

University of Wollongong

Research Online

Faculty of Social Sciences - Papers (Archive)

Faculty of Arts, Social Sciences & Humanities

2016

Does retirement mean more physical activity? A longitudinal study

Xiaoqi Feng

University of Wollongong, xfeng@uow.edu.au

Karen Croteau

St. Joseph's College of Maine

Gregory Kolt

Western Sydney University

Thomas E. Astell-Burt

University of Wollongong, thomasab@uow.edu.au

Follow this and additional works at: <https://ro.uow.edu.au/sspapers>



Part of the [Education Commons](#), and the [Social and Behavioral Sciences Commons](#)

Research Online is the open access institutional repository for the University of Wollongong. For further information contact the UOW Library: research-pubs@uow.edu.au

Does retirement mean more physical activity? A longitudinal study

Abstract

Background Evidence on physical activity (PA) and transitions out of full-time employment in middle-to-older age is mainly cross-sectional and focused upon retirement. The purpose was to examine trajectories in PA before and after transitions out of full-time employment. **Methods** Data were obtained for 5,754 people in full-time employment aged 50-75 from the US Health and Retirement Survey. Logistic regression was used to examine trajectories in twice-weekly participation in light, moderate and vigorous PA among those transitioning to part-time work, semi-retirement, full retirement, or economic inactivity due to disability, in comparison to those remaining in full-time employment. **Results** Twice weekly participation in vigorous and light physical activity changed little for those who remained in full-time employment, while moderate physical activity decreased between baseline and follow-up (OR 0.95, 95 % CI 0.91, 0.99). Differences in physical activity according to transitional categories at follow-up were evident. Baseline differences in physical activity across all intensities were greatest among participants transitioning from full-time to part-time employment compared to those who remained in full-time employment throughout the study period (vigorous OR 1.41 95 % CI 1.23, 1.61; moderate OR 1.28 95 % CI 1.12, 1.46; light OR 1.29 95 % CI 1.12, 1.49). Those transitioning to unemployment were already among the least physically active at baseline, irrespective of intensity (albeit, with 95 % CIs spanning unity). Those transitioning to full-time retirement were also among the least active (e.g. vigorous OR 0.71 95 % CI 0.61, 0.81; moderate OR 0.80 95 % CI 0.71, 0.90). Declines in physical activity were reported for those transitioning to economic inactivity due to a disability (vigorous OR 0.29 95 % CI 0.14, 0.64; moderate OR 0.56 95 % CI 0.33, 0.95; light OR 0.34 95 % CI 0.19, 0.63). Physical activity increased regardless of intensity among participants transitioning to semi-retirement ($p > 0.05$) and full retirement (e.g. vigorous OR 1.28 95 % CI 1.09, 1.51; moderate OR 1.24 95 % CI 1.07, 1.43). Light physical activity increased for those transitioning to unemployment (OR 1.40 95 % CI 1.02, 1.93), though less change was evident in moderate or vigorous physical activity. **Conclusions** The amount and intensity of PA varies by the type of transition out of full-time employment among people in middle-to-older age.

Keywords

longitudinal, activity, physical, more, study, mean, does, retirement

Disciplines

Education | Social and Behavioral Sciences

Publication Details

Feng, X., Croteau, K., Kolt, G. S. & Astell-Burt, T. (2016). Does retirement mean more physical activity? A longitudinal study. *BMC Public Health*, 16 (1), 605-1-605-7.

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Open Access



Does retirement mean more physical activity? A longitudinal study

Xiaoqi Feng^{1,2,3*}, Karen Croteau⁴, Gregory S. Kolt⁵ and Thomas Astell-Burt^{1,2,3}

Abstract

Background: Evidence on physical activity (PA) and transitions out of full-time employment in middle-to-older age is mainly cross-sectional and focused upon retirement. The purpose was to examine trajectories in PA before and after transitions out of full-time employment.

Methods: Data were obtained for 5,754 people in full-time employment aged 50–75 from the US Health and Retirement Survey. Logistic regression was used to examine trajectories in twice-weekly participation in light, moderate and vigorous PA among those transitioning to part-time work, semi-retirement, full retirement, or economic inactivity due to disability, in comparison to those remaining in full-time employment.

Results: Twice weekly participation in vigorous and light physical activity changed little for those who remained in full-time employment, while moderate physical activity decreased between baseline and follow-up (OR 0.95, 95 % CI 0.91, 0.99). Differences in physical activity according to transitional categories at follow-up were evident. Baseline differences in physical activity across all intensities were greatest among participants transitioning from full-time to part-time employment compared to those who remained in full-time employment throughout the study period (vigorous OR 1.41 95 % CI 1.23, 1.61; moderate OR 1.28 95 % CI 1.12, 1.46; light OR 1.29 95 % CI 1.12, 1.49). Those transitioning to unemployment were already among the least physically active at baseline, irrespective of intensity (albeit, with 95 % CIs spanning unity). Those transitioning to full-time retirement were also among the least active (e.g. vigorous OR 0.71 95 % CI 0.61, 0.81; moderate OR 0.80 95 % CI 0.71, 0.90). Declines in physical activity were reported for those transitioning to economic inactivity due to a disability (vigorous OR 0.29 95 % CI 0.14, 0.64; moderate OR 0.56 95 % CI 0.33, 0.95; light OR 0.34 95 % CI 0.19, 0.63). Physical activity increased regardless of intensity among participants transitioning to semi-retirement ($p > 0.05$) and full retirement (e.g. vigorous OR 1.28 95 % CI 1.09, 1.51; moderate OR 1.24 95 % CI 1.07, 1.43). Light physical activity increased for those transitioning to unemployment (OR 1.40 95 % CI 1.02, 1.93), though less change was evident in moderate or vigorous physical activity.

Conclusions: The amount and intensity of PA varies by the type of transition out of full-time employment among people in middle-to-older age.

Keywords: Retirement, Physical activity, Aging

Background

Participation in physical activity declines with age [1] concurrent to an increasing risk of preventable health conditions like type 2 diabetes [2, 3]. Yet physical activity is widely recognized as crucial for strengthening and maintaining physical and mental health during aging

[4, 5]. Some transitions out of the labor market, such as retirement and semi-retirement, may free up time that could be used to (re) engage in physical activity. Retirement can be seen, therefore, as a potentially sensitive period in the lifecourse to target interventions for promoting healthy ageing [6, 7].

Evidence on physical activity during retirement from cross-sectional studies is mixed [8–10] and limited by the spectre of reverse causality. Some longitudinal studies have the potential to approximate the *transition* to retirement, so should be regarded as higher quality

* Correspondence: xfeng@uow.edu.au

¹Early Start Research Institute, University of Wollongong, Northfields Ave, Wollongong, NSW 2522, Australia

²School of Health and Society, University of Wollongong, Northfields Ave, Wollongong 2522, NSW, Australia

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



evidence [11–18]. Of the longitudinal studies, some have attempted to isolate the impact of retirement on leisure-time physical activity specifically (e.g. [12, 16]). Others have investigated whether trajectories in physical activity across retirement vary by indicators of socioeconomic circumstances (e.g. [11]). Findings remain equivocal, however, providing no firm answer on how retirement affects participation in physical activity.

Importantly, most studies focus upon the transition from employment to retirement *per se*, but differences in physical activity are likely between full retirees and those who retain a level of part-time employment, semi-retired, or become economically inactive due to disability. These differences may not only manifest in terms of frequency of participation, but also in terms of how intense the physical activity is. Prior research has shown that higher intensity physical activity (e.g. jogging) accrues more health benefits than less vigorous forms of recreation (e.g. gentle swimming). It is unknown to what extent transitions out of the labor market influence participation in different intensities of physical activity, but this knowledge is of public health interest.

Accordingly, the purpose of this longitudinal study was to examine participation in different intensities of physical activity among people transitioning out of full-time employment to different forms of retirement, while also accounting for transitions to unemployment, part-time work, or disability status.

Method

Data

Data on physical activity among people transitioning out of full-time employment aged 50 to 70 years were extracted from the US Health and Retirement Survey (HRS) [19]. The HRS is a representative source of longitudinal data collected bi-annually, with initial surveys conducted in each member’s home and follow-up interviews mainly by telephone. A multi-stage area probability sample design was implemented with four distinct selection stages. The first stage of sampling involved

probability proportionate to size selection of US Metropolitan Statistical Areas (MSAs) and non-MSA counties. Second, area segments within each of the sampled MSA and non-MSA counties were selected. Third, a systematic selection of housing units were obtained from a complete listing of all housing units physically located within each area segment. Finally, housing units were selected. Oversamples were obtained of Blacks, Hispanics and residents of the state of Florida. Further details on sampling are available online [19]. Participation rates in follow-up surveys were very high (between 92 and 95 %). The HRS was approved by the University of Michigan’s Health Sciences Human Subjects Committee, sponsored by the National Institute on Aging (grant number NIA U01AG009740) and is conducted by the University of Michigan. Our study made use of the de-identified publically available data file prepared by the RAND Center for the Study of Aging.

Sample

Transition out of full-time employment and potential change in physical activity was assessed using a pre-post design with survey responses from participants in full-time employment in 2004, 2006 and 2008 hereafter referred to as ‘baseline’ (Fig. 1). The sample was restricted to participants aged 50 to 70, spanning the national retirement age of 65y. Participants could contribute to multiple waves of data collection. This resulted in an overall sample of 5,754 people and 24,224 person-years (the total number of observations in the sample at baseline and follow-up).

Physical activity

Baseline members appearing in the consecutive survey (i.e. 2004 to 2006, 2006 to 2008, 2008 to 2010) were asked identical questions on leisure-time and work-related ‘vigorous’, ‘moderate’, and ‘light’ physical activity. Participants were able to respond: everyday, more than once per week, once per week, one to three times per




Fig. 1 Sampling strategy

month, or never. The wording of each question was as follows:

- “How often do you take part in sports or activities that are vigorous, such as running or jogging, swimming, cycling, aerobics or gym workout, tennis, or digging with a spade or shovel?” (classified by the authors as vigorous physical activity).
- “And how often do you take part in sports or activities that are moderately energetic such as, gardening, cleaning the car, walking at a moderate pace, dancing, floor or stretching exercises?” (classified by the authors as moderate physical activity).
- “And how often do you take part in sports or activities that are mildly energetic, such as vacuuming, laundry, home repairs?” (classified by the authors as light physical activity).

Binary variables for each measure were created, with the cut-point set at twice per week versus fewer occasions. This cut-point was a pragmatic choice standardized across vigorous, moderate and light intensities of physical activity, since responses more closely aligning with published physical activity guidelines [20] were not attainable.

Transitions out of full-time employment

Participants self-reported evidence of working, being retired, and disability. HRS considers working full-time as 35+ hours per week, at 36+ weeks per year. Less than 35 h per week is classified as part-time. HRS classifies people as semi-retired if they reported being part-time workers along with a mention of retirement. For people who were looking for work and did not mention retirement, they were classified as unemployed regardless of their age. Those mentioning retirement, who were not employed and not looking for work were classified as fully retired. All non-working people reporting a disability with no mention of retirement were classified as disabled. People who did not report any of the above were classified as ‘not in the labor force’. A categorical variable was created describing transitions out of full-time employment at follow-up for every participant, including those who remained in full-time employment.

Adjustment for confounding

Participation in physical activity is well known to decline with age, with effect measure modification of this association by gender and potentially also by cohort [21]. Time and status in the labor market, the risk of disability and unemployment are similarly related to age, gender and cohort [22, 23]. As such, participants’ age, gender and

the baseline year of survey were included in the models to address potential sources of confounding.

Statistical analysis

The odds of participating in light, moderate or vigorous physical activity at least twice a week in relation to transitions out of full-time employment were estimated using logistic regression. Transitions out of full-time employment were fitted as categories, with people who remained in full-time employment as the reference group. Time was addressed using a binary variable and an interaction was fitted with transition to allow physical activity to vary accordingly. Controls for age, gender and cohort were introduced sequentially. Robust standard errors were used to adjust for repeated measures of the same participants over time [24]. Parameter estimates for logistic regression were exponentiated to Odds Ratios (OR) and 95 % Confidence Intervals (95 % CI). Analyses were conducted in 2013.

Results

Descriptive results

Table 1 reports each transition group pooled across all cohorts (2004, 2006 and 2008) and time periods (baseline and follow-up). From a total of 23,842 person years, just over two-thirds remained in full-time employment. Approximately 11.8 % transitioned into part-time work, 10.2 % retired fully, and 7.1 % semi-retired, 2.2 % became unemployed and 0.7 % were classified as disabled. The mean age for those retiring (semi and fully) was just over 62 years old, in contrast to the mean age of all other transitional groups being under 60 years. The gender distribution among the groups remaining in full-time employment and becoming unemployed were almost equal. Conversely, there was a 4:1 ratio of women to men transitioning to part-time work. Women were also over-represented, though to a lesser degree, among those groups transitioning to semi-retirement, full retirement, and disability status. Participation in physical activity everyday was rare across each transitional group, regardless of intensity. The majority of participants reported being physically active at least twice per week, with some variation between groups and intensity. Non-participation in all intensities of physical activity, but vigorous physical activity in particular, was especially high among people moving out of full-time employment into disability status.

Transition out of full-time employment

Table 2 reports findings from the logistic regressions. Twice weekly participation in vigorous and light physical activity changed little for those who remained in full-time employment, while moderate physical activity decreased between baseline and follow-up (OR 0.95, 95 %

Table 1 Descriptive statistics, by transitions out of full-time employment

| | Work full-time (no change) | Work part-time | Unemployed | Semi-retired | Fully retired | Disabled |
|-----------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------|------------|--------------|---------------|----------|
| N person-years (%) | 16,070 | 2,868 | 538 | 1,718 | 2,478 | 170 |
| | 66.3 | 11.8 | 2.2 | 7.1 | 10.2 | 0.7 |
| Vigorous physical activity (N, %) | | | | | | |
| Never | 7,743 | 1,310 | 276 | 839 | 1,386 | 122 |
| | 48.2 | 45.7 | 51.3 | 48.8 | 55.9 | 71.8 |
| 1–3 times per month | 1,756 | 272 | 50 | 181 | 211 | 6 |
| | 10.9 | 9.5 | 9.3 | 10.5 | 8.5 | 3.5 |
| Once per week | 1,856 | 349 | 63 | 163 | 263 | 11 |
| | 11.6 | 12.2 | 11.7 | 9.5 | 10.6 | 6.5 |
| At least twice per week | 4,215 | 855 | 133 | 490 | 556 | 29 |
| | 26.2 | 29.8 | 24.7 | 28.5 | 22.4 | 17.1 |
| Everyday | 500 | 82 | 16 | 45 | 62 | 2 |
| | 3.1 | 2.9 | 3.0 | 2.6 | 2.5 | 1.2 |
| Moderate physical activity (N, %) | | | | | | |
| Never | 1,750 | 293 | 75 | 200 | 428 | 52 |
| | 10.9 | 10.2 | 13.9 | 11.6 | 17.3 | 30.6 |
| 1–3 times per month | 1,727 | 283 | 68 | 181 | 279 | 13 |
| | 10.8 | 9.9 | 12.6 | 10.5 | 11.3 | 7.7 |
| Once per week | 2,972 | 454 | 106 | 307 | 412 | 25 |
| | 18.5 | 15.8 | 19.7 | 17.9 | 16.6 | 14.7 |
| At least twice per week | 8,185 | 1,579 | 245 | 873 | 1,150 | 68 |
| | 50.9 | 55.1 | 45.5 | 50.8 | 46.4 | 40.0 |
| Everyday | 1,436 | 259 | 44 | 157 | 209 | 12 |
| | 8.9 | 9.0 | 8.2 | 9.1 | 8.4 | 7.1 |
| Light physical activity (N, %) | | | | | | |
| Never | 685 | 87 | 26 | 80 | 167 | 31 |
| | 4.3 | 3.0 | 4.8 | 4.7 | 6.7 | 18.2 |
| 1–3 times per month | 981 | 104 | 39 | 106 | 164 | 10 |
| | 6.1 | 3.6 | 7.3 | 6.2 | 6.6 | 5.9 |
| Once per week | 3,597 | 495 | 113 | 385 | 534 | 34 |
| | 22.4 | 17.3 | 21.0 | 22.4 | 21.6 | 20.0 |
| At least twice per week | 9,056 | 1,805 | 291 | 937 | 1,359 | 80 |
| | 56.4 | 62.9 | 54.1 | 54.5 | 54.8 | 47.1 |
| Everyday | 1,751 | 377 | 69 | 210 | 254 | 15 |
| | 10.9 | 13.2 | 12.8 | 12.2 | 10.3 | 8.8 |
| Age (Mean years, SD) | 58.3 | 4.7 | 58.8 | 5.1 | 57.5 | 4.2 |
| | 4.7 | 58.8 | 5.1 | 57.5 | 4.2 | 62.2 |
| | 4.7 | 62.1 | 4.7 | 62.1 | 4.4 | 57.5 |
| | 4.4 | 57.5 | 4.4 | 57.5 | 4.4 | 4.4 |
| Gender (N, %) | | | | | | |
| Male | 8206 | 664 | 274 | 754 | 1130 | 68 |
| | 51.1 | 23.2 | 50.9 | 43.9 | 45.6 | 40.0 |
| Female | 7864 | 2,204 | 264 | 964 | 1348 | 102 |
| | 48.9 | 76.9 | 49.1 | 56.1 | 54.4 | 60.0 |

CI 0.91, 0.99). Differences in physical activity according to transitional categories at follow-up were evident. Baseline differences in physical activity across all intensities were greatest among participants transitioning from full-time to part-time employment compared to those who remained in full-time employment throughout the study period (vigorous OR 1.41 95 % CI 1.23, 1.61; moderate OR 1.28 95 % CI 1.12, 1.46; light OR 1.29 95 % CI 1.12, 1.49).

Unemployment, economic inactivity due to disability and (semi) retirement

Table 2 also shows those transitioning to unemployment were already among the least physically active at baseline irrespective of intensity (albeit, with 95 % CIs spanning unity). Those transitioning to full-time retirement were also among the least active (e.g. vigorous OR 0.71 95 % CI 0.61, 0.81; moderate OR 0.80 95 % CI 0.71, 0.90). Declines in physical activity were reported for those transitioning to economic inactivity due to a disability (vigorous OR 0.29 95 % CI 0.14, 0.64; moderate OR 0.56 95 % CI 0.33, 0.95; light OR 0.34 95 % CI 0.19, 0.63). Physical activity increased regardless of intensity among

participants transitioning to semi-retirement ($p > 0.05$) and full retirement (e.g. vigorous OR 1.28 95 % CI 1.09, 1.51; moderate OR 1.24 95 % CI 1.07, 1.43). Light physical activity increased for those transitioning to unemployment (OR 1.40 95 % CI 1.02, 1.93), though less change was evident in moderate or vigorous physical activity.

Trajectories in physical activity

Figure 2 depicts the aforementioned results visually to aid interpretation. The most visually striking finding is the decline in physical activity, regardless of intensity, among people transitioning out of full-time employment to disability. The rise in light physical activity among those becoming unemployed is evident, as is also the higher levels of physical activity at baseline among those moving from full- to part-time employment. Some increase in physical activity was also observable among participants transitioning into semi and full retirement.

Discussion

Insufficient physical activity is suggested to cause 6 % of coronary heart disease, 7 % of type 2 diabetes, 10 % of breast cancer, 10 % of colon cancer, and 9 % of

Table 2 Association between the propensity for vigorous, moderate and light physical activity at least twice a week, and transitions out of full-time employment (people who remained in full-time employment are the reference group): partially and fully adjusted logistic regression with robust standard errors

| | Vigorous physical activity | | | | Moderate physical activity | | | | Light physical activity | | | |
|----------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------|---------|-----------------|----------------------------|-----------------|---------|-----------------|-------------------------|-----------------|---------|-----------------|
| | Model 1 | | Model 2 | | Model 1 | | Model 2 | | Model 1 | | Model 2 | |
| | OR | 95 % CI | OR | 95 % CI | OR | 95 % CI | OR | 95 % CI | OR | 95 % CI | OR | 95 % CI |
| Time (ref: baseline) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Follow-up | 0.94 | (0.90, 0.98)*** | 0.99 | (0.94, 1.03) | 0.91 | (0.88, 0.95)*** | 0.95 | (0.91, 0.99)** | 0.91 | (0.88, 0.95)*** | 0.98 | (0.94, 1.03) |
| Transition (ref: Work Full-Time) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Work Part-Time | 1.20 | (1.05, 1.37)** | 1.41 | (1.23, 1.61)*** | 1.21 | (1.06, 1.38)** | 1.28 | (1.12, 1.46)*** | 1.51 | (1.31, 1.74)*** | 1.29 | (1.12, 1.49)*** |
| Unemployment | 0.89 | (0.68, 1.17) | 0.86 | (0.65, 1.14) | 0.79 | (0.61, 1.01) | 0.77 | (0.60, 0.99)* | 0.85 | (0.66, 1.09) | 0.81 | (0.63, 1.05) |
| Semi-Retired | 1.01 | (0.86, 1.18) | 1.15 | (0.98, 1.35) | 0.96 | (0.83, 1.11) | 1.05 | (0.90, 1.21) | 0.94 | (0.81, 1.10) | 1.05 | (0.90, 1.22) |
| Fully Retired | 0.71 | (0.61, 0.81)*** | 0.79 | (0.69, 0.92)** | 0.74 | (0.65, 0.83)*** | 0.80 | (0.71, 0.90)*** | 0.87 | (0.77, 0.99)* | 0.96 | (0.85, 1.10) |
| Disabled | 0.86 | (0.53, 1.40) | 0.90 | (0.55, 1.46) | 0.79 | (0.51, 1.21) | 0.79 | (0.51, 1.21) | 1.05 | (0.66, 1.67) | 0.95 | (0.59, 1.53) |
| Transition x Time | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Time x Work Part-Time | 0.95 | (0.85, 1.07) | 0.95 | (0.85, 1.07) | 0.99 | (0.88, 1.11) | 0.99 | (0.88, 1.11) | 1.06 | (0.92, 1.21) | 1.06 | (0.92, 1.22) |
| Time x Unemployment | 1.09 | (0.80, 1.48) | 1.09 | (0.80, 1.48) | 1.02 | (0.77, 1.34) | 1.02 | (0.77, 1.34) | 1.39 | (1.02, 1.89)* | 1.40 | (1.02, 1.93)* |
| Time x Semi-Retired | 1.17 | (0.99, 1.38) | 1.17 | (0.99, 1.39) | 1.11 | (0.94, 1.30) | 1.11 | (0.94, 1.30) | 1.08 | (0.90, 1.29) | 1.08 | (0.90, 1.30) |
| Time x Fully-Retired | 1.28 | (1.09, 1.50)** | 1.28 | (1.09, 1.51)** | 1.24 | (1.07, 1.43)** | 1.24 | (1.07, 1.43)** | 1.10 | (0.95, 1.28) | 1.11 | (0.95, 1.29) |
| Time x Disabled | 0.30 | (0.14, 0.64)** | 0.29 | (0.14, 0.64)** | 0.56 | (0.33, 0.95)* | 0.56 | (0.33, 0.95)* | 0.35 | (0.20, 0.64)*** | 0.34 | (0.19, 0.63)*** |
| Cohort (ref: 2004) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2006 | 0.97 | (0.92, 1.01) | 0.99 | (0.94, 1.04) | 0.99 | (0.95, 1.04) | 1.01 | (0.96, 1.05) | 1.01 | (0.96, 1.06) | 1.03 | (0.98, 1.09) |
| 2008 | 0.97 | (0.91, 1.04) | 1.02 | (0.95, 1.09) | 0.90 | (0.85, 0.96)*** | 0.93 | (0.87, 0.99)* | 0.95 | (0.89, 1.01) | 0.98 | (0.91, 1.05) |
| Gender (ref: Male) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Female | - | | 0.60 | (0.54, 0.66)*** | - | | 0.84 | (0.77, 0.91)*** | - | | 1.92 | (1.76, 2.09)*** |
| Age | - | | 0.98 | (0.97, 0.99)*** | - | | 0.98 | (0.97, 0.99)*** | - | | 0.96 | (0.95, 0.97)*** |
| N (Observations) | 24224 | | 24224 | | 24224 | | 24224 | | 24224 | | 24224 | |
| N (Clusters) | 5754 | | 5754 | | 5754 | | 5754 | | 5754 | | 5754 | |


*** $p < 0.001$; ** $p < 0.01$; * $p < 0.05$

premature mortality [25]. Although finding opportunities to promote the initiation and maintenance of physically active lifestyles is needed across the lifecourse, this study supports previous evidence that indicates the process of retirement as one such time period [8–18].

Our findings enhance prior knowledge by revealing that participation in physical activity varies by the type of transition out of full-time employment among people approaching retirement. To indicate that there is merely one pathway to retirement does not acknowledge the complexity involved. The clear differences in physical activity among people transitioning from full-time employment to semi-retirees, full retirees, part-time workers and the unemployed among people in middle-to-older age is a potentially important finding that warrants attempts at replication.

Inevitably, the findings raise questions and hypotheses requiring analyses that are beyond the remit of the paper and, in some cases, also the data available. For example,

is the rise in physical activity regardless of intensity among people moving into semi-retirement due to less time spent in employment? Why are people who move into part-time work already more physically active than their counterparts who remained in full-time work? Is the rise in light physical activity among people who become unemployed sustained among those who re-enter some level of employment? What factors buffer the potential impact of disability on the substantial decreases in physical activity? What types of activities do people become more or less engaged in and are there differences between transitional groups? To what extent do changes in physical activity coinciding with the transition out of full-time employment reflect personal choices versus any number of possible competing demands upon time, including informal caring and volunteering? This is not an exhaustive list and it is clear that much remains unknown. Yet, the need to promote physical activity in ageing populations remains a pressing concern and these hypotheses



warrant investigation in order to target future interventions accordingly.

Strengths and limitations

A merit of this study is the longitudinal design with which changes in physical activity can be observed in association with transitions out of full-time employment. Cross-sectional studies, by comparison, are unable to address this putative change in exposure without incurring bias. Variation in results by intensity of physical activity indicates the ability to differentiate between light, moderate and vigorous forms to be a further strength.

Data prior to 2004 could not be included as wording of physical activity questions varied from those from 2004 onwards, restricting the sample size. Furthermore, self-reporting of physical activity may be prone to error related to unmeasured factors that also determine transitions out of full-time employment. Objective measurement of physical activity was not possible in this case, but future work in this regard would help to further enhance a growing scientific literature on retirement and physical activity.

Overlap between transitional categories during the period between baseline and follow-up is somewhat inevitable and that additional complexity could influence the results in unpredictable ways. Many people, for example, may self-classify as disabled and retired, but in these analyses they would be in the retired category, with the disabled category reserved for those who were not retired. Disentangling trajectories in physical activity across more granular transitional categories may be possible in future research.

Conclusion

Transitions out of full-time employment are heterogeneous. Trajectories in physical activity that coincide with these transitions are similarly variable. Further investigation is needed to replicate these findings and to determine potential reasons why, in order to identify potential points for intervention.

Abbreviations

95 % CI, 95 % confidence intervals; HRS, health and retirement survey; MSA, Metropolitan Statistical Areas; NIA, National Institute on Aging; OR, odds ratios; PA, physical activity

Acknowledgements

We are grateful for the use of the US Health and Retirement Study public use dataset, produced and distributed by the University of Michigan with funding from the National Institute on Aging (grant number NIA U01AG009740), Ann Arbor, MI.

Funding

No funding was sought or received for this study.

Availability of data and materials

Enquiries with regards to obtaining a copy of the Health and Retirement Survey should go to the University of Michigan, the data custodian.

Authors' contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: XF, KC, GSK, TAB. Performed the experiments: XF, TAB. Analyzed the data: XF, TAB. Wrote the paper: XF, KC, GSK, TAB. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

The HRS was approved by the University of Michigan's Health Sciences Human Subjects Committee, sponsored by the National Institute on Aging (grant number NIA U01AG009740) and is conducted by the University of Michigan. Our study made use of the de-identified publically available data file prepared by the RAND Center for the Study of Aging.

Author details

¹Early Start Research Institute, University of Wollongong, Northfields Ave, Wollongong, NSW 2522, Australia. ²School of Health and Society, University of Wollongong, Northfields Ave, Wollongong 2522, NSW, Australia. ³Illawarra Health and Medical Research Institute, Northfields Ave, Wollongong 2522, NSW, Australia. ⁴St Joseph's College of Maine, Standish 04084, Maine, USA. ⁵School of Science and Health, Western Sydney University, Locked Bag 1797, Penrith NSW, 2751, Australia.

Received: 5 September 2015 Accepted: 29 June 2016

Published online: 20 July 2016

References

- Shaw BA, Liang J, Krause N, Gallant M, McGeever K. Age differences and social stratification in the long-term trajectories of leisure-time physical activity. *J Gerontol Soc Sci*. 2010;65B(6):756–66.
- Tuomilehto J, Lindström J, Eriksson JG, et al. Prevention of type 2 diabetes mellitus by changes in lifestyle among subjects with impaired glucose tolerance. *N Engl J Med*. 2001;344(18):1343–50.
- Gill T, King L, Bauman A, et al. A "state of the knowledge" assessment of comprehensive interventions that address the drivers of obesity. Sydney: National Health and Medical Research Council; 2011.
- Koene MA, Verheijden MW, Chinapaw MJM, Hopman-Rock M. Determinants of physical activity and exercise in healthy older adults: A systematic review. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act*. 2011;8(1):1–15.
- Jeon CY, Lokken RP, Hu FB, Van Dam RM. Physical Activity of Moderate Intensity and Risk of Type 2 Diabetes A systematic review. *Diabetes Care*. 2007;30(3):744–52.
- Kuh D, Ben-Schlomo Y. A life course approach to chronic disease epidemiology. New York: Oxford University Press; 2004.
- Zantinge EM, van den Berg M, Smit HA, Picavet HSJ. Retirement and a healthy lifestyle: opportunity or pitfall? A narrative review of the literature. *Eur J Public Health*. 2014;24(3):433–9.
- Mein GK, Shipley MJ, Hillsdon M, Ellison GT, Marmot MG. Work, retirement and physical activity: cross-sectional analyses from the Whitehall II study. *Eur J Public Health*. 2005;15(3):317–22.
- Caspersen CJ, Merritt RK. Physical activity trends among 26 states, 1986–1990. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*. 1995;27(5):713–20.
- Strath SJ, Swartz AM, Cashin SE. Ambulatory physical activity profiles of older adults. *J Aging Phys Act*. 2009;17(1):46.
- Chung S, Domino ME, Stearns SC, Popkin BM. Retirement and physical activity: analyses by occupation and wealth. *Am J Prev Med*. 2009;36(5):422–8.
- Evenson KR, Rosamond WD, Cai J, Diez-Roux AV. Influence of Retirement on Leisure-time Physical Activity The Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities Study. *Am J Epidemiol*. 2002;155(8):692–9.
- Slingerland AS, van Lenthe FJ, Jukema JW, et al. Aging, retirement, and changes in physical activity: prospective cohort findings from the GLOBE study. *Am J Epidemiol*. 2007;165(12):1356–63.
- Henkens K, van Solinge H, Gallo WT. Effects of retirement voluntariness on changes in smoking, drinking and physical activity among Dutch older workers. *Eur J Public Health*. 2008;18(6):644–9.
- Brown WJ, Heesch KC, Miller YD. Life events and changing physical activity patterns in women at different life stages. *Ann Behav Med*. 2009;37(3):294–305.
- Barnett I, Van Sluijs E, Ogilvie D, Wareham NJ. Changes in household, transport and recreational physical activity and television viewing time across the transition to retirement: longitudinal evidence from the EPIC-Norfolk cohort. *J Epidemiol Community Health*. 2013;68(8):747–53.
- Koene MA, Chinapaw MJ, Verheijden MW, et al. Do major life events influence physical activity among older adults: the Longitudinal Aging Study Amsterdam. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act*. 2012;9(1):1–8.
- Berger U, Der G, Mutrie N, Hannah MK. The impact of retirement on physical activity. *Ageing Soc*. 2005;25(02):181–95.
- Juster FT, Suzman R. An overview of the Health and Retirement Study. *J Hum. Resour*. 1995;30:57–556.
- World Health Organization. Global recommendations on physical activity for health. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2010.
- Bauman AE, Reis RS, Sallis JF, Wells JC, Loos RJF, Martin BW. Correlates of physical activity: why are some people physically active and others not? *Lancet*. 2012;380(9838):258–71.
- Catalano R, Goldman-Mellor S, Saxton S, et al. The health effects of economic decline. *Annu Rev Public Health*. 2011;32:431–50.
- Bartley M, Sacker A, Clarke P. Employment status, employment conditions, and limiting illness: prospective evidence from the British Household Panel Survey 1991–2001. *J Epidemiol Community Health*. 2004;58(6):501–6.
- Williams R. A note on robust variance estimation for cluster-correlated data. *Biometrics*. 2000;56(2):645–6.
- Lee I-M, Shiroma EJ, Lobelo F, Puska P, Blair SN, Katzmarzyk PT. Effect of physical inactivity on major non-communicable diseases worldwide: an analysis of burden of disease and life expectancy. *Lancet*. 2012;380(9838):219–29.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and we will help you at every step:

- We accept pre-submission inquiries
- Our selector tool helps you to find the most relevant journal
- We provide round the clock customer support
- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- Inclusion in PubMed and all major indexing services
- Maximum visibility for your research

Submit your manuscript at
www.biomedcentral.com/submit

