

University of Wollongong

Research Online

Faculty of Engineering and Information
Sciences - Papers: Part A

Faculty of Engineering and Information
Sciences

2006

A dual graph construction for higher-rank graphs, and K-theory for finite 2-graphs

Stephen Allen

University of Newcastle, Stephen.Allen@newcastle.edu.au

David Pask

University of Newcastle, dpask@uow.edu.au

Aidan Sims

University of Wollongong, asims@uow.edu.au

Follow this and additional works at: <https://ro.uow.edu.au/eispapers>



Part of the [Engineering Commons](#), and the [Science and Technology Studies Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Allen, Stephen; Pask, David; and Sims, Aidan, "A dual graph construction for higher-rank graphs, and K-theory for finite 2-graphs" (2006). *Faculty of Engineering and Information Sciences - Papers: Part A*. 2530. <https://ro.uow.edu.au/eispapers/2530>

Research Online is the open access institutional repository for the University of Wollongong. For further information contact the UOW Library: research-pubs@uow.edu.au

A dual graph construction for higher-rank graphs, and K-theory for finite 2-graphs

Abstract

Given a k -graph Λ and an element p of \mathbb{N}^k , we define the dual k -graph, $p\Lambda$. We show that when Λ is row-finite and has no sources, the C^* -algebras $C^*(\Lambda)$ and $C^*(p\Lambda)$ coincide. We use this isomorphism to apply Robertson and Steger's results to calculate the K -theory of $C^*(\Lambda)$ when Λ is finite and strongly connected and satisfies the aperiodicity condition.

Keywords

construction, 2, rank, graphs, k, dual, graph, higher, theory, finite

Disciplines

Engineering | Science and Technology Studies

Publication Details

Allen, S., Pask, D. A. & Sims, A. D. (2006). A dual graph construction for higher-rank graphs, and K-theory for finite 2-graphs. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 134 (2), 455-464.

A DUAL GRAPH CONSTRUCTION FOR HIGHER-RANK GRAPHS, AND K -THEORY FOR FINITE 2-GRAPHS

STEPHEN ALLEN, DAVID PASK, AND AIDAN SIMS

(Communicated by David R. Larson)

ABSTRACT. Given a k -graph Λ and an element p of \mathbb{N}^k , we define the dual k -graph, $p\Lambda$. We show that when Λ is row-finite and has no sources, the C^* -algebras $C^*(\Lambda)$ and $C^*(p\Lambda)$ coincide. We use this isomorphism to apply Robertson and Steger's results to calculate the K -theory of $C^*(\Lambda)$ when Λ is finite and strongly connected and satisfies the aperiodicity condition.

1. INTRODUCTION

In 1980, Cuntz and Krieger introduced a class of C^* -algebras, now called Cuntz-Krieger algebras, associated to finite $\{0, 1\}$ -matrices A [4]. Enomoto and Watatani then showed that these algebras could be regarded as being associated in a natural way to finite directed graphs by regarding A as the vertex adjacency matrix of a finite directed graph E [5]. Generalising this association, Enomoto and Watatani associated C^* -algebras $C^*(E)$ to finite graphs E with no sources¹ (E has no sources if each vertex of E is the range of at least one edge). Although not every finite directed graph with no sources has a vertex adjacency matrix with entries in $\{0, 1\}$, the vertex adjacency matrix of the dual graph \widehat{E} formed by regarding the edges of E as vertices and the paths of length 2 in E as edges *does* always have entries in $\{0, 1\}$, and the Cuntz-Krieger algebras associated to E and to \widehat{E} are canonically isomorphic [11]. These results have since been extended to infinite graphs (see for example [10, 9, 3, 7]; see also [2] when E has sources).

One of the major attractions of graph algebras is their applicability to the classification program for simple purely infinite nuclear C^* -algebras. Conditions on a graph E have been identified which guarantee that $C^*(E)$ is purely infinite, simple, and nuclear, and satisfies the Universal Coefficient Theorem (see, for example, [3]), thus producing a large class of directed graphs whose C^* -algebras are determined up to isomorphism by their K -theory [12]. The K -theory of $C^*(E)$ for an arbitrary directed graph E was calculated in [13], and it is shown in [17] that given any two finitely generated abelian groups G, H such that H is torsion free, there exists a

Received by the editors March 22, 2004 and, in revised form, September 20, 2004.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 46L05.

Key words and phrases. Graphs as categories, graph algebra, C^* -algebra, K -theory.

This research was supported by the Australian Research Council.

¹For the sake of consistency with k -graph notation, we regard directed graphs as 1-graphs, so *no sources* here corresponds to *no sinks* in, for example, [5, 3].

©2005 American Mathematical Society
Reverts to public domain 28 years from publication

directed graph E such that $C^*(E)$ is simple, purely infinite, nuclear, and satisfies the Universal Coefficient Theorem, with $K_0(C^*(E)) \cong G$ and $K_1(C^*(E)) \cong H$.

In 1999, Robertson and Steger introduced a class of higher-rank Cuntz-Krieger algebras \mathcal{A} , associated to collections M_1, \dots, M_k of commuting $\{0, 1\}$ -matrices satisfying appropriate compatibility conditions [15]. In [16], they went on to calculate the K -theory of \mathcal{A} , demonstrating in particular that $K_1(\mathcal{A})$ need not be torsion free, so that the class of higher-rank Cuntz-Krieger algebras exhausts some K -invariants which are not achieved by graph algebras. In order to place these higher-rank Cuntz-Krieger algebras in a graph-theoretic setting, and to generalise them as Watatani and Enomoto had generalised the original Cuntz-Krieger algebras, Kumjian and Pask introduced the notion of a higher-rank graph Λ , and defined and investigated the associated higher-rank graph C^* -algebra $C^*(\Lambda)$ [8]. Connectivity in a rank- k graph Λ is described in terms of k commuting vertex adjacency matrices $\{M_1^\Lambda, \dots, M_k^\Lambda\}$, called coordinate matrices. Just as in the rank-1 setting, not every k -graph has coordinate matrices with entries in $\{0, 1\}$, but if Λ is a k -graph whose coordinate matrices are $\{0, 1\}$ -matrices, then [8, Corollary 3.5(ii)] shows that $C^*(\Lambda)$ and the C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} associated to the coordinate matrices as in [15] are identical.

