University of Wollongong

Research Online

Australian Institute for Innovative Materials -
Papers

Australian Institute for Innovative Materials

1-1-2017

Tuning the magnetic and structural transitions in TbCo₂Mnx compounds

Chunsheng Fang

University of Wollongong, cf904@uowmail.edu.au

Jian Li Wang

University of Wollongong, Jilin University, University of New South Wales, jianli@uow.edu.au

Fang Hong

University of Wollongong

Wayne D. Hutchison

University of New South Wales

M. F Md Din

University of Wollongong

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: <https://ro.uow.edu.au/aiimpapers>

Part of the [Engineering Commons](#), and the [Physical Sciences and Mathematics Commons](#)

Research Online is the open access institutional repository for the University of Wollongong. For further information contact the UOW Library: research-pubs@uow.edu.au

Tuning the magnetic and structural transitions in TbCo₂Mn_x compounds

Abstract

The wide ranging magnetic behavior in intermetallic compounds continues to attract broad interest. Effective control of their magnetic properties is of great importance for fundamental research and potential applications. In this work the structural and magnetic properties of TbCo₂Mn_x compounds are studied by a combination of temperature dependent synchrotron x-ray diffraction, neutron powder diffraction, specific heat, and magnetic measurements. Magnetization measurements show that the addition of Mn can modify the magnetic behavior significantly: first, the magnetic transition temperatures increase from ~227 K to 332 K with $x = 0.0$ to 0.3 ; secondly, the nature of the magnetic transitions change from the first order to second order, as identified by three methods (Banerjee criterion, master curves of magnetic entropy changes, and detailed crystal structure analysis through neutron diffraction). Both synchrotron x-ray diffraction and neutron diffraction confirm that a structural transition, from cubic $Fd\bar{3}m$ to rhombohedral $R\bar{3}m$ on cooling, occurred accompanying the magnetic transition. To further clarify the nature of the second order magnetic phase transitions, we have carried out a detailed critical exponent analysis. The derived critical exponents are close to the theoretical prediction from the mean-field model, indicating the magnetic interactions are long range. This work benefits our general understanding of magnetic interactions in intermetallic compounds and provides guidance to design a functional magnetic material for room temperature magnetic devices.

Disciplines

Engineering | Physical Sciences and Mathematics

Publication Details

Fang, C., Wang, J., Hong, F., Hutchison, W. D., Din, M., Studer, A. J., Kimpton, J. A., Dou, S. & Cheng, Z. (2017). Tuning the magnetic and structural transitions in TbCo₂Mn_x compounds. *Physical Review B: Condensed Matter and Materials Physics*, 96 (6), 064425-1-064425-11.

Authors

Chunsheng Fang, Jian Li Wang, Fang Hong, Wayne D. Hutchison, M. F Md Din, Andrew J. Studer, Justin A. Kimpton, Shi Xue Dou, and Zhenxiang Cheng

Tuning the magnetic and structural transitions in TbCo_2Mn_x compounds

Chunsheng Fang,¹ Jianli Wang,^{1,2,3,*} Fang Hong,¹ Wayne D. Hutchison,³ M. F. Md Din,¹ A. J. Studer,⁴ J. A. Kimpton,⁵ Shixue Dou,¹ and Zhenxiang Cheng^{1,†}

¹*Institute for Superconducting and Electronic Materials, Innovation Campus, University of Wollongong, Squires Way, North Wollongong, NSW 2500, Australia*

²*College of Physics, Jilin University, Changchun 130012, China*

³*School of Physical, Environmental and Mathematical Sciences, The University of New South Wales, Canberra, ACT, 2600, Australia*

⁴*Australian Nuclear Science and Technology Organisation (ANSTO), Lucas Heights, New South Wales 2234, Australia*

⁵*Australian Synchrotron, 800 Blackburn Rd., Clayton 3168, Australia*

(Received 10 May 2017; published 18 August 2017)

The wide ranging magnetic behavior in intermetallic compounds continues to attract broad interest. Effective control of their magnetic properties is of great importance for fundamental research and potential applications. In this work the structural and magnetic properties of TbCo_2Mn_x compounds are studied by a combination of temperature dependent synchrotron x-ray diffraction, neutron powder diffraction, specific heat, and magnetic measurements. Magnetization measurements show that the addition of Mn can modify the magnetic behavior significantly: first, the magnetic transition temperatures increase from ~ 227 K to 332 K with $x = 0.0$ to 0.3; secondly, the nature of the magnetic transitions change from the first order to second order, as identified by three methods (Banerjee criterion, master curves of magnetic entropy changes, and detailed crystal structure analysis through neutron diffraction). Both synchrotron x-ray diffraction and neutron diffraction confirm that a structural transition, from cubic $Fd\bar{3}m$ to rhombohedral $R\bar{3}m$ on cooling, occurred accompanying the magnetic transition. To further clarify the nature of the second order magnetic phase transitions, we have carried out a detailed critical exponent analysis. The derived critical exponents are close to the theoretical prediction from the mean-field model, indicating the magnetic interactions are long range. This work benefits our general understanding of magnetic interactions in intermetallic compounds and provides guidance to design a functional magnetic material for room temperature magnetic devices.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevB.96.064425](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.96.064425)

I. INTRODUCTION

The cubic Laves phases RT_2 (R = rare earth, T = transition metal) series magnetic materials were studied intensively because of their unique electronic and magnetic structures, which lead to many physical properties such as giant magnetocaloric effect, magnetoresistance [1,2], and hydrogen storage capacity [3]. Typical Laves phases include the cubic $C_{15}(\text{MgCu}_2)$, hexagonal $C_{14}(\text{MgZn}_2)$, and hexagonal $C_{36}(\text{MgNi}_2)$ [3]. They are ideal magnetic systems in which to study the effect of atomic occupation and f - d orbital electron localization on magnetic and electronic properties [4].

