University of Wollongong

Research Online

Australian Institute for Innovative Materials -
Papers

Australian Institute for Innovative Materials

1-1-2016

Collapse and reappearance of magnetic orderings in spin frustrated TbMnO₃ induced by Fe substitution

Fang Hong

*Center for High Pressure Science and Technology Advanced Research, University of Wollongong,
Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory*

Binbin Yue

*Center for High Pressure Science and Technology Advanced Research, Lawrence Berkeley National
Laboratory*

Jian Li Wang

University of Wollongong, Australian Nuclear Science And Technology Organisation, jianli@uow.edu.au

Andrew J. Studer

Australian Nuclear Science And Technology Organisation

Chunsheng Fang

University of Wollongong, cf904@uowmail.edu.au

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: <https://ro.uow.edu.au/aiimpapers>

Part of the [Engineering Commons](#), and the [Physical Sciences and Mathematics Commons](#)

Research Online is the open access institutional repository for the University of Wollongong. For further information contact the UOW Library: research-pubs@uow.edu.au

Collapse and reappearance of magnetic orderings in spin frustrated TbMnO₃ induced by Fe substitution

Abstract

We studied the temperature dependent magnetic phase evolution in spin frustrated TbMnO₃ affected by Fe doping via powder neutron diffraction. With the introduction of Fe (10% and 20%), the long range incommensurate magnetic orderings collapse. When the Fe content is increased to 30%, a long-range antiferromagnetic ordering develops, while a spin reorientation transition is found near 35 K from a canted G-type antiferromagnetic ordering to a collinear G-type antiferromagnetic ordering. This work demonstrates the complex magnetic interactions existing in transition metal oxides, which helps to understand the frustrated spin states in other similar systems and design magnetic materials as well.

Disciplines

Engineering | Physical Sciences and Mathematics

Publication Details

Hong, F., Yue, B., Wang, J. Li., Studer, A., Fang, C., Wang, X., Dou, S. & Cheng, Z. (2016). Collapse and reappearance of magnetic orderings in spin frustrated TbMnO₃ induced by Fe substitution. *Applied Physics Letters*, 109 (10), 102401-1-102401-5.

Authors

Fang Hong, Binbin Yue, Jian Li Wang, Andrew J. Studer, Chunsheng Fang, Xiaolin Wang, Shi Xue Dou, and Zhenxiang Cheng

Collapse and reappearance of magnetic orderings in spin frustrated TbMnO₃ induced by Fe substitution

Fang Hong,^{1,2,3} Binbin Yue,^{2,3,a)} Jianli Wang,^{1,4} Andrew Studer,⁴ Chunsheng Fang,¹ Xiaolin Wang,¹ Shixue Dou,¹ and Zhenxiang Cheng^{1,a)}

¹*Institute for Superconducting and Electronic Materials, Australian Institute of Innovative Materials, University of Wollongong, Innovation Campus, Squires Way, North Wollongong, New South Wales 2500, Australia*

²*Center for High Pressure Science and Technology Advanced Research, 1690 Cailun Rd. Pudong, Shanghai 201203, People's Republic of China*

³*The Advanced Light Source, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, 1 Cyclotron Rd, MS 80R0114, Berkeley, California 94720, USA*

⁴*The Australian Nuclear Science and Technology Organization (ANSTO), Bragg Institute, Lucas Heights, New South Wales 2234, Australia*

(Received 7 July 2016; accepted 28 August 2016; published online 7 September 2016)

We studied the temperature dependent magnetic phase evolution in spin frustrated TbMnO₃ affected by Fe doping via powder neutron diffraction. With the introduction of Fe (10% and 20%), the long range incommensurate magnetic orderings collapse. When the Fe content is increased to 30%, a long-range antiferromagnetic ordering develops, while a spin reorientation transition is found near 35 K from a canted G-type antiferromagnetic ordering to a collinear G-type antiferromagnetic ordering. This work demonstrates the complex magnetic interactions existing in transition metal oxides, which helps to understand the frustrated spin states in other similar systems and design magnetic materials as well. *Published by AIP Publishing.*

[<http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4962465>]

