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Abstract
OBJECTIVE: To evaluate pregnant women's knowledge regarding the importance of long-chain omega-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids (LC n-3 PUFA) consumption during pregnancy and assess their views on current information availability.

DESIGN: A 27-item demographic and food safety/behaviour questionnaire was administered to pregnant women during their antenatal clinic visits. chi2 tests were performed using SPSS.
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RESULTS: Three quarters of the women had not received information regarding LC n-3 PUFA. Approximately half of the women were aware of issues relating to LC n-3 PUFA; however, their knowledge was limited, with most obtaining their knowledge from books and magazines. Women generally had low (30 %, 29 %) to moderate (28 %, 24 %) levels of concern about LC n-3 PUFA and mercury, respectively.

CONCLUSIONS: Pregnant women lack knowledge of LC n-3 PUFA and health-care services do not provide pregnant women with adequate information on the importance of eating foods high in LC n-3 PUFA during pregnancy.
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Abstract

Objective: To evaluate pregnant women’s knowledge regarding the importance of long-chain omega-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids (LC n-3 PUFA) consumption during pregnancy and assess their views on current information availability.

Design: A 27-item demographic and food safety/behaviour questionnaire was administered to pregnant women during their antenatal clinic visits. χ² tests were performed using SPSS.

Setting: Antenatal clinics at two regional hospitals in New South Wales, Australia.

Subjects: One hundred and ninety (n=190) pregnant women.

Results: Three quarters of the women had not received information regarding LC n-3 PUFA. Approximately half of the women were aware of issues relating to LC n-3 PUFA; however, their knowledge was limited, with most obtaining their knowledge from books and magazines. Women generally had low (30%, 29%) to moderate (28%, 24%) levels of concern about LC n-3 PUFA and mercury, respectively.

Conclusions: Pregnant women lack knowledge of LC n-3 PUFA and health-care services do not provide pregnant women with adequate information on the importance of eating foods high in LC n-3 PUFA during pregnancy.
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Omega-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids (n-3 PUFA) are important for health, including cardiovascular health(1), mental health(2) and inflammatory disorders(3). Most of these health benefits have been attributed to the long-chain (LC) eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA) and docosahexaenoic acid (DHA).

LC n-3 PUFA can be synthesised from α-linolenic acid but the conversion through to DHA is limited(4). Hence, direct consumption of DHA is preferred. The main dietary source of DHA is fish and seafood(5,6). DHA is a major structural component membrane phospholipids in utero and in infancy, especially of the nervous system(7). Due to the importance of DHA in the brain and retina, it plays a crucial role in normal neurotransmission and visual function(8,9), as well as in controlling the processes of learning and development(10). Decreased levels of DHA have been associated with depression(11,12) and trials in assessing the effects of DHA supplementation in postnatal depression are currently underway.

During pregnancy there are many metabolic changes that occur, including the mobilisation of nutrients for the growing fetus. One such nutrient that is mobilised during pregnancy is DHA, which is crucial for proper neural, visual and cognitive development of the fetus(13,11). Al et al. showed that DHA and arachidonic acid are both transferred to the fetus during pregnancy(13). Arachidonic acid is easily synthesised from linoleic acid, which is abundant in the diet, whereas DHA is consumed in much lower amounts(5,6). If the maternal intake of DHA is limiting, DHA will be mobilised from the maternal stores to supply the growing fetus with this essential nutrient(13).

The National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC) has released the Nutrient Reference Values for Australia and New Zealand and recommends LC n-3 PUFA intake of 160 mg/d for adult men and 90 mg/d for adult women(14). For pregnancy, the recommendation is higher at 110 mg/d for 14–18-year-olds and 115 mg/d for 19–50-year-olds(14). However, the International Society for the Study of Fatty Acids and Lipids (ISSFAL) recommends 300 mg of DHA daily for pregnant women(15). Currently, Australian women (19 years and older) are consuming 195 mg LC n-3 PUFA daily comprising of 60 mg EPA, 52 mg docosapentaenoic acid.
and 83 mg DHA(6). These current intakes meet the NHMRC recommendations but fall short of the ISSFAL recommendations. Insufficient intakes of DHA have particularly been noted for the developed nations such as Australia, Canada, the UK and the USA(16).

