University of Wollongong

Research Online

Australian Institute for Innovative Materials -
Papers

Australian Institute for Innovative Materials

1-1-2017

Iron and nickel doped CoSe₂ as efficient non precious metal catalysts for oxygen reduction

Beibei Yu
Hubei University

Jiayi Jin
Hubei University

Huimin Wu
Hubei University, hw267@uow.edu.au

Shengfu Wang
Hubei University

Qinghua Xia
Hubei University

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: <https://ro.uow.edu.au/aiimpapers>

Part of the [Engineering Commons](#), and the [Physical Sciences and Mathematics Commons](#)

Research Online is the open access institutional repository for the University of Wollongong. For further information contact the UOW Library: research-pubs@uow.edu.au

Iron and nickel doped CoSe₂ as efficient non precious metal catalysts for oxygen reduction

Abstract

Iron and nickel doped CoSe₂ were prepared by solvothermal method, and they were proved to be ternary chalcogenides by series of physical characterization. The effects of the iron and nickel contents on the oxygen reduction reaction were investigated by electrochemical measurements, and the highest activities were obtained on Co_{0.7}Fe_{0.3}Se₂ and Co_{0.7}Ni_{0.3}Se₂, respectively. Both Co_{0.7}Fe_{0.3}Se₂ and Co_{0.7}Ni_{0.3}Se₂ presented four-electron pathway. Furthermore, Co_{0.7}Fe_{0.3}Se₂ exhibited more positive cathodic peak potential (0.564 V) and onset potential (0.759 V) than these of Co_{0.7}Ni_{0.3}Se₂ (0.558 V and 0.741 V). And Co_{0.7}Fe_{0.3}Se₂ displayed even superior stability and better tolerance to methanol, ethanol and ethylene glycol crossover effects than the commercial Pt/C (20 wt% Pt).

Keywords

precious, metal, catalysts, iron, oxygen, nickel, reduction, doped, cose₂, efficient, non

Disciplines

Engineering | Physical Sciences and Mathematics

Publication Details

Yu, B., Jin, J., Wu, H., Wang, S., Xia, Q. & Liu, H. (2017). Iron and nickel doped CoSe₂ as efficient non precious metal catalysts for oxygen reduction. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, 42 236-242.

Authors

Beibei Yu, Jiayi Jin, Huimin Wu, Shengfu Wang, Qinghua Xia, and Hua-Kun Liu

Iron and nickel doped CoSe₂ as efficient non precious metal catalysts for oxygen reduction

Beibei Yu¹, Jiayi Jin¹, Huimin Wu^{*,1}, Shengfu Wang¹, Qinghua Xia¹, Huakun Liu^{**,2}

¹Hubei Collaborative Innovation Center for Advanced Organic Chemical Materials & Key Laboratory for the Synthesis and Application of Organic Functional Molecules, Ministry of Education & College of Chemistry & Chemical Engineering, Hubei University, Wuhan 430062, PR China

²Institute for Superconducting & Electronic Materials, School of Mechanical, Materials and Mechatronics Engineering, University of Wollongong, Wollongong, NSW 2522, Australia

Abstract: Iron and nickel doped CoSe₂ were prepared by solvothermal method, and they were proved to be ternary chalcogenides by series of physical characterization. The effects of the iron and nickel contents on the oxygen reduction reaction were investigated by electrochemical measurements, and the highest activities were obtained on Co_{0.7}Fe_{0.3}Se₂ and Co_{0.7}Ni_{0.3}Se₂, respectively. Both Co_{0.7}Fe_{0.3}Se₂ and Co_{0.7}Ni_{0.3}Se₂ presented four-electron pathway. Furthermore, Co_{0.7}Fe_{0.3}Se₂ exhibited more positive cathodic peak potential (0.564 V) and onset potential (0.759 V) than these of Co_{0.7}Ni_{0.3}Se₂ (0.558V and 0.741V). And Co_{0.7}Fe_{0.3}Se₂ displayed even superior stability and better tolerance to methanol, ethanol and ethylene glycol crossover effects than the commercial Pt/C (20 wt% Pt).

Keywords: Non-noble metal catalyst; Chalcogenide; Electrocatalysis; Oxygen reduction reaction

* Corresponding author. Tel.: 86 18971479006; Fax: 86 27 88663043.

E-mail address: whm267@hubu.edu.cn

** Corresponding author. E-mail address: hua_liu@uow.edu.au

1. Introduction

Nowadays, non-precious metal-based electrocatalysts have exhibited promising activities towards oxygen reduction reaction (ORR) [1-4]. Among them, cobalt based selenides (CoSe_x) are attracting enormous interest as new ORR electrocatalysts. For example, CoSe_2/C obtained by Feng et al. [5, 6] showed superior electrocatalytic activity towards ORR with an open circuit potential of 0.81 V in 0.5 M H_2SO_4 , and higher methanol tolerance than that of Pt/C. Susac et al. [7] exhibited that cobalt-selenium (Co-Se) with varying content of Se obtained by magnetron sputtering and chemical methods indicated a electrocatalytic activity towards ORR in an acidic electrolyte.

However, the ORR activities of these materials are still far from proton exchange membrane fuel cells' practical applications [8-11]. To further improve electrocatalytic activity, doping of transition metal is crucial to the Co-based chalcogenides. Zhao et al. [12] exhibited the tungsten doped Co-Se electrocatalysts synthesized by decarbonylation of carbonyl compounds in 1,6-hexanediol solvent, and indicated high ORR electrocatalytic activity in 0.5 M H_2SO_4 . Nanosized particles of $\text{Ru}_x\text{Fe}_y\text{Se}_z$ were prepared by Solorza-Feria [13] showed higher electrocatalytic activity towards ORR than that of the Ru_xSe_y .

In order to enhance ORR electrocatalytic activity, two series of ternary non-noble metal chalcogenides were synthesized in this work. The electrocatalytic activity towards ORR and stability of the chalcogenides were investigated in 0.5 M H_2SO_4 . Furthermore, the relationships between the content of doping transition metals and electrocatalytic activity were investigated.

2. Experimental

2.1. Electrocatalyst synthesis

All chemicals were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich.

