz on a Bruker Avance III 400 NMR spectrometer with a sample spinning speed of 20 kHz. The chemical shifts of ^6Li were calibrated by using a 1 M LiCl solution. ^6Li MAS NMR spectra were collected using a $\pi/2$ pulse with a length of 7.5 μs and a recycle delay of 100 s. The spin lattice relaxation was characterized by the saturation recovery method.

2.3 Conductivity measurements

AC impedance measurements were undertaken to measure conductivity in the temperature range -60 to 60 $^{\circ}\text{C}$ using a Solartron 1260 impedance and gain-phase analyzer in the frequency range of 1 to 5×10^6 Hz and at an amplitude of 50 mV. The sample is ~ 12 mm in diameter and 2 to 3 mm in thickness. Li-ion blocking Ag electrodes on the large surfaces were used. Before each measurement, samples were annealed for 1 h at the desired temperature to ensure equilibrium. Cells with only Li

electrodes were also prepared; two pieces of metallic Li were pasted on the large surfaces of the sample and heated at 120 °C for 3 h in a glovebox filled with Ar. The Li/LLZO/Li cell was sealed in the battery testing equipment for the AC impedance measurement at 30 °C. By applying a DC voltage of 0.1 V to the Ag/LLZO/Ag cell, we also determined the electronic conductivity by means of the DC polarization method, and the current was recorded for 1000 s during the polarization.

3. Results and discussion

3.1 Composition and structure

The elemental composition of the $\text{Li}_{7-3x}\text{Ga}_x\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_{12}$ samples obtained by ICP-OES (Table 1) are close to the nominal composition, with the exception of a slightly higher lithium content, likely as a result of an excess of Li in the starting materials. No aluminum contamination was found.

The relative densities of the samples obtained by the Archimedes method reveal relative densities below 90% for samples with $x = 0.10$ and 0.15 and above 93% for well-sintered samples with $x \geq 0.20$.

XRD data of the $\text{Li}_{7-3x}\text{Ga}_x\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_{12}$ samples are shown in Fig. 1(a), where all diffraction peaks can be assigned to a cubic garnet structure, although peaks from the $\text{Li}_{6.70}\text{Ga}_{0.10}\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_{12}$ and $\text{Li}_{6.55}\text{Ga}_{0.15}\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_{12}$ samples are broadened compared to those of the samples with $x \geq 0.20$, which could be attributed to the presence of the tetragonal garnet.

The Raman spectra of tetragonal and cubic garnets are readily distinguishable^{37, 38}. The Raman spectra of the samples are shown in Fig. 1(b). The typical Raman spectra

of the LLZO garnets can be divided into three regions, a low-frequency region below 300 cm^{-1} , an intermediate-frequency region between 300 and 550 cm^{-1} , and a high-frequency region above 550 cm^{-1} . The high-frequency region corresponds to the vibrational stretching modes of the ZrO_6 octahedra, while the intermediate region has features mainly arising from vibrational bending modes of the octahedra, and the low-frequency region contains features corresponding to the translational modes of mobile ions. Features in the range of 100 to 300 cm^{-1} reveal the existence of the tetragonal garnet in the $\text{Li}_{6.70}\text{Ga}_{0.10}\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_{12}$ and $\text{Li}_{6.55}\text{Ga}_{0.15}\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_{12}$ samples, where sharp and split peaks arising from the reduction of symmetry from cubic to tetragonal exist^{37, 38}. Therefore, samples with $x = 0.10$ and 0.15 contain both tetragonal and cubic phases, and increasing Ga content to $x \geq 0.20$ results in the pure cubic garnet.

3.2 Lithium ion conductivity

The conductivity of the $\text{Li}_{7-3x}\text{Ga}_x\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_{12}$ samples was measured by the AC impedance technique. Fig. 2 exhibits the impedance spectra and the fitting results for the $\text{Li}_{6.25}\text{Ga}_{0.25}\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_{12}$ sample under different conditions. When the testing temperature is $-60\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$, a semi-circle and a dispersive line is seen in the spectrum, as shown in Fig. 2(a). With increasing temperature, the semi-circle reduces in size and vanishes at $0\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$. In the range of 10 to $60\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$, only a dispersive line is obtained, as shown in Fig. 2(b). The impedance spectra of the other samples are similar.

The intercept of the high frequency semi-circle of the impedance spectrum on the real axis represents the resistance of the sample, and the dispersive line represents the

lithium-ion transfer resistance of the Ag electrodes. The capacitances for the semicircles at low temperatures are on the level of 10^{-11} F, indicating a bulk property characteristic of LLZO electrolytes. Fig. 2(c) shows that when using Li electrodes the intercept of the high frequency semi-circle is almost identical to that obtained using Ag electrodes; therefore, the intercept of the high frequency semi-circle represents the sample resistance, while the intercept of the semi-circle at lower frequencies stands for the interfacial resistance between the sample and the Li electrodes.

Since it is impossible to distinguish the bulk and grain boundary resistances from the spectra, total conductivity is calculated here. The total conductivities of the samples at 25 °C are given in Table 1. When $x = 0.10$ and 0.15 , the samples show low lithium-ion conductivities of 0.025 and 0.085 mS/cm, respectively, at 25 °C, due to the presence of the tetragonal garnet. With increasing Ga content, the total conductivity increases and then decreases, reaching a maximum at $x = 0.25$. The total conductivity of $\text{Li}_{6.25}\text{Ga}_{0.25}\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_{12}$ at 25 °C is 1.46 mS/cm. For comparison, the electrical properties of the LLZO electrolytes are summarized in Table 2. Generally, only a few lithium-ion conductivities reported in literatures are above 1 mS/cm at 25 °C. A lithium-ion conductivity of about 1.3 mS/cm for Ga-doped LLZO through normal sintering was obtained by Bernuy-Lopez *et al.*²³ and Rettenwander *et al.*³⁴ The lithium-ion conductivity of $\text{Li}_{6.4}\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_{1.4}\text{Ta}_{0.6}\text{O}_{12}$ was enhanced to 1.6 mS/cm by hot-pressing by Du *et al.*³⁹, and the lithium-ion conductivity of $\text{Li}_{6.5}\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_{1.5}\text{Ta}_{0.5}\text{O}_{12}$ obtained by spark plasma sintering by Baek *et al.*⁴⁰ was 1.35 mS/cm, while the value for Al-containing $\text{Li}_{6.4}\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_{1.4}\text{Ta}_{0.6}\text{O}_{12}$ obtained by normal sintering was only ~1

mS/cm¹⁴.