In this paper we introduce a notion of a dual graph for higher-rank graphs, and show that for a large class of higher-rank graphs Λ , the dual higher-rank graph $p\Lambda$ and the original higher-rank graph Λ have canonically isomorphic C^* -algebras for all $p \in \mathbb{N}^k$ (cf. [1]). We also show that by choosing p appropriately, we can ensure that $p\Lambda$ has coordinate matrices with entries in $\{0, 1\}$. Using these results, we identify a class of finite rank-2 graphs whose C^* -algebras are isomorphic to the rank-2 Cuntz-Krieger algebras studied by Robertson and Steger, and we use the results of [16] to show that these C^* -algebras are purely infinite, simple, unital and nuclear, and to calculate their K -theory.

The layout of the paper is as follows: in Section 2, we recall the definition of k -graphs and the associated notation; in Section 3, we introduce the dual graph construction for k -graphs, and show that this construction preserves the associated C^* -algebra; and in Section 4, we identify the finite 2-graphs Λ whose C^* -algebras can be studied using Robertson and Steger's results, and use these results to calculate $K_*(C^*(\Lambda))$.

In the final stages of preparation of this paper, the authors became aware of Evans' Ph.D. thesis [6], which appears to obtain more general results regarding K -theory for 2-graph C^* -algebras than those established here. The authors thank the referee for helpful comments which we feel have improved the exposition.

2. PRELIMINARIES

We regard \mathbb{N}^k as an additive semigroup with identity 0. Given $m, n \in \mathbb{N}^k$, we write $m \vee n$ for their coordinate-wise maximum and $m \wedge n$ for their coordinate-wise minimum, and if $m \leq n$, then we write $[m, n]$ for the set $\{p \in \mathbb{N}^k : m \leq p \leq n\}$. We denote the canonical generators of \mathbb{N}^k by $\{e_1, \dots, e_k\}$, and for $n \in \mathbb{N}^k$, we write n_j for the j^{th} coordinate of n .

Definition 2.1. Let $k \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$. A k -graph is a pair (Λ, d) where Λ is a countable category and d is a functor from Λ to \mathbb{N}^k which satisfies the *factorisation property*: if $\lambda \in \text{Mor}(\Lambda)$ and $d(\lambda) = m + n$, then there are unique morphisms $\mu \in d^{-1}(m)$ and $\nu \in d^{-1}(n)$ such that $\lambda = \mu\nu$.

We refer to elements of $\text{Mor}(\Lambda)$ as *paths* and to elements of $\text{Obj}(\Lambda)$ as *vertices* and we write r and s for the codomain and domain maps. The factorisation property allows us to identify $\text{Obj}(\Lambda)$ with $\{\lambda \in \text{Mor}(\Lambda) : d(\lambda) = 0\}$. So we write $\lambda \in \Lambda$ in place of $\lambda \in \text{Mor}(\Lambda)$, and when $d(\lambda) = 0$, we regard λ as a vertex of Λ .

Given $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and $E \subset \Lambda$, we define $\lambda E = \{\lambda\mu : \mu \in E, r(\mu) = s(\lambda)\}$ and $E\lambda = \{\mu\lambda : \mu \in E, s(\mu) = r(\lambda)\}$. In particular if $d(v) = 0$, then v is a vertex of Λ and $vE = \{\lambda \in E : r(\lambda) = v\}$; similarly, $Ev = \{\lambda \in \Lambda : s(\lambda) = v\}$. We write Λ^n for the collection $\{\lambda \in \Lambda : d(\lambda) = n\}$.

Definition 2.2. We say that a k -graph (Λ, d) is *row-finite* if $v\Lambda^n$ is finite for all $v \in \Lambda^0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}^k$, and that Λ has *no sources* if $v\Lambda^n$ is nonempty for all $v \in \Lambda^0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}^k$. We say that Λ is *strongly connected* if $v\Lambda w$ is nonempty for all $v, w \in \Lambda^0$, and we say that Λ is *finite* if Λ^0 and each Λ^{e_i} are finite.

The factorisation property ensures that if $l \leq m \leq n \in \mathbb{N}^k$ and if $d(\lambda) = n$, then there exist unique paths denoted $\lambda(0, l)$, $\lambda(l, m)$ and $\lambda(m, n)$ such that $d(\lambda(0, l)) = l$, $d(\lambda(l, m)) = m - l$, and $d(\lambda(m, n)) = n - m$ and such that $\lambda = \lambda(0, l)\lambda(l, m)\lambda(m, n)$.

Given $k \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$, and k -graphs (Λ_1, d_1) and (Λ_2, d_2) , we call a covariant functor $x : \Lambda_1 \rightarrow \Lambda_2$ a *graph morphism* if it satisfies $d_2 \circ x = d_1$.

Definition 2.3. As in [8], given $k \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$, we write Ω_k for the k -graph given by $\text{Obj}(\Omega_k) = \mathbb{N}^k$, $\text{Mor}(\Omega_k) = \{(m, n) \in \mathbb{N}^k \times \mathbb{N}^k : m \leq n\}$, $r(m, n) = m$, $s(m, n) = n$, $(m, n) \circ (n, p) = (m, p)$, and $d(m, n) = n - m$. Given a k -graph Λ , an *infinite path* of Λ is a graph morphism $x : \Omega_k \rightarrow \Lambda$. We denote the collection of all infinite paths of Λ by Λ^∞ . For $p \in \mathbb{N}^k$, we write $\sigma^p : \Lambda^\infty \rightarrow \Lambda^\infty$ for the shift-map determined $\sigma^p(x)(m, n) = x(m + p, n + p)$, and we say that $x \in \Lambda^\infty$ is *aperiodic* if there do not exist $p, q \in \mathbb{N}^k$ with $p \neq q$ and $\sigma^p(x) = \sigma^q(x)$.