$\text{Co}_x\text{Fe}_{1-x}\text{Se}_2$ ($x = 0.9, 0.8, 0.7, 0.6, 0.5$) were obtained via a solvothermal method. Briefly, 0.291 g cobalt nitride hexahydrate ($\text{Co}(\text{NO}_3)_2 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$) and different iron (II) sulfate heptahydrate ($\text{FeSO}_4 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$) (Table S1) were dissolved in 30 mL diethylenetriamine (DETA) and deionized water (DIW) ($V_{\text{DETA}}/V_{\text{DIW}} = 2:1$). Then, 0.591 g sodium selenite (Na_2SeO_3) and 8.5 mL hydrazine hydrate ($\text{N}_2\text{H}_4 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$) were added into the solution, and stirred for 30 min. Finally, the mixture was transferred into an autoclave and treated at 140°C for 24 h.

$\text{Co}_x\text{Ni}_{1-x}\text{Se}_2$ ($x = 0.9, 0.8, 0.7, 0.6, 0.5$) were prepared by the similar method, only using nickel nitride hexahydrate ($\text{Ni}(\text{NO}_3)_2 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$) (Table S2) instead of $\text{FeSO}_4 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$. CoSe_2 was prepared with no addition of $\text{FeSO}_4 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$ [14].

2.2. Characterization

X-ray diffraction (XRD) experiments were carried out on a GBC MMA X-ray diffractometer with Cu K α radiation. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) was performed by an ESCALAB 250Xi device. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) with energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX) attachment (JSM6510LV) was used to observe the morphological and chemical composition analyses. The specific surface area was measured by a Quantachrome iQ-MP nitrogen adsorption apparatus.

The ORR activities of all catalysts were obtained with a CHI 750E electrochemical workstation (CH Instrument Company, Shanghai, China). Pt wire and saturated calomel electrode as the counter and reference electrodes, respectively. Rotating disk electrode (RDE, 5 mm in diameter) loaded with various catalysts was used as the working electrodes. 5 mg catalyst was ultrasonically dispersed in 0.5 mL Nafion solution. Then, 10 μL (0.1 mg catalyst) suspension was transferred on the RDE. All

the potentials of this paper were reported with respect to reversible hydrogen electrode (RHE).

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Material characteristics

Fig. 1 (A) showed the XRD patterns of (a) $\text{Co}_{0.9}\text{Fe}_{0.1}\text{Se}_2$, (b) $\text{Co}_{0.8}\text{Fe}_{0.2}\text{Se}_2$, (c) $\text{Co}_{0.7}\text{Fe}_{0.3}\text{Se}_2$, (d) $\text{Co}_{0.6}\text{Fe}_{0.4}\text{Se}_2$ and (e) $\text{Co}_{0.5}\text{Fe}_{0.5}\text{Se}_2$, respectively. While Fig. 1 (B) showed the XRD patterns of (a) $\text{Co}_{0.9}\text{Ni}_{0.1}\text{Se}_2$, (b) $\text{Co}_{0.8}\text{Ni}_{0.2}\text{Se}_2$, (c) $\text{Co}_{0.7}\text{Ni}_{0.3}\text{Se}_2$, (d) $\text{Co}_{0.6}\text{Ni}_{0.4}\text{Se}_2$ and (e) $\text{Co}_{0.5}\text{Ni}_{0.5}\text{Se}_2$, respectively. The observed diffraction peaks could be indexed to CoSe_2 (200), (210), (220), (311), (123), (400), (331) and (024) (JCPDS No. 09-234). No additional peaks of other phases had been observed, confirming the substitution of Fe or Ni in CoSe_2 lattice [15]. The intensity of the peaks reduced with the doping concentration increasing, which indicated the loss of crystallinity due to lattice distortion and smaller crystallite size [15]. However, the ternary Co-Fe-Se or Co-Ni-Se phase had not been investigated. These could due to the phases present in a very small nanocrystal, or overlap of diffraction peaks from CoSe_2 phase and Co-Fe-Se or Co-Ni-Se phase [16, 17]. Among these materials, $\text{Co}_{0.7}\text{Fe}_{0.3}\text{Se}_2$ and $\text{Co}_{0.7}\text{Ni}_{0.3}\text{Se}_2$ showed better catalytic activity towards ORR than others according to Fig. S2.

Fig. 1 (A) XRD patterns of (a) Co_{0.9}Fe_{0.1}Se₂, (b) Co_{0.8}Fe_{0.2}Se₂, (c) Co_{0.7}Fe_{0.3}Se₂, (d) Co_{0.6}Fe_{0.4}Se₂ and (e) Co_{0.5}Fe_{0.5}Se₂, (B) XRD patterns of (a) Co_{0.9}Ni_{0.1}Se₂, (b) Co_{0.8}Ni_{0.2}Se₂, (c) Co_{0.7}Ni_{0.3}Se₂, (d) Co_{0.6}Ni_{0.4}Se₂ and (e) Co_{0.5}Ni_{0.5}Se₂. XPS spectra of Co_{0.7}Fe_{0.3}Se₂ in the (C) Co 2p, (D) Fe 2p, and (E) Se 3d regions.

The XPS of Co_{0.7}Fe_{0.3}Se₂ showed that it mainly consisted of Co, Fe, and Se elements. Apparently, the binding energy of each element revealed a shift compared with their pure elements. The binding energies of Co 2p_{3/2} (780.1 eV, Fig. 1 (C)) and Fe 2p_{3/2} (711.6 eV, Fig. 1 (D)) were much higher than binary chalcogenides such as

CoSe₂ (Co 2p_{3/2}= 778.7 eV) [18, 19] and iron diselenide (FeSe₂, Fe 2p_{3/2}= 707.2 eV) [20]. However, Se 3d_{5/2} (Fig. 1 (E)) shifted to more negative binding energy than CoSe₂ (Se 3d_{5/2}= 54.4 eV) [18, 19]. And the relative amount of Se oxide (4.06%) was formed at the Co_{0.7}Fe_{0.3}Se₂ surface based on XPS analyses. However, the oxide is too small amount that it cannot be detected by XRD. The changes in binding energies for these elements can be explained by the fact that selenium is more electronegative than iron or cobalt. Charge transfer from cobalt and iron to selenium could lead to the chemical shift. So, cobalt and iron moved to positive binding energy, while selenium moved to negative binding energy. The results suggested it was a ternary chalcogenide [21]. Meanwhile, The XPS spectra of Co_{0.7}Ni_{0.3}Se₂ was shown in Fig. S3. The binding energies of Co 2p_{3/2} (780.3 eV, Fig. S3 (A)) and Ni 2p_{3/2} (855.1 eV, Fig. S3 (B)) were much higher than CoSe₂ (Co 2p_{3/2}= 778.7 eV) and nickel diselenide (NiSe₂, Ni 2p_{3/2}= 853.1 eV) [22, 23]. And, selenium shifts to negative binding energy of Se 3d_{5/2} (Fig. S3 (C)) compared to CoSe₂ (Se 3d_{5/2}= 54.4 eV), indicating that it also was a complete ternary chalcogenide. The relative amount of Se oxide was 2.23%.