Fig. 3 shows the temperature dependence of the total conductivities of the $\text{Li}_{7-3x}\text{Ga}_x\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_{12}$ samples. Apparently, the conductivities of the samples ($x \geq 0.20$) with the cubic phase are higher than those of the samples containing both tetragonal and cubic phases. Activation energies are calculated from the slopes of the plots; the activation energy at temperatures lower than $\sim 20^\circ\text{C}$ is slightly higher than that at higher temperatures, owing to the “ion trapping effect” at low temperatures, *i.e.* mobile Li^+ ions are trapped by immobile negatively charged defects²⁹. The activation energies for the overall testing temperature, as well as high and low temperature sides are given in Table 1. The activation energy decreases at first and then increases with increasing Ga content, reaching a minimum of 0.25 eV at $x = 0.25$. The low activation energies indicate that lithium ions transport easily in the $\text{Li}_{7-3x}\text{Ga}_x\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_{12}$ samples. The activation energy for the $\text{Li}_{7-3x}\text{Ga}_x\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_{12}$ samples with the cubic phase, derived from the data in the overall testing temperature, are in the range of 0.25 to 0.28 eV, which are amongst the lowest of the LLZO electrolytes in Table 2.

3.3 Electronic conductivity

An ideal solid electrolyte must be a purely ionic conductor, because electronic conduction causes electrical leakage or a short circuit in the lithium-ion battery. The DC polarization method was used to measure the electronic conductivity of the samples. DC voltage applied to the sample induces polarization, reaching steady-state over 1000 s (Fig. 4). Because the Ag electrode is blocking to lithium ions, but not to electrons, the current at the steady state arises only from the electronic conduction.

The electronic conductivity (σ_e) is on the order of 10^{-8} S/cm (Table 1), being 4 or 5 orders of magnitude lower than the lithium-ion conductivity. Thus, the transference number of lithium ions ($t_{Li} = \frac{\sigma_{total} - \sigma_e}{\sigma_{total}}$) for the $\text{Li}_{7-3x}\text{Ga}_x\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_{12}$ samples is nearly unity.

3.4 Modulus spectrum analysis

Complex modulus formalism is an important tool to derive information related to charge-transport processes. The complex electric modulus (M^*) can be calculated from the complex impedance (Z^*) through the relation:

$$M^*(\omega) = i\omega C_0 Z^* = M'(\omega) + iM''(\omega) \quad (3)$$

where the geometrical capacitance $C_0 = \varepsilon_0 A/t$ (ε_0 is the permittivity of free space, A the area of the electrode, and t the sample thickness), and M' and M'' are the real and imaginary parts of the electric modulus, respectively.

Fig. 5 shows the imaginary part of the electric modulus (M'') as a function of frequency for the $\text{Li}_{7-3x}\text{Ga}_x\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_{12}$ samples in the temperature range of -60 to 0 °C. The appearance of a peak in the modulus spectrum at low temperatures provides a signal of conductivity relaxation. The low frequency wing below the peak maximum (M_{max}'') represents the range in which charge carriers are mobile at long distance. On the other hand, at frequencies above the peak maximum the charge carriers are confined to potential wells and mobile at short distance. The peak frequency increases with increasing temperature and the peak disappears at a threshold temperature, *e.g.*, -50 °C for $\text{Li}_{6.25}\text{Ga}_{0.25}\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_{12}$ and -30 °C for $\text{Li}_{5.80}\text{Ga}_{0.40}\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_{12}$. The temperature dependence of the peak frequency suggests that hopping dominates the

relaxation process. Also, the absence of a peak at temperatures > -50 °C for $\text{Li}_{6.25}\text{Ga}_{0.25}\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_{12}$ suggests that there is only long-range migration.

The peak frequency f_{max} represents the conductivity relaxation frequency, and $f_{max}\tau_{max} = 1$, where τ_{max} is the characteristic relaxation time, representing the time scale of the transition from long- to short-range mobility. Better information on the bulk conduction properties can be obtained from the Arrhenius plot of f_{max} . In Fig. 5(f), the temperature dependence of f_{max} for the $\text{Li}_{5.80}\text{Ga}_{0.40}\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_{12}$ sample is shown. The activation energy is 0.30 eV, very close to that obtained from the Arrhenius plot of the total conductivity in the low temperature range, further evidencing the long-range migration of free lithium ions^{48, 57}.

3.5 Concentration and mobility of charge carriers

The frequency dependence of the real part of the AC conductivity, $\sigma'(\omega)$, for the cubic $\text{Li}_{7-3x}\text{Ga}_x\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_{12}$ samples at selected temperatures are shown in Fig. 6. The $\sigma'(\omega)$ and frequency follow the Jonscher's power law⁵⁸:

$$\sigma'(\omega) = \sigma_{dc} + A\omega^n = K\omega_c \left[1 + \left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_c} \right)^n \right] \quad (4)$$

where σ_{dc} is the DC ionic conductivity, ω the angular frequency, ω_c the jumping rate of charge carriers, A and K the pre-factors, and n a dimensionless frequency exponent in the range $0 < n < 1$. As shown in Fig. 6, one platform at high frequencies, representing the DC ionic conductivity, is found for each curve, and the decrease in the ionic conductivity at low frequencies can be ascribed to the electrode process. The bulk properties are the focus, therefore, the data at high frequencies are fitted according to the Jonscher's power law. The fitting curves are also shown in Fig. 6.

The DC conductivity and the jump rate ω_c are calculated from the fitting curves. The concentration of mobile lithium ions is calculated according to the Nernst-Einstein-Smoluchowki equation:

$$\sigma_{dc} = en_c\mu = \frac{n_c\omega_c e^2 \alpha^2}{2\pi k_B T} \quad (5)$$

where n_c is the concentration of the mobile lithium ions, μ the mobility of the charge carriers, α the jumping distance (0.2 nm for LLZO^{28, 29}), k_B the Boltzmann constant, and T the absolute temperature. The diffusion coefficient (D) is related to the mobility and the DC conductivity through the relationship:

$$D = \frac{k_B T \mu}{e} = \frac{\sigma_{dc} k_B T}{n_c e^2} \quad (6)$$

The typical results for the $\text{Li}_{7-3x}\text{Ga}_x\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_{12}$ samples are summarized in Table 3. The concentration of mobile lithium ions is on the order of 10^{21} cm^{-3} , mobility is on the order of 10^{-7} to $10^{-6} \text{ cm}^2\text{V}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$, and the jumping rate is on the order of 10^7 rad/s at -10°C . The concentration of mobile lithium ions in the $\text{Li}_{7-3x}\text{Ga}_x\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_{12}$ samples are comparable with that in pristine and W-doped LLZO^{28, 29}, while the mobility and jumping rate of mobile lithium ions in the $\text{Li}_{7-3x}\text{Ga}_x\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_{12}$ samples are significantly higher. The diffusion coefficients are also higher than those previously reported for lithium ion conductors^{28, 29}. The high ionic conductivities of the $\text{Li}_{7-3x}\text{Ga}_x\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_{12}$ samples can thus be ascribed to the increased mobility of lithium ions.

