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**Abstract**— Although the shift of paradigm in Post Authoritarian Indonesia has rearticulated the discourse of nationhood, the general notion that it is based on an imagined community remains an important consideration. Decades of ideological hegemony has been performed by the state through various socio-cultural constructions, embedding in the minds of its citizens the notion of a nation as a territorial space that undermines ethnicity in favor of the wholeness of ‘Indonesia’. This paper studies the community within the cyberspace, namely Blogger Communities, to explore collective identities that are shared in the minds of its members to re-conceptualize Indonesian nationhood. As a result of decades of hegemonic state oppression, Blogger Communities practice an extended construction of this hegemonic national consciousness in the blogosphere. These communities perform both the role of social agency that goes beyond the virtual through conforming to the shaped territorial space in general and ethnicity in particular – forming a cyber *Taman Mini Indonesia Indah*.

**Index Terms**—cyberspace, nationhood, identity, ethnicity, blogging culture.

I. INTRODUCTION

*In the sky, there is no distinction of east and west; people create distinction out of their own minds and then believe them to be true.* (Buddha)

Studies on the role of media in the exercise of social power in general and nationhood in particular, have increasingly focused on how institutional media construct national identity [1], [2], [3]. In a country like Indonesia, where for years, the ‘nationalists’ struggled to unify more than 200 ethnic groups into this ‘imagined community’ of a nation, the role of a unifying media is important in constructing a ‘national consciousness’. The idea is that media use allows a synchronized activity, rendering the receivers anonymous, as they are part of the ‘mass’. The cultures conveyed are as important as the isolated activities of the receivers in terms of constructing a fraternity of otherwise strangers, or in other words, an ‘imagined community’.

The internet, on the other hand, emerged more as an alternative medium that found its way out from under the control of the state [3], [4]. There are contesting ideas surrounding whether or not the internet, particularly social media, is indeed a revolutionary tool, an extension for institutional media, or if it provides a connection with existing power holders [5], [6], [7]. Nevertheless, it is generally agreed that social media notably functions in different ways for its users. Such difference redefines the idea of a ‘mass audience’ and gives way to the re-conceptualization of the ‘media’s role’, or the ‘media’-ton of social relations, in constructing a national consciousness. With fewer identifiable power structures compared to institutional media, the internet provides a space that is shaped by its users. The notion of ‘space’ becomes an important consideration in order to understand this process; as nation building is a multifaceted dynamic through which – as the focus of this paper – nationhood is constructed through mediation and physical landscape.

Studies on state ideology [8], [9], [10] argue that the powerful manipulate the subordinates to unwittingly adopt the ideology of the ruling class through various means of economic, political, and socio-cultural productions and reproductions. The subordinates unconsciously consent to the set of ideologies determined by the powerful, including undermining social and cultural fragmentation. Landscape and architecture can be seen as the ‘media within culture’ or a media archive that, in relation to the idea of a nation, is a representation of an erased ‘particular’ (i.e. ethnicity, geographical markers, territory, etc). (See Fig. 1)
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Fig. 1. Map of Indonesia.
A physical artifact of grandeur, monumental to the national culture project in Indonesia is the *Taman Mini Indonesia Indah* (or the “Beautiful Indonesia Miniature Park”, hereafter referred to as the TMII). Built under Suharto’s regime, the TMII embodies this ideological archive. In the TMII, the uniqueness of each ethnicity in Indonesia is highly accentuated and framed within the museum complex. It symbolizes the desire of the authoritarian regime (through the hegemony of nationhood) to control Indonesian local communities by providing a space that represents mental and physical boundaries. The Indonesian government maintained the locality of each ethnic group, allowing ethnic sentiments and nationalism to coexist. This visible ‘territorial marking’ is reinforced by institutional media, particularly through television, as part of a larger nation building scheme. The introduction of the internet, on the other hand, has blurred these markings. Data shows that in the rapid growth of local blogger communities – permitted by the increasing availability of the internet – they have name themselves by territorial ‘Indonesian’ markers. These reemployed markers, which were created by the authoritarian government, merge together the notion of ‘physical’ and ‘mediated’ space. These blogger communities, not unlike the TMII, are shaped and bordered by physical territorial names in cyberspace. Reading the list of names of local blogger communities is like reading the list of traditional houses built inside the TMII.

