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Improved cycling stability of lithium-sulphur batteries by enhancing the retention of active material with a sandwiched hydrothermally treated graphite film

Yuede Pan, Shu Lei Chou*, Hua Kun Liu and Shi Xue Dou

A new lithium-sulphur battery with a hydrothermally treated graphite film sandwiched between the separator and the sulphur cathode shows increased capacity, enhanced cycling stability and improved Coulombic efficiency. After 50 cycles, a high capacity of 631 mA h g⁻¹ is maintained, compared to 203 mA h g⁻¹ for the Li-S battery with conventional configuration. Moreover, the Coulombic efficiency is increased to near 100% from around 94%. This improved electrochemical performance could be attributed to the new cell configuration, for the graphite film greatly retains the active material by alleviating the polysulphide shuttling problem and providing extra reaction sites for sulphur species.

Introduction

Li-S batteries have been considered as promising next-generation batteries owing to their high theoretical specific capacity of 1675 mA h g⁻¹ and energy density of 2500 W h kg⁻¹, the abundance and low price of sulphur¹. The theoretical energy density of Li-S batteries is 3–5 times higher than that of conventional lithium ion batteries². These attractive advantages make Li-S batteries an important candidate of energy storage systems for wide applications in electric vehicles and back-up energy storage applicants for renewable and intermittent energies like solar and wind. However, compared to conventional electrode materials (LiCoO₂, LiFePO₄, etc.), a unique problem for sulphur as the cathode material is the low utilization of the active material sulphur³. First, the intrinsic high electrical resistivity of sulphur makes the active material utilization very low, particularly at high rates⁴. Because of the poor transport of both lithium ions and electrons, a certain percentage of the sulphur in the cathode is not able to be participating in the electrochemical reactions. This is one main reason that for a long time, the reported capacity of Li-S batteries was low until Nazar et al. reported a high-capacity Li-S cathode of S/CMK-3 composite, prepared by a melt-diffusion method⁵. Second, apart from the low utilization of sulphur in the cathode, sulphur is lost in the form of polysulphides, which are the solvable intermediate products in the transformation between the two end products of sulphur and Li₂S. The intermediate polysulphides are dissolved in the ether-based liquid electrolyte and shuttle between the sulphur cathode and the lithium anode, causing quickly decay of the capacity⁶. To increase the active material utilization, varied approaches have been applied. For example, sulphur has been incorporated with different carbon materials⁷, conductive polymer⁸ and metal oxides⁹. Also, the interlayer strategy proves effective in decreasing the polysulphide shuttling problem¹⁰.

Here, we report a new configuration of Li-S batteries using a graphite film sandwiched between the sulphur cathode and the separator (Scheme 1). The cathode material is sulphur/Super P composite (S/SP). The graphite film was hydrothermally treated in order to anchor functional groups of carbonyl and carboxyl, which have strong affinity with the polysulphides. The graphite film suppresses the polysulphide shuttling problem and provides extra reaction sites for the sulphur species, thereby improves the active material utilization, the capacity and the cycling stability of the Li-S battery.

Experimental

Hydrothermal treatment of the graphite film. Graphite films were ultrasonically treated in acetonite, and then put into a 100 mL Teflon-lined stainless-steel autoclave. 50 mL of deionized water was added and heated at 120°C for 10 h. After the hydrothermal treatment, the films were ultrasonicated in acetonite and dried at 100 for 4 h and then put into a glove box filled with argon. Before the cells were assembled, the graphite films were soaked in the electrolyte for 24 h.

Material characterizations. S/SP composite was prepared through the reaction between sodium thiosulphate and oxalic acid. Raman scattering experiments were performed on a JOBIN YVON HR800 Confocal Raman system with a 632.8 nm He-Ne laser. The morphology and structure of the samples were investigated by X-ray diffraction (XRD) (GBC MMA), field emission scanning electron microscopy (FE-SEM; JEOL JSM-7500FA) with an energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) detector and transmission electron microscopy (TEM, JEM 1200EX). X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) was conducted with a SPECS PHOIBOS 100 Analyser installed in a
Electrochemical measurements. The S/SP composite was mixed with super P and poly (vinylidene fluoride) (PVDF) with a weight ratio of 80:10:10 in N-methyl-2-pyrrolidone (NMP) to form slurry, which was pasted on aluminium foil and then dried in a vacuum oven at 50°C for 24 h. Sulphur content in a typical electrode is around 0.8 mg cm⁻². CR 2032 coin-type cells were assembled in an Ar-filled glove box (Mbraun, Unilab, Germany) using lithium metal foil as the counter electrode. For the new configuration, a piece of graphite film was placed between the sulphur cathode and the separator. The electrolyte was 1 M bis (trifluoromethane) sulfonimide lithium salt (LiTFSI) in a 1:1 vol/vol mixture of 1, 3-dioxolane (DOL)/dimethoxyethene (DME). Cell disassembly was carried out in the glove box; the sandwiched graphite film and the cathode were washed with DOL and dried at room temperature. The cells were charged/discharged at 168 mA g⁻¹ using a charger system manufactured by Land Battery Testers. Cyclic voltammetry (CV) was conducted on a Biologic VMP3 electrochemistry workstation at a scanning rate of 0.1 mV s⁻¹.