3.6 Lithium ion distribution

Lithium ion distribution at tetrahedral and octahedral sites is a crucial factor determining the overall mobility of lithium ions in LLZO electrolytes, because lithium

ions at octahedral sites exhibit higher mobility than those at tetrahedral sites²⁹.

The initial structure model used in the Rietveld analysis of the NPD data for the $\text{Li}_{7-3x}\text{Ga}_x\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_{12}$ ($x = 0.20$ and 0.30) samples was taken from Wang *et al.*²⁹ and Chen *et al.*³³, in which Ga atoms occupy the Li (24*d*) site²³. The results of structure refinement are listed in Table 4 and the corresponding Rietveld refinement plots are shown in Fig. 7. Two kinds of lithium ions occupy 24*d* (tetrahedral) and 96*h* (octahedral) sites, and 96*h* sites characterize positions that deviate from the center of octahedral 48*g* sites, with lithium content at the 96*h* site being higher than that at the 24*d* site. The other ions (La, Zr and O) are at their normal lattice sites.

^6Li MAS NMR was used to detect the lithium-ion local environment and dynamics in the $\text{Li}_{7-3x}\text{Ga}_x\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_{12}$ samples, and corresponding ^6Li MAS NMR spectra are shown in Fig. 8(a). The typical chemical shift of lithium at 24*d* tetrahedral and 48*g* octahedral sites are 0.8 and 1.9 ppm, respectively, and that of lithium at 96*h* octahedral sites is characterized by a low chemical shift^{29, 59}. Combined with the NPD results, we can conclude that the peaks at around 1.3 ppm in Fig. 8(a) can be attributed to lithium at the 96*h* site, and this signal overlaps that at 0.8 ppm arising from lithium ions at the 24*d* site. It is difficult to quantitatively determine lithium content at tetrahedral and octahedral sites by fitting these overlapped peaks. Fortunately, the spin-lattice relaxation time (T_1) of lithium is also sensitive to the local environment. Fig. 8(b) shows the saturation recovery of the ^6Li signal, and a bi-exponential function describes the data well. The fitting reveals a main component (~92%) with smaller T_1 ($t_2 = 1.72186$ s) arising from lithium at octahedral sites and

minor component with larger T_1 ($t_1 = 60.8798$ s) arising from lithium at tetrahedral sites. The smaller T_1 of lithium at the 96h octahedral site suggests a higher mobility.

From the NPD and ^6Li MAS NMR results, it is clear that more lithium resides at octahedral than tetrahedral sites. Lithium at the 96h site is not centrally located within the octahedron (Fig. 9). Electrostatic repulsion, including $\text{Li}^+ - \text{Li}^+$ and $\text{Li}^+ - \text{dopant}$ pairs, causes the redistribution of lithium between tetrahedral and octahedral sites, and the $\text{Li}^+ - \text{dopant}$ repulsion also influences the lithium at neighboring sites³³. Therefore, the strong coulombic repulsion between immobile Ga^{3+} and the nearby lithium ions shifts lithium away from their central position within the octahedron in the $\text{Li}_{7-3x}\text{Ga}_x\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_{12}$ garnets. The lithium-ion distribution in $\text{Li}_{7-3x}\text{Ga}_x\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_{12}$ is therefore significantly different to that in the Te or W doped garnets, where a large number of lithium ions are located at 48g sites^{16, 29}. Hence, the high lithium-ion conductivity of $\text{Li}_{7-3x}\text{Ga}_x\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_{12}$ electrolytes can be ascribed to enhanced mobility of lithium ions arising from the coulombic repulsion between Ga^{3+} and Li^+ ions. This could also explain the relatively low activation energies for conductivity in the $\text{Li}_{7-3x}\text{Ga}_x\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_{12}$ system.

In cubic LLZO, lithium ions can transport along tetrahedral and octahedral sites, with the possibility for lithium exchange between the sites as a consequence of vacancies at both sites²⁹. Generally, the direct lithium-ion transport along tetrahedral sites is difficult; Li NMR and dielectric loss spectroscopy measurements suggest a pathway of 48g/96h-48g/96h involving only octahedral sites^{57, 59-61}. However, anisotropic atomic displacement parameters obtained using neutron diffraction,

maximum entropy methods and two-dimensional ^6Li - ^6Li exchange NMR spectra, suggest that the diffusion occurs through the pathway $24d$ - $48g$ / $96h$ - $24d$ ^{29, 62, 63}. As the jumping rate of lithium at tetrahedral sites is lower than that at octahedral sites, the lithium-ion migration rate through the $24d$ - $96h$ - $24d$ pathway is limited by the jumping rate of lithium at tetrahedral sites. In the $24d$ - $96h$ - $24d$ pathway, Ga^{3+} ions have little impact on lithium-ion mobility, because they are separated from lithium at tetrahedral sites by Li at octahedral sites (Fig. 9). However, the mobility of lithium ions in the $\text{Li}_{7-3x}\text{Ga}_x\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_{12}$ samples is greatly enhanced by Ga, relative to both pristine and W-doped LLZO^{28, 29}. As found in the work of Wang *et al.*²⁹, the ^6Li - ^6Li exchange signal, characterizing lithium-ion transport between the two different sites, indicated the lithium-ion transport along octahedral sites. Wagner *et al.*²⁶ also proposed an additional lithium-ion diffusion pathway in the Ga^{3+} doped garnets, as informed by ^7Li NMR spin-lattice relaxation rates. Taken together, there are two migration pathways (Fig. 9(c)) in the $\text{Li}_{7-3x}\text{Ga}_x\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_{12}$ garnets: $24d$ - $96h$ - $24d$ and $96h$ - $96h$; however, the migration rate of lithium ions between $96h$ - $96h$ sites is much higher.

4. Conclusions

The present study thoroughly investigates the influence of Ga doping on the properties of $\text{Li}_{7-3x}\text{Ga}_x\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_{12}$ garnets. The minimum concentration of Ga required for stabilizing the cubic phase is found to be 0.20 Ga per formula unit, below which both cubic and tetragonal phases coexist. In the $\text{Li}_{7-3x}\text{Ga}_x\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_{12}$ garnets, lithium ions predominantly occupy the $96h$ site, which is non-central within the octahedron as a result of coulombic repulsion between Ga^{3+} and Li^+ . The remaining Li is found at

the tetrahedral site. The highest lithium-ion conductivity of 1.46 mS/cm at 25 °C is found at a Ga concentration of 0.25 per formula unit, at which the activation energy for conductivity reaches its minimum of 0.25 eV. The enhancement of conductivity by Ga can be ascribed to a high mobility of lithium ions arising from the coulombic repulsion between Ga^{3+} and Li^+ . This work opens the door to the strategic doping of other electrolytes to enhance ionic conductivity.