This paper questions how local blogger communities practice and extended construction of national consciousness in Post Authoritarian Indonesia. Despite the end of the authoritarian regime, the boundaries that have been strategically created by the government are continually rehearsed. Even in the cyber practices of blogger communities, Indonesian netters maintain the imagined boundaries as an implication of decades of hegemonic oppression.

II. SPACE, POLITICS AND NATION BUILDING IN TMII

During the three decades of the Suharto regime, Indonesians were taught to value the geographical condition of the country – the string of 17,504 islands and its surrounding waters belong to Indonesia. On one hand, ethnicities that mark these territories are included as part of the national culture, namely the young Indonesian nationalists who had united into local/national ethnic union of the first Youth Pledge. On the other, ethnically distinct groups that live in various areas all over Indonesia are seen as a threat towards national pride [9], [10], [11], [12]. The denial of minor ethnicities shaped a banal national awareness, for it annihilated the awareness of Indonesia as a community comprising of particular groups in favor of shaping the idea of a community as a ‘whole’. Propagandist methods were used, through both physical landscape and through institutional media, to stress the issue of unity in diversity – including and instilling the hegemonic ideology of a limited and selected ethnicity and culture.

The TMII embodied the notion of the annihilation of specific cultures and therefore constructed a banal national unity. The park was built in 1971 by former first lady Tin Suharto (“Ibu Tin”), who personally financed this giant project and who subsequently opened it to the public in 1977. The TMII is the brainchild of Ibu Tin who, after having visited Disneyland [13], aimed to raise a sense of nationalism in diversity by building an amusement centre in the form of a miniature Indonesia. The TMII includes a set of 26 traditional houses from each province in Indonesia. The park is surrounded by an artificial lake with extensive man-made islands in the centre – a physical map of the archipelago. The TMII is essentially a museum compound. Each traditional house is an individual museum, along with the other 16 museums located inside the TMII. (See Fig. 2) The miniatures function as a synopsis of virtual aspects of Indonesian life; representing cultures, cultures and heritages of the Indonesian people. Hitchcock [14] argues that the TMII was built as a reproduction of the Pancasila, which emphasizes on the diversity of the Indonesian population.

![Fig. 2. Map of TMII, which includes a miniature Indonesia at the centre.](image)

The notion of the ‘museum’ considers the imagined forms of the Indonesian authority’s dominion [1]. Drawing from Anderson’s idea, we argue that the TMII is an imagination of how its creator forms the mental image of an empire. Although the TMII claims to portray the diversity of Indonesia, the majority of street names, gardens, theatres, and museums have been greatly influenced by Suharto’s own ethnic background, namely Javanese culture. The 3D theatre is named *Keong Mas* (Golden Snail) from Javanese folklore, the swimming pool is named *Taman Renang Ambar Tirta* coming from Javanese language (*Tirta* means water and *Ambar* means extensive), the playground is named *Among Putra* which comes from the Javanese language (*Among* means care and *Putra* means children), and in the main entrance of the TMII stands the *Purna Bhakti Pertini* museum in the form of a cone

---

1 The state recognized mainly the ‘major ethnic and religious groups’ of the highest cultural achievement who also show evidence of ‘civilization’ as part of nation building. Ethnic and religious minorities who are not perceived as sharing this quality are clustered together as if sharing a common ‘primitive’ nature. See Colchester, M. (1986) “Unity and Diversity: Indonesian Policy Towards Tribal Peoples”, *The Ecologist*, 16.