Frustrated spin systems have aroused great interests due to their complex magnetic interactions.^{1–7} In such kinds of frustrated magnetic systems, many unique magnetic structures and physical properties are observed.^{8–12} Magnetic monopoles have even been proposed to exist in some frustrated spin ice systems (for example, Ho₂Ti₂O₇ and Dy₂Ti₂O₇).^{13–16} Due to the competition in the anisotropic interactions among the magnetic ions at low temperature, a frustrated helical spin ordering can develop from the Mn sublattice in orthorhombic TbMnO₃ and DyMnO₃, and they can consequently give rise to ferroelectric ordering, which is why these compounds are called “multiferroic” materials.^{17–22} Because of the magnetic origin of ferroelectric ordering in these materials, and the broken spatial inversion and time-reversal symmetries,²³ there is strong magnetoelectric coupling in these kinds of multiferroic materials, which is promising for more operations depending on more degrees of freedom and has benefits for the design of next-generation information storage systems.^{24–28}

Meanwhile, the abundant phase transitions that occur in these frustrated multiferroic systems have inspired great interest as well.^{17,18,29–32} Orthorhombic TbMnO₃ has a similar phase diagram to that of DyMnO₃.⁴ Systematic neutron diffraction studies on TbMnO₃ single crystal were conducted by Kajimoto *et al.* and Kenzelmann *et al.*^{33,34} TbMnO₃ experiences its antiferromagnetic (AFM) transition at ~46 K. When the temperature is between 46 K and 28 K, Mn³⁺ spins are expected to first order along the *b* axis (*Pbnm* space group) in an incommensurate magnetic (ICM) sinusoidal arrangement. Below 28 K, an additional component of the Mn³⁺ magnetic

moment along the *c* axis gives rise to a spiral magnetic ordering and breaks the inversion symmetry, leading to the observation of polarization (*P_s*) reflection along the *c* axis.^{18,20} The rare earth Tb³⁺ ions enter into antiferromagnetic ordering around 7 K.^{33,34}

Fe doping is an effective way to tune the magnetic interaction in manganese oxide because of the extra electron contribution from Fe 3*d* orbital. The Fe doping effect on the frustrated magnetic states in DyMnO₃ has been investigated by general magnetic measurements in the laboratory, and a similar phase scheme has been proposed by two different research groups.^{35,36} The real magnetic states in this kind of system are still full of uncertainty, however, since they are only based on general magnetic measurements. To reveal how the magnetic interaction evolves with Fe doping in such kinds of magnetic systems, we carried out temperature dependent powder neutron diffraction experiments on an alternative system TbMnO₃, a promising multiferroic material for device application, in which the ferroelectric ordering can be enhanced by pressure and the ferroelectric domain wall can be well controlled by the external magnetic field without shape and position change.^{21,22} Interestingly, a few different types of unexpected magnetic behavior are observed in our neutron experiment. This work provides meaningful information on understanding the frustrated spin interactions in this kind of magnetic system.

Big volumes of samples were used to collect the neutron diffraction patterns from 6 K to 300–430 K and the experiment details are given in the [supplementary material](#). Enlargements of the 20°–50° region, showing the magnetic contribution, are presented in Figure 1, and the full patterns are displayed in Figure S1 in the [supplementary material](#).

^{a)}Authors to whom correspondence should be addressed. Electronic addresses: yuebb@hpstar.ac.cn and cheng@uow.edu.au

FIG. 1. Powder neutron diffraction patterns of $\text{TbMn}_{1-x}\text{Fe}_x\text{O}_3$. (a)–(f) The temperature dependent neutron diffraction patterns for the samples with $x = 0, 0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.4, 0.5$, respectively.

Most peaks exhibited a nuclear shift toward higher diffraction angles due to the contraction of the unit cell at low temperature. There are also some peaks that are shifted toward lower angles, suggesting that at least one of the three lattice parameters becomes larger at low temperature. In the low diffraction angle region, ranging from 18° to 35° , a clear extra peak can be observed near 20° for pure TbMnO_3 , as shown in Figure 1(a), which is ascribed to the antiferromagnetic transition. Extra peaks can also be seen around 40° with increasing intensity at a slightly lower temperature, suggesting the second antiferromagnetic transition. The refinement results confirm the pure orthorhombic phase for all samples with space group $Pbnm$.