Acknowledgements

This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 51672096). Dr. Wei Kong Pang is grateful for the financial support of the Australian Research Council (FT160100251).

References

1. Tarascon, J. M.; Armand, M., Issues and Challenges Facing Rechargeable Lithium Batteries *Nature* 2001, 414, 359-367.
2. Armand, M.; Tarascon, J. M., Building Better Batteries *Nature* 2008, 451, 652-657.
3. Kamaya, N.; Homma, K.; Yamakawa, Y.; Hirayama, M.; Kanno, R.; Yonemura, M.; Kamiyama, T.; Kato, Y.; Hama, S.; Kawamoto, K.; Mitsui, A., A Lithium Superionic Conductor *Nat. Mater.* 2011, 10, 682-686.
4. Kato, Y.; Hori, S.; Saito, T.; Suzuki, K.; Hirayama, M.; Mitsui, A.; Yonemura, M.; Iba, H.; Kanno, R., High-power All-solid-state Batteries Using Sulfide Superionic Conductors *Nat. Energy* 2016, 1, 16030.
5. Bachman, J. C.; Muy, S.; Grimaud, A.; Chang, H. H.; Pour, N.; Lux, S. F.; Paschos, O.; Maglia, F.; Lupart, S.; Lamp, P.; Giordano, L.; Shao-Horn, Y., Inorganic Solid-state Electrolytes for Lithium Batteries: Mechanisms and Properties Governing Ion Conduction *Chem. Rev.* 2016, 116, 140–162.
6. Takada, K., Progress and Prospective of Solid-state Lithium Batteries *Acta Mater.* 2013, 61, 759-770.
7. Kalaga, K.; Rodrigues, M.-T. F.; Gullapalli, H.; Babu, G.; Arava, L. M. R.; Ajayan, P. M., Quasi-Solid Electrolytes for High Temperature Lithium Ion Batteries *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* 2015, 7, 25777-25783.
8. Thangadurai, V.; Narayanan, S.; Pinzaru, D., Garnet-type Solid-state Fast Li Ion Conductors for Li Batteries: Critical Review *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2014, 43, 4714-4727.
9. Luo, W.; Gong, Y.; Zhu, Y.; Fu, K. K.; Dai, J.; Lacey, S. D.; Wang, C.; Liu, B.; Han, X.; Mo, Y.; Wachsman, E. D.; Hu, L., Transition from Super-lithiophobicity to Super-lithiophilicity of Garnet

Solid-state Electrolyte *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2016, 138, 12258–12262.

10. Fua, K. K.; Gonga, Y.; Daib, J.; Gong, A.; Han, X.; Yao, Y.; Wang, C.; Wang, Y.; Chen, Y.; Yan, C.;

Li, Y.; Wachsman, E. D.; Hu, L., Flexible, Solid-state, Ion-conducting Membrane with 3D Garnet Nanofiber Networks for Lithium Batteries *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2016, 113, 7094-7099.

11. Murugan, R.; Thangadurai, V.; Weppner, W., Fast Lithium Ion Conduction in Garnet-type $\text{Li}_7\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_{12}$ *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2007, 46, 7778-7781.

12. Geiger, C. A.; Alekseev, E.; Lazic, B.; Fisch, M.; Armbruster, T.; Langner, R.; Fechtelkord, M.; Kim, N.; Pettke, T.; Weppner, W., Crystal Chemistry and Stability of " $\text{Li}_7\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_{12}$ " Garnet: a Fast Lithium-ion Conductor *Inorg. Chem.* 2011, 50, 1089-1097.

13. Bernstein, N.; Johannes, M. D.; Hoang, K., Origin of the Structure Phase Transition in $\text{Li}_7\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_{12}$ *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 2012, 109, 205702-205706.

14. Li, Y.; Han, J.-T.; Wang, C.-A.; Xie, H.; Goodenough, J. B., Optimizing Li^+ Conductivity in a Garnet Framework *J. Mater. Chem.* 2012, 22, 15357-15361.

15. Huang, M.; Shoji, M.; Shen, Y.; Nan, C.-W.; Munakata, H.; Kanamura, K., Preparation and Electrochemical Properties of Zr-site Substituted $\text{Li}_7\text{La}_3(\text{Zr}_{2-x}\text{M}_x)\text{O}_{12}$ ($\text{M} = \text{Ta}, \text{Nb}$) Solid Electrolytes *J. Power Sources* 2014, 261, 206-211.

16. Wang, D.; Zhong, G.; Dolotko, O.; Li, Y.; McDonald, M. J.; Mi, J.-X.; Fu, R.; Yang, Y., The Synergistic Effects of Al and Te on the Structure and Li^+ -mobility of the Garnet-type Solid Electrolytes *J. Mater. Chem. A* 2014, 2, 20271-20279.

17. Li, Y.; Wang, Z.; Cao, Y.; Du, F.; Chen, C.; Cui, Z.; Guo, X., W-doped $\text{Li}_7\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_{12}$ Ceramic Electrolytes for Solid State Li-ion Batteries *Electrochim. Acta* 2015, 180, 37-42.