2 The famous *Sumpah Pemuda* (Youth Pledge) was declared by local ethnic (and religious) groups (*Jong Java*, *Jong Islamieten Bond*, *Jong Batak*, *Jong Celebes*, *Jong Ambon*, *Minahasa Bond*, *Madura Bond*, *Pemuda Betawi*, etc) pledging themselves to the unity of a nation. The second Youth Pledge (Jakarta, 27-28 October 1928) was the first official event that constructs national awareness comprising of ethnic diversity. The second official event is the promulgation of Pancasila as a political philosophy in Indonesia (1 June 1945), where then President Sukarno set forth the Sanskrit words ‘Bhinneka Tunggal Ika’ (Unity in Diversity) as the essential slogan – stressing on national pluralism.

3 There is a slight difference in practice between “building an awareness of national ideology” and “brainwashing to impose national ideology”, where Anderson (1992) uses the term “instilling of national ideology” (p. 163).

4 Although there were rumors of corruption during the establishment of the TMII.
shape similar to *tumpeng* (the Javanese ceremonial yellow rice dice). (See Fig. 3 & 4)

![Nasi tumpeng present in a celebration. Right: The Purna Bhakti Pertini museum in the shape of tumpeng.](image)

By using Javanese names, Suharto positions his own ethnicity at the centre of his vision of Indonesia. This imagined dominion places Javanese culture at the centre of the universe (Indonesia), enforced by it being surrounded by markers of other cultural identities. Through the TMII, Suharto virtually marked the territory, ensuring his control of Indonesia. The TMII becomes more than a museum representing the variety of Indonesian cultures. It is a landscape of hegemony in the form of an amusement centre to naturalize an idea of ‘an empire’, emphasizing on the Indonesian government as the “ruling bloc” [13] tasked to maintain the reality of national unity through the inclusion of ethnic groups under one nation. The TMII is a hegemonic space as it constructs a reality of unconscious everydayness that resulted from the art of domination, whose objects spontaneously accept the rules from the authority [13].

Paper [14] studied the use of architectural landscape as hegemonic tools to colonize its public’s consciousness as a false community and transfers a belief system with which they employ to ‘view the world’. The TMII’s display embodies the ideology of Indonesian nationhood. The architectural landscape has been used by the ruling bloc as an important medium in preserving the Indonesian people in oblivion of a hegemonic ideology. For more than 30 years, it has been compulsory for Indonesian students to have field trips to TMII as part of a school’s education programme, and for government employees to visit TMII as part of the family-friendly benefits of the state department they work for. The wives of the Armed Forces members and government officials visit the TMII to exemplify their nationalism and to support their husbands’ career.

The practice of visiting the TMII is a form of mental colonization through imparting the banal influence over Indonesian citizens of various cultural backgrounds, in order to ensure their loyalty to the NKRI (Negara Kesatuan Republik Indonesia or the United Nation of the Republic of Indonesia). The TMII is utilized to recreate and reinforce the invisible border in the minds of Indonesians to replace the pre-existing visible border belonging to every ethnic group in Indonesia. The work of [12] also argued that this “nation-building” effort is one of the forms of political movement to guarantee against disloyalty to NKRI:

“State elites undertake “nation-building” efforts to create common bonds, foster common values, or craft a common culture that defines a new nation coincident with existing state boundaries. This form of state nationalism attempts to eliminate bonds to a prior national or ethnic group and to form new loyalties to the state based on membership of a new nation” [12].