When Fe is introduced into the TbMnO_3 , it is supposed to shift the antiferromagnetic transition to higher temperature, according to a previous related study on DyMnO_3 .^{35,36} On the contrary, we cannot see any magnetic contribution to the neutron diffraction patterns for samples with Fe content of 10% and 20%. For the whole temperature range, we can only obtain the nuclear contribution, as shown in Figures 1(b), 1(c), S1(b), and S1(c). This is unexpected, and the current neutron results clearly demonstrate that the magnetic ordering collapses with Fe doping. The previously existing long-range incommensurate magnetic ordering in TbMnO_3 is totally suppressed, and the system is likely to be in a highly frustrated spin state, which is almost identical to the paramagnetic state. In contrast to the pure paramagnetic state, there is remnant magnetic moment on the hysteresis magnetic loops for these two samples, indicating that there is a dynamically strong spin interaction, and this interaction is easily broken by the external magnetic field (see Figure S2 in the supplementary material). To find out whether there is a spin liquid state at low temperature in $\text{TbMn}_{0.9}\text{Fe}_{0.1}\text{O}_3$ and

$\text{TbMn}_{0.8}\text{Fe}_{0.2}\text{O}_3$, we looked at the history effect on the temperature dependent moment. Our zero-field-cooled (ZFC) and field-cooled (FC) curves (presented in Figure S3 in the supplementary material) show a clear breakaway below 15 K and 20 K in $\text{TbMn}_{0.9}\text{Fe}_{0.1}\text{O}_3$ and $\text{TbMn}_{0.8}\text{Fe}_{0.2}\text{O}_3$, respectively. The different behavior between the ZFC and FC processes excludes the existence of a spin liquid state and suggests the occurrence of a spin-glass-like state.^{37,38} The appearance of spin-glass-like state could be due to the competition interaction among Mn-O-Mn, Fe-O-Fe, Mn-O-Fe, and even Tb-Mn or Tb-Fe.³⁹ Unfortunately, current work cannot define the exact competition interaction. There is also another possibility, that the small amount of Fe causes random disorder in the exchange interaction and makes it hard to form long range ordering. A similar phenomenon has been observed in Al-doped DyMnO_3 , in which more than 3% Al will strongly suppress the electric polarization due to the breaking of the long range ordering of Mn and Dy.¹⁹

This highly frustrated spin state will be broken once the Fe ratio increases to 30%, as shown in Figure 1(d). Two extra peaks appear in the low temperature range around 30° . Above 120 K, there is only a nuclear contribution to the diffraction. Near 36 K, there is a change in the relative intensities of these two peaks, indicating a spin reorientation transition. The different magnetic peak positions from pure TbMnO_3 also suggest a totally different magnetic ordering in $\text{TbMn}_{0.7}\text{Fe}_{0.3}\text{O}_3$. Similar magnetic behavior is found in the samples with Fe ratios of 40% and 50%, as shown in Figures 1(e) and 1(f). The spin reorientation transition temperature shifts to lower temperature as the Fe content increases, while the antiferromagnetic-paramagnetic transition (Néel) temperature shifts to higher temperature. The spin reorientation transition has also been confirmed by magnetic measurements on

a physical properties measurement system (PPMS) (see Figure S4 in the [supplementary material](#)). This magnetic behavior is to some extent similar to that in the $\text{DyMn}_{1-x}\text{Fe}_x\text{O}_3$ system, in which the spin reorientation transition occurs when the Fe ratio is above 50%. The presence of the spin reorientation transition further suggests that there is strong competition among the spin-exchange interactions and that frustrated spin states can be induced by Fe doping.

The trends in the evolution of the lattice parameters and unit cell volumes with temperature for all samples are shown in Figure 2. Several representative refinement results are given in Figure S5 and refinement information can be found in Tables S1–S3 and Figure S6 in the [supplementary material](#). As mentioned above, the unit cell volume becomes smaller as the temperature cools down. In the case of the lattice parameters, both b and c continuously decrease as the temperature cools down, while a decreases at first and then increases in the lower temperature range starting near 200 K. There is no sharp change in the unit cell volume or lattice parameters, which suggests that the magnetic transitions in the current system are all second order transitions.