Wang *et al.* [5,6] discovered $R\text{Ni}_2\text{Mn}$ (R = Tb, Dy, Ho, Er) compounds, which crystallize in the cubic MgCu_2 -type structure ($Fd\bar{3}m$, space group). Recently, it was reported that $R\text{Co}_2\text{Mn}$ with R = Ho and Er also crystallize in the cubic MgCu_2 -type structure [2]. It was found that the introduction of Mn into RT_2 (T is 3d or 4d transition metal) compounds led to a significant modification of magnetic properties such as the Curie temperature [2,4,7–11], magnetic entropy change [4], saturation moment [2], the nature of the magnetic transition [4], and so on. It is accepted that the Curie temperature can be raised significantly with Mn doping due to the enhanced 3d transition-metal ion interactions [5,6]. It is of interest that the $RT_2\text{Mn}$ compounds were isostructural to RT_2 with the

MgCu_2 -type structure, although the ratio of the R to T is 1:3 [2,4,7–11], and the Mn was observed to occupy both the R and T sites. All the above modified magnetic properties and crystal structure inspired more work on related series materials such as ErCo_2Mn [2], HoNi_2Mn [9], DyNi_2Mn [5,6], and TbNi_2Mn [6,7].

However, there is little systematic research specifically on the $RT_2\text{Mn}_x$ (x up to 1.0) series since the crystal structure is unstable when significant Mn is added. For example, GdNi_2Mn_x ($0 \leq x \leq 0.6$) alloys were successfully synthesized and characterized by XRD. When the Mn concentration increases to a certain level ($x \geq 0.35$), a second phase with rhombohedral PuNi_3 -type ($R\bar{3}m$) structure starts to form [10]. Recently, the investigation on ErCo_2Mn_x ($x = 0$ to 0.8) also found that, when $x \leq 0.8$, the alloys are almost single phase (MgCu_2 -type Laves-phase structure), while a second phase with cubic $\text{Th}_6\text{Mn}_{23}$ -type structure appears for larger x values [11].

Previous investigations of the TbCo_2 compound indicated that TbCo_2 has the MgCu_2 -type structure and shows paramagnetic behavior at room temperature. When cooled to lower temperature, it exhibits a rhombohedral distortion around the Curie temperature T_C ($= 240$ K) (from paramagnetism to ferrimagnetism). The Tb-Co coupling is antiferromagnetic with a larger moment in Tb site and almost equal Co moments at the $3b$ and $9e$ sites. The nature of the magnetic transition (PM to FM) in TbCo_2 was indicated to be second order according to Arrott plots [9,12–15]. However, relying solely on Arrott plots to decide the nature of transition is not always prudent [16,17].

*jianli@uow.edu.au

†cheng@uow.edu.au

FIG. 1. Crystal structures with space group of (a) $Fd\bar{3}m$ and (b) $R\bar{3}m$. (c), (d), (e), and (f) are room temperature XRD refinements of TbCo₂Mn_x ($x = 0, 0.1, 0.2,$ and 0.3), respectively. (g) is the x value dependence of the volume per chemical formula.

In this paper, we present a detailed investigation on structural and magnetic properties of TbCo₂Mn_x ($x = 0, 0.1,$

FIG. 2. (a) Temperature dependent magnetization with field cooling (FC at the field of $B = 0.01$ T for TbCo₂Mn_x ($x = 0, 0.1, 0.2,$ and 0.3). The inset shows the x value dependence of the Curie temperature T_C . (b) Hysteresis loops at 5 K for TbCo₂Mn_x ($x = 0, 0.1, 0.2,$ and 0.3). The inset is an enlargement for small B and M values to show the coercivity H_C . (c) Plot of the dependence of saturation magnetization M_s and coercivity $\mu_0 H_C$ versus x at 5 K.

$0.2,$ and 0.3) compounds using variable temperature neutron diffraction and synchrotron x-ray diffraction. Analysis of the temperature dependent neutron diffraction data shows that the nature of magnetic transition in TbCo₂ is first order with a sharp volume change near T_C . However, this first order magnetic phase transition for $x = 0$ changes to second order after the introduction of Mn. We plotted master curves of the magnetic entropy changes [18,19] to clearly identify the transformation from first order to second order, as well as detailed refinement of diffraction data near the magnetic phase transition temperature to verify the change in crystal structure. Finally, the critical behavior around T_C was investigated using the Kouvel-Fisher technique to precisely analyze the nature of the second order transitions for all the TbCo₂Mn_x ($x = 0.1, 0.2,$ and 0.3) compounds.

II. EXPERIMENT

The polycrystalline TbCo_2Mn_x compounds with $x = 0, 0.1, 0.2$, and 0.3 were prepared by arc melting the constituent elements of 99.9% purity under argon atmosphere. The values of x referred to hereafter are these nominal ratios of the starting mixture materials. Note 3% additional Mn was added initially to compensate nonproportionate losses of Mn during the arc-melting and annealing process. We have evaluated the loss by measuring the weight of materials before and after preparation; the error in x is less than 3%. The prepared ingots were wrapped in tantalum foil and sealed in quartz glass tubes under vacuum and annealed at 1173 K for 7 days and then quenched into ice water. All the samples were checked with x-ray powder diffraction at room temperature using a PANalytical diffractometer with $\text{Cu } K\alpha$ radiation. The dc magnetization measurements and heat capacity were performed using a Quantum Design physical properties measurement system (PPMS) from 5 K to 340 K, and from 2 K to 320 K, respectively. The magnetic and structural transitions were investigated via neutron diffraction experiments at the Wombat (high-intensity powder diffractometer) at the OPAL facility (Lucas Height, Australia) with a neutron wavelength of 2.4139 Å from 5 K to 400 K. The crystal structure transitions were also examined by the synchrotron x-ray powder diffraction at the Australian Synchrotron using a wavelength of 0.6884 Å at temperatures from 90 K to 400 K.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Crystal structure at room temperature