Definition 2.4. Let (Λ, d) be a row-finite k -graph with no sources. A Cuntz-Krieger Λ -family is a collection $\{t_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ of partial isometries satisfying

- (i) $\{t_v : v \in \Lambda^0\}$ is a collection of mutually orthogonal projections;
- (ii) $t_\lambda t_\mu = t_{\lambda\mu}$ whenever $s(\lambda) = r(\mu)$;
- (iii) $t_\lambda^* t_\lambda = t_{s(\lambda)}$ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$; and
- (iv) $t_v = \sum_{\lambda \in v\Lambda^n} t_\lambda t_\lambda^*$ for all $v \in \Lambda^0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}^k$.

The *Cuntz-Krieger algebra* $C^*(\Lambda)$ is the C^* -algebra generated by a Cuntz-Krieger Λ -family $\{s_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ which is universal in the sense that for every Cuntz-Krieger Λ -family $\{t_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ there is a unique homomorphism π of $C^*(\Lambda)$ satisfying $\pi(s_\lambda) = t_\lambda$ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$.

3. DUAL HIGHER-RANK GRAPHS

In this section we define the higher-rank analog $p\Lambda$ of the dual graph construction for directed graphs.

Definition 3.1. Let (Λ, d) be a k -graph and let $p \in \mathbb{N}^k$. Let $p\Lambda = \{\lambda \in \Lambda : d(\lambda) \geq p\}$. Define range and source maps on $p\Lambda$ by $r_p(\lambda) = \lambda(0, p)$, and $s_p(\lambda) = \lambda(d(\lambda) - p, d(\lambda))$ for all $\lambda \in p\Lambda$, and define composition by $\lambda \circ_p \mu = \lambda\mu(p, d(\mu)) = \lambda(0, d(\lambda) - p)\mu$ whenever $s_p(\lambda) = r_p(\mu)$. Finally, define a degree map d_p on $p\Lambda$ by $d_p(\lambda) = d(\lambda) - p$ for all $\lambda \in p\Lambda$.

Proposition 3.2. *Let (Λ, d) be a k -graph, and let $p \in \mathbb{N}^k$. Then $(p\Lambda, d_p)$ is a k -graph.*

Proof. It is straightforward to check that $p\Lambda$ is a category with the indicated operations. If $\lambda, \mu \in p\Lambda$ and $s_p(\lambda) = r_p(\mu)$, then $\lambda \circ_p \mu = \lambda\mu(p, d(\mu))$ by definition, so $d_p(\lambda \circ_p \mu) = d(\lambda) + d(\mu) - 2p = d_p(\lambda) + d_p(\mu)$. Therefore, d_p is a functor from $p\Lambda$ to \mathbb{N}^k .

We need to check that the factorisation property holds for $p\Lambda$. Take any $\lambda \in p\Lambda$ and $m, n \in \mathbb{N}^k$ with $m + n = d_p(\lambda)$, so $d(\lambda) = m + p + n$. By the factorisation property for Λ we have $\lambda = \lambda(0, m)\lambda(m, m + p)\lambda(m + p, m + p + n)$. But then $\lambda = (\lambda(0, m)\lambda(m, m + p)) \circ_p (\lambda(m, m + p)\lambda(m + p, m + p + n))$ in $p\Lambda$, and $d_p(\lambda(0, m)\lambda(m, m + p)) = m$ and $d_p(\lambda(m, m + p)\lambda(m + p, m + p + n)) = n$. This decomposition is unique by the factorisation property for Λ . \square

Remark 3.3. If Λ has no sources, then $p\Lambda$ has no sources, and if Λ is row-finite, then $p\Lambda$ is row-finite.

Proposition 3.4. *Let (Λ, d) be a k -graph, and let $p, q \in \mathbb{N}^k$. Then $q(p\Lambda) = (q+p)\Lambda$.*

Proof. By definition, we have $q(p\Lambda)^n = p\Lambda^{(n+q)} = \Lambda^{(n+q+p)} = (q+p)\Lambda^n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence $q(p\Lambda)$ and $(q+p)\Lambda$ have identical elements. For the remainder of the proof, we write $s_q^{p\Lambda}, r_q^{p\Lambda}, \circ_q^{p\Lambda}$, and $d_q^{p\Lambda}$ for the source, range, composition and degree maps of the dual graph $q(p\Lambda)$.

Fix $\lambda \in \Lambda^{n+p+q}$. We have $s_{(q+p)}(\lambda) = \lambda(n, n + p + q)$ by definition, while $s_q^{p\Lambda}(\lambda)$ is the final segment μ of λ such that $d(\mu) - p = d_p(\mu) = q$; that is, $d(\mu) = p + q$. Hence $s_{p+q}(\lambda) = s_q^{p\Lambda}(\lambda)$. Similarly, $r_{p+q}(\lambda) = \lambda(0, p + q) = r_q^{p\Lambda}(\lambda)$. Moreover, $d_{p+q}(\lambda) = d(\lambda) - (p + q) = d_p(\lambda) - q = d_q^{p\Lambda}(\lambda)$. Since λ was arbitrary, it follows that the range, source, and degree maps for $(p + q)\Lambda$ and $q(p\Lambda)$ agree.