The magnetic information obtained from refinement results is displayed in Figure 3. For the pure TbMnO_3 , the magnetic moment contributed by the Mn sublattice along the a axis increases as the temperature decreases, while the propagation vector k_y first decreases down to ~ 30 K, below which the propagation vector shows almost no change, as can be seen in Figure 3(a). This is strongly consistent with previous neutron studies based on single crystal TbMnO_3 .^{33,34} As shown by the neutron mapping results for samples with Fe content of 10% and 20%, there are no magnetic contributions

over the entire temperature range. For samples with Fe content of 30%, 40%, and 50%, the Mn/Fe spins first order along the b axis with a G-type antiferromagnetic configuration, with the moment increasing gradually as the sample is cooled down, and then the G-type antiferromagnetic Mn/Fe spins start to rotate to the a axis near 40 K, as shown in Figures 3(b)–3(d). The moment increases sharply along the a axis just after the phase transition and reaches a plateau. The average moment increases as the Fe content increases. Meanwhile, a ferromagnetic component appears along the b axis. This behavior is quite different from that in TbFeO_3 , in which spin reorientation is only found near 6.5 K, and the strong interaction between Tb-Fe is responsible for this transition.³⁹ The spin reorientation here is somewhat similar to that in DyFeO_3 , in which there is a spin reorientation near 35 K, but the spin reorientation process here is totally opposite to that of DyFeO_3 .⁴⁰ Apparently, the origin of the spin reorientation in the current system is mainly from the competitive interaction among Fe and Mn ions. The real stoichiometry of Mn and Fe content is also obtained and the results are: $\text{TbMn}_{0.9}\text{Fe}_{0.1}\text{O}_3$ ($\text{TbMn}_{0.927}\text{Fe}_{0.073}\text{O}_3$); $\text{TbMn}_{0.8}\text{Fe}_{0.2}\text{O}_3$ ($\text{TbMn}_{0.766}\text{Fe}_{0.234}\text{O}_3$); $\text{TbMn}_{0.7}\text{Fe}_{0.3}\text{O}_3$ ($\text{TbMn}_{0.715}\text{Fe}_{0.285}\text{O}_3$); $\text{TbMn}_{0.6}\text{Fe}_{0.4}\text{O}_3$ ($\text{TbMn}_{0.583}\text{Fe}_{0.417}\text{O}_3$); $\text{TbMn}_{0.5}\text{Fe}_{0.5}\text{O}_3$ ($\text{TbMn}_{0.432}\text{Fe}_{0.568}\text{O}_3$).

Based on the above discussion, we can briefly summarize the Fe doping effect on the magnetic structure in TbMnO_3 . The long-range ordering of the incommensurate spin state in pure TbMnO_3 can be destroyed by a small amount of Fe, which drives the system to a highly frustrated spin state (spin-glass-like state). Increasing the level of Fe doping helps to develop long-range orderings, which are completely different from those in pure TbMnO_3 . Due to the complex exchange interaction among Mn-Mn, Fe-Fe, Mn-Fe, and even Tb-Fe or Tb-Mn, a spin reorientation transition is found when the Fe ratio is increased to 30%. The spin reorientation transition temperature does not shift too much when the Fe ratio increases from 30% to 50%, while the Néel temperature increases quickly with the Fe content. The spin reorientation transition follows a transition sequence from a G-type antiferromagnetic ordering along the b axis (G_y) to a canted G-type antiferromagnetic ordering (G_xF_y). A proposed magnetic phase diagram has been plotted in Figure 4(a) and the magnetic structures for G_y and G_xF_y have been given in Figures 4(b) and 4(c), respectively. To avoid misunderstanding on this diagram, the known transition temperatures are only those observed in TbMnO_3 and Fe-doped samples with $x = 0.3, 0.4$, and 0.5 , based on current experiment. Beyond those, all boundary data are speculated.

In spin frustrated $\text{Mn}_{1-x}\text{Co}_x\text{WO}_4$ system, the Co doping is found to help to stabilize the multiferroic ordering and plays a role as same as external magnetic field.⁴¹ The magnetic ordering changes from a commensurate ordering to an incommensurate ordering. Actually, this is a transition from a non-frustrated spin state to frustrated spin state. With higher doping rate, there is a spin flop process, which is also regarded as a spin reorientation transition due to the competition of spin exchange interactions. With the introduction of non-magnetic Mo into MnWO_4 , the magnetic structure does not change but the transition temperature increases with Mo substitution.⁶ There is also a detailed report on the transition metal doped FeVO_4 , in which the magnetic ordering does

FIG. 2. Temperature dependence of the lattice parameters and volumes obtained from the refinement results (units: lattice parameters, a, b, c : Å; volume of unit cell, Å³).