Pregnant women and their babies are a priority public health target group, making this group the main target in education and promotion of the positive effects of LC n-3 PUFA, including DHA. Although fish and seafood are the richest source of DHA, they can be a major source of contaminants such as methyl mercury, polychlorinated biphenyls, dioxins and other environmental contaminants(17). Larger and longer living predatory fish, such as shark, ray, swordfish and gemfish, accumulate higher levels of mercury and it is these fish that pose the greatest threat of exposure to mercury, but the smaller fish such as shellfish, salmon and canned (or fresh) tuna accumulate very low levels of mercury(18). The areas most affected by higher levels of mercury accumulation in fish are those that develop in acidic waters(19). Methyl mercury, particularly, can diminish the effect of LC n-3 PUFA in fish, which can be harmful to the developing nervous system(17,20). However, a recent study by Hibbeln et al.(21) found that women who consumed more than 340 g of fish/seafood per week (equivalent to two fish meals a week) during their pregnancy had children with higher IQ at age 8 compared with the children whose mothers consumed less than 340 g of fish/seafood per week and no detrimental effects of fish/seafood consumption were reported(21).

Adverse messages and/or negative press about food health issues can influence people’s decisions with respect to incorporation of fish in the diet. A study of consumer perception about fish consumption found that a relatively high proportion of subjects, 43% of a total 429 respondents, did not eat fish at least once a week due to negative perceptions on fish intake(22). Pregnant women may lack familiarity with the ‘right’ foods, creating a major barrier to adequate LC n-3 PUFA intake(23). Adequate amounts of information and accessibility of this information, as well as guidelines, will assist women to gain the benefits of eating fish rich in LC n-3 PUFA and would outweigh the risks, ensuring they and their babies gain maximum nutritional benefits(24). Fortunately, research has acknowledged that Australian seafood is the best source of omega-3 oils and that it is safe to consume either wild or farmed seafood(25).

Pregnant women actively seek advice about various health-related topics, including nutritional changes, to ensure the healthy development of their baby(26). Nutritional information routinely provided to pregnant women has a focus on nutrients, predominantly calcium, iron and folate(27–29). Recommendations on the provision of this information have been put into place, and sufficient information is available to women regarding the need to increase consumption of foods high in these nutrients(29).

However, less information is available regarding the importance of LC n-3 PUFA and the safety issues associated with intake of fish during pregnancy(31,20,27,30,31). No study has been reported that examines pregnant women’s awareness, knowledge and views on current information availability regarding LC n-3 PUFA. Assessment of communication strategies between health-care providers and pregnant women in relation to LC n-3 PUFA is an important step in the development of effective nutrition education strategies.

The aims of the study were: (i) to provide an insight into pregnant women’s knowledge of LC n-3 PUFA; (ii) to assess their understanding of the potential risks associated with the consumption of fish/seafood during pregnancy; and (iii) to assess their views on current information availability in relation to the importance of LC n-3 PUFA and their safe consumption during pregnancy.

Methods

Development and pilot testing of survey

The survey questions were constructed on the basis of information obtained from interviews with health-care providers, published elsewhere(32). Questions explored the extent to which information from health-care providers reached pregnant women and assessed the pregnant women’s knowledge of LC n-3 PUFA. The survey was divided into three sections in relation to the question content: (i) demographics; (ii) food safety attitude/behaviour; and (iii) LC n-3 PUFA/mercury. The demographic questions included education levels, income levels and the number of weeks pregnant. The food safety attitude/behaviour section involved questions on sources of information utilised by the women and the specific (nutrition) information they sought. Specific LC n-3 PUFA/mercury questions involved knowledge of LC n-3 PUFA and main concerns during pregnancy associated with fish consumption.