This having been established, we have $r_{p+q}(\lambda) = s_{p+q}(\mu)$ if and only if $r_q^{p\Lambda}(\lambda) = s_q^{p\Lambda}(\mu)$, in which case both $\lambda \circ_{p+q} \mu$ and $\lambda \circ_q^{p\Lambda} \mu$ are equal to $\lambda\mu(p + q, d(\mu))$ by definition, completing the proof. \square

Theorem 3.5. *Let (Λ, d) be a row-finite k -graph with no sources, and let $p \in \mathbb{N}^k$. Let $\{s_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ denote the universal generating Cuntz-Krieger Λ -family in $C^*(\Lambda)$, and let $\{t_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ be the universal generating Cuntz-Krieger $p\Lambda$ -family in $C^*(p\Lambda)$. For all $\lambda \in p\Lambda$, define $r_\lambda = s_\lambda s_{s_p(\lambda)}^*$. There is an isomorphism $\phi : C^*(p\Lambda) \rightarrow C^*(\Lambda)$ such that $\phi(t_\lambda) = r_\lambda$ for all $\lambda \in p\Lambda$.*

Proof. First we show that the family $\{r_\lambda : \lambda \in p\Lambda\}$ is a Cuntz-Krieger $p\Lambda$ -family. Since, for any $\beta \in p\Lambda^0$, we have $s_\beta \neq 0$, it follows that $r_\beta = s_\beta s_\beta^* \neq 0$ and that it is a projection in $C^*(\Lambda)$. Furthermore, for distinct $\alpha, \beta \in p\Lambda^0$, we have

$$r_\alpha r_\beta = s_\alpha s_\alpha^* s_\beta s_\beta^* = \delta_{\alpha, \beta} s_\alpha s_\beta^* = \delta_{\alpha, \beta} r_\alpha.$$

This establishes relation (i).

For relation (ii), let $\mu, \nu \in p\Lambda$ with $r_p(\nu) = s_p(\mu)$, so $\mu \circ_p \nu = \mu\nu(p, d(\nu))$. Then,

$$(3.1) \quad r_{\mu \circ_p \nu} = s_{\mu \circ_p \nu} s_{s_p(\mu \circ_p \nu)}^* = s_\mu s_{\nu(p, d(\nu))} s_{s_p(\nu)}^* = s_\mu s_{s_p(\mu)}^* s_{s_p(\mu)} s_{\nu(p, d(\nu))} s_{s_p(\nu)}^*.$$

But $s_p(\mu) = r_p(\nu) = \nu(0, p)$, so we can rewrite the right-hand side of (3.1) to obtain $r_{\mu \circ_p \nu} = s_\mu s_{s_p(\mu)}^* s_\nu s_{s_p(\nu)}^* = r_\mu r_\nu$. This establishes relation (ii).

Let $\lambda \in p\Lambda$, say $d_p(\lambda) = n$. Then $r_\lambda^* r_\lambda = s_{s_p(\lambda)} s_\lambda^* s_\lambda s_{s_p(\lambda)}^* = s_{s_p(\lambda)} s_{s_p(\lambda)}^* = r_{s_p(\lambda)}$ by definition, establishing relation (iii).

Finally, for relation (iv), let $\beta \in p\Lambda^0$ and let $n \in \mathbb{N}^k$. Then

$$r_\beta = s_\beta s_\beta^* = \sum_{\gamma \in s(\beta)\Lambda^n} s_\beta s_\gamma s_\gamma^* s_\beta^* = \sum_{\lambda \in \beta\Lambda^n} s_\lambda s_\lambda^*.$$

Applying the factorisation property and relation (ii) for $C^*(\Lambda)$ to the right-hand side then gives

$$r_\beta = \sum_{\lambda \in \beta\Lambda^n} s_{\lambda(0,n)} s_{\lambda(n,n+p)} s_{\lambda(n,n+p)}^* s_{\lambda(0,n)}^*$$

and then since each $s_{\lambda(n,n+p)} s_{\lambda(n,n+p)}^*$ is a projection, we obtain

$$r_\beta = \sum_{\lambda \in \beta\Lambda^n} (s_{\lambda(0,n)} s_{\lambda(n,n+p)} s_{\lambda(n,n+p)}^*) (s_{\lambda(n,n+p)} s_{\lambda(n,n+p)}^* s_{\lambda(0,n)}) = \sum_{\lambda \in \beta(p\Lambda^n)} r_\lambda r_\lambda^*$$

which establishes relation (iv).

It follows from the universal property of $C^*(p\Lambda)$ that there exists a homomorphism $\phi : C^*(p\Lambda) \rightarrow C^*(\Lambda)$ satisfying $\phi(t_\lambda) = r_\lambda$ for all $\lambda \in p\Lambda$. We claim that $\{r_\lambda : \lambda \in p\Lambda\}$ generates $C^*(\Lambda)$. To see this, let $\sigma \in \Lambda$ with $d(\sigma) = n$. An application of relation (iv) for $C^*(\Lambda)$ gives $s_\sigma = \sum_{\beta \in s(\sigma)\Lambda^p} s_\sigma s_\beta s_\beta^* = \sum_{\lambda \in \sigma\Lambda^p} s_\lambda s_{s_p(\lambda)}^*$, and this last is equal to $\sum_{\lambda \in \sigma\Lambda^p} r_\lambda$ by definition. Thus ϕ maps $C^*(p\Lambda)$ onto $C^*(\Lambda)$.

Now let γ^Λ denote the gauge action on $C^*(\Lambda)$, and let $\gamma^{p\Lambda}$ denote the gauge action on $C^*(p\Lambda)$. For $z \in \mathbb{T}^k$ and $\lambda \in p\Lambda$, we have

$$\gamma_z^\Lambda(r_\lambda) = \gamma_z^\Lambda(s_\lambda s_{s_p(\lambda)}^*) = z^{d(\lambda)} s_\lambda (z^{d(s_p(\lambda))} s_{s_p(\lambda)}^*)^* = z^{d(\lambda)-p} r_\lambda = \gamma^{p\Lambda}(r_\lambda).$$

Theorem 3.4 of [8] now establishes that ϕ is injective. □

Remark 3.6. The hypotheses that Λ be row-finite and have no sources are crucial in Theorem 3.5. To see why, note that for $v \in \Lambda^0$, the generator s_v of $C^*(\Lambda)$ is recovered in $C^*(p\Lambda)$ as $\sum_{\beta \in p\Lambda^0, r(\beta)=v} r_\beta$. However, even for 1-graphs the Cuntz-Krieger relations only insist that $p_v = \sum_{r(e)=v} s_e s_e^*$ when $r^{-1}(v)$ is finite and nonempty.