FIG. 3. Magnetic information based on refinement. (a) Temperature dependence of the magnetic moment along the a axis with propagation vector along the b axis for pure TbMnO_3 . (b)–(d) Temperature dependence of the magnetic moments for samples with $x=0.3$, 0.4 , and 0.5 , respectively. The insets show enlargements of the respective low temperature regions.

not change no matter it is magnetic doping nor nonmagnetic doping, and the ferroelectric ordering is even kept at a big doping rate.⁴² The nonmagnetic doping on frustrated $\text{Ni}_3\text{V}_2\text{O}_8$ only plays a dilution effect on the magnetic structure.⁴³ The magnetic Cu doping on $\text{Ni}_3\text{V}_2\text{O}_8$, however, can suppress the frustrated magnetic ordering and help to develop a possible non-frustrated ferromagnetic ordering when the doping rate is higher than 0.1 .⁴⁴ The magnetic doping effect is to some extent dependent on the types of magnetic elements. Co doping in $\text{Ni}_3\text{V}_2\text{O}_8$ does not break the frustrated multiferroic ordering even if the doping is higher than 0.3 . Different from the frustrated spin systems mentioned above, either the spiral or collinear magnetic ordering, expected existing in magnetic Mn/Fe sublattice, is totally absent in current $\text{TbMn}_{1-x}\text{Fe}_x\text{O}_3$ system at low doping rate. Even compared with the $\text{DyMn}_{1-x}\text{Fe}_x\text{O}_3$ system, in which there is no spin reorientation transition and ferromagnetic ordering at the same doping rate, the magnetic interaction in $\text{TbMn}_{1-x}\text{Fe}_x\text{O}_3$ is much complicated. It seems hard to get a completely consistent trend for the doping effect on the

magnetic structures in different frustrated spin systems. Just because of such complexity and versatility, there are more rooms to tune the magnetic ordering and other ordering, which can help to design more magnetic materials and extend potential spintronic device application. Meanwhile, this work will help to understand the similar magnetic behavior in other systems.

In summary, the structural evolution and magnetic behavior of TbMnO_3 with Fe doping has been investigated systemically by powder neutron diffraction. For all the samples, both the b and the c axis become shorter when the temperature is cooled down, while the a axis contracts at first and then expands below 200 K. All the unit cell volumes shrink as the temperature decreases. In TbMnO_3 , incommensurate spin orderings are observed, and our results are consistent with a previous single crystal study. The introduction of small amounts of Fe completely destroys the long-range spin ordering and pushes the systems ($\text{TbMn}_{0.9}\text{Fe}_{0.1}\text{O}_3$ and $\text{TbMn}_{0.8}\text{Fe}_{0.2}\text{O}_3$) to a highly frustrated spin state. With further increases in Fe content (30% – 50%), a spin reorientation process is observed near 35 K, and this process follows a transition sequence from a collinear G-type antiferromagnetic ordering along the b axis (G_y) to a canted G-type antiferromagnetic ordering (G_xF_y). This work will provide a deeper understanding of the frustrated spin state and the complex magnetic interactions in similar systems.

See [supplementary material](#) for the full neutron diffraction patterns, magnetic hysteresis loops of $\text{TbMn}_{0.9}\text{Fe}_{0.1}\text{O}_3$ and $\text{TbMn}_{0.8}\text{Fe}_{0.2}\text{O}_3$ at selected temperatures, ZFC-FC curves for samples with Fe ratios of 10% and 20% , ZFC-FC curves for samples with Fe ratios of 30% , 40% , and 50% , representative refinement results, and data analysis details.

Z. X. Cheng thanks the Australian Research Council through a Future Fellowship (FT 0990287). We thank Dr. Tania Silver for polishing the English.