A 26-item questionnaire was trialled in a pilot study (n 10). Quality control checks were performed during the course of the pilot to ensure a robust instrument was developed and an additional question was added. The questionnaire was reviewed for content validity by researchers with expertise in nutrition/food safety survey development. This aimed to minimise risks associated with possible anxiety experiences sometimes associated with food safety questionnaires. Two groups of five women assisted with minor editing and question sequencing modifications to improve the flow and clarity of the questionnaire.

Subject recruitment

Pregnant women were recruited to participate in completing a self-administered questionnaire while waiting for their appointment at antenatal clinics at two regional
hospitals in NSW, Australia, one of which was a coastal and the other an inland hospital. The hospitals were selected based on convenience in terms of geographic location and accessibility. Information sheets and flyers were used to recruit participants during the times of the clinics.

**Data collection**

Pregnant women who attended antenatal clinics were approached to participate in the study. The 27-item survey was self-administered by the majority of women, although, if necessary, the women could ask the researcher for assistance. All women were informed about the purpose of the study and written consent was obtained prior to completing the survey. Procedures were approved by the Human Ethics Research Committee of University of Wollongong. Duration of participation ranged from 10–15 min.

**Data analysis**

Data were coded and analysed using SPSS (Statistical Package for Social Sciences) version 13.0 for Windows (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Open-ended responses were coded for analysis. $\chi^2$ testing was used to determine statistically significant relationships within the data, with a level of significance set at $\alpha = 0.05$ for all analyses.

### Results

#### Subject characteristics

A sample of 190 women was recruited from two hospital antenatal clinics (Table 1). The majority of the women (67%) surveyed were in the third trimester of their pregnancy. For most of the women this was not their first pregnancy (66%) and, of these, 62% were in their second pregnancy. For 64% of the participants, the current pregnancy was planned. Approximately one-third of women in this study have obtained tertiary qualifications (27%), one-third have completed high school (31%) and 40% of women have completed TAFE (Technical and Further Education/community college). Similarly, one-third of the women’s income was no greater than $20,000, one-third of the women had an income between $20,001 and $40,000, and approximately one-third had an annual income between $40,001 and $75,001. Surveys classified the type of antenatal care the women were receiving in two ways: ‘Antenatal Shared Care Programme’ (women are under the care of their family doctor in conjunction with midwives at antenatal clinics) and ‘Midwife Group Practice’ (women predominantly under the care of midwives at antenatal clinics). Two-thirds of the women were involved in the Antenatal Shared Care Programme (67%) for their antenatal care.

#### Receipt of LC n-3 PUFA information

Only approximately one-quarter of women (23%) received information about LC n-3 PUFA (Fig. 1). Similarly, only a small number of women reported antenatal discussions about mercury (19%) and listeria during pregnancy (27%). Other health and nutrition topics reported to be frequently discussed by the health-care providers with pregnant women included smoking habits, iron deficiency, alcohol/drug intake, folate and calcium (66%, 71%, 63%, 74% and 50%, respectively) (Fig. 1). Even though a larger proportion of information provided to women during the course of their pregnancy was on food and nutrition changes (35%), labour/birth was another frequently discussed topic area (33%). Similarly, the information pregnant women generally inquired about

| Table 1 Characteristics of pregnant women (n 190) |
|---------------------------------|-----|---|
| **Characteristic** | **n** | **%** |
| **Stage of pregnancy** | | |
| First trimester | 18 | 10 |
| Second trimester | 44 | 23 |
| Third trimester | 128 | 67 |
| **Number of pregnancies** | | |
| One | 64 | 34 |
| Two | 72 | 37 |
| Three | 36 | 19 |
| Four or more | 12 | 6 |
| **Planned pregnancy** | | |
| Yes | 121 | 64 |
| No | 69 | 36 |
| **Income ($ per year)** | | |
| <$10,000 | 24 | 13 |
| 10,001–20,000 | 31 | 17 |
| 20,001–40,000 | 60 | 32 |
| 40,001–75,000 | 54 | 29 |
| >75,000 | 21 | 11 |
| **Education** | | |
| Primary | 3 | 2 |
| Secondary | 59 | 31 |
| TAFE* | 75 | 39 |
| University undergraduate | 20 | 11 |
| University postgraduate | 31 | 16 |
| Other | 2 | 1 |
| **Form of care** | | |
| Antenatal Shared Care Programme | 127 | 67 |
| Midwife Group Practice | 63 | 33 |

*TAFE: Technical and Further Education (community college).
+Other: college diploma, university certificate.