The TMII is one of the cases in which the hegemonic ideology of Indonesian nationhood is crystalized as ‘reality’. The notion of “Bhinneka Tunggal Ika” becomes so commonsense that even in Post Authoritarian Indonesia, and through a space with virtually no government control, the blogger communities continue to name themselves by employing markers of Indonesian territories. This practice extends from and reinforces ‘common bonds, values and culture’ of the nation. The manner in which each blogger community identifies itself and the requirements they impose on new members to meet in person prior to joining emphasizes[15] the idea that “‘common sense’ becomes an exciting object of study as part of a constant struggle for the dominant, or hegemonic, ideology. It marks the moment at which an ideology triumphantly becomes ‘banal’.” (2003, p. 194)

III. INDONESIAN BLOGGER COMMUNITY AS A CULTURAL ARTIFACT

Cyberspace is eroding [national] borders, at least in terms of jurisdiction. In fact, nation and state are often irrelevant in the formation and conduct of online communities. Intellectual properties flow freely across the Net, knowing no borders. (Vince Guiliano)

Data reveals that the phenomenon of blogging in Indonesia is one worth studying. The Asia Blogging Net (ABN) reported that there are 30,000 active blogs in Indonesia around mid 2007. By 2008, this number had multiplied. Mass media predicted the active blogs in Indonesia reaching 1 million.

---

5 Studies show that the dominance of Javanese in Indonesian history has occurred long before its independence. In this paper however, we focus on how Suharto’s regime mainstreamed Javanese culture as part of the hegemonic national consciousness after the forming of the modern nation-state.

6 A debate surrounds the concern of violent rebellions and separatist movements are rooted in the exclusion of ethnic groups in favor of “unity in diversity” (Scheufeld 1998, Woe 2001, Christie 1992).

7 Egor used the idiom ‘blind society’ to which we do not completely agree in the context of Indonesia. In this paper, we use the term false community instead to refer to Anderson’s (1983) imagined sense of fraternity and Marx’s false consciousness (in relation to the relationship between ‘class’, between the ruling and the ruled). The term deliberately excludes the agency of members as it focuses instead on disproportionate power distribution that undermines individual autonomy.

8 Three most notable (but not limited to) provinces in Indonesia, D.I. Aceh, East Timor (now Timor Leste), and Irian Jaya (now West Papua) have been immune from hegemonic tools like the TMII (for more on national disintegration, see King 2004 and Bresnan 2005).

9 ABN or PT Asia Blogging Net is a company specialised in blogging and web 2.0 from Indonesia for the Asia region.


Figure 5 below shows Jakarta as one of the top 30 cities for blogs in the world. The data also reveals Jakarta as one of four representative cities in Asia for blogging practices; together with Beijing, Mumbai and Singapore. WordPress.com statistics emphasizes Indonesia’s blog booming by showing that Bahasa Indonesia is one of the top languages used by WordPress.com users after English, along with Spanish, Turkish, Brazilian Portuguese and Italian.

The rising phenomenon led to the first Blogger Fest in October 2007 in Jakarta. The first author of the current paper was present in both Indonesia blogger events. She was sitting alone in upper row of chairs and claimed that it was fascinating and enjoyable to observe how people, who have otherwise interacted in a mediated space, meet each other physically. The Blogger Fest accommodated the ‘crossing-over’ from the ‘online world’ into the ‘offline world’. In her first Blogger Fest, it took Endah minutes to realize that several people commune together on the same spot. When new guests arrived, they would look around first before finding a seat – as if trying to find something. Not long after, friends waved and the newly arriving guest approached the group and cheerfully joined them by greeting each other using their ethnic vernaculars.

At the Blogger Fest, the master of the ceremony introduced these commuting groups of people as Blogger Bali, Blogger Bunderan HI, Blogger Angin Mamiri, Blogger Bandung, and so forth. Each group demonstrated a unique collective movement, several of them performing chants using their local vernaculars every time the master of ceremony introduced a group to the attendants. It was extremely surprising to see how intimate and familiar they having been with each other, in spite of their relationship, or community, was wielded almost exclusively online.

‘Community’ is a concept that is still undergoing scholarly debates. For the purpose of this paper, we refer to Cohen, who states that “community” is contextually developed and is dependent on how its member embeds the meaning of “community” into their life practices. However, the work of [16], with which we agree, notes that community develops in urban localities, virtual and physical. The concept of traditional community, in this sense, refers to a certain “real” territory (geography), with physical interaction where the members are able to interact face-to-face to each other [17], [18], [19]. For these scholars, community traditionally has been emphasized as something more “physical”: both geographically and in need of a physical presence.