Room temperature XRD was performed to check that all the samples were single phase. The Rietveld refinement confirmed that $\text{TbCo}_2\text{Mn}_{0.1}$, $\text{TbCo}_2\text{Mn}_{0.2}$, and $\text{TbCo}_2\text{Mn}_{0.3}$ all have the same crystal structure as the parent compound TbCo_2 [cubic with $Fd\bar{3}m$ space group shown in Fig. 1(a)]. We have adopted the similar refinement approach as described in Refs. [5,6] to assume that Mn atoms are located at both Tb and Co

FIG. 3. Isothermal magnetization versus magnetic field at various temperatures in both increasing field (full red symbol) and decreasing field sweeps (hollow red symbols) for (a) TbCo_2 , (b) $\text{TbCo}_2\text{Mn}_{0.1}$, (c) $\text{TbCo}_2\text{Mn}_{0.2}$, and (d) $\text{TbCo}_2\text{Mn}_{0.3}$.

sites with some vacancies at the Tb site in order to make TbCo_2Mn_x able to adopt the TbCo_2 structure. Here taking $\text{TbCo}_2\text{Mn}_{0.1}$ as an example, we have rewritten $\text{TbCo}_2\text{Mn}_{0.1}$ as $(\text{Tb}_{1+v}\text{Mn}_y)(\text{Co}_2\text{Mn}_{0.1-y})$ and let $(1+v+y)/(2+0.1-y)$ equal 1:2 (v represent the number vacancy at the Tb site and y stands for the number of Mn atoms occupying Co sites). In the case of the TbCo_2Mn_x compounds it has been found that the best refinement has been obtained when $v = 0.0$ which indicates that no vacancy exists in reality for TbCo_2Mn_x compounds. The refinements of these XRD patterns at room temperature are shown in Fig. 1(c), Fig. 1(d), Fig. 1(e), and Fig. 1(f), respectively. The dependence of unit cell volume on the x value is shown in Fig. 1(g), from which it can be noted that the volume per chemical formula decreases from 47.02 Å^3 to 46.68 Å^3 as the x value increases from 0 to 0.3.

B. Magnetic phase transition

The magnetization versus temperature curves for TbCo_2Mn_x ($x = 0, 0.1, 0.2$, and 0.3) compounds are shown in

FIG. 4. Magnetic entropy changes for a range of temperatures and for magnetic field changes of $\mu_0 \Delta H = 1 \text{ T}$ to 5 T [the curves corresponding to each field change are denoted by the arrow which shows the increasing order bottom to top in (a)] of (a) TbCo_2 , (b) $\text{TbCo}_2\text{Mn}_{0.1}$, (c) $\text{TbCo}_2\text{Mn}_{0.2}$, and (d) $\text{TbCo}_2\text{Mn}_{0.3}$. Increasing field data are represented by full red symbols and decreasing field data are open red symbols. The corresponding normalized magnetic entropy changes versus the rescaled temperature Θ are in (e), (f), (g), and (h), respectively.

Fig. 2(a), measured with field cooling and at $B = 0.01$ T. The Curie temperature T_C is defined as the point where the slope of the temperature dependent dM/dT curve is a minimum. It can be seen clearly that, with the x value increasing from 0 to 0.3, T_C increases from 230 K to 284 K, 320 K, and 332 K, respectively [see inset of Fig. 2(a)]. The increase in T_C with Mn concentration x can be ascribed to enhanced exchange interactions between the $3d$ transition metals [8,20].

The magnetic hysteresis loops at 5 K for each sample are shown in Fig. 2(b). We can see directly that as Mn content increases the saturation magnetic moment M_S decreases with increasing x while the coercivity H_C increases. From Fig. 2(c), it can be seen that the M_S value decreases from 125 A m²/kg to 119 A m²/kg, 111 A m²/kg, and 99 A m²/kg, with the value of x increasing from 0 to 0.1, 0.2, and 0.3, respectively. It is well accepted in rare earth transition metal compounds that heavy rare earth moments couple antiferromagnetically with transition metal moments. If we assume here therefore that the Tb-sublattice moments also couple in an antiparallel fashion and are constant with increasing Mn moment, then the decrease in M_S with increasing x indicates that the Mn atoms carry a magnetic moment in line with that concluded by the neutron diffraction analysis in Sec. VII. Meanwhile, the coercive field H_C increases from 0.09 T to 0.19 T, 0.25 T, and 0.29 T with x value increasing from 0 to 0.1, 0.2, and 0.3, respectively. The increased coercive fields suggest that the crystal symmetry of the compound was reduced, with anisotropy being enhanced after more Mn was introduced.

C. Magnetocaloric effect

The field dependencies of magnetization near the Curie temperatures are shown in Figs. 3(a), 3(b), 3(c), and 3(d) for $x = 0, 0.1, 0.2$, and 0.3 , respectively. Consistent with the M - T curves of Fig. 2(a), the magnetic FM-PM transition also can be detected in the magnetization-field (M - H) isotherms in Figs. 3(a), 3(b), 3(c), and 3(d): with the temperature increasing near the Curie temperature, the M - H isotherms transform

from curved hysteresis loops to overlapping straight lines. Moreover, it can be seen that the hysteresis loss for all the series samples ($x = 0, 0.1, 0.2$, and 0.3) are negligible. The latter is desirable for increased magnetic refrigeration working efficiency for the materials [8].