Results and discussion

For the cathode material, a new structure of S/SP composite was prepared. The sulphur in the S/SP composite was confirmed by X-ray diffraction (Fig. 1a) and Raman spectra (Fig. 1b). The two peaks at 1345 and 1604 nm are assigned to Super P. As determined by Thermogravimetric analysis, the content of sulphur in the composite is 75 wt %. The core-shell morphology of the composite can be seen from the scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images. The size of the composite is around 10 µm (Fig. 1c), at the surface of which are linked nanoparticles of Super P (Fig. 1d). The linked nanoparticles have a diameter of around 40 nm (Inset). The S/SP composite is composed of the micrometer-sized sulphur particle as the core and the linked nanoparticle (~ 50 nm) of Super P as the wrapping layer. We assume the contact coating of Super P improves the conductivity of the micrometer-sized sulphur particles.

Fig. 1 Composition, structure and morphology analysis of the as-prepared S/SP composite. (a) XRD patterns and (b) Raman spectra of S/SP and sulphur. SEM images of S/SP composite at magnifications of (c) x 2,000 and (d) x 30,000. Linked carbon nanoparticles of Super P wrap around the micrometer-sized Sulphur particles. TEM image of Super P (inset).

The graphite film after hydrothermally treated was analysed by XPS and SEM. The XPS C1s spectrum of graphite is presented in Fig. 2a. The main peak at 284.6 eV can be assigned to aromatic C-C bonds, and the broad low-intensity peak centred at 291.0 eV corresponds to the π* shake up satellite. Between them are the other three peaks, which are at 286.0, 287.0 and 288.6, respectively. It was reported that compared to the graphite C1s peak, single-bonded C-O, double-bonded C=O and carboxylic O-C=O are shifted by ~1.5 eV, 3.0 eV and 4.5 eV, respectively. It can be seen that the shifts for these three components match very well with these values. These organic functional groups are also reflected by the XPS O1s spectrum in Fig. 2c. The peaks at 533 and 534 eV are assigned to O-C sp³ and O-C sp² species, respectively. These oxygen species might have introduced into the graphite under the hydrothermal treatment. It is noteworthy that, during the...
charging and discharging of the modified cell, the organic functional groups on the graphite film might play a glue-like role in retaining sulphur and polysulphides. Moreover, in the graphite film, there are evident cracks (Fig. 2c), which might have been introduced by the hydrothermal treatment. In the closed environment of the autoclave at 120 °C, a temperature above the boiling point of water, the water vapour might strongly interact with the graphite film and produce some channels in it. In another work, a certain ratio of carbon black was mixed with reduced graphene oxide to form a free-standing paper for a new Li-S battery configuration, and the function of the carbon black was to produce channels, which enabled the transportation of electrolyte and lithium ions. As can be seen from Fig. 2d, the graphite film is composed of carbon nanosheets stacking with each other. The nanosheets behave as the reaction sites for the active sulphur species.

Fig. 3 Electrochemical performance of the Li-S battery with cathode material of S/SP composite and graphite film between the separator and the cathode. (a) A typical CV curve of the Li-S battery with new configuration. (b) charge/discharge voltage profiles for the first three cycles of the Li-S battery with new configuration. (c) Charge voltage profiles at the beginning stage of the initial cycles for the cells without and with sandwiched graphite film. (d) Capacity retention and the corresponding Coulombic efficiency of the cells without and with graphite film.