FIG. 4. Proposed magnetic phase diagram and representative magnetic structures of $\text{TbMn}_{1-x}\text{Fe}_x\text{O}_3$ system based on neutron results. (a) Proposed phase diagram. (b) and (c) The magnetic structures for Γ_1 (G_y) and $\Gamma_4 + \Gamma_3$ (G_xF_y), respectively.

- ¹W. Eerenstein, N. D. Mathur, and J. F. Scott, *Nature* **442**, 759–765 (2006).
- ²S. T. Bramwell and M. J. P. Gingras, *Science* **294**, 1495–1501 (2001).
- ³Y. Taguchi, Y. Oohara, H. Yoshizawa, N. Nagaosa, and Y. Tokura, *Science* **291**, 2573–2576 (2001).
- ⁴L. Balents, *Nature* **464**, 199–208 (2010).
- ⁵A. B. Cairns, M. J. Cliffe, J. A. M. Paddison, D. Daisenberger, M. G. Tucker, F.-X. Coudert, and A. L. Goodwin, *Nat. Chem.* **8**, 442–447 (2016).
- ⁶L. Meddar, M. Josse, M. Maglione, A. Guet, C. La, P. Deniard, R. Decourt, C. Lee, C. Tian, S. Jobic, M.-H. Whangbo, and C. Payen, *Chem. Mater.* **24**, 353–360 (2012).
- ⁷L. Ortega-San-Martin, A. J. Williams, A. Storer, and J. P. Attfield, *Chem. Mater.* **21**, 2436–2441 (2009).
- ⁸Y. K. Jeong, J.-H. Lee, S.-J. Ahn, S.-W. Song, H. M. Jang, H. Choi, and J. F. Scott, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **134**, 1450–1453 (2012).
- ⁹F. D. Romero, L. Coyle, and M. A. Hayward, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **134**, 15946–15952 (2012).
- ¹⁰L. Clark and P. Lightfoot, *Nat. Chem.* **8**, 402–404 (2016).
- ¹¹N. E. Amunke, J. Tapp, C. R. de la Cruz, and A. Möller, *Chem. Mater.* **26**, 5930–5935 (2014).
- ¹²H. Luo, J. W. Krizan, E. M. Seibel, W. Xie, G. S. Sahasrabudhe, S. L. Bergman, B. F. Phelan, J. Tao, Z. Wang, J. Zhang, and R. J. Cava, *Chem. Mater.* **27**, 6810–6817 (2015).
- ¹³C. Castelnovo, R. Moessner, and S. L. Sondhi, *Nature* **451**, 42–45 (2008).
- ¹⁴A. P. Ramirez, A. Hayashi, R. J. Cava, R. Siddharthan, and B. S. Shastry, *Nature* **399**, 333–335 (1999).
- ¹⁵M. J. Harris, S. T. Bramwell, D. F. McMorro, T. Zeiske, and K. W. Godfrey, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **79**, 2554–2557 (1997).
- ¹⁶Y. Tokiwa, T. Yamashita, M. Udagawa, S. Kittaka, T. Sakakibara, D. Terazawa, Y. Shimoyama, T. Terashima, Y. Yasui, T. Shibauchi, and Y. Matsuda, *Nat. Commun.* **7**, 10807 (2016).
- ¹⁷J. Hemberger, F. Schrettle, A. Pimenov, P. Lunkenheimer, V. Y. Ivanov, A. A. Mukhin, A. M. Balbashov, and A. Loidl, *Phys. Rev. B* **75**, 035118 (2007).
- ¹⁸T. Kimura, G. Lawes, T. Goto, Y. Tokura, and A. P. Ramirez, *Phys. Rev. B* **71**, 224425 (2005).
- ¹⁹N. Zhang, K. F. Wang, S. J. Luo, T. Wei, X. W. Dong, S. Z. Li, J. G. Wan, and J.-M. Liu, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **96**, 252902 (2010).
- ²⁰T. Kimura, S. Ishihara, H. Shintani, T. Arima, K. T. Takahashi, K. Ishizaka, and Y. Tokura, *Phys. Rev. B* **68**, 060403 (2003).
- ²¹T. Aoyama, K. Yamauchi, A. Iyama, S. Picozzi, K. Shimizu, and T. Kimura, *Nat. Commun.* **5**, 4927 (2014).