To determine the magnetic entropy changes ΔS_M from the isothermal magnetization curves, the standard Maxwell relationship was used as follows:

$$\Delta S_M(T, B) = \int_0^{B^{\max}} \left(\frac{\partial M(B, T)}{\partial T} \right) dB. \quad (1)$$

The calculated temperature dependent magnetic entropy changes for the TbCo₂Mn _{x} ($x = 0, 0.1, 0.2$, and 0.3) samples

FIG. 5. Arrott plots: isotherms of M^2 vs H/M for decreasing field at different temperatures around T_C of (a) TbCo₂, (b) TbCo₂Mn_{0.1}, (c) TbCo₂Mn_{0.2}, and (d) TbCo₂Mn_{0.3}. The magenta curves are the Arrott plots near the Curie temperature for each sample.

FIG. 6. Critical exponent analysis of TbCo₂Mn_{0.1} for (a) Kouvel-Fisher plot for the spontaneous magnetization $M_S(T)$ and the inverse initial susceptibility χ^{-1} [solid lines are fitted using Eqs. (3) and (4)]. (b) Critical isotherm of M vs H close to the Curie temperature $T_c = 290$ K. Inset shows the same data on a log-log scale and the straight line is the linear fit following Eq. (5). The critical exponent δ is obtained from the slope of the linear fit. (c) Scaling plots indicating universal curves below and above T_C .

FIG. 7. Critical exponent analysis of $\text{TbCo}_2\text{Mn}_{0.2}$ for (a) Kouvel-Fisher plot for the spontaneous magnetization $M_S(T)$ and the inverse initial susceptibility χ^{-1} [solid lines are fitted using Eqs. (3) and (4)]. (b) Critical isotherm of M vs H close to the Curie temperature $T_c = 320$ K. Inset shows the same on log-log scale and the straight line is the linear fit following Eq. (5). The critical exponent δ is obtained from the slope of the linear fit. (c) Scaling plots indicating universal curves below and above T_c .

for both ramp up and ramp down with field changes from 0 T to 5 T are shown in Figs. 4(a), 4(b), 4(c), and 4(d).

From Fig. 4, it can be seen that for each sample there is a peak of magnetic entropy change $-\Delta S^{\max}$ near the Curie temperature for each magnetic field change (0 T to 5 T) associated with the magnetic transition from the paramagnetic to the ferromagnetic state. Comparing the peak values, it is found that TbCo_2 has the largest $-\Delta S^{\max}$ value of $6.0 \text{ J kg}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}$ followed by $3.2 \text{ J kg}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}$, $2.83 \text{ J kg}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}$, and $2.74 \text{ J kg}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}$ for $\text{TbCo}_2\text{Mn}_{0.1}$, $\text{TbCo}_2\text{Mn}_{0.2}$, and $\text{TbCo}_2\text{Mn}_{0.3}$, respectively. The differences in the magnetic entropy for these compounds reflect that the type of magnetic transition has changed from

FIG. 8. Critical exponent analysis of $\text{TbCo}_2\text{Mn}_{0.3}$ for (a) Kouvel-Fisher plot for the spontaneous magnetization $M_S(T)$ and the inverse initial susceptibility χ^{-1} [solid lines are fitted using Eqs. (3) and (4)]. (b) Critical isotherm of M vs H close to the Curie temperature $T_c = 335$ K. Inset shows the same on log-log scale and the straight line is the linear fit following Eq. (5). The critical exponent δ is obtained from the slope of the linear fit. (c) Scaling plots indicating universal curves below and above T_c .

the first order for TbCo_2 to second order for TbCo_2Mn_x ($x = 0.1, 0.2$, and 0.3). Furthermore, as additional Mn is added the value of the $-\Delta S^{\max}$ decreases indicating that the contribution of structure entropy (i.e., magnetic volume effect) continues to weaken. The detailed volume change can be seen in Figs. 11–14.

Based on the magnetic entropy change of Figs. 4(a)–4(d), normalized plots of the magnetic entropy changes as a function of the rescaled temperature curves [18,19] can be deduced. and these are plotted in Figs. 4(e)–4(h). The normalization of the magnetic entropy changes was defined as the value of $\Delta S / \Delta S_{\text{peak}}$ for each magnetic field change $\mu_0 \Delta H$, while the

FIG. 9. Heat capacity vs temperature curve of $\text{TbCo}_2\text{Mn}_{0.1}$ at $B = 0$ T and inset shows C_p/T vs $T^2(K^2)$ straight line with linear fitting.

rescaled temperature Θ was specified as follows [18,19]:

$$\theta = \begin{cases} (T_{\text{peak}} - T)/(T_{r1} - T_{\text{peak}}), \\ (T - T_{\text{peak}})/(T_{r2} - T_{\text{peak}}), \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

where T_{r1} and T_{r2} is the temperature point where there is half maximum value of the peak magnetic entropy change, respectively ($T_{r2} < T_{\text{peak}} < T_{r1}$). In turn these curves can be used to indicate the nature of the magnetic transitions. From Figs. 4(e) to 4(h), it is very obvious that the entropy curves of the $x = 0$ sample are highly dispersed for different magnetic field changes, while all the Mn doped sample normalization curves are overlapping for different fields. This is strong evidence suggesting the introduction of Mn does change the nature of the magnetic transition from the first order to the second order.