SEM of Co_{0.7}Ni_{0.3}Se₂ and Co_{0.7}Fe_{0.3}Se₂ were shown in Fig.2 (A) and (B), respectively. Co_{0.7}Ni_{0.3}Se₂ revealed a fluffy morphology. However, the Co_{0.7}Fe_{0.3}Se₂ was mainly composed of a huge amount of homogeneous sphere-like shaped particles, so maybe had bigger surface areas. Meanwhile, the specific surface areas of Co_{0.7}Ni_{0.3}Se₂ and Co_{0.7}Fe_{0.3}Se₂ were measured to be 35.6 and 43.5 m²·g⁻¹, respectively. According to report [24], the high specific surface areas could contribute to create abundant active sites, which made them more accessible. Maybe different contents of doped iron or nickel could affect the morphology (Fig. S4). Fig.2 (a) and (b) represented the EDX of Co_{0.7}Ni_{0.3}Se₂ and Co_{0.7}Fe_{0.3}Se₂, respectively. The results of EDX (Table 1) were consistent with XPS, which were very close to the design

results.

Fig. 2 SEM and EDX images of (A, a) $\text{Co}_{0.7}\text{Ni}_{0.3}\text{Se}_2$ and (B, b) $\text{Co}_{0.7}\text{Fe}_{0.3}\text{Se}_2$.

Table 1 XPS and EDX analyses of $\text{Co}_{0.7}\text{Fe}_{0.3}\text{Se}_2$ and $\text{Co}_{0.7}\text{Ni}_{0.3}\text{Se}_2$.

Sample	XPS (at. %)				EDX (at. %)			
	Co	Fe	Ni	Se	Co	Fe	Ni	Se
$\text{Co}_{0.7}\text{Fe}_{0.3}\text{Se}_2$	11.07	5.03	--	22.66	11.08	5.07	--	22.70
$\text{Co}_{0.7}\text{Ni}_{0.3}\text{Se}_2$	4.47	--	2.06	11.42	4.52	--	2.12	11.83

3.2. Electrochemical characterization

Linear sweep voltammetry (LSV) measurements were shown in Fig. 3 (A). The onset potential (E_{ORR}) was defined as potential at which the current density was equal to zero. And the half-wave potential ($E_{1/2}$), when the current density was equal to half of the limiting diffusion current density, was extracted from the **polarization** curve.

The more positive E_{ORR} and $E_{1/2}$ were, the better electrocatalytic activity towards ORR they showed [25]. Except for Pt/C, $\text{Co}_{0.7}\text{Fe}_{0.3}\text{Se}_2$ exhibited more positive E_{ORR} and $E_{1/2}$ compared with CoSe_2 and $\text{Co}_{0.7}\text{Ni}_{0.3}\text{Se}_2$, suggesting a much better ORR activity, and the parameters were listed in Table 2. Previous reports showed that the doping of Fe on Co-based chalcogenides could improve the constitution and stabilization of the catalytically active species, resulting in favorable ORR performance [26].

The durabilities of CoSe_2 , $\text{Co}_{0.7}\text{Ni}_{0.3}\text{Se}_2$ and $\text{Co}_{0.7}\text{Fe}_{0.3}\text{Se}_2$ were also analyzed by Cyclic voltammograms (CVs), and selected the current densities of different cycles to draw the Fig. 3 (B). Obviously, $\text{Co}_{0.7}\text{Fe}_{0.3}\text{Se}_2$ and $\text{Co}_{0.7}\text{Ni}_{0.3}\text{Se}_2$ showed excellent long-term performance. Furthermore, the maximum current densities values of the $\text{Co}_{0.7}\text{Fe}_{0.3}\text{Se}_2$ were higher than those of the CoSe_2 and $\text{Co}_{0.7}\text{Ni}_{0.3}\text{Se}_2$. The electrochemical stability of the catalyst might be related to the amount of selenium on the CoSe_2 . It had been reported that selenium can play a role in protecting the electrochemical oxidation of metals in chalcogenide compounds [27]. And the presence of Se oxide could also prevent dissolution in O_2 -saturated 0.5 M H_2SO_4 , which might contribute to its excellent stability [28]. At the same time, Fe or Ni doped the CoSe_2 increased adsorption/desorption of metals compounds associated with microporous structure [29, 30].

A series of LSV curves recorded from 100 to 2500 rpm were obtained in Fig. 3 (C). Koutecky-Levich (K-L) plots at different potentials showed good linearity (Fig. 3 (D)), revealing first-order reaction kinetics towards the concentration of dissolved oxygen [31]. The electron transfer number (n) could be calculated from the following K-L equations (1) [32], and n was calculated to be 4:

$$j^{-1} = j_k^{-1} + j_d^{-1} = j_k^{-1} + (\text{B}\omega^{1/2})^{-1} \quad (1)$$

where j , j_d and j_k were the measured, diffusion-limited and kinetic current densities, ω