The electrochemical performances of cells with and without a graphite film are presented in Fig. 3. Typical electrochemical reactions are revealed by the CV results (Fig. 3a). The cathodic peaks at 2.00 V and 2.23 V correspond to the two-step reaction from elemental sulphur to insoluble Li2S2 or Li2S through intermediate soluble polysulphides (e.g., Li2S4, Li2S6, and Li2S8). The overlapping anodic peaks at 2.45 V relates to the inverse reactions, i.e., lithium sulfides are oxidized to lithium polysulphides and elemental sulphur. Initial discharge/charge voltage profiles for the first cycles present one discharge plateau at ~ 2.0 V, followed by a long slope (Fig. 3b). While for the following two cycles, there are two discharge plateaus: the first at ~ 2.3 V and the second at 2.1 V. The two cycles show high discharge capacities of 1008 and 942 mA h g⁻¹, respectively. It is noted that for the first cycle, the discharge voltage plateau is more negative than the following cycles. This phenomenon can be attributed to the affected electrochemical reactions between lithium ion and sulphur owing to the addition of the graphite film. Further investigation is needed to unveil the exact mechanism. Moreover, compared to the cell without the graphite film, the beginning stage of the charge process exhibits a much lower polarization, demonstrating that the graphite film functions as a pseudo-substrate for the sulphur cathode (Fig. 3c).

Fig. 4 Characterization of the cathode and the graphite film after charge/discharge. (a) XPS S 2p results of the graphite film after charge/discharge of the Li-S cell. (b) XRD patterns of the cathode before and after charge/discharge. (c) The SEM image of the graphite film after charge/discharge and the corresponding XEDS elemental maps for (d) C, (e) O, and (f) S.

The graphite film enables much higher capacity, markedly decreased capacity decay and higher electrochemical stability (Fig. 3d). The cell with the graphite film exhibits a high initial capacity of 1068 mA h g⁻¹. After 50 cycles, the retained capacity is 631 mA h g⁻¹, triple that of the 203 mA h g⁻¹ for the cell without the graphite film. In order to exclude the capacity contributed by the graphite film, a Li/graphite film battery was assembled. The contribution from the graphite/Li⁺ intercalation process was 36 mA h per gram of sulphur, which takes place only ten percent of the whole improvement of capacity. It can be revealed that the graphite film only functions as a polysulfide blocking layer instead of an electrode. It is noted that the graphite/Li⁺ intercalation happens at a voltage below 0.2 V, while the voltage window here applied is from 1.7 V to 2.6 V. Also, the Coulombic efficiency of the Li-S battery with the new configuration stabilizes at near 100%, compared to the 94% for the conventional cell configuration. The improved Coulombic efficiency indicates that the sandwiched graphite
film plays an important role in suppressing the polysulphide
shuttling.
The function of the graphite film was further studied by
disassembling the cell and examining the cathode and the
graphite film using XRD, XPS, SEM and EDS (Fig. 4). After 10
cycles, the XRD peaks for sulphur disappear, with only
peaks for the aluminium substrate remained, showing that the
sulphur in the cathode has turned amorphous (Fig. 4a).
Moreover, the XPS S2p spectrum shows three peaks centred at
around 170, 165 and 160 eV (Fig. 4b). The values of these three
peaks match very well with the literature[17], from which they
can be assigned to $S^{6+}$, elemental S, and $S^{2-}$, respectively.
The $S^{6+}$ species could be assigned to residue lithium salt from
the electrolyte, while S, $S^-$ and $S^{2-}$ are ascribed to the products
of the electrochemical reactions that take place on the graphite
film. This observation proves that the graphite film locating
between the separator and the cathode provides extra place for
the electrochemical reactions in the Li-S battery. The sulphur
species on the graphite film originate from the solvable polysulphides,
that is, the intermediate products in the transformation between the end products of sulphur and Li$_2$S
(Figs. 4c-4f). The polysulphides are retained by the functional
groups in the graphite film. Hence, they can stay on the
graphite film and be oxidized or reduced in the charge/discharge process. In this way, the graphite film
functions as a pseudo-substrate, greatly enhancing the retention
of active material, improving the capacity, electrochemical
stability and cycling capability.

Conclusions
A hydrothermally treated graphite film was sandwiched
between the sulphur cathode and the separator. The functional
groups on the graphite film play an important role in retaining
the soluble sulphur species. Owing to the improved utilization
of active material, the specific capacity was significantly
improved for the new configuration. The graphite film in the
Li-S cell acts as a blocking layer against soluble polysulphides
and provides additional reaction sites for the sulphur species.
After 50 cycles, the cell with the graphite film retained a
capacity which was almost triple that of the cell without the
graphite film. Also, the Coulombic efficiency was increased
from around 94% to near 100%, indicating a much more stable
Li-S battery.
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