D. Critical behavior at the magnetic phase transition temperature

From Fig. 5(a) for TbCo_2 , it can be found that an “s” shaped curve appears in the Arrott plot of M^2 vs B/M at 235 K, indicating that the magnetic transition of TbCo_2 is a first order transition. While for Figs. 5(b), 5(c), and 5(d), only positive slopes are present, so it can be concluded that all transitions in these compounds are second order. The implication of this observation is that the addition of Mn into TbCo_2 leads to a change of magnetic transition order from first order to second order.

In order to get a better understanding of the critical behaviors around the transition temperatures in these compounds

FIG. 10. X-ray diffraction patterns (synchrotron radiation) of $\text{TbCo}_2\text{Mn}_{0.1}$ at (a) 90 K and (b) 300 K; (c) is a magnified plot showing the (cubic) [220] peak replaced by two rhombohedral peaks, [110] and [104], with cooling.

with second order transitions, we carried out an analysis of critical exponents using the Kouvel-Fisher (KF) method. The KF method can be described as follows [21]:

$$\frac{M_S(T)}{dM_S(T)/dT} = \frac{T - T_C}{\beta}, \quad (3)$$

$$\frac{\chi_0^{-1}(T)}{d\chi_0^{-1}(T)/dT} = \frac{T - T_C}{\gamma}, \quad (4)$$

where $M_S(T)$ and $\chi_0^{-1}(T)$ can be derived from the high field data of the Arrott plot as shown by the dashed lines in Fig. 5(a): the positive values of x intercept and y intercept of the dashed line are the values of $\chi_0^{-1}(T)$ and $M_S^2(T)$, respectively. Based on Eqs. (3) and (4), one can derive the corresponding

TABLE I. Deduced critical exponents β , γ , and δ for TbCo_2Mn_x ($x = 0.1, 0.2$, and 0.3) compounds along with standard critical exponents of three standard theories: 3D Heisenberg model, mean field (MF) theory, and 3D Ising model.

Composition	Technique	β	γ	δ
TbCo_2Mn_x	$x = 0.1$	Kouvel-Fisher method		
	$x = 0.2$			
	$x = 0.3$			
3D Heisenberg model	Theory	0.365	1.386	4.80
Mean field (MF) theory	Theory	0.500	1.000	3.00
3D Ising model	Theory	0.325	1.241	4.82

FIG. 11. Synchrotron x-ray Bragg at various temperatures from 90 K to 450 K showing the [220] peak transforming into two small peaks ([110], [104]) after cooling to below T_C for (a) TbCo_2 , (b) $\text{TbCo}_2\text{Mn}_{0.1}$, (c) $\text{TbCo}_2\text{Mn}_{0.2}$, and (d) combined peak widths (full width at half maximum) from 90 K to 450 K.

values of slopes $1/\beta$, $1/\gamma$, and T_C using the linear fitting of $M_S/(dM_S(T)/dT)$ and $\chi_0^{-1}(T)/(d\chi_0^{-1}(T)/dT)$. The fitted T_C values match well with the ones obtained from the M - T curves in Fig. 2(a). Moreover, for all the samples the derived values are close to $\beta = 0.5$ and $\gamma = 1.0$ as shown in Fig. 6(a), Fig. 7(a), and Fig. 8(a).

The value of δ can be obtained by a linear fit to the higher field plots $\ln(M)$ vs $\ln(B)$ near T_C as shown in the insets in Fig. 6(b), Fig. 7(b), and Fig. 8(b), according to the following equation [22]:

$$M(B, T_C) = A_0(B)^{1/\delta}, \quad (5)$$

where A_0 are the critical amplitudes. The values of δ for $\text{TbCo}_2\text{Mn}_{0.1}$, $\text{TbCo}_2\text{Mn}_{0.2}$, and $\text{TbCo}_2\text{Mn}_{0.3}$ are derived to be 3.07, 3.20, and 2.99, respectively.

In addition, the value of δ can also be deduced using the Widom scaling relation [8,20]:

$$\delta = 1 + \gamma/\beta. \quad (6)$$

All of the values derived for the critical exponents β , γ , and δ have been listed in Table I. One can conclude that for all the second order transitions of these three samples, the values of β , γ , and δ are close to 0.5, 1.0, and 3.0 within allowable experimental error, respectively. This indicates that the magnetic interactions in these compounds have long range order.

FIG. 12. Neutron-diffraction patterns of TbCo_2Mn_x ($x = 0.1$, 0.2 , and 0.3) at 5 K (magnetic state) and higher temperature (paramagnetic state). The black crosses are the experimental neutron-diffraction data and the red lines represent the Rietveld refined pattern. The difference between the experimental and calculated patterns is shown at the bottom by the blue solid curves. The vertical bars indicate the position of allowed Bragg peaks.

Finally, using the scaling theory in the critical region, the reliability of exponents β and γ can be confirmed by the following equation [21]:

$$M(H, \varepsilon) = \varepsilon^\beta f \pm (H/\varepsilon^{\beta+\gamma}), \quad (7)$$

where ε is the reduced temperature, $(T - T_C)/T_C$ and f_+ and f_- are regular analytical functions above and below T_C , respectively. The values of β and γ obtained through the

TABLE II. Structure parameters and atomic moments of TbCo_2Mn_x ($x = 0.1, 0.2$, and 0.3) compounds at 5 K and temperature near T_C . The uncertainties are shown for the TbCo_2 data as an example.