represented the electrode rotating rate. $\text{Co}_{0.7}\text{Fe}_{0.3}\text{Se}_2$, $\text{Co}_{0.7}\text{Ni}_{0.3}\text{Se}_2$ and Pt/C showed a direct $4e^-$ reduction process towards ORR (Table 2) [33], while other $\text{Co}_x\text{Fe}_{1-x}\text{Se}_2$ and $\text{Co}_x\text{Ni}_{1-x}\text{Se}_2$ (Fig. S5) with different iron or nickel contents exhibited a mixed four-electron and two-electron reduction processes with H_2O_2 as the intermediate agent. The corresponding values of j_k and n were shown in Fig. S6 (B, D). The electronic structure of the transition metal was usually expressed by a percentage of d orbital in the metal bond (d%). The higher value of d% was, the more electrons filling in corresponding d energy band was, leading to less holes. The d% of the transition metal in the order of Ni (40) > Co (39.7) > Fe (39.5). When the smaller values (d%) of the metal (Fe) added to CoSe_2 , the bigger d% of Co atoms was transferred to smaller d% of the Fe atoms. Which increased the holes of Co, and improved the adsorption rate of oxygen. Although the difference between iron and nickel was very small, the d% of Ni was bigger than Co [34]. Therefore, the bonding ability of $\text{Co}_{0.7}\text{Fe}_{0.3}\text{Se}_2$ was higher than that of $\text{Co}_{0.7}\text{Ni}_{0.3}\text{Se}_2$, implying $\text{Co}_{0.7}\text{Fe}_{0.3}\text{Se}_2$ presented better ORR activity.

Fig. 3 (E) showed the tafel plots of the $\text{Co}_{0.7}\text{Fe}_{0.3}\text{Se}_2$, $\text{Co}_{0.7}\text{Ni}_{0.3}\text{Se}_2$ and CoSe_2 towards ORR. The j_k were calculated using K-L equation, $\text{Co}_{0.7}\text{Fe}_{0.3}\text{Se}_2$ exhibited a highest value than that of $\text{Co}_{0.7}\text{Ni}_{0.3}\text{Se}_2$ and CoSe_2 . The tafel slope (b) and transfer coefficient (α) were listed in Table 2. The highest ORR activity of $\text{Co}_{0.7}\text{Fe}_{0.3}\text{Se}_2$ was further supported by the smallest tafel slope of 110 mV/decade among CoSe_2 , $\text{Co}_x\text{Fe}_{1-x}\text{Se}_2$ and $\text{Co}_x\text{Ni}_{1-x}\text{Se}_2$ with different iron or nickel contents (Fig. S6 (A, C)). Which indicated that the ORR on $\text{Co}_{0.7}\text{Fe}_{0.3}\text{Se}_2$ was controlled by the first electron transfer process, similar to that of the Pt/C (Fig. S6 (E)) [35]. Moreover, the results were comparable or even better than other catalysts in Table 2. It might be due to the doped Fe increased the surface area, adsorption/desorption of metals compounds, and

electron transport between electrode substrate and electrolyte interface [29, 30].

Fig. 3 (A) LSV curves of Pt/C, CoSe₂, Co_{0.7}Ni_{0.3}Se₂ and Co_{0.7}Fe_{0.3}Se₂ in O₂-saturated 0.5 M H₂SO₄ at 1600 rpm and a scan rate of 10 mV s⁻¹. (B) The maximum current densities of CoSe₂, Co_{0.7}Ni_{0.3}Se₂ and Co_{0.7}Fe_{0.3}Se₂ recorded during repeated cycling by CVs. (C) LSV curves of the Co_{0.7}Fe_{0.3}Se₂ in O₂-saturated 0.5 M H₂SO₄ at different rotation rates. (D) K-L plots of Co_{0.7}Fe_{0.3}Se₂ at various potentials. (E) Tafel polts of CoSe₂, Co_{0.7}Ni_{0.3}Se₂ and Co_{0.7}Fe_{0.3}Se₂ at 1600 rpm. (F) LSV curves of Co_{0.7}Fe_{0.3}Se₂ in O₂-saturated 0.5 M H₂SO₄ containing CH₃OH (0-2 M), Co_{0.7}Ni_{0.3}Se₂ was shown in corresponding inset.

Table 2 Comparison of catalytic activity data with Co_xFe_{1-x}Se₂, Co_xNi_{1-x}Se₂, CoSe₂

Pt/C and other catalysts reported in the literature based ORR catalysts.

Catalyst	E _{ORR}	E _{1/2}	n	-b	α	j _k at 0.3V
Co _{0.9} Fe _{0.1} Se ₂	0.740	0.576	3.3	121	0.625	1.440
Co _{0.8} Fe _{0.2} Se ₂	0.747	0.582	3.9	117	0.639	1.722
Co _{0.7} Fe _{0.3} Se ₂	0.759	0.584	4.0	110	0.645	1.830
Co _{0.6} Fe _{0.4} Se ₂	0.745	0.580	3.8	119	0.635	1.672
Co _{0.5} Fe _{0.5} Se ₂	0.737	0.573	3.2	125	0.622	1.437
Co _{0.9} Ni _{0.1} Se ₂	0.736	0.499	3.8	117	0.617	1.777
Co _{0.8} Ni _{0.2} Se ₂	0.739	0.552	3.9	116	0.626	1.790
Co _{0.7} Ni _{0.3} Se ₂	0.741	0.556	4.0	111	0.640	1.827
Co _{0.6} Ni _{0.4} Se ₂	0.733	0.489	2.8	120	0.558	1.211
Co _{0.5} Ni _{0.5} Se ₂	0.731	0.485	2.4	121	0.543	1.036
CoSe ₂	0.708	0.560	3.9	112	0.638	1.522
Pt/C	0.934	0.760	4.0	110	0.847	1.871
W-Co-Se [21]	0.755	-	2.0	113	-	-
Ru _x Mo _y Se _z [38]	0.740	-	4.0	116	0.520	-
Ru _x Cr _y Se _z [39]	0.800	-	4.0	116	0.510	-
CoSe ₂ /C [5]	0.720	-	-	125	-	-
Co ₇ Se ₈ [40]	0.811	-	3.9	121	-	-
Fe ₃ O ₄ / CoSe ₂ [41]	0.760	-	3.6	-	-	-

The satisfactory catalyst towards ORR should exhibit superior tolerance to the fuels (such as methanol, ethanol and ethylene glycol), which could penetrate the membrane from the anode [14]. We measured the LSV of Co_{0.7}Fe_{0.3}Se₂ (Fig. 3 (F), S7 (C, E)), Co_{0.7}Ni_{0.3}Se₂ (Fig. 3 (F) (inset), S7 (D, F)) and Pt/C (Fig. S7 (A, B, G)) in O₂-saturated 0.5 M H₂SO₄ containing 0-2 M methanol, ethanol and ethylene glycol, respectively. Compared with CoSe₂ [14], Co_{0.7}Fe_{0.3}Se₂ and Co_{0.7}Ni_{0.3}Se₂ showed little activity loss and had favorable tolerance to the methanol, ethanol and ethylene glycol.