Lemma 3.7. *Let (Λ, d) be a k -graph, and let $p \in \mathbb{N}^k$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}^k$ with $n \leq p$ and $v, w \in p\Lambda^0$, there is at most one $\lambda \in v(p\Lambda^n)w$.*

Proof. Let $v, w \in p\Lambda^0 = \Lambda^p$ and suppose $\lambda \in v(p\Lambda^n)w$. Then $\lambda \in \Lambda^{n+p}$, $\lambda(0, p) = v$, and $\lambda(n, n+p) = w$. Since $n \leq p$ we have $\lambda(0, n) = (\lambda(0, p))(0, n) = v(0, n)$, so $\lambda = \lambda(0, n)\lambda(n, n+p) = v(0, n)w$, and hence is determined by v and w . □

Notation 3.8. Let (Λ, d) be a k -graph. We write M_i^Λ , $1 \leq i \leq k$, for the matrices in $M_{\Lambda^0}(\mathbb{N})$ defined by $(M_i^\Lambda)_{v,w} = |w\Lambda^{e_i}v|$ for $w, v \in \Lambda^0$, and we refer to these matrices as the *coordinate matrices* of Λ .

Remark 3.9. In [8, 6] $(M_i^\Lambda)_{v,w} = |v\Lambda_{e_i}w|$, so our M_i^Λ is the transpose of theirs. This is for consistency with the matrices in [16, 15]; we will be employing Robertson and Steger’s results to calculate K -theory in Section 4.

Corollary 3.10. *Let (Λ, d) be a k -graph, and let $p \in \mathbb{N}^k$ with $p_i \geq 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$. Then the coordinate matrices $M_i^{p\Lambda}$ of $p\Lambda$ are $\{0, 1\}$ -matrices.*

4. K -THEORY

In this section we identify a class of 2-graphs whose associated C^* -algebras are isomorphic to higher rank Cuntz-Krieger algebras in the sense of [16], and use the results of [16] to calculate the K -theory of the C^* -algebras of such 2-graphs. To state the main theorem for this section we employ the following notation: given square $n \times n$ matrices M, N , we write $[M \ N]$ for the block $n \times 2n$ matrix whose first n columns are those of M and whose last n columns are those of N . We also write $\mathbf{1}$ for the element $(1, 1)$ of \mathbb{N}^2 .

Theorem 4.1. *Let (Λ, d) be a 2-graph which is finite and strongly connected as in Definition 2.2 and which has an aperiodic infinite path as in Definition 2.3. Then $C^*(\Lambda)$ is purely infinite, simple, unital and nuclear, and we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{rank}(K_0(C^*(\Lambda))) &= \text{rank}(K_1(C^*(\Lambda))) \\
 (4.1) \quad &= \text{rank} \left(\text{coker} \begin{bmatrix} I - M_1^{1\Lambda} & I - M_2^{1\Lambda} \end{bmatrix} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \text{rank} \left(\text{coker} \begin{bmatrix} I - (M_1^{1\Lambda})^t & I - (M_2^{1\Lambda})^t \end{bmatrix} \right) \right); \\
 (4.2) \quad \text{tor}(K_0(C^*(\Lambda))) &\cong \text{tor} \left(\text{coker} \begin{bmatrix} I - M_1^{1\Lambda} & I - M_2^{1\Lambda} \end{bmatrix} \right); \text{ and} \\
 (4.3) \quad \text{tor}(K_1(C^*(\Lambda))) &\cong \text{tor} \left(\text{coker} \begin{bmatrix} I - (M_1^{1\Lambda})^t & I - (M_2^{1\Lambda})^t \end{bmatrix} \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

The remainder of this section constitutes the proof of Theorem 4.1. We begin by recalling some definitions from [16]. Let A be a finite set, and let M_1, M_2 be $A \times A$ matrices with entries in $\{0, 1\}$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}^k$, let $W_n = \{w : [0, n] \rightarrow A : M_j(w(l + e_j), w(l)) = 1 \text{ whenever } l, l + e_j \in [0, n]\}$; we refer to the elements of W_n as *allowable words of shape n* , and write W for the collection $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}^2} W_n$ of all *allowable words*. For $u \in W$, write $S(u)$ for the shape of u ; that is, $S(u)$ is the unique element of \mathbb{N}^2 such that $u \in W_{S(u)}$. We identify W_0 with A . The matrices M_1, M_2 are said to satisfy (H0)–(H3) if

- (H0) Each M_i is nonzero;
- (H1a) $M_1 M_2 = M_2 M_1$;
- (H1b) $M_1 M_2$ is a $\{0, 1\}$ -matrix;
- (H2) the directed graph with a vertex for each $a \in A$ and a directed edge (a, i, b) from a to b for each a, i, b such that $M_i(b, a) = 1$ is irreducible; and
- (H3) for each $m \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus \{0\}$, there exists a word $w \in W$ and elements l_1, l_2 of \mathbb{N}^2 with $0 \leq l_1, l_2 \leq S(w)$ such that $l_2 - l_1 = m$ and $w(l_1) \neq w(l_2)$.

Notation 4.2. If (Λ, d) is a 2-graph such that the coordinate matrices M_1^Λ and M_2^Λ are $\{0, 1\}$ -matrices, we write W_n^Λ and W^Λ for the collection of allowable words of shape n and for the collection of all allowable words, respectively. For $\lambda \in \Lambda$, let w_λ^Λ be the word in $W_{d(\lambda)}^\Lambda$ given by $w_\lambda^\Lambda(m) = s(\lambda(0, m))$ for $0 \leq m \leq d(\lambda)$. Since each M_i^Λ is a $\{0, 1\}$ -matrix, the map $\lambda \mapsto w_\lambda^\Lambda$ is a bijection between Λ^n and W_n^Λ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}^2$.