Parameters	$x = 0$		$x = 0.1$		$x = 0.2$		$x = 0.3$	
	5	230	5	260	5	300	5	315
$a \times \sqrt{2}(\text{\AA})$	7.176(1)	7.195(5)	7.177	7.192	7.173	7.198	7.167	7.191
$c/\sqrt{3}(\text{\AA})$	7.223(4)	7.197(1)	7.193	7.194	7.195	7.198	7.189	7.192
$V/\text{chemical formula}(\text{\AA}^3)$	46.50(3)	46.57(9)	46.31	46.51	46.27	46.59	46.15	46.49
$6c(\mu_B)$	9.21(60)	3.20(31)	7.57	2.67	7.10	2.59	6.39	2.10
$3b(\mu_B)$	1.60(30)	0.81(21)	1.50	1.14	1.84	0.69	1.51	0.80
$9e(\mu_B)$	1.85(21)	0.81(31)	1.72	0.98	2.01	1.33	1.82	1.20
$M_{\text{total}}(\mu_B)$	5.76(61)	1.58(31)	4.35	0.55	3.25	0.57	3.06	0.00

Kouvel-Fisher method [see Fig. 6(a), Fig. 7(a), and Fig. 8(a)] can then be used to obtain the M/ε^β versus $H/\varepsilon^{(\beta+\gamma)}$ curves as shown in Fig. 6(c), Fig. 7(c), and Fig. 8(c). The resulting curves can be grouped in one of two clear types, one for temperatures above T_C and the other one for temperatures below T_C . From the nature of the plots it can be concluded that all the calculated exponents β and γ and the corresponding T_C are reliable for each sample.

E. Heat capacity

The temperature dependent heat capacity for $\text{TbCo}_2\text{Mn}_{0.1}$ at $B = 0$ T is shown in Fig. 9. An obvious peak appears at 284 K which is ascribed to the ferromagnetic transition of $\text{TbCo}_2\text{Mn}_{0.1}$. The total heat capacity $C(T)$ of a metallic magnetic material can be described as the sum of various contributions from phonons, electrons, and magnon [23]:

$$C(T) = C_{\text{ph}}(T) + C_{\text{el}}(T) + C_m(T), \quad (8)$$

where C_{ph} , C_{el} , and C_m are the lattice, electronic, and magnetic contributions, respectively. At lower temperature ($T \leq 10$ K) with the absence of a nearby magnetic phase transition, the heat capacity can be approximated as

$$C(T)/T = \gamma + \beta T^2, \quad (9)$$

where γ and β are the electronic and phonon heat capacity coefficients, respectively. By applying a linear fitting approach to the low temperature specific heat at of $\text{TbCo}_2\text{Mn}_{0.1}$, one can obtain the values of $\gamma = 30.5$ mJ/mol K² and $\beta = 4.6 \times 10^{-4}$ J/mol K⁴. The electronic density of states $N(E_F)$ at the Fermi surface can be described by the formula [24]

$$\gamma = \frac{k_B^2 \pi^2}{3} N(E_F), \quad (10)$$

where k_B is the Boltzmann constant. Using the value derived above, the $N(E_F)$ can be calculated to be 3.04 state/eV atom compared with 2.0 state/eV for similar material TbNi_2 [25]. Similarly, the Debye temperature θ_D can also be deduced from [23]

$$\beta = \frac{12\pi^4 R}{5\theta_D^3} \cong \frac{1944n}{\theta_D^3}, \quad (11)$$

where R is the universal gas constant and the number of atoms $n = 3.1$ f.u. The Debye temperature θ_D for $\text{TbCo}_2\text{Mn}_{0.1}$ was derived to be 235 K, which is close to the value reported for TbNi_2Mn ($\theta_D = 200$ K) [20].

F. Magnetoelastic coupling: Synchrotron and neutron diffraction

The x-ray diffraction patterns (synchrotron radiation) along with the Rietveld refined results at $T = 90$ K (magnetic state) and $T = 300$ K (paramagnetic state) for $\text{TbCo}_2\text{Mn}_{0.1}$ are shown in Figs. 10(a) and 10(b), respectively. In this case of $\text{TbCo}_2\text{Mn}_{0.1}$ for synchrotron x-ray diffraction at 300 K, the pattern factor, R_p , the weighted pattern factor, R_{wp} , and the expected pattern factor R_{exp} are found to be 5.41%, 6.79%, and 2.66%, respectively. For the pattern at 90 K, the values of R_p , R_{wp} , and R_{exp} are 5.91, 7.54, and 2.97, respectively.

From refinements of XRD patterns at variable temperatures, we found for all the TbCo_2Mn_x compounds that the structures exhibit a rhombohedral distortion below T_C . This transformation from the cubic to the rhombohedral structure is illustrated by a magnified plot in Fig. 10(c). It can be seen that the peak [220] at 300 K for the cubic structure ($Fd\bar{3}m$ space group) is replaced by two peaks [110] and [104] of the rhombohedral structure (space group $R\bar{3}m$) at 90 K. Many

TABLE III. Atomic (Tb, Co, and Mn) occupancy in cubic and rhombohedral structure, respectively.

x	Cubic $Fd\bar{3}m$				Rhombohedral $R\bar{3}m$					
	$8a$		$16d$		$6c$		$3b$		$9e$	
	Tb	Mn	Co	Mn	Tb	Mn	Co	Mn	Co	Mn
0	100.00%	0.00%	100.00%	0.00%	100.00%	0.00%	100.00%	0.00%	100.00%	0.00%
0.1	96.77%	3.23%	96.77%	3.23%	96.77%	3.23%	96.77%	3.23%	96.77%	3.23%
0.2	93.76%	6.24%	93.76%	6.24%	93.76%	6.24%	93.76%	6.24%	93.76%	6.24%
0.3	90.93%	9.07%	90.93%	9.07%	90.93%	9.07%	90.93%	9.07%	90.93%	9.07%

FIG. 13. Temperature dependence in TbCo_2 of (a) lattice parameters, (b) volume per chemical formula, and (c) ordered magnetic moment in each site ($6c$, $3b$, and $16d$). Between the two vertical dashed lines is the phase transition region with possible both rhombohedral and cubic structure apparently present.