In contrast, there was an obvious decrease in the ORR activity of Pt/C, because of the

competitive reaction between oxygen reduction and methanol, ethanol and ethylene glycol oxidation [36, 37].

4. Conclusions

In summary, Fe and Ni doped CoSe₂ were successfully synthesized. The ORR catalytic activity of Co_xFe_{1-x}Se₂ and Co_xNi_{1-x}Se₂ catalysts changed with iron or nickel contents. As a result, Co_{0.7}Fe_{0.3}Se₂ demonstrated an excellent electroactivity and durability towards ORR, making it a promising non-noble metal electrocatalyst for full cells.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China through a project entitled “The synthesis of Pt-M/C nanoparticles and construction of non-enzymatic electrochemical biosensor” (Grant No. 21205030), and by State Key Laboratory of Advanced Technology for Materials Synthesis and Processing (Wuhan University of Technology, 2015-KF-13), and by Hubei Key Laboratory of Pollutant Analysis & Reuse Technology (PA160104), and from the Natural Science Fund for Creative Research Groups of Hubei Province of China through a project entitled “Controllable Synthesis and Application of Nano-/micro-sized Functional Materials”(2014CFA015).

References

- [1] Solorza-Feria O, Ellmer K, Gierstig M, Alonso-Vante N. Novel low-temperature synthesis of semiconducting transition metal chalcogenide electrocatalyst for multielectron charge transfer: molecular oxygen reduction. *Electrochim Acta*

- 1994; 39: 1647-1653.
- [2] Cheng H, Yuan W, Scott K. A liquid-gas phase mixed-reactant fuel cell with a RuSeW cathode electrocatalyst. *J Power Sources* 2008; 183: 678-681.
- [3] Gago AS, Morales-Acosta D, Arriaga LG, Alonso-Vante N. Carbon supported ruthenium chalcogenide as cathode catalyst in a microfluidic formic acid fuel cell. *J Power Sources* 2011; 196: 1324-1328.
- [4] Ganesan P, Prabu M, Sanetuntikul J, Shanmugam S. Cobalt sulfide nanoparticles grown on nitrogen and sulfur codoped graphene oxide: an efficient electrocatalyst for oxygen reduction and evolution reactions. *ACS Catal* 2015; 5: 3625-3637.
- [5] Feng YJ, He T, Alonso-Vante N. In situ Free-Surfactant synthesis and ORR-electrochemistry of carbon-supported Co_3S_4 and CoSe_2 nanoparticles. *Chem Mat* 2008; 20: 26-28.
- [6] Feng YJ, He T, Alonso-Vante N. Carbon-supported CoSe_2 nanoparticles for oxygen reduction reaction in acid medium. *Fuel Cells* 2010; 10: 77-83.
- [7] Susac D, Sode A, Zhu L, Wong PC, Teo M, Bizzotto D, Mitchell KAR, Parsons R R, Campbell SA. A methodology for investigating new nonprecious metal catalysts for PEM fuel cells. *J Phys Chem B* 2006; 110: 10762-10770.
- [8] Othman R, Dicks AL, Zhu ZH. Non precious metal catalysts for the PEM fuel cell cathode. *Int J Hydrogen Energy* 2012; 37: 357-372.
- [9] Chao YS, Tsai DS, Wu AP, Tseng LW, Huang YS. Cobalt selenide electrocatalyst supported by nitrogen-doped carbon and its stable activity toward oxygen reduction reaction. *Int J Hydrogen Energy* 2013; 38: 5655-5664.
- [10] He XB, Yin FX, Li G. A Co/metaleorganic-framework bifunctional electrocatalyst: The effect of the surface cobalt oxidation state on oxygen

- evolution/reduction reactions in an alkaline electrolyte. *Int J Hydrogen Energy* 2015; 40: 9713-9722.
- [11] Chiao SP, Tsai DS, Wilkinson DP, Chen YM, Huang YS. Carbon supported $\text{Ru}_{1-x}\text{Fe}_x\text{Se}_y$ electrocatalysts of pyrite structure for oxygen reduction reaction. *Int J Hydrogen Energy* 2010; 35: 6508-6517.
- [12] Zhao DJ, Zhang S, Yin GP, Du CY, Wang ZB, Wei J. Tungsten doped Co-Se nanocomposites as an efficient non precious metal catalyst for oxygen reduction. *Electrochim Acta* 2013; 91: 179-184.
- [13] González-Huerta RG, Chavez-Carvayár JA, Solorza-Feria O. Electrocatalysis of oxygen reduction on carbon supported Ru-based catalysts in a polymer electrolyte fuel cell. *J Power Sources* 2006; 153: 11-17.
- [14] Yu BB, Wu WQ, Jin JY, Wu HM, Wang SF, Xia QH. Facile synthesis of Co-based selenides for oxygen reduction reaction in acidic medium. *Int J Hydrogen Energy* 2016; 41: 8863-8870.
- [15] Kumar S, Verma NK. Structural, optical and magnetic investigations on Fe-doped ZnS nanoparticles. *J Mater Sci: Mater Electron* 2015; 26: 2754-2759.
- [16] Byskov LS, Hammer B, Norskov JK, Clausen BS, Topsoe H. Sulfur bonding in MoS_2 and Co-Mo-S structures. *Catal Lett* 1997; 47: 177-182.
- [17] Yoosuk B, Song C, Kim JH, Ngamcharussrivichai C, Prasassarakich P. Effects of preparation conditions in hydrothermal synthesis of highly active unsupported NiMo sulfide catalysts for simultaneous hydrodesulfurization of dibenzothiophene and 4,6-dimethyldibenzothiophene. *Catal Today* 2010; 149: 52-61.
- [18] Gao J, Zhang B, Zhang X, Xu B. Magnetic-dipolar-interactioninduced self-assembly affords wires of hollow nanocrystals of cobalt selenide. *Angew*