Proposition 4.3. *Let (Λ, d) be a finite 2-graph with no sources, and let $M_1^{1\Lambda}$ and $M_2^{1\Lambda}$ be the matrices associated to 1Λ . Then*

- (1) $M_1^{1\Lambda}, M_2^{1\Lambda}$ satisfy (H0), (H1a), and (H1b);
- (2) $M_1^{1\Lambda}, M_2^{1\Lambda}$ satisfy (H2) if and only if Λ is strongly connected; and
- (3) if $M_1^{1\Lambda}, M_2^{1\Lambda}$ satisfy (H2), then they satisfy (H3) if and only if Λ has an aperiodic infinite path.

Proof. For (1), note that each $M_i^{1\Lambda}$ is a finite square matrix over $1\Lambda^0$ by definition, and has entries in $\{0, 1\}$ by Corollary 3.10. It is easy to see that

$$(M_i^{1\Lambda} M_{3-i}^{1\Lambda})_{v,w} = |\{(\alpha, \beta) \in w(1\Lambda^{e_{3-i}}) \times (1\Lambda^{e_i})v : r(\alpha) = s(\beta)\}| = |w(1\Lambda^1)v|$$

for $i = 1, 2$, which establishes (H1a) and, when combined with Lemma 3.7, (H1b).

For (2), notice that $M_1^{1\Lambda}, M_2^{1\Lambda}$ satisfy (H2) if and only if for every $v, w \in 1\Lambda^0$ there exist elements $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k$ in $1\Lambda^{(1,0)} \cup 1\Lambda^{(0,1)}$ such that $r(\alpha_1) = v, s(\alpha_k) = w$, and $r(\alpha_{i+1}) = s(\alpha_i)$ for $1 \leq i \leq k - 1$.

So suppose first that $M_1^{1\Lambda}, M_2^{1\Lambda}$ satisfy (H2), and let $v, w \in \Lambda^0$. Since Λ has no sources, there exist $\mu, \nu \in \Lambda^1$ with $r(\mu) = v$ and $r(\nu) = w$; so $\mu, \nu \in 1\Lambda^0$ by definition, and (H2) ensures that there is a path $\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_k$ in $1\Lambda^{(1,0)} \cup 1\Lambda^{(0,1)}$ with $r_1(\alpha_1) = \mu$ and $s_1(\alpha_k) = \nu$. By definition of 1Λ , the path $\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_k$ in 1Λ is a path $\lambda \in \Lambda$ with $d(\lambda) = d_1(\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_k) + 1$, and such that $\lambda(0, 1) = \mu$ and $\lambda(d(\lambda) - 1, d(\lambda)) = \nu$. But then $\lambda(0, d(\lambda) - 1) \in v\Lambda w$. However, $v, w \in \Lambda^0$ were arbitrary, so Λ is strongly connected.

Now suppose that Λ is strongly connected, and fix $\mu, \nu \in 1\Lambda^0$. Since Λ is strongly connected, there is a path $\lambda \in s(\mu)\Lambda r(\nu)$, and then $\tau := \mu\lambda\nu$ belongs to $\mu(1\Lambda)\nu$ with $d_1(\mu\lambda\nu) = d(\lambda) + 1$. Any factorisation of τ into segments from $1\Lambda^{(1,0)} \cup 1\Lambda^{(0,1)}$ now gives a path in $1\Lambda^{(1,0)} \cup 1\Lambda^{(0,1)}$ from ν to μ , so $M_1^{1\Lambda}, M_2^{1\Lambda}$ satisfy (H2).

Finally, for (3), assume that $M_1^{1\Lambda}, M_2^{1\Lambda}$ satisfy (H2), so Λ is strongly connected by part (2). For $x \in \Lambda^\infty$, define $1x \in 1\Lambda^\infty$ by $(1x)(m, n) = x(m, n + 1)$. It is easy to see that the map $x \mapsto 1x$ is a bijection between Λ^∞ and $1\Lambda^\infty$.

Claim: $x \in \Lambda^\infty$ is aperiodic if and only if $1x \in 1\Lambda^\infty$ is aperiodic. To see this, let $m, n \in \mathbb{N}^k$, and fix $x \in \Lambda^\infty$. By definition, we have

$$(4.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \sigma^m(1x) = \sigma^n(1x) &\iff (1x)(s + m, t + m) = (1x)(s + n, t + n) \quad \text{for } s \leq t \\ &\iff x(s + m, t + m + 1) = x(s + n, t + n + 1) \quad \text{for } s \leq t. \end{aligned}$$

Now if $x(s + m, t + m + 1) = x(s + n, t + n + 1)$ for all $s \leq t \in \mathbb{N}^2$, then the uniqueness of factorisations in Λ ensures that $x(s + m, t + m) = x(s + n, t + n)$ for all $s \leq t \in \mathbb{N}^2$. Conversely if $x(s + m, t + m) = x(s + n, t + n)$ for all $s \leq t \in \mathbb{N}^2$, then replacing t with $t + 1$ gives $x(s + m, t + m + 1) = x(s + n, t + n + 1)$ for all $s \leq t \in \mathbb{N}^2$. Hence (4.4) shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma^m(1x) = \sigma^n(1x) &\iff x(s + m, t + m) = x(s + n, t + n) \quad \text{for } s \leq t \in \mathbb{N}^2 \\ &\iff \sigma^m(x) = \sigma^n(x), \end{aligned}$$

establishing the claim. Thus it suffices to show that $M_i^{1\Lambda}$ satisfy (H3) if and only if $1\Lambda^\infty$ has an aperiodic element.