details of the structural features around T_C can be observed from our high resolution x-ray powder diffraction study. For example, the temperature dependences of the synchrotron diffraction patterns near the RT cubic $[220]$ peak are shown in Figs. 11(a), 11(b), and 11(c). It can be seen that below the Curie temperature T_C (marked by the white horizontal line), the $[220]$ peak transforms into two smaller separated peaks as the temperature is lowered following a period of distortion. The peak transformation can be observed more clearly in the plot of temperature dependent peak widths shown in Fig. 11(d). The solid symbols within each plot mark the Curie temperature for TbCo_2 , $\text{TbCo}_2\text{Mn}_{0.1}$, and $\text{TbCo}_2\text{Mn}_{0.2}$, respectively, and also the onset of the $[220]$

FIG. 15. Temperature dependence for $\text{TbCo}_2\text{Mn}_{0.2}$ of (a) lattice parameters, (b) volume per chemical formula, and (c) ordered magnetic moment of each site ($6c$, $3b$, and $16d$). Between the two vertical dashed lines is the phase transition region with possible both rhombohedral and cubic structure apparently present.

peak distortion with further cooling. When the peak width nominally assigned to $[220]$ is observed to become much broader than that above T_C , it reflects that the peak has transformed into the two new peaks as shown in Figs. 11(a), 11(b), and 11(c). Clearly we can conclude that there is a structural transition, cubic to rhombohedral, concurrent with the magnetic state change from paramagnetic to ferromagnetic. However, it cannot be definitively determined from current data whether this transformation proceeds via a rhombohedral distortion process or a period of phase coexistence.

In order to determine the magnetic structure and derive magnetic information, we carried out a variable temperature neutron diffraction study (5 K to 400 K). This neutron diffrac-

FIG. 14. Temperature dependence for $\text{TbCo}_2\text{Mn}_{0.1}$ of (a) lattice parameters, (b) volume per chemical formula, and (c) ordered magnetic moment in each site ($6c$, $3b$, and $16d$). Between the two vertical dashed lines is the phase transition region with possible both rhombohedral and cubic structure apparently present.

FIG. 16. Temperature dependence for $\text{TbCo}_2\text{Mn}_{0.3}$ of (a) lattice parameters, (b) volume per chemical formula, and (c) ordered magnetic moment in each site ($6c$, $3b$, and $16d$). Between the two vertical dashed lines is the phase transition region with possible both rhombohedral and cubic structure apparently present.

tion data, including refinements carried out with the Fullprof package, are shown in Fig. 12 to Fig. 16 for $x = 0, 0.1, 0.2$, and 0.3 , respectively, and main results are listed in Table II. The results of the atomic occupancies (Tb, Co, and Mn) in both the cubic and rhombohedral structures estimated via the refinements are listed in Table III. In order to compare directly between the lattice parameters of the cubic structure ($Fd\bar{3}m$) and the rhombohedral structure ($R\bar{3}m$) at the transition, we modify the rhombohedral lattice parameters by using $a \times \sqrt{2}$ and $c/\sqrt{3}$. The results for $x = 0$ are shown in Fig 13. The variation in lattice parameters ($a \times \sqrt{2}$ and $c/\sqrt{3}$), with temperature below T_C display strong anisotropy: the lattice parameter $a \times \sqrt{2}$ (red solid circles) increases monotonically while $c/\sqrt{3}$ (red solid stars) decreases with increasing temperature. At T_C the crystal structure transforms from rhombohedral to cubic above which a increases monotonically with temperature. The temperature dependence of the cell volume [Fig. 13(b)] exhibits behavior typical of a first order transition with a noticeable drop near T_C . In contrast with TbCo_2 , the temperature variation of the lattice parameter $a \times \sqrt{2}$ in the Mn doped compounds tends to become less pronounced but $c/\sqrt{3}$ still increases monotonically. The combined effect therefore is a more pronounced change in volume in the Mn doped cases. In addition a theoretical estimate based on Debye theory and using the Debye temperature θ_D obtained from data of Fig. 9 (dash lines) is also plotted for the $x = 0.1$ case in Fig. 14(b). The spontaneous volume magnetostriction $\omega_s (= \Delta V_m/V)$ at 5 K for $x = 0.1$ is calculated to be 8.5×10^{-3} . In our refinement, it is assumed that the moments of the magnetic atoms in the compound have a collinear alignment similar to the model described in the investigation for TbCo_2 [26]. The Tb moment is derived to be $9.21 \mu_B$ at 5 K, while the Co moments at the $3b$ site and the $9e$ site are $1.85 \mu_B$ and $1.60 \mu_B$, respectively. Since the limitation of data resolution would not support the increased number of refinement parameters for the compounds

containing Mn, we derive only the average moment for each site as shown in Figs. 14–16.

As an example, the parameters resulting from refinement of the neutron diffraction patterns at 5 K and near T_C for all the TbCo_2Mn_x compounds are listed in Table II. It can be seen that the average moment at the rare earth site decreases with increasing Mn content, which indicates that some Mn atoms substitute onto this site and carry a magnetic moment that couples antiferromagnetically with the Tb.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

Compounds TbCo_2Mn_x ($x = 0.1, 0.2$, and 0.3) with cubic MgCu_2 -type structure at 300 K have been successfully synthesized. Our study of the magnetic properties in the series indicates that magnetic phase transition for the TbCo_2 compound is first order, but the addition of Mn changes the nature of the transition to second order. Furthermore, the addition of Mn into TbCo_2 compounds leads to a significant increase in T_C but an obvious reduction of spontaneous magnetization. A detailed analysis of critical exponent of the magnetic behavior around T_C shows that these systems indeed undergo a second order phase transition and that the magnetic exchange interaction is long range. Our high resolution x-ray powder diffraction study confirms that all compounds crystallize in the cubic Laves phase $C15$ structure above their Curie temperature T_C and transform to a rhombohedral phase (space group $R\bar{3}m$) below T_C . Magnetic structure and magnetic moments below T_C have been determined through a variable temperature neutron diffraction investigation.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The work is supported in part by grants from the Australian Research Council, Australian Institute of Nuclear Science and Engineering, and Australian Synchrotron.