While on the contrary, the concept of modern community requires less physical interaction and more in the affection that binds its members. Modern community does not stress the importance of rigid environment boundaries [20] and it doesn’t emphasize cultural roots (i.e. ethnic, ideology, politics, region, government) [21]. The nonphysical on-line world fulfils the need of being a member of “community”, where member can immerse in the togetherness without space, time, or physical borders [15], [20], [22], [23], [24]. Virtual community, attributed to the late Harold Rheingold’s expression is not any different with what he had experienced in the “real world: everything in the online world is as ‘real’ as in the offline world.

According to him [22], cyber community members pursue security by shifting their need from the sense of community belonging and space attachment to the sense of information sharing and possession. The distinctive factor differentiating modern communities and cyber communities is for the notion that the latter dwell in cyberspace: “a state of possibilities, a place where space and time lose meaning of barriers [25]. In modern communities, information re-enacts the ‘traditional’ requirement of social identity and it becomes the yeast of “community in the mind”. Mediated social relationships extend from traditional customs that are apparent in traditional communities, whereas in cyber communities, it is the space itself – the place without barriers – that, in combination with the ‘traditional’ of modern communities, shape relationships vis-à-vis the community itself. Rheingold’s imagined communities are voluntarily formed by its members, who expect benefit from others through information sharing, furthermore they expect that other members may benefit through their own information sharing. It gives more expression to reciprocal connections among the members that bears down on the information sharing.

Although he refers to Anderson’s Imagined Community – Rheingold narrowed his attention to “community in the mind”. The term refers to the notion that most members are anonymous to other members yet they share the same framework of community in their mind. What Rheingold refers to imagined communities has nothing to do with cultural roots in terms of nationhood. Rheingold’s imagined community is not a culturally ingrained group, formed by culture, history, and kinship as Anderson’s is. Anderson argues formed nationalism in the mind (imagined) of communities’ members, whereas Rheingold stresses on the shared framework in the minds of the members.

12 Graphic: The Blog Belt. Retrieved April 17, 2011 from Businessweek: http://www.businessweek.com/magazine/content/07_27/b4041402.htm
14 Minister of Communication and Information, Muhammad Nuh, announced 27 October as the Indonesian Blogger Day.
15 Compare Castell’s (2000, 2001, 2002) network society as a form of modern society (i.e. whose activities involve the exchange of electronically processed information that are fully supported by electronic-based technologies) with Putnam’s (1993 and 2000) notion of civic virtue in social capital society (i.e. trusting and profitable relations among individuals will form a democracy because of its self-governing nature, where connections
Implementing the concept of the modern community into Indonesian Blogger Communities largely ignores the basic needs of humans as social beings, as the concept is built upon the notion that people shape communities mainly around institutions and economical practices [26], [27]. In relation to this, after 2001, Indonesian bloggers realize that several of them share the same territorial and ethnic background – with Bandung Blogger Village as the first regional blogger community founded on 5 July 2005. Contrast to scholars who argue that it is modern communities that dwell in cyberspace, where space and time lose its barriers; Indonesian blogger communities interact actively and simultaneously maintain the projected “territorial border” in their minds.