Suppose first that there exists an aperiodic path $x \in 1\Lambda^\infty$. Fix $m \in \mathbb{Z}^2$, and write $m = m_+ - m_-$ where $m_+, m_- \in \mathbb{N}^2$. Since $|v(1\Lambda^{e_i})w| \in \{0, 1\}$ for all $v, w \in 1\Lambda^0, i = 1, 2$, we have that x is completely determined by its restriction to the objects of Ω_2 ; that is, by the function from \mathbb{N}^2 to $1\Lambda^0$ given by $n \mapsto x(n)$. Since x is aperiodic, it follows that $\sigma^{m_+}(x)(n) \neq \sigma^{m_-}(x)(n)$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}^2$. But then with $N := n + m_-$, we have $x(N + m_+ - m_-) \neq x(N)$, and $w := x|_{[0, N+m_+-m_-]} \in W_{N+m_+-m_-}^{1\Lambda}$ satisfies $w(N) \neq w(N + m)$. Since $m \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ was arbitrary, this establishes that $M_1^{1\Lambda}, M_2^{1\Lambda}$ satisfy (H3).

Now suppose that $M_1^{1\Lambda}, M_2^{1\Lambda}$ satisfy (H3). For each $m \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus \{0\}$, fix $w_m \in W^{1\Lambda}$ and $l_m \in \mathbb{N}^2$ such that $0 \leq l_m, l_m + m \leq S(w_m)$ and $w_m(l_m) \neq w_m(l_m + m)$. Let λ_m be the unique path in 1Λ such that $w_m = w_{\lambda_m}^{1\Lambda}$. We will construct an infinite path x which contains infinitely many occurrences of each λ_m ; this will ensure that there is no m for which a sufficiently large shift of x has period m , and hence that x is aperiodic. The details of this construction, and the verification that the resulting x is aperiodic constitute the remainder of the proof.

Let $\{m_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a listing of $\mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus \{0\}$. Fix an arbitrary $v \in 1\Lambda^0$, and for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$, let α_i be any element of $v(1\Lambda)r(\lambda_{m_i})$, and let β_i be any element of $s(\lambda_{m_i})(1\Lambda)v$ with the property that $d_1(\alpha_i\lambda_{m_i}\beta_i) \geq 1$; this is possible because Λ is strongly connected and has no sources.

For $i \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\rho_i = \alpha_i\lambda_{m_i}\beta_i$, and let $\tau_i = \rho_1\rho_2 \dots \rho_i$. Let x be the infinite path $x = \tau_1\tau_2\tau_3 \dots$. We claim that x is aperiodic.

To see this, let $s, t \in \mathbb{N}^2$ be distinct, and let $I_{s,t}$ be the element of \mathbb{N} such that $m_{I_{s,t}} = t - s$. Let $J = \max\{s_1, s_2, t_1, t_2\}$; since $d_1(\rho_i) \geq (1, 1)$, we have that $i \geq J$ implies $d_1(\tau_1 \dots \tau_i) \geq s, t$. Let $K = \max\{I_{s,t}, J + 1\}$, and define $N = d_1(\tau_1 \dots \tau_{K-1}) + d_1(\rho_1 \dots \rho_{I_{s,t}-1}) + d(\alpha_{I_{s,t}}) + l_{t-s} - s$. We have $N \geq 0$ by choice of K , and

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma^s(x)(N) &= x(N + s) \\ &= x(d_1(\tau_1 \dots \tau_{K-1}) + d_1(\rho_1 \dots \rho_{I_{s,t}-1}) + d(\alpha_{I_{s,t}}) + l_{t-s}) \\ &= \lambda_{m_{I_{s,t}}}(l_{t-s}). \end{aligned}$$

A similar calculation shows that $\sigma^t(x)(N) = \lambda_{m_{I_{s,t}}}(l_{t-s} + (t - s))$, and hence $\sigma^s(x)(N) \neq \sigma^t(x)(N)$ by our choice of $\lambda_{m_{I_{s,t}}}$. It follows that $\sigma^s(x) \neq \sigma^t(x)$, and since $s, t \in \mathbb{N}^2$ were arbitrary, that x is aperiodic. □

Remark 4.4. The preceding proof actually shows: (1) that Proposition 4.3 applies to M_i^Λ for any Λ satisfying the property of Lemma 3.7 for $p = 1$; and (2) that 1Λ is strongly-connected (resp. strongly connected and contains an infinite path) if and only if Λ has the same property. Since our motivation is to prove Theorem 4.1, we have compressed this into a single result.

Notation 4.5. Let Λ be a finite strongly connected 2-graph with an aperiodic infinite path. We write $\mathcal{A}^{1\Lambda}$ for the C^* -algebra associated to $M_i^{1\Lambda}$ as in [16]. That is, $\mathcal{A}^{1\Lambda}$ is the universal C^* -algebra generated by a family $\{s_{u,v} : u, v \in W^{1\Lambda}, u(S(u)) = v(S(v))\}$ of partial isometries satisfying

- (4.5) $s_{u,v} = s_{v,u}^*$ for $u, v \in W^{1\Lambda}$;
- (4.6) $s_{u,v}s_{v,w} = s_{u,w}$ for $u, v, w \in W^{1\Lambda}$;
- (4.7) $s_{u,v} = \sum_{w \in W_{e_j}^{1\Lambda}, u(S(u))=w(0)} s_{uw}s_{vw}^*$ for $u, v \in W^{1\Lambda}, j \in \{1, 2\}$; and
- (4.8) $s_{a,a}s_{b,b} = 0$ for distinct $a, b \in W_0^{1\Lambda}$.