18. Wolfenstine, J.; Ratchford, J.; Rangasamy, E.; Sakamoto, J.; Allen, J. L., Synthesis and High

- Li-ion Conductivity of Ga-stabilized Cubic $\text{Li}_7\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_{12}$ Mater. Chem. Phys. 2012, 134, 571-575.
19. Allen, J. L.; Wolfenstine, J.; Rangasamy, E.; Sakamoto, J., Effect of Substitution (Ta, Al, Ga) on the Conductivity of $\text{Li}_7\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_{12}$ J. Power Sources 2012, 206, 315-319.
 20. Howard, M. A.; Clemens, O.; Kendrick, E.; Knight, K. S.; Apperley, D. C.; Anderson, P. A.; Slater, P. R., Effect of Ga Incorporation on the Structure and Li Ion conductivity of $\text{Li}_7\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_{12}$ Dalton Trans. 2012, 41, 12048-12053.
 21. El Shinawi, H.; Janek, J., Stabilization of Cubic Lithium-stuffed Garnets of the Type “ $\text{Li}_7\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_{12}$ ” by Addition of Gallium J. Power Sources 2013, 225, 13-19.
 22. Jalem, R.; Rushton, M.; Manalastas, W.; Nakayama, M.; Kasuga, T.; Kilner, J. A.; Grimes, R. W., Effects of Gallium Doping in Garnet-type $\text{Li}_7\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_{12}$ Solid Electrolytes Chem. Mater. 2015, 27, 2821-2831.
 23. Bernuy-Lopez, C.; Manalastas, W.; Lopez del Amo, J. M.; Aguadero, A.; Aguesse, F.; Kilner, J. A., Atmosphere Controlled Processing of Ga-substituted Garnets for High Li-ion Conductivity Ceramics Chem. Mater. 2014, 26, 3610-3617.
 24. Rettenwander, D.; Geiger, C. A.; Tribus, M.; Tropper, P.; Amthauer, G., A Synthesis and Crystal Chemical Study of the Fast Ion Conductor $\text{Li}_{7-3x}\text{Ga}_x\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_{12}$ with $x = 0.08$ to 0.84 Inorg. Chem. 2014, 53, 6264-6269.
 25. Rettenwander, D.; Langer, J.; Schmidt, W.; Arrer, C.; Harris, K. J.; Terskikh, V.; Goward, G. R.; Wilkening, M.; Amthauer, G., On the Site Occupation of Ga and Al in Stabilized Cubic $\text{Li}_{7-3(x+y)}\text{Ga}_x\text{Al}_y\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_{12}$ Garnets as Deduced from ^{27}Al and ^{71}Ga MAS NMR at Ultrahigh Magnetic Fields Chem. Mater. 2015, 27, 2821–2831.
 26. Wagner, R.; Redhammer, G. J.; Rettenwander, D.; Senyshyn, A.; Schmidt, W.; Wilkening, M.;

- Amthauer, G., Crystal Structure of Garnet-related Li-ion Conductor $\text{Li}_{7-3x}\text{Ga}_x\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_{12}$: Fast Li-ion Conduction Caused by a Different Cubic Modification? *Chem. Mater.* 2016, 28, 1861-1871.
27. Xie, H.; Alonso, J. A.; Li, Y.; Fernández-Díaz, M. T.; Goodenough, J. B., Lithium Distribution in Aluminum-free Cubic $\text{Li}_7\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_{12}$ *Chem. Mater.* 2011, 23, 3587-3589.
28. Ahmad, M. M., Estimation of the Concentration and Mobility of Mobile Li^+ in the Cubic Garnet-type $\text{Li}_7\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_{12}$ *RSC Adv.* 2015, 5, 25824-25829.
29. Wang, D.; Zhong, G.; Pang, W. K.; Guo, Z.; Li, Y.; McDonald, M. J.; Fu, R.; Mi, J.-X.; Yang, Y., Towards Understanding the Lithium Transport Mechanism in Garnet-type Solid Electrolytes: Li^+ Ions Exchanges and Their Mobility at Octahedral/Tetrahedral Sites *Chem. Mater.* 2015, 27, 6650–6659.
30. Bucheli, W.; Duran, T.; Jimenez, R.; Sanz, J.; Varez, A., On the Influence of the Vacancy Distribution on the Structure and Ionic Conductivity of A-site-deficient $\text{Li}_x\text{Sr}_x\text{La}_{2/3-x}\text{TiO}_3$ Perovskites *Inorg. Chem.* 2012, 51, 5831-5838.
31. Zeier, W. G., Structural Limitations for Optimizing Garnet-type Solid Electrolytes: A Perspective *Dalton Trans.* 2014, 43, 16133-16138
32. Thompson, T.; Sharafi, A.; Johannes, M. D.; Huq, A.; Allen, J. L.; Wolfenstine, J.; Sakamoto, J., A Tale of Two Sites: On Defining the Carrier Concentration in Garnet-based Ionic Conductors for Advanced Li Batteries *Adv. Energy Mater.* 2015, 5, 1500096-1500104.
33. Chen, Y.; Rangasamy, E.; Liang, C.; An, K., Origin of High Li^+ Conduction in Doped $\text{Li}_7\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_{12}$ Garnets *Chem. Mater.* 2015, 27, 5491–5494.
34. Rettenwander, D.; Redhammer, G.; Preishuber-Pflügl, F.; Cheng, L.; Miara, L.; Wagner, R.; Welzl, A.; Suard, E.; Doeff, M. M.; Wilkening, M.; Fleig, J.; Amthauer, G., Structural and Electrochemical Consequences of Al and Ga Co-substitution in $\text{Li}_7\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_{12}$ Solid Electrolytes *Chem. Mater.* 2016, 28,

2384–2392.

35. Liss, K. D.; Hunter, B.; Hagen, M.; Noakes, T.; Kennedy, S., The New High-Resolution Powder Diffractometer Being Built at OPAL Physica B 2006, 385–386, 1010-1012.
36. Toby, B. H.; Von Dreele, R. B., GSAS-II: the Genesis of a Modern Open-source All Purpose Crystallography Software Package J. Appl. Cryst. 2013, 46, 544-549.
37. Tietz, F.; Wegener, T.; Gerhards, M. T.; Giarola, M.; Mariotto, G., Synthesis and Raman Micro-spectroscopy Investigation of $\text{Li}_7\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_{12}$ Solid State Ionics 2013, 230, 77-82.
38. Thompson, T.; Wolfenstine, J.; Allen, J. L.; Johannes, M.; Huq, A.; David, I. N.; Sakamoto, J., Tetragonal vs. Cubic Phase Stability in Al - free Ta Doped $\text{Li}_7\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_{12}$ (LLZO) J. Mater. Chem. A 2014, 2, 13431-13436.
39. Du, F.; Zhao, N.; Li, Y.; Chen, C.; Liu, Z.; Guo, X., All Solid State Lithium Batteries Based on Lamellar Garnet-type Ceramic Electrolytes J. Power Sources 2015, 300, 24-28.
40. Baek, S.-W.; Lee, J.-M.; Kim, T. Y.; Song, M.-S.; Park, Y., Garnet Related Lithium Ion Conductor Processed by Spark Plasma Sintering for All Solid State Batteries J. Power Sources 2014, 249, 197-206.
41. Li, Y.; Wang, Z.; Li, C.; Cao, Y.; Guo, X., Densification and Ionic-conduction Improvement of Lithium Garnet Solid Electrolytes by Flowing Oxygen Sintering J. Power Sources 2014, 248, 642-646.
42. Tong, X.; Thangadurai, V.; Wachsman, E. D., Highly Conductive Li Garnets by a Multielement Doping Strategy Inorg. Chem. 2015, 54, 3600-3607.
43. Wang, Y.; Lai, W., High Ionic Conductivity Lithium Garnet Oxides of $\text{Li}_{7-x}\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_{2-x}\text{Ta}_x\text{O}_{12}$ Compositions Electrochem. Solid ST 2012, 15, A68-A71.
44. Li, Y.; Wang, C.-A.; Xie, H.; Cheng, J.; Goodenough, J. B., High Lithium Ion Conduction in

Garnet-type $\text{Li}_6\text{La}_3\text{ZrTaO}_{12}$ *Electrochem. Commun.* 2011, 13, 1289-1292.