**Fig. 1** Issues discussed with women during pregnancy. ■ Yes, issue has been raised with pregnant woman; □ No, issue had not been raised with pregnant woman.
were food and nutrition changes (34%) and/or labour/birth (33%).

**Knowledge about LC n-3 PUFA**

Despite a high number of women having received no information about LC n-3 PUFA, more than half of the women (55%) were aware of issues regarding risks and benefits of eating fish during pregnancy, while 20% of women answered ‘not sure’ and 25% reported they were not aware at all. The women who were aware of issues related to eating fish during pregnancy were asked to identify the issues. Most knowledge related to risk associated with mercury (37%) and benefits of LC n-3 PUFA (29%).

Women who had not received information about LC n-3 PUFA during pregnancy (n 147) reported lower awareness of issues related to risks and benefits of eating fish during this time as opposed to women who had received information on LC n-3 PUFA (n 43) (Pearson χ² 12-288, P = 0-002).

The main message received by those women reporting having received anything (n 43) was related to baby’s brain development (56%), followed by non-specific benefits associated with LC n-3 PUFA (30%). A minority of these women could not recall the importance of this fatty acid (14%, n 6).

Of those women who were aware of issues related to eating fish (n 105), 70% were women who had planned their current pregnancy, showing a significant association (Pearson χ² 7-277, P = 0-026). Having a prior pregnancy did not affect the level of awareness of LC n-3 PUFA and fish consumption (Pearson χ² 1-118, P = 0-891).

The results show a significant association between women’s level of income and awareness related to fish consumption (Pearson χ² 16-733, P < 0-05). Similarly, an association was found between level of education and knowledge about LC n-3 PUFA (Pearson χ² 8-165, P = 0-017). Women with higher levels of education were more aware of issues related to eating fish during pregnancy. Upon evaluating each of these independent variables by performing a multiple regression analysis, level of education remained statistically significant (P = 0-012) and thus provides a stronger contribution to the level of awareness.

**Information sources**

The form of antenatal care received by the women was not found to be associated with women’s knowledge (Pearson χ² 0-817, P = 0-665) or having received information about LC n-3 PUFA (Pearson χ² 1-021, P = 0-204). In terms of initial contact after learning about their pregnancy, women most often saw their family doctors (59%), family and friends combined (26% and 13% each), followed by midwives (8%). The family doctor was reported to be the main source of general information for pregnant women, and an association was found between source of information and level of income (Pearson χ² 3-995, P = 0-035).

![Fig. 2 Sources of information on LC n-3 PUFA (n 102). Family doctor (n 27), midwife (n 20), dietitian (n 5), obstetrician (n 1), family member (n 2), friend (n 4), Internet (n 6), books/magazines (n 29) and others, e.g. TV, IVF clinics (n 8)](image)

**Table 2 Channels of receiving information during pregnancy***

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>How women receive information on LC n-3 PUFA (n 43)</th>
<th>Preferred channel of receiving information on LC n-3 PUFA (n 55)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pamphlets</td>
<td>In-person</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Participants could have chosen more than one option.

With respect to LC n-3 PUFA, women received information from a wide range of sources, as presented in Fig. 2. Books and magazines were reported to be the most common source of information (28%), followed by family doctors (26%) and midwives (20%). Significant associations were found between the tertiary level of education and accessing information about LC n-3 PUFA from books/magazines (Pearson χ² 10-311, P = 0-001). Women with higher levels of education were more likely to seek out information themselves. Women with lower levels of education, i.e. ‘primary and secondary’, were less likely to receive information about LC n-3 PUFA (Pearson χ² 4-529, P = 0-024) (Fig. 2).

Women who had received information about LC n-3 PUFA from a health-care provider identified pamphlets as the most common form of receiving that information (48%) (Table 2). Many women also identified receiving information verbally (37%). Women’s preferred channel of receiving information was overwhelmingly identified as pamphlets (72%).