Lemma 4.6. *Let (Λ, d) be a finite strongly-connected 2-graph which has an aperiodic infinite path. Then $C^*(\Lambda)$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{A}^{1\Lambda}$.*

Proof. The factorisation property ensures that if Λ is strongly connected and contains an infinite path, then Λ has no sources. By Theorem 3.5, we have that $C^*(\Lambda)$ is isomorphic to $C^*(1\Lambda)$, so it suffices to show that $C^*(1\Lambda)$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{A}^{1\Lambda}$. It is easy to check using Definition 2.4(i)–(iv), relations (4.5)–(4.8), and the universal properties of $\mathcal{A}^{1\Lambda}$ and $C^*(1\Lambda)$ that there exists a homomorphism $\pi : \mathcal{A}^{1\Lambda} \rightarrow C^*(1\Lambda)$ satisfying $\pi(s_{w_\lambda^{1\Lambda}, w_\mu^{1\Lambda}}) = s_\lambda s_\mu^*$ for all $\lambda, \mu \in 1\Lambda$, and that there exists a homomorphism $\psi : C^*(1\Lambda) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{1\Lambda}$ satisfying $\psi(s_\lambda) = s_{w_\lambda^{1\Lambda}, w_{s(\lambda)}^{1\Lambda}}$. Since these two homomorphisms are mutually inverse, the result follows. □

Remark 4.7. The argument of statement (2) of Proposition 4.3 shows that if Λ has no sources, then for any $q \geq 1$, the coordinate matrices of $q\Lambda$ will satisfy (H2) only if Λ is strongly connected and has no sources. In particular, there exists $q \in \mathbb{N}^2$ such that $M_i^{q\Lambda}$ satisfy (H0)–(H3) if and only if M_i^{Λ} satisfy (H0)–(H3).

Proof of Theorem 4.1. Theorem 5.9, Proposition 5.11, and Corollary 6.4 of [15], combined with the previous two results, show that $C^*(\Lambda)$ is simple, purely infinite and nuclear. We have that $C^*(\Lambda)$ is unital with $1_{C^*(\Lambda)} = \sum_{v \in \Lambda^0} s_v$. Proposition 2.14 of [16] establishes (4.1)–(4.3). \square

Remarks 4.8. (1) The proof of [16, Proposition 2.14] does not make any use of relations (H2) and (H3). Hence the formulas for $K_*(C^*(\Lambda))$ in Theorem 4.1 hold when Λ is a finite k -graph with no sinks or sources, even if it is not strongly connected and does not have an aperiodic infinite path. However, in this case $C^*(\Lambda)$ is not necessarily simple and purely infinite, and so is not determined up to isomorphism by its K -theory.

(2) The formulas for $K_*(C^*(\Lambda))$ given in Theorem 4.1 are in terms of the coordinate matrices M_i^{Λ} of the dual k -graph. Proposition 5.1 of [6] shows that the same formulas hold if all instances M_i^{Λ} are replaced with M_i^{Λ} , but it is unclear how to show this directly.

REFERENCES

- [1] T. Bates, *Applications of the gauge-invariant uniqueness theorem for graph algebras*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. **65** (2002), 55–67. MR1922607 (2003g:46064)
- [2] T. Bates and D. Pask, *Flow equivalence of graph algebras*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **24** (2004), 367–382. MR2054048 (2004m:37019)
- [3] T. Bates, D. Pask, I. Raeburn, and W. Szymański, *The C^* -algebras of row-finite graphs*, New York J. Math. **6** (2000), 307–324. MR1777234 (2001k:46084)
- [4] J. Cuntz and W. Krieger, *A class of C^* -algebras and topological Markov chains*, Invent. Math. **56** (1980), 251–268. MR0561974 (82f:46073a)
- [5] M. Enomoto and Y. Watatani, *A graph theory for C^* -algebras*, Math. Japon. **25** (1980), 435–442. MR0594544 (83d:46069a)
- [6] D. G. Evans, *On higher-rank graph C^* -algebras*, Ph.D. Thesis, Univ. Wales, 2002.
- [7] N. J. Fowler, M. Laca, and I. Raeburn, *The C^* -algebras of infinite graphs*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **128** (2000), 2319–2327. MR1670363 (2000k:46079)
- [8] A. Kumjian and D. Pask, *Higher rank graph C^* -algebras*, New York J. Math. **6** (2000) 1–20. MR1745529 (2001b:46102)
- [9] A. Kumjian, D. Pask, and I. Raeburn, *Cuntz-Krieger algebras of directed graphs*, Pacific J. Math. **184** (1998), 161–174. MR1626528 (99i:46049)
- [10] A. Kumjian, D. Pask, I. Raeburn, and J. Renault, *Graphs, groupoids and Cuntz-Krieger algebras*, J. Funct. Anal. **144** (1997), 505–541. MR1432596 (98g:46083)
- [11] M.H. Mann, I. Raeburn, and C.E. Sutherland, *Representations of finite groups and Cuntz-Krieger algebras*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. **46** (1992), 225–243. MR1183780 (93k:46046)
- [12] N.C. Phillips, *A classification theorem for nuclear purely infinite simple C^* -algebras*, Documenta Math. **5** (2000), 49–114. MR1745197 (2001d:46086b)
- [13] I. Raeburn and W. Szymański, *Cuntz-Krieger algebras of infinite graphs and matrices*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **356** (2004), 39–59. MR2020023 (2004i:46087)
- [14] I. Raeburn, A. Sims, and T. Yeend, *Higher-rank graphs and their C^* -algebras*, Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc. **46** (2003), 99–115. MR1961175 (2004f:46068)
- [15] G. Robertson and T. Steger, *Affine buildings, tiling systems and higher rank Cuntz-Krieger algebras*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **513** (1999), 115–144. MR1713322 (2000j:46109)

- [16] G. Robertson and T. Steger, *Asymptotic K-theory for groups acting on \tilde{A}_2 buildings*, Can. J. Math. **53** (2001), 809–833. MR1848508 (2002f:46141)
- [17] W. Szymański, *The range of K-invariants for C^* -algebras of infinite graphs*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **51** (2002), 239–249. MR1896162 (2003b:46077)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF NEWCASTLE, NEW SOUTH WALES 2308, AUSTRALIA

E-mail address: `stephen.allen@studentmail.newcastle.edu.au`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF NEWCASTLE, NEW SOUTH WALES 2308, AUSTRALIA

E-mail address: `david.pask@newcastle.edu.au`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF NEWCASTLE, NEW SOUTH WALES 2308, AUSTRALIA

E-mail address: `aidan.sims@newcastle.edu.au`