45. Ren, Y.; Deng, H.; Chen, R.; Shen, Y.; Lin, Y.; Nan, C.-W., Effects of Li Source on Microstructure and Ionic Conductivity of Al-contained $\text{Li}_{6.75}\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_{1.75}\text{Ta}_{0.25}\text{O}_{12}$ *Ceramics J. Eur. Ceram. Soc.* 2015, 35, 561-572.

46. Janani, N.; Deviannapoorani, C.; Dhivya, L.; Murugan, R., Influence of Sintering Additives on Densification and Li^+ Conductivity of Al doped $\text{Li}_7\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_{12}$ Lithium Garnet *RSC Adv.* 2014, 4, 51228-51238.

47. Dhivya, L.; Murugan, R., Effect of Simultaneous Substitution of Y and Ta on the Stabilization of Cubic Phase, Microstructure, and Li Conductivity of $\text{Li}_7\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_{12}$ Lithium Garnet *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* 2014, 6, 17606-17615.

48. Deviannapoorani, C.; Dhivya, L.; Ramakumar, S.; Murugan, R., Lithium Ion Transport Properties of High Conductive Tellurium Substituted $\text{Li}_7\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_{12}$ Cubic Lithium Garnets *J. Power Sources* 2013, 240, 18-25.

49. Ohta, S.; Kobayashi, T.; Asaoka, T., High Lithium Ionic Conductivity in the Garnet-type Oxide $\text{Li}_{7-x}\text{La}_3(\text{Zr}_{2-x}\text{Nb}_x)\text{O}_{12}$ ($x = 0-2$) *J. Power Sources* 2011, 196, 3342-3345.

50. Tadanaga, K.; Takano, R.; Ichinose, T.; Mori, S.; Hayashi, A.; Tatsumisago, M., Low Temperature Synthesis of Highly Ion Conductive $\text{Li}_7\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_{12}$ - Li_3BO_3 Composites *Electrochem. Commun.* 2013, 33, 51-54.

51. Sakamoto, J.; Rangasamy, E.; Kim, H.; Kim, Y.; Wolfenstine, J., Synthesis of Nano-scale Fast Ion Conducting Cubic $\text{Li}_7\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_{12}$ *Nanotechnology* 2013, 24, 424005.

52. Raskovalov, A. A.; Il'ina, E. A.; Antonov, B. D., Structure and Transport Properties of $\text{Li}_7\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_{2-0.75x}\text{Al}_x\text{O}_{12}$ Superionic Solid Electrolytes *J. Power Sources* 2013, 238, 48-52.

53. Huang, M.; Dumon, A.; Nan, C.-W., Effect of Si, In and Ge Doping on High Ionic Conductivity of $\text{Li}_7\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_{12}$ *Electrochem. Commun.* 2012, 21, 62-64.
54. Murugan, R.; Ramakumar, S.; Janani, N., High Conductive Yttrium Doped $\text{Li}_7\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_{12}$ Cubic Lithium Garnet *Electrochem. Commun.* 2011, 13, 1373-1375.
55. Jin, Y.; McGinn, P. J., Al-doped $\text{Li}_7\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_{12}$ Synthesized by a Polymerized Complex Method *J. Power Sources* 2011, 196, 8683-8687.
56. David, I. N.; Thompson, T.; Wolfenstine, J.; Allen, J. L.; Sakamoto, J.; Vyas, B., Microstructure and Li-ion Conductivity of Hot-pressed Cubic $\text{Li}_7\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_{12}$ *J. Am. Ceram. Soc.* 2015, 98, 1209-1214.
57. Baral, A. K.; Narayanan, S.; Ramezanipour, F.; Thangadurai, V., Evaluation of Fundamental Transport Properties of Li-excess Garnet-type $\text{Li}_{5+2x}\text{La}_3\text{Ta}_{2-x}\text{Y}_x\text{O}_{12}$ ($x = 0.25, 0.5$ and 0.75) Electrolytes Using AC Impedance and Dielectric Spectroscopy *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 2014, 16, 11356-11365.
58. Jonscher, A. K., *Dielectric Relaxation in Solids* Chelsea Dielectric Press: London, 1983.
59. Kuhn, A.; Narayanan, S.; Spencer, L.; Goward, G.; Thangadurai, V.; Wilkening, M., Li Self-diffusion in Garnet-type $\text{Li}_7\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_{12}$ as Probed Directly by Diffusion-induced ^7Li Spin-lattice Relaxation NMR Spectroscopy *Phys. Rev. B* 2011, 83, 094302-094312.
60. van Wullen, L.; Echelmeyer, T.; Meyer, H. W.; Wilmer, D., The Mechanism of Li-ion Transport in the Garnet $\text{Li}_5\text{La}_3\text{Nb}_2\text{O}_{12}$ *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 2007, 9, 3298-3303.
61. Nyman, M.; Alam, T. M.; McIntyre, S. K.; Bleier, G. C.; Ingersoll, D., Alternative Approach to Increasing Li Mobility in Li-La-Nb/Ta Garnet Electrolytes *Chem. Mater.* 2010, 22, 5401-5410.
62. Han, J.; Zhu, J.; Li, Y.; Yu, X.; Wang, S.; Wu, G.; Xie, H.; Vogel, S. C.; Izumi, F.; Momma, K.; Kawamura, Y.; Huang, Y.; Goodenough, J. B.; Zhao, Y., Experimental Visualization of Lithium Conduction Pathways in Garnet-type $\text{Li}_7\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_{12}$ *Chem. Commun.* 2012, 48, 9840-9842.

63. Zeier, W. G.; Zhou, S.; Lopez-Bermudez, B.; Page, K.; Melot, B. C., Dependence of the Li-ion Conductivity and Activation Energies on the Crystal Structure and Ionic Radii in $\text{Li}_6\text{MLa}_2\text{Ta}_2\text{O}_{12}$ ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 2014, 6, 10900-10907.