- Chem Int Ed 2006; 45: 1220-1223.
- [19] Najafpour MM, Hosseini SM, Tavahodi M, Ghobadi MZ. The conversion of CoSe₂ to Co oxide under the electrochemical water oxidation condition. Int J Hydrogen Energy 2016; 41: 13469-13475.
- [20] Huang SS, He QQ, Chen WL, Zai JT, Qiao QQ, Qian XF. 3D hierarchical FeSe₂ microspheres: Controlled synthesis and applications in dye-sensitized solar cells. Nano Energy 2015; 15: 205-215.
- [21] Lee K, Zhang L, Zhang JJ. Ternary non-noble metal chalcogenide (W-Co-Se) as electrocatalyst for oxygen reduction reaction. Electrochem Commun 2007; 9: 1704-1708.
- [22] Van der Heide H, Hermel R, Vanbruggen CF, Haas C. X-ray photoelectron spectra of 3d transition metal pyrites. J Solid State Chem 1980; 33: 17-25.
- [23] Yang J, Cheng GH, Zeng JH, Yu SH, Liu XM, Qian YT. Shape control and characterization of transition metal diselenides MSe₂ (M = Ni, Co, Fe) prepared by a solvothermal-reduction process. Chem Mater 2001; 13: 848-853.
- [24] Zhang Y, Huang LB, Jiang WJ, Zhang X, Chen YY, Wei Z, Wan LJ, Hu JS. Sodium chloride-assisted green synthesis of a 3D Fe-N-C hybrid as a highly active electrocatalyst for the oxygen reduction reaction. J Mater Chem A 2016; 4: 7781-7787.
- [25] Lu J, Bo XJ, Wang H, Guo LP. Nitrogen-doped ordered mesoporous carbons synthesized from honey as metal-free catalyst for oxygen reduction reaction. Electrochim Acta 2013; 108: 10-16.
- [26] Zhao ZL, Wu HX, He HL, Xu XL, Jin YD. Self-standing non-noble metal (Ni-Fe) oxide nanotube array anode catalysts with synergistic reactivity for high-performance water oxidation. J Mater Chem A 2015; 3: 7179-7186.

- [27] Bron M, Bogdanoff P, Fiechter S, Dorbandt I, Hilgendorff M, Schulenburg H, Tributsch H. Influence of selenium on the catalytic properties of ruthenium-based cluster catalysts for oxygen reduction. *J Electroanal Chem* 2001; 500: 510-517.
- [28] Li HY, Gao D, Cheng X. Simple microwave preparation of high activity Se-rich CoSe₂/C for oxygen reduction reaction. *Electrochim Acta* 2014; 138: 232-239.
- [29] Liu XJ, Xu ZZ, Ahn HJ, Lyu SK, Ahn IS. Electrochemical characteristics of cathode materials NiS₂ and Fe-doped NiS₂ synthesized by mechanical alloying for lithium-ion batteries. *Powder Technol* 2012; 229: 24-29.
- [30] Sivanantham A, Ganesan P, Shanmugam S. Hierarchical NiCo₂S₄ nanowire arrays supported on Ni foam: an efficient and durable bifunctional electrocatalyst for oxygen and hydrogen evolution reactions. *Adv Funct Mater* 2016; 26: 4661-4672.
- [31] Liang YY, Li YG, Wang HL, Zhou JG, Wang J, Regier T, Dai HJ. Co₃O₄ nanocrystals on graphene as a synergistic catalyst for oxygen reduction reaction. *Nat Mater* 2011; 10: 780-786.
- [32] Zhang S, Shao YY, Yin GP, Lin YH. Stabilization of platinum nanoparticle electrocatalysts for oxygen reduction using poly(diallyldimethylammonium chloride). *J Mater Chem* 2009; 19: 7995-8001.
- [33] Chen P, Wang LK, Wang G, Gao MR, Ge J, Yuan WJ, Shen YH, Xie AJ, Yu, SH. Nitrogen-doped nanoporous carbon nanosheets derived from plant biomass: an efficient catalyst for oxygen reduction reaction. *Energy Environ Sci* 2014; 7: 4095-4103.
- [34] Alonso-Vante N, Malakhov IV, Nikitenko SG, Savinova ER, Kochubey DI. The structure analysis of the active centers of Ru-containing electrocatalysts for the

- oxygen reduction. An in situ EXAFS study. *Electrochim Acta* 2002; 47: 3807-3814.
- [35] Solorza-Feria O, Ellmer K, Giersig M, Alonso-Vante N. Novel low-temperature synthesis of semiconducting transition metal chalcogenide electrocatalyst for multielectron charge transfer: molecular oxygen reduction. *Electrochim Acta* 1994; 39: 1647-1653.
- [36] Yang H, Alonso-Vante N, Leger JM, Lamy C. Tailoring, structure, and activity of carbon-supported nanosized Pt-Cr alloy electrocatalysts for oxygen reduction in pure and methanol-containing electrolytes. *J Phys Chem B* 2004; 108: 1938-1947.
- [37] Li Y, Zhou W, Wang H, Xie L, Liang Y, Wei F, Idrobo JC, Pennycook SJ, Dai H. An oxygen reduction electrocatalyst based on carbon nanotube-graphene complexes. *Nat Nanotechnol* 2012; 7: 394-400.
- [38] Suárez-Alcántara K, Solorza-Feria O. Kinetics and PEMFC performance of $\text{Ru}_x\text{Mo}_y\text{Se}_z$ nanoparticles as a cathode catalyst. *Electrochimica Acta* 2008; 53: 4981-4989.
- [39] Suárez-Alcántara K, Rodríguez-Castellanos A, Dante R, Solorza-Feria O. $\text{Ru}_x\text{Cr}_y\text{Se}_z$ electrocatalyst for oxygen reduction in a polymer electrolyte membrane fuel cell. *J Power Sources* 2006; 157: 114-120.
- [40] Masud J, Nath M. Co_7Se_8 nanostructures as catalysts for oxygen reduction reaction with high methanol tolerance. *ACS Energy Lett* 2016; 1: 27-31.
- [41] Gao MR, Liu S, Jiang J, Cui CH, Yao WT, Yu SH. In situ controllable synthesis of magnetite nanocrystals/ CoSe_2 hybrid nanobelts and their enhanced catalytic performance. *J Mater Chem* 2010; 20: 9355-9361.

