



UNIVERSITY  
OF WOLLONGONG  
AUSTRALIA

University of Wollongong  
**Research Online**

---

Faculty of Social Sciences - Papers

Faculty of Social Sciences

---

2000

# Enhancing offender recall of their crime as a strategy to improve treatment responsivity

Mitchell K. Byrne

*University of Wollongong*, [mbyrne@uow.edu.au](mailto:mbyrne@uow.edu.au)

---

## Publication Details

Byrne, M. K. (2000). Enhancing offender recall of their crime as a strategy to improve treatment responsivity. *Australian Journal of Psychology*, 52 (S1), 72.

Research Online is the open access institutional repository for the University of Wollongong. For further information contact the UOW Library:  
[research-pubs@uow.edu.au](mailto:research-pubs@uow.edu.au)

---

# Enhancing offender recall of their crime as a strategy to improve treatment responsivity

## **Abstract**

Abstract presented at the 34th Annual Conference of the Australian Psychological Society 'The Brain Games' 3rd-7th October 2000 National Convention Centre, Canberra ACT

## **Keywords**

crime, their, recall, offender, responsivity, enhancing, treatment, improve, strategy

## **Disciplines**

Education | Social and Behavioral Sciences

## **Publication Details**

Byrne, M. K. (2000). Enhancing offender recall of their crime as a strategy to improve treatment responsivity. *Australian Journal of Psychology*, 52 (S1), 72.

## **Enhancing offender recall of their crime as a strategy to improve treatment responsiveness**

MK Byrne (University of South Australia)

Three principles are now accepted as indicative of best practice in Forensic Psychology: the Risk Principle, which directs the clinician's attention toward those offenders who pose the greatest risk of reoffending; the Need Principle, which asserts that the focus of intervention should relate to those offender variables which have a direct impact upon their offending behaviour; and the Responsivity Principle, whereby intervention is tailored to match the characteristics of the offender and the setting in which intervention is delivered (Bonta, 1997). Research into offender responsiveness is in its infancy, although work is in progress to develop methods of accurately assessing different responsiveness factors (Serin, 1998; Serin & Kennedy, 1997). One aspect that repeatedly concerns clinicians is offender motivation to change, often referred to in the literature as 'readiness to change' (Prochaska & DiClemente, 1986, 1996). Clearly one variable associated with readiness to change is the offender's attribution of personal responsibility. Attributions that minimise personal responsibility are likely to be associated with poor treatment responsiveness. Such attributions are inextricably linked to the offender's memory of the offence. This paper discusses threats to the accuracy of offender recall for their own offence and strategies which might be employed to enhance recall and thus treatment responsiveness.