Table 1. Chemical composition, relative density, total conductivity, activation energy, and electronic conductivity of $\text{Li}_{7-3x}\text{Ga}_x\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_{12}$ samples

x	Li:La:Zr:Ga		Relative density (%)	σ_{total} (mS/cm)	E_a (eV)			$\sigma_{\text{electronic}}$ (10^{-7} S/cm)
	Nominal	ICP-OES result ^a			Overall	High T	Low T	
0.10	6.70:3:2:0.10	--	86.7	0.025	0.34	-	-	--
0.15	6.55:3:2:0.15	--	89.6	0.085	0.33	-	-	--
0.20	6.30:3:2:0.20	7.02:3:1.95:0.19	93.4	0.87	0.28	0.26	0.28	1.4
0.25	6.25:3:2:0.25	6.79:3:1.97:0.25	94.1	1.46	0.25	0.20	0.26	0.54
0.30	6.10:3:2:0.30	6.32:3:1.96:0.29	96.3	1.12	0.25	0.23	0.25	0.47
0.35	5.95:3:2:0.35	6.43:3:1.99:0.33	95.1	0.71	0.26	0.24	0.27	0.81
0.40	5.80:3:2:0.40	6.64:3:2.02:0.42	92.8	0.57	0.26	0.26	0.26	1.1

^a Atomic ratio of Li:La:Zr:Ga is normalized by La content in the formula $\text{Li}_{7-3x}\text{Ga}_x\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_{12}$.

Table 2. Electrical properties of LLZO electrolytes

Composition	Relative density (%)	σ_{total} (mS/cm)	Temperature (°C)	E_a (eV)	Ref.
$\text{Li}_{6.25}\text{Ga}_{0.25}\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_{12}$	94.1	1.46	25	0.25	this work
$\text{Li}_{6.4}\text{Ga}_{0.2}\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_{12}$	--	1.32	20	0.256	[34]
$\text{Li}_{6.55}\text{Ga}_{0.15}\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_{12}$	--	1.3	25	0.30	[23]
$\text{Li}_{6.6}\text{Ga}_{0.2}\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_{12}$	--	0.9			
$\text{Li}_7\text{Ga}_{1.0}\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_{12}$	92.5	0.54	20	0.32 ~ 0.37	[21]
$\text{Li}_{6.25}\text{Ga}_{0.25}\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_{12}$	--	0.35	--	--	[18]
$\text{Li}_{6.15}\text{Ga}_{0.2}\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_{1.75}\text{Ta}_{0.25}\text{O}_{12}$	96 ~ 98	0.41	25	0.27	[19]
$\text{Li}_{6.15}\text{Al}_{0.2}\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_{1.75}\text{Ta}_{0.25}\text{O}_{12}$		0.37		0.30	
$\text{Li}_{6.4}\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_{1.4}\text{Ta}_{0.6}\text{O}_{12}$	99.6	1.6	25	0.26	[39]
$\text{Li}_{7-x}\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_{1.5}\text{Ta}_{0.5}\text{O}_{12}$	--	1.35	25	0.36	[40]
$\text{Li}_{6.75}\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_{1.75}\text{Ta}_{0.25}\text{O}_{12}$	--	0.74	25	0.33	[41]
$\text{Li}_{6.4}\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_{1.4}\text{Ta}_{0.6}\text{O}_{12}$	--	0.724	25	0.24	[42]
$\text{Li}_{6.8}\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_{1.8}\text{Ta}_{0.2}\text{O}_{12}$	--	0.69	RT	~ 0.36	[43]
$\text{Li}_6\text{La}_3\text{ZrTaO}_{12}$	--	0.18	25	0.42	[44]
Al-doped $\text{Li}_{6.4}\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_{1.4}\text{Ta}_{0.6}\text{O}_{12}$	--	~ 1		0.35	
Al-doped $\text{Li}_{6.5}\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_{1.5}\text{Ta}_{0.5}\text{O}_{12}$		0.92	25	--	[14]
Al-doped $\text{Li}_{6.6}\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_{1.6}\text{Ta}_{0.4}\text{O}_{12}$		0.73		--	
Al-doped $\text{Li}_{6.75}\text{La}_2\text{Zr}_{1.75}\text{Ta}_{0.25}\text{O}_{12}$	94.1	0.928	24	0.32 ~ 0.34	[45]
$\text{Li}_{6.4}\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_{1.4}\text{Ta}_{0.6}\text{O}_{12} + \text{Li}_4\text{SiO}_4$	--	0.61	33	0.34	[46]
Al-doped $\text{Li}_7\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_{1.625}\text{Ta}_{0.375}\text{O}_{12}$	--	0.409	25	0.30	[15]
$\text{Li}_{6.6}\text{La}_{2.5}\text{Y}_{0.5}\text{Zr}_{1.6}\text{Ta}_{0.6}\text{O}_{12}$	--	0.436	27	0.34	[47]
$\text{Li}_{6.5}\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_{1.75}\text{Te}_{0.25}\text{O}_{12}$	--	1.02	30	0.37	[48]
Al-doped $\text{Li}_{7-2x}\text{La}_2\text{Zr}_{2-x}\text{Te}_x\text{O}_{12}$	82.4	0.40	27	0.33	[16]
$\text{Li}_{7-2x}\text{La}_2\text{Zr}_{2-x}\text{Te}_x\text{O}_{12}$	80.2	0.369		0.37	
$\text{Li}_{6.5-3y}\text{Al}_y\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_{1.75}\text{W}_{0.25}\text{O}_{12}$	93 ~ 95	0.49	25	0.35	[29]
$\text{Li}_{6.75}\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_{1.75}\text{Nb}_{0.25}\text{O}_{12}$	--	0.80	25	0.31	[49]
Al-doped $\text{Li}_7\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_{12} + \text{Li}_3\text{BO}_3$	--	0.1	30	0.36	[50]
Al-doped $\text{Li}_7\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_{12}$	--	0.4	25	0.41	[51]
$\text{Li}_7\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_{2-0.75x}\text{Al}_x\text{O}_{12}$	82	0.34	25	0.33	[52]
Ge-doped $\text{Li}_7\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_{12}$	--	0.763	25	--	[53]
$\text{Li}_{7.06}\text{La}_3\text{Y}_{0.06}\text{Zr}_{1.94}\text{O}_{12}$	--	0.81	25	0.26	[54]
Al-doped $\text{Li}_7\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_{12}$	--	0.2	25	--	[55]
Al-doped $\text{Li}_7\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_{12}$	99	0.37	RT	--	[56]
Al-doped $\text{Li}_7\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_{12}$	--	0.244	25	0.34	[11]

Table 3. DC conductivity (σ_{DC}), jumping rate (ω_c), frequency exponent (n), concentration (n_c), mobility (μ), and diffusion coefficient (D) of charge carriers for $\text{Li}_{7-3x}\text{Ga}_x\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_{12}$ samples