**Need for more information and awareness of risks and benefits of fish consumption**

A majority of the women (n 137; 72%) stated they would not like to receive more information about LC n-3 PUFA.
and fish consumption. Furthermore, of those who had
answered not having received information on fish con-
sumption during pregnancy (n 147; 77%), only forty-four
women (30%) would like to receive more information.
No significant association was found between having
received information and a need for more information
(Pearson \( \chi^2 0.729, P = 0.257 \)).

Regarding awareness of safe fish consumption during
pregnancy, 105 women were aware (55%), forty-eight
women were not aware (25%) and thirty-seven women
were not sure (20%). Of the women who were not aware
women were not aware (25%) and thirty-seven women
pregnancy, 105 women were aware (55%), forty-eight
women were not aware (25%) and thirty-seven women

The present study identified that only a small number of
women had received even limited information about LC
n-3 PUFA and safe fish consumption from their health-
care provider. Women reported very limited exposure to
information on the importance of LC n-3 PUFA and safe
fish intake during pregnancy. In fact, the survey data
showed a high proportion of women (77%) receiving no
information on these issues during their health-care visits.
The survey data also indicated that many women would
like ‘any’ information about LC n-3 PUFA and safe fish
consumption, confirming that their knowledge was very
limited.

Previous studies have identified a gap in the knowl-
edge of food safety among women, indicating they may
not be comprehending the message that consumption
of some fish species during pregnancy can adversely
affect their baby(31,33). Athearn et al. found that women
were not informed about and were unaware of potential
risks of consuming certain foods during pregnancy(31).
Any potential risk to a baby can be an incentive for
pregnant women to access suitable information(31). This
has important implications for education initiatives to
promote food safety, specifically in terms of mercury
in fish.

The study results indicated a high level of interest
among the women about various nutrition and food
safety matters that were important for the healthy devel-
opment of their baby. In some instances this interest
prompted information-seeking behaviours, particularly
by women with higher levels of education. A previous
study investigating information-seeking behaviour of
pregnant women found that previous knowledge may
influence search behaviours(34). This may indicate that a
programme to communicate LC n-3 PUFA and safe fish
consumption information to the general population may
raise an awareness of the issues and lead to the promo-
tion of further information-seeking behaviours.

Response to information received
Women rated food and nutrition changes (in conjunction
with labour/birth) as the most sought and provided
information. However, LC n-3 PUFA and safe fish con-
sumption information did not appear to be commu-
nicated regularly as part of the nutrition education
activities. Food and nutrition changes frequently dis-
cussed by women’s health-care professionals included
calcium, iron and folate. This finding is consistent with
those of a recent study that found that pregnant women
were less aware of LC n-3 PUFA compared to other
nutrients, i.e. folate and calcium(27).

The level of control a pregnant woman had over
her actions appeared to be inversely proportional to the
level of concern regarding certain issues. Health-care
providers placed high importance on the issues of
smoking and drug/alcohol use in pregnancy(30) but these
were rated as low levels of concern by the women. This
finding may reflect that women feel they can exercise
control over these matters and hence cease these beha-
vours when pregnant or when planning a pregnancy.
Conversely, labour/birth is something women have no
control over, reflected in their reported high levels of
concern.

Nutrition, a central issue of concern, can be controlled
to a certain degree. Hence, women who were widely
informed and confident about their choices were most
likely to express lower levels of concern. Women who
were not informed may have low levels of concern due to
lack of information or conversely express high levels of
concern if aware of risks but not informed about approp-
riate ways to manage the risks. This issue of expressed

Discussion

Pregnant women’s knowledge and risks and
benefits of LC n-3 PUFA
The present study identified that only a small number of
women had received even limited information about LC
n-3 PUFA and safe fish consumption from their health-
care provider. Women reported very limited exposure to
information on the importance of LC n-3 PUFA and safe
fish intake during pregnancy. In fact, the survey data
showed a high proportion of women (77%) receiving no
information on these issues during their health-care visits.
The survey data also indicated that many women would
like ‘any’ information about LC n-3 PUFA and safe fish
consumption, confirming that their knowledge was very
limited.