- ²²M. Matsubara, S. Manz, M. Mochizuki, T. Kubacka, A. Iyama, N. Aliouane, T. Kimura, S. L. Johnson, D. Meier, and M. Fiebig, *Science* **348**, 1112–1115 (2015).
- ²³M. Mostovoy, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **96**, 067601 (2006).
- ²⁴G. Catalan and J. F. Scott, *Adv. Mater.* **21**, 2463–2485 (2009).
- ²⁵C. A. F. Vaz, J. Hoffman, C. H. Anh, and R. Ramesh, *Adv. Mater.* **22**, 2900–2918 (2010).
- ²⁶I. Fina, L. Fabrega, X. Marti, F. Sanchez, and J. Fontcuberta, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **97**, 232905 (2010).
- ²⁷V. Garcia, M. Bibes, L. Bocher, S. Valencia, F. Kronast, A. Crassous, X. Moya, S. Enouz-Vedrenne, A. Gloter, D. Imhoff, C. Deranlot, N. D. Mathur, S. Fusil, K. Bouzehouane, and A. Barthelémy, *Science* **327**, 1106–1110 (2010).
- ²⁸T. Lottermoser, T. Lonkai, U. Amann, D. Hohlwein, J. Ihlinger, and M. Fiebig, *Nature* **430**, 541–544 (2004).
- ²⁹S. J. Luo, S. Z. Li, N. Zhang, T. Wei, X. W. Dong, K. F. Wang, and J. M. Liu, *Thin Solid Films* **519**, 240–243 (2010).
- ³⁰H. M. Jang, J. H. Park, S. W. Ryu, and S. R. Shannigrahi, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **93**, 252904 (2008).
- ³¹V. B. Naik and R. Mahendiran, *J. Appl. Phys.* **106**, 123910 (2009).
- ³²R. Muralidharan, T. H. Jang, C. H. Yang, Y. H. Jeong, and T. Y. Koo, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **90**, 012506 (2007).
- ³³R. Kajimoto, H. Yoshizawa, H. Shintani, T. Kimura, and Y. Tokura, *Phys. Rev. B* **70**, 012401 (2004).
- ³⁴M. Kenzelmann, A. B. Harris, S. Jonas, C. Broholm, J. Schefer, S. B. Kim, C. L. Zhang, S. W. Cheong, O. P. Vajk, and J. W. Lynn, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **95**, 087206 (2005).
- ³⁵F. Hong, Z. Cheng, H. Zhao, H. Kimura, and X. Wang, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **99**, 092502 (2011).
- ³⁶F. K. Chiang, M. W. Chu, F. C. Chou, H. T. Jeng, H. S. Sheu, F. R. Chen, and C. H. Chen, *Phys. Rev. B* **83**, 245105 (2011).
- ³⁷M. J. P. Gingras, C. V. Stager, N. P. Raju, B. D. Gaulin, and J. E. Greedan, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **78**, 947–950 (1997).
- ³⁸J. S. Gardner, S. R. Dunsiger, B. D. Gaulin, M. J. P. Gingras, J. E. Greedan, R. F. Kiefl, M. D. Lumsden, W. A. MacFarlane, N. P. Raju, J. E. Sonier, I. Swainson, and Z. Tun, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **82**, 1012–1015 (1999).
- ³⁹O. Nikolov, I. Hall, S. N. Barilo, and S. A. Guretskii, *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* **6**, 3793 (1994).
- ⁴⁰L. A. Prelorendjo, C. E. Johnson, M. F. Thomas, and B. M. Wanklyn, *J. Phys. C: Solid State Phys.* **13**, 2567 (1980).
- ⁴¹Y. S. Song, J. H. Chung, J. M. S. Park, and Y. N. Choi, *Phys. Rev. B* **79**, 224415 (2009).
- ⁴²A. Kumarasiri, E. Abdelhamid, A. Dixit, and G. Lawes, *Phys. Rev. B* **91**, 014420 (2015).
- ⁴³P. Kharel, A. Kumarasiri, A. Dixit, N. Rogado, R. J. Cava, and G. Lawes, *Philos. Mag.* **89**, 1923–1932 (2009).
- ⁴⁴A. Kumarasiri and G. Lawes, *Phys. Rev. B* **84**, 064447 (2011).