-
- [1] R. Nirmala, Ya. Mudryk, V. K. Pecharsky, and K. A. Gschneidner, *Phys. Rev. B* **76**, 014407 (2007).
 - [2] B. Maji, K.G. Suresh, and A. K. Nigam, *J. Magn. Magn. Mater.* **322**, 2415 (2010).
 - [3] C. W. Zhang, Z. Zhang, S. Q. Wang, H. Li, J. M. Dong, N. S. Xing, Y. Q. Guo, and W. Li, *Solid State Commun.* **142**, 477 (2007).
 - [4] J. L. Wang, M. F. Md Din, S. J. Kennedy, F. Hong, S. J. Campbell, A. J. Studer, G. H. Wu, Z. X. Cheng, and S. X. Dou, *J. Appl. Phys.* **115**, 17E135 (2014).
 - [5] J. L. Wang, C. C. Tang, G. H. Wu, Q. L. Liu, N. Tang, W. Q. Wang, W. H. Wang, F. M. Yang, J. K. Liang, F. R. de Boer, and K. H. J. Buschow, *Solid State Commun.* **121**, 615 (2002).
 - [6] J. L. Wang, C. Marquina, M. R. Ibarra, and G. H. Wu, *Phys. Rev. B* **73**, 094436 (2006).
 - [7] D. D. Jackson, S. K. McCall, S. T. Weir, A. B. Karki, D. P. Young, W. Qiu, and Y. K. Vohra, *Phys. Rev. B* **75**, 224422 (2007).
 - [8] M. Halder, S. M. Yusu, M. D. Mukadam, and K. Shashikala, *Phys. Rev. B* **81**, 174402 (2010).
 - [9] J. L. Wang, S. J. Campbell, M. Hofmann, M. Hoelzel, R. Zeng, S. X. Dou, and S. J. Kennedy, *J. Appl. Phys.* **111**, 07E334 (2012).
 - [10] E. G. Gerasimov, N. V. Mushnikov, P. B. Terentev, V. S. Gaviko, and A. A. Inishev, *J. Alloys Compd.* **571**, 132 (2013).
 - [11] E. G. Gerasimov, N. V. Mushnikov, A. A. Inishev, P. B. Terentev, and V. S. Gaviko, *J. Alloys Compd.* **680**, 359 (2016).
 - [12] D. Bloch, D. M. Edwards, M. Shimizu, and J. Voiron, *J. Phys. F: Met. Phys.* **5**, 1217 (1975).
 - [13] E. Gratz, H. Sassik, and H. Nowotny, *J. Phys. F: Met. Phys.* **11**, 429 (1981).
 - [14] N. H. Duc, D. T. Kim Anh, and P. E. Brommer, *Physica B* **319**, 1 (2002).
 - [15] B. K. Banerjee, *Phys. Lett.* **12**, 16 (1964).
 - [16] C. M. Bonilla, F. Bartolomé, L. M. García, M. Parra-Borderías, J. Herrero-Albillos, and V. Franco, *J. Appl. Phys.* **107**, 09E131 (2010).
 - [17] M. Parra-Borderías, F. Bartolomé, J. Herrero-Albillos, and L. M. García, *J. Alloys Compd.* **481**, 48 (2009).

- [18] C. M. Bonilla, J. Herrero-Albillos, F. Bartolomé, L. M. García, M. Parra-Borderías, and V. Franco, [Phys. Rev. B](#) **81**, 224424 (2010).
- [19] Q. Y. Ren, W. D. Hutchison, J. L. Wang, A. J. Studer, and S. J. Campbell, [J. Alloys Compd.](#) **693**, 32 (2017).
- [20] J. L. Wang, S. J. Campbell, S. J. Kennedy, R. Zeng, S. X. Dou, and G. H. Wu, [J. Phys.: Condens. Matter](#) **23**, 216002 (2011).
- [21] P. Shambal, J. L. Wang, J. C. Debnath, S. J. Kennedy, R. Zeng, M. F. Md Din, F. Hong, Z. X. Cheng, A. J. Studer, and S. X. Dou, [J. Phys.: Condens. Matter](#) **25**, 056001 (2013).
- [22] N. Khan, A. Midya, K. Mydeen, P. Mandal, A. Loidl, and D. Prabhakaran, [Phys. Rev. B](#) **82**, 064422 (2010).
- [23] P. Kumar, K. G. Suresh, A. K. Nigam, and S. K. Malik, [J. Appl. Phys.](#) **103**, 013909 (2008).
- [24] E. G. Gerasimov, T. Kanomata, and V. S. Gaviko, [Physica B](#) **390**, 118 (2007).
- [25] M. A. Korotin, N. A. Skorikov, A. V. Efremov, and A. O. Shorikov, [J. Magn. Magn. Mater.](#) **397**, 115 (2016).
- [26] Z. W. Ouyang, F. W. Wang, Q. Hang, W. F. Liu, G. Y. Liu, J. W. Lynn, J. K. Liang, and G. H. Rao, [J. Alloys Compd.](#) **390**, 21 (2005).