Previous studies have identified a gap in the knowl-
edge of food safety among women, indicating they may
not be comprehending the message that consumption
of some fish species during pregnancy can adversely
affect their baby(31,33). Athearn et al. found that women
were not informed about and were unaware of potential
risks of consuming certain foods during pregnancy(31).
Any potential risk to a baby can be an incentive for
pregnant women to access suitable information(31). This
has important implications for education initiatives to
promote food safety, specifically in terms of mercury
in fish.

The study results indicated a high level of interest
among the women about various nutrition and food
safety matters that were important for the healthy devel-
opment of their baby. In some instances this interest
prompted information-seeking behaviours, particularly
by women with higher levels of education. A previous
study investigating information-seeking behaviour of
pregnant women found that previous knowledge may
influence search behaviours(34). This may indicate that a
programme to communicate LC n-3 PUFA and safe fish
consumption information to the general population may
raise an awareness of the issues and lead to the promo-
tion of further information-seeking behaviours.

Response to information received
Women rated food and nutrition changes (in conjunction
with labour/birth) as the most sought and provided
information. However, LC n-3 PUFA and safe fish con-
sumption information did not appear to be commu-
nicated regularly as part of the nutrition education
activities. Food and nutrition changes frequently dis-
cussed by women’s health-care professionals included
calcium, iron and folate. This finding is consistent with
those of a recent study that found that pregnant women
were less aware of LC n-3 PUFA compared to other
nutrients, i.e. folate and calcium(27).

The level of control a pregnant woman had over
her actions appeared to be inversely proportional to the
level of concern regarding certain issues. Health-care
providers placed high importance on the issues of
smoking and drug/alcohol use in pregnancy(30) but these
were rated as low levels of concern by the women. This
finding may reflect that women feel they can exercise
control over these matters and hence cease these beha-
vours when pregnant or when planning a pregnancy.
Conversely, labour/birth is something women have no
control over, reflected in their reported high levels of
concern.

Nutrition, a central issue of concern, can be controlled
to a certain degree. Hence, women who were widely
informed and confident about their choices were most
likely to express lower levels of concern. Women who
were not informed may have low levels of concern due to
lack of information or conversely express high levels of
concern if aware of risks but not informed about approp-
riate ways to manage the risks. This issue of expressed

Views on current information availability
On the scale of concern from one to five across a range of
topic areas, women rated LC n-3 PUFA and mercury
mostly as ‘very low’ levels of concern, 30% and 29%,
respectively. Approximately equal proportions of parti-
cipants reported having ‘moderate concern’ about LC n-3
PUFA and mercury, 28% and 24%, respectively. The
smallest percentage of participants rated LC n-3 PUFA and mercury as ‘very high’ level of concern, 5% each. Levels
of concern about LC n-3 PUFA were not associated with
increasing number of pregnancies (Pearson \( \chi^2 2.158,
P = 0.905 \)). A high proportion of women (85%) had no
corns about eating fish regularly.

The issue of most concern that was raised among
women was labour/birth. The least knowledge about a
certain issue across all topic areas was listeria, rating
highest for the ‘not sure’ category at 32%.
concern, actual risk and ability to manage the risk is central to communicating effectively with pregnant women and should be a high priority for future research.

**Accessing LC n-3 PUFA information**

Health professionals were not reported to provide women with information. The women indicated they sought their own information on LC n-3 PUFA. The sources of information on LC n-3 PUFA most reported in this study were books/magazines. However, the accuracy of this information was not determined in the present study and it may vary and be hard to monitor. Previous studies have also reported that pregnant women sought information beyond that offered by their health-care provider\(^{34}\). Health-care professionals providing information on LC n-3 PUFA are one avenue for women to gain information. Increased education of health-care providers, particularly family doctors and midwives, about LC n-3 PUFA and how to communicate effectively to pregnant women is clearly warranted, but so too may be the need to review information on LC n-3 PUFA provided by other sources such as books and magazines.