In 2009, Candra listed blogger communities all around Indonesia[17]. Drawing from Candra’s findings, Indonesian blogger communities shape ethnic and/or territory-based online groups. These communities relate to the desire to share a collective identity among Indonesian bloggers where low commitment is unacceptable. In this case, applying to become a member is far from easy. Each blogger community requires a blogger to have at least one active blog and to meet other members (usually those who have been members for the longest period) off line to introduce themselves as well as to get to know community better[18]. This physical meet and greet is mentioned as KOPi DARat[19] (or kopdar). Kopdar is the main requirement for approval. Several blogger communities require additional conditions, which strongly reflect the aim to cultivate collective identity: applications must born/live in the same city/province and/or come from a similar ethnic background.[20]

However, the requirement of kopdar[21] reflects the establishment of a surveillance system for blogger communities to control the verifiability or ‘stability’ of their online community, not unlike the notion of national stability during the Suharto era. Then, the ruling block established a well-structured social administration system which included, from the lowest level, Neighborhood Unit (Rukan Tetangga, RT), Community Unit (Rukan Warga, RW), Sub District (Kelurahan) continued up to the highest level such as Regency (Kabupaten) and Province. Similar to the notion of the multi-level structure of ‘community’ during Suharto’s regime where newcomers moving into a neighborhood must report themselves to the head of RT and RW (lapor diri), the requirement of kopdar reflects a similar establishment of a system to ensure that members, as a ‘citizen’, must report themselves to the authority in the blogger community.

While early blogging activities emphasized blogging practice on the content of the weblog[22] kopdar portrays the idea of blogging practice as part of networked activity, where members cultivate relationships through ‘bear and gear’ [28]. Not only is the interaction between members in cyberspace is strengthened by physical, face-to-face interaction [29], but the acceptance of new members extends on the social structure established during the Suharto era to abide to ‘affirmed local authority’ (i.e. RT/RW).[23] The social bond is wielded by the blogging as a network for being “completely connected”[24], which reflects to the engagement between blogger communities going beyond the blog itself.

“Friends urging friends to blog, readers letting bloggers know they were waiting for posts, bloggers crafting posts with their audience in mind, and bloggers continuing discussions with readers in other media outside the blog [30].”

The notion of complete connectivity in blogging activity is groundbreaking because the idea suggests a strong interplay between cyberspace and physical space (‘real’ life). The Indonesian blogosphere goes beyond even this groundbreaking notion of blogging activity: Indonesian bloggers from a shared ethnic and/or territorial background commute into the same blogger community and name themselves after their ethnicity or region. There is interplay, a ‘bouncing back’, or ‘derestriction’ between the online and the offline. One is an extension of the other and vice versa, which functions according to its context and, we argue further in the paper, even beyond that. Blogging practices in Indonesia have literally and figuratively have become an extension to the existing and emerging forms of identity and identification between bloggers and, through kopdar, vice versa. Whether it is Loenpia Semarang, Angin Mamiri, Bunderan HI, or Cah Andong, the daily activities of the members is interconnected in both online and offline world. In Indonesia, blogging activities have, as they have interconnected online and offline ‘world’ empowered the

---

[22] For more on the idea of Web 1.0 features used in blogging activities, seeBlood (2002).

[23] A similar interrelation between ‘physical’ social structure and its reproduction through blogosphere is also apparent in the proliferation of warnet (Internet Café) in Indonesia. Warnet does not only facilitate online social relationships but also function as places to extend online and offline settings (see Lim, M. (2009) “Muslim Voices in the Blogosphere: Mosaics of Local-Global Discourse”, in M. McLelland and G. Goggin (eds.), Internet Studies: Beyond Angiophone. London: Routledge). Both cases, in this sense, illustrate that the community formed online both pass and criticizes the notion of economic determinism in ‘modern community’.

[24] “Links are the social currency of this interaction, allowing webloggers to be aware of who is reading and commenting on their writings” (Marlow, 2004).
‘agency’ of its users. We use the term agency as the members of blogger communities set regular face-to-face meeting (kopdar) which resulted in education, humanity, political, economic programmes which does not only empower its members but also society in general.

An interesting case that illustrates such agency is demonstrated by Aceh Blogger Community or ABC. ABC is the only Indonesian Blogger Community that owns a secretariat that organizes and plans various programmes. One of the programmes that is executed periodically is the Healthy Internet Campaign and Blogger Training. ABC also organizes an online Acehnese language online dictionary to introduce the language to