Sample	Temp. (°C)	σ_{DC} (mS/cm)	ω_c (10^7 rad/s)	n	n_c (10^{21} cm $^{-3}$)	μ (10^{-7} cm 2 V $^{-1}$ s $^{-1}$)	D (10^{-8} cm 2 s $^{-1}$)
$\text{Li}_{6.40}\text{Ga}_{0.20}\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_{12}$	−10	0.187	5.65	0.59	1.18	9.96	2.26
	−30	0.075	2.57	0.90	0.96	4.90	1.02
	−60	0.011	0.35	0.89	0.89	0.76	0.14
$\text{Li}_{6.25}\text{Ga}_{0.25}\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_{12}$	−10	0.380	14.73	0.74	0.92	25.96	5.95
	−30	0.153	3.51	0.93	1.43	6.68	1.40
	−60	0.025	0.46	0.91	1.58	1.00	0.18
$\text{Li}_{6.10}\text{Ga}_{0.30}\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_{12}$	−10	0.293	5.18	0.91	2.01	9.12	2.08
	−30	0.116	2.17	0.94	1.75	4.14	0.86
	−60	0.020	0.26	0.97	2.18	0.58	0.10
$\text{Li}_{5.95}\text{Ga}_{0.35}\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_{12}$	−10	0.183	12.72	0.47	0.51	22.24	5.08
	−30	0.068	1.67	0.58	1.34	3.18	0.66
	−60	0.011	0.26	0.67	1.23	0.58	0.10
$\text{Li}_{5.80}\text{Ga}_{0.40}\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_{12}$	−10	0.135	3.09	0.90	1.55	5.44	1.24
	−30	0.052	1.04	0.92	1.65	1.98	0.42
	−60	0.009	0.15	0.92	1.66	0.32	0.06
Ref. ²⁸	−63	8.44E-4	0.0634	0.51	3.12	0.0169	0.003
Ref. ²⁹	−30	8.47E-3	0.88	0.966	1.98	1.677	0.35

Table 4. Structural parameters of $\text{Li}_{6.40}\text{Ga}_{0.20}\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_{12}$ and $\text{Li}_{6.10}\text{Ga}_{0.30}\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_{12}$ samples obtained using NPD data (space group $Ia\bar{3}d$)

Stoichiometry		Lattice parameter (nm)	R_{wp} (%)	GOF	Site	Occupancy	x	y	z	$U_{\text{iso}} (\text{\AA}^2)$
$\text{Li}_{6.40}\text{Ga}_{0.20}\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_{12}$	$\text{Li}^{24d}_{1.65(9)}\text{Ga}^{24d}_{0.2}\text{Li}^{96h}_{4.74(11)}\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_{12}$	1.29733(6)	3.58	1.52	Li1(24d)	0.55(3)	3/8	0	1/4	0.066(15)
					Li2(96h)	0.395(9)	0.101(1)	0.191(1)	0.422(1)	0.001(2)
					Ga(24d)	0.067	3/8	0	1/4	0.066(15)
					La(24c)	1	1/8	0	1/4	0.070(4)
					Zr(16a)	1	0	0	0	0.070(4)
					O(96h)	1	0.0998(1)	0.1958(1)	0.2817(1)	0.011(1)
$\text{Li}_{6.10}\text{Ga}_{0.30}\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_{12}$	$\text{Li}^{24d}_{1.03(6)}\text{Ga}^{24d}_{0.3}\text{Li}^{96h}_{3.96(12)}\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_{12}$	1.29746(9)	3.36	1.46	Li1(24d)	0.34(2)	3/8	0	1/4	0.009(1)
					Li2(96h)	0.33(1)	0.100(1)	0.191(1)	0.421(1)	0.009(1)
					Ga(24d)	0.1	3/8	0	1/4	0.009(1)
					La(24c)	1	1/8	0	1/4	0.009(1)
					Zr(16a)	1	0	0	0	0.009(1)
					O(96h)	1	0.1004(1)	0.1954(1)	0.2816(1)	0.009(1)

Figures

Fig. 1 (a) XRD patterns and (b) Raman spectra of $\text{Li}_{7-3x}\text{Ga}_x\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_{12}$ samples.

Fig. 2 AC impedance spectra and fitting results of $\text{Li}_{6.25}\text{Ga}_{0.25}\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_{12}$ (a, b) at different temperatures, and (c) with Ag and Li electrodes at 30 °C. The insets in (a) and (b) are the equivalent circuits used. The inset in (c) represents the high frequency regions of the corresponding impedance spectra.

Fig. 3 Temperature dependence of the conductivity of $\text{Li}_{7-3x}\text{Ga}_x\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_{12}$ samples. The dashed line shows the slopes at high and low temperatures.

Fig. 4 DC polarization plots of $\text{Li}_{7-3x}\text{Ga}_x\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_{12}$ samples.

Fig. 5 Frequency dependence of M'' for $\text{Li}_{7-3x}\text{Ga}_x\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_{12}$ samples with different x values: (a) 0.20, (b) 0.25, (c) 0.30, (d) 0.35 and (e) 0.40, and (f) temperature dependence of $f_{\max}$ for $\text{Li}_{5.80}\text{Ga}_{0.40}\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_{12}$ sample.

Fig. 6 Frequency dependent $\sigma(\omega)$ of $\text{Li}_{7-3x}\text{Ga}_x\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_{12}$ samples with different x values: (a) 0.20, (b) 0.25, (c) 0.30, (d) 0.35 and (e) 0.40.

Fig. 7 Rietveld refinement plots using room temperature NPD data for (a) $\text{Li}_{6.40}\text{Ga}_{0.20}\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_{12}$ and (b) $\text{Li}_{6.10}\text{Ga}_{0.30}\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_{12}$ samples.

Fig. 8 (a) ^6Li MAS NMR spectra of $\text{Li}_{7-3x}\text{Ga}_x\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_{12}$ samples with x values shown inset, and (b) spin-lattice relaxation curve and fitting result of $\text{Li}_{6.10}\text{Ga}_{0.30}\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_{12}$. In the fitting equation, A1 and t1 represent the smaller component with a longer spin-lattice relaxation time, while A2 and t2 represent the larger component with a shorter spin-lattice relaxation time.

Fig. 9. (a) Crystal structure of $\text{Li}_{7-3x}\text{Ga}_x\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_{12}$, (b) Li^+ and Ga^{3+} environment, and (c) lithium-ion diffusion pathways in $\text{Li}_{7-3x}\text{Ga}_x\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_{12}$.

Table of Contents