Printed education materials are free, quick and easy to read and may include places for consumers to access more information, such as information on websites\(^{31,35}\). Women in this study reported pamphlets as a preferred channel of receiving information about LC n-3 PUFA and mercury. However, in a related study on breast-feeding education, Guise *et al.* found primary care-based intervention (i.e. direct professional contact) was the most effective communication strategy and that written materials were not effective in encouraging and prolonging the duration of breast-feeding\(^{30}\). The reasons for this are unclear – perhaps the personal aspects of providing/receiving information instil more trust or confidence in the information provided, or the nature of the topic (breast-feeding is a specific and highly personal behaviour) may lend itself to a more individually focussed approach. Whichever is the case, it suggests that what women report as a preferred channel of receiving information may not be the most effective communication strategy to bring about a behaviour change, such as changing her diet\(^{31}\). This also has implications for studies such as reported here. Is the desired outcome knowledge of nutrition (in this case LC n-3 PUFA, mercury and fish consumption) or the change in eating behaviours during pregnancy? At this point we do not even know whether women are receiving the appropriate information. Future research should explore more fully what impact having the information has on their dietary behaviours. The preliminary results of the present study indicate that women are likely to change their eating behaviours when equipped with appropriate information.

Public availability of written food and safety materials in Australia for pregnant women is very limited. A few resources were accessed through the course of the study and were available to pregnant women from selected organisations, including at the national level by the Food Standards Australia New Zealand and at the state level by the New South Wales Food Authority, both food regulatory agencies. However, these existing education materials mainly focused on the safety of fish consumption, providing recommendations on how often and what type of fish to have. Information about the importance (and (health) benefits of LC n-3 PUFA and information about alternative sources of LC n-3 PUFA were absent. Regardless of the quality of some of this information, health-care providers appear to have limited access to such materials. This could be due to a lack of awareness of the resources, perceived lack of suitability of the material or limited provision of suitable information via their own employer, a hospital or the health department.

**Demographic variation/limitations**

Identifying variation due to socio-economic levels may help to segment this target population, identifying different sources of information used by subgroups of women and different ways of meeting their needs.

This study found that different levels of income and education were associated with pregnant women's knowledge. Women with a higher level of income were found to have considerably higher awareness of LC n-3 PUFA and the importance of eating fish during pregnancy. This is consistent with Park's and Johnson's study in 2006 reporting that women from their cohort with high income levels (24% with >$75 000 v. 13% with <$15 000) have higher mercury awareness\(^{57}\). Women with higher education levels reported a higher use of the Internet and books and/or magazines than women with lower education levels. This may indicate that women with higher levels of education feel confident in relying on their own research and appraisal to gain an insight into health issues. Women with lower levels of education reported a higher incidence of not having received any information about LC n-3 PUFA. It appears that women with high levels of education have a higher mercury awareness\(^{57}\). Variation due to demographic factors has been commonly noted in research\(^{33,38}\). One previous study investigated sources of information of low-income pregnant women (≤$10 000–$20 000) (n 134) and identified family and friends as the more common source of information, above health-care providers\(^{59}\). However, this was not the finding of the present study, where health-care providers were identified as the main sources of information for pregnant women. In our study there were a smaller number of low-income participants (n 55), which may account for such a difference.

The results of the present study should not be considered representative of all pregnant women, particularly as those women attending private hospitals/clinics were not included in the study. Unfortunately, only a limited number of health-care facilities could be accessed in the time available for the study. The basis of the study,
In summary, a large number of pregnant women reported not receiving information about LC n-3 PUFA during contact with the health-care system for their pregnancy. Some women reported searching themselves for this information but their knowledge was limited. Books and magazines were the main sources of LC n-3 PUFA information for the women in the present study. The information that women most frequently requested for provision during pregnancy was in relation to labour/birth and food and nutrition changes, and they sought this information from their family doctors. It would appear that a few government agencies are providing information on fish consumption during pregnancy, but it does not include information about LC n-3 PUFA, is not promoted by the health services and does not reach health-care providers or the pregnant women in their care.
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