Supporting Information

Table of contents

- I The prepared materials corresponding to the precursors' amounts of $\text{FeSO}_4 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$ and $\text{Ni}(\text{NO}_3)_2 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$
- II CVs, LSV and Nyquist plots of $\text{Co}_x\text{Fe}_{1-x}\text{Se}_2$ and $\text{Co}_x\text{Ni}_{1-x}\text{Se}_2$
- III XPS spectra of $\text{Co}_{0.7}\text{Ni}_{0.3}\text{Se}_2$
- IV SEM of $\text{Co}_{0.9}\text{Fe}_{0.1}\text{Se}_2$, $\text{Co}_{0.5}\text{Fe}_{0.5}\text{Se}_2$, $\text{Co}_{0.9}\text{Ni}_{0.1}\text{Se}_2$ and $\text{Co}_{0.5}\text{Ni}_{0.5}\text{Se}_2$
- V LSV and Koutecky-Levich plots of $\text{Co}_x\text{Fe}_{1-x}\text{Se}_2$, $\text{Co}_x\text{Ni}_{1-x}\text{Se}_2$ and Pt/C
- VI Tafel plots of $\text{Co}_x\text{Fe}_{1-x}\text{Se}_2$, $\text{Co}_x\text{Ni}_{1-x}\text{Se}_2$ and Pt/C
- VII LSV of $\text{Co}_{0.7}\text{Fe}_{0.3}\text{Se}_2$, $\text{Co}_{0.7}\text{Ni}_{0.3}\text{Se}_2$ and Pt/C against fuel crossover effects

**I The prepared materials corresponding to the precursors' amounts of
FeSO₄·7H₂O and Ni(NO₃)₂·6H₂O**

Table S1

The amounts of FeSO₄·7H₂O

Material number	FeSO ₄ ·7H ₂ O (g)
Co _{0.9} Fe _{0.1} Se ₂	0.030
Co _{0.8} Fe _{0.2} Se ₂	0.070
Co _{0.7} Fe _{0.3} Se ₂	0.120
Co _{0.6} Fe _{0.4} Se ₂	0.185
Co _{0.5} Fe _{0.5} Se ₂	0.278

Table S2

The amounts of Ni(NO₃)₂·6H₂O

Material number	Ni(NO ₃) ₂ ·6H ₂ O (g)
Co _{0.9} Ni _{0.1} Se ₂	0.032
Co _{0.8} Ni _{0.2} Se ₂	0.073
Co _{0.7} Ni _{0.3} Se ₂	0.125
Co _{0.6} Ni _{0.4} Se ₂	0.194
Co _{0.5} Ni _{0.5} Se ₂	0.291

II CVs, LSV and Nyquist plots of $\text{Co}_x\text{Fe}_{1-x}\text{Se}_2$ and $\text{Co}_x\text{Ni}_{1-x}\text{Se}_2$

Fig. S2 showed CVs, LSV, and Nyquist plots of $\text{Co}_x\text{Fe}_{1-x}\text{Se}_2$ and $\text{Co}_x\text{Ni}_{1-x}\text{Se}_2$ with different iron or nickel contents in O_2 -saturated 0.5 M H_2SO_4 . Obviously, the electrocatalytic activities of $\text{Co}_{0.7}\text{Fe}_{0.3}\text{Se}_2$ and $\text{Co}_{0.7}\text{Ni}_{0.3}\text{Se}_2$ were better than others.

Fig. S2 CVs and LSV curves of (A, C) $\text{Co}_x\text{Fe}_{1-x}\text{Se}_2$ and (B, D) $\text{Co}_x\text{Ni}_{1-x}\text{Se}_2$ with different iron or nickel contents in O_2 -saturated 0.5 M H_2SO_4 at a scan rate of 10 mV s⁻¹. Nyquist plots of (E) $\text{Co}_x\text{Fe}_{1-x}\text{Se}_2$ and (F) $\text{Co}_x\text{Ni}_{1-x}\text{Se}_2$ with different iron or nickel contents in O_2 -saturated 0.5 M H_2SO_4 at open potential, frequency range: 1-10⁶ Hz.

III XPS spectra of $\text{Co}_{0.7}\text{Ni}_{0.3}\text{Se}_2$

Fig. S3 showed XPS spectra of the $\text{Co}_{0.7}\text{Ni}_{0.3}\text{Se}_2$. The binding energies of Co $2p_{3/2}$ (780.3 eV, Fig. S3 (A)) and Ni $2p_{3/2}$ (855.1 eV, Fig. S3 (B)) were much higher than CoSe_2 (Co $2p_{3/2}$ = 778.7 eV) and nickel diselenide (NiSe_2 , Ni $2p_{3/2}$ = 853.1 eV). However, selenium shifts to negative binding energy of Se $3d_{5/2}$ (Fig. S3 (C)) compared to CoSe_2 (Se $3d_{5/2}$ = 54.4 eV), indicating that it was a complete ternary chalcogenide.

Fig. S3 XPS spectra of $\text{Co}_{0.7}\text{Ni}_{0.3}\text{Se}_2$ in the (A) Co 2p, (B) Ni 2p and (C) Se 3d regions.

IVSEM of $\text{Co}_{0.9}\text{Fe}_{0.1}\text{Se}_2$, $\text{Co}_{0.5}\text{Fe}_{0.5}\text{Se}_2$, $\text{Co}_{0.9}\text{Ni}_{0.1}\text{Se}_2$ and $\text{Co}_{0.5}\text{Ni}_{0.5}\text{Se}_2$

Fig. S4 showed SEM of (A) $\text{Co}_{0.9}\text{Ni}_{0.1}\text{Se}_2$, (B) $\text{Co}_{0.5}\text{Ni}_{0.5}\text{Se}_2$, (C) $\text{Co}_{0.9}\text{Fe}_{0.1}\text{Se}_2$ and (D) $\text{Co}_{0.5}\text{Fe}_{0.5}\text{Se}_2$. Obviously, all of the catalysts showed agglomerated surface and fluffy cotton-like microstructure. Furthermore, when the contents of doped Fe or Ni were higher, the morphology showed more agglomerated.

Fig. S4 SEM images of (A) $\text{Co}_{0.9}\text{Ni}_{0.1}\text{Se}_2$, (B) $\text{Co}_{0.5}\text{Ni}_{0.5}\text{Se}_2$, (C) $\text{Co}_{0.9}\text{Fe}_{0.1}\text{Se}_2$ and (D) $\text{Co}_{0.5}\text{Fe}_{0.5}\text{Se}_2$.

VLSV and Koutecky-Levich plots of $\text{Co}_x\text{Fe}_{1-x}\text{Se}_2$, $\text{Co}_x\text{Ni}_{1-x}\text{Se}_2$ and Pt/C

Fig. S5 showed LSV of $\text{Co}_x\text{Fe}_{1-x}\text{Se}_2$ and $\text{Co}_x\text{Ni}_{1-x}\text{Se}_2$ with different iron or nickel contents at different rotating rate. Obviously, the diffusion currents were enhanced with increasing rotating rate. The corresponding Koutecky-Levich plots showed good linearity at various potentials. Except for $\text{Co}_{0.7}\text{Ni}_{0.3}\text{Se}_2$ (Fig S5 (G)) and Pt/C (Fig S5 (J)) showed $4e^-$ ORR process, other catalysts involved mixed four-electron and two-electron reduction processes with H_2O_2 as the intermediate agent.

Fig. S5 LSV curves of (A) $\text{Co}_{0.9}\text{Fe}_{0.1}\text{Se}_2$, (B) $\text{Co}_{0.8}\text{Fe}_{0.2}\text{Se}_2$, (C) $\text{Co}_{0.6}\text{Fe}_{0.4}\text{Se}_2$, (D) $\text{Co}_{0.5}\text{Fe}_{0.5}\text{Se}_2$, (E) $\text{Co}_{0.9}\text{Ni}_{0.1}\text{Se}_2$, (F) $\text{Co}_{0.8}\text{Ni}_{0.2}\text{Se}_2$, (G) $\text{Co}_{0.7}\text{Ni}_{0.3}\text{Se}_2$, (H) $\text{Co}_{0.6}\text{Ni}_{0.4}\text{Se}_2$, (I) $\text{Co}_{0.5}\text{Ni}_{0.5}\text{Se}_2$ and (J) Pt/C in O_2 -saturated 0.5 M H_2SO_4 at various rotation rates, the Koutecky-Levich plots were shown in corresponding inset.

VI Tafel polts of $\text{Co}_x\text{Fe}_{1-x}\text{Se}_2$, $\text{Co}_x\text{Ni}_{1-x}\text{Se}_2$ and Pt/C

Fig. S6 showed the tafel plots of the $\text{Co}_x\text{Fe}_{1-x}\text{Se}_2$ (Fig. S6 (A)) and $\text{Co}_x\text{Ni}_{1-x}\text{Se}_2$ (Fig. S6 (C)) with different iron or nickel contents, and Pt/C (Fig. S6 (E)). In addition to Pt/C , Tafel slopes of $\text{Co}_{0.7}\text{Fe}_{0.3}\text{Se}_2$ (110mV/decade) were smallest than others. The electron transfer number (n) and calculated kinetic-limited current density (j_k) value of different catalysts were clearly drawn in Fig. S6 (B) and (D). $\text{Co}_{0.7}\text{Fe}_{0.3}\text{Se}_2$ exhibited the highest j_k value of 1.83 mA cm^{-2} at 0.30 V than other $\text{Co}_x\text{Fe}_{1-x}\text{Se}_2$ and $\text{Co}_x\text{Ni}_{1-x}\text{Se}_2$ catalysts.

Fig. S6 Tafel polts of (A) $\text{Co}_x\text{Fe}_{1-x}\text{Se}_2$ and (C) $\text{Co}_x\text{Ni}_{1-x}\text{Se}_2$ with different iron or nickel contents at 1600 rpm, and Pt/C (E). (B, D) Electrons transfer number (n) and kinetic limiting current density (j_k) of (a) $\text{Co}_{0.9}\text{Fe}_{0.1}\text{Se}_2$, (b) $\text{Co}_{0.8}\text{Fe}_{0.2}\text{Se}_2$, (c) $\text{Co}_{0.7}\text{Fe}_{0.3}\text{Se}_2$, (d) $\text{Co}_{0.6}\text{Fe}_{0.4}\text{Se}_2$ and (e) $\text{Co}_{0.5}\text{Fe}_{0.5}\text{Se}_2$ and (a) $\text{Co}_{0.9}\text{Ni}_{0.1}\text{Se}_2$, (b) $\text{Co}_{0.8}\text{Ni}_{0.2}\text{Se}_2$, (c) $\text{Co}_{0.7}\text{Ni}_{0.3}\text{Se}_2$, (d) $\text{Co}_{0.6}\text{Ni}_{0.4}\text{Se}_2$ and (e) $\text{Co}_{0.5}\text{Ni}_{0.5}\text{Se}_2$ at 0.3V, respectively.

VII LSV of $\text{Co}_{0.7}\text{Fe}_{0.3}\text{Se}_2$, $\text{Co}_{0.7}\text{Ni}_{0.3}\text{Se}_2$ and Pt/C against fuel crossover effects

Fig. S7 showed LSV of Pt/C (A, B, G), $\text{Co}_{0.7}\text{Fe}_{0.3}\text{Se}_2$ (C, E) and $\text{Co}_{0.7}\text{Ni}_{0.3}\text{Se}_2$ (D, F) in O_2 -saturated 0.5 M H_2SO_4 containing different concentrations of CH_3OH , $\text{C}_2\text{H}_5\text{OH}$ and $(\text{CH}_2\text{OH})_2$. Obviously, $\text{Co}_{0.7}\text{Ni}_{0.3}\text{Se}_2$ and $\text{Co}_{0.7}\text{Fe}_{0.3}\text{Se}_2$ exhibited strong ability to avoid ethanol and ethylene glycol crossover effects.

Fig. S7 LSV of (A, B, G) Pt/C, (C, E) $\text{Co}_{0.7}\text{Fe}_{0.3}\text{Se}_2$ and (D, F) $\text{Co}_{0.7}\text{Ni}_{0.3}\text{Se}_2$ in O_2 -saturated 0.5 M H_2SO_4 containing different concentrations of CH_3OH , $\text{C}_2\text{H}_5\text{OH}$ and $(\text{CH}_2\text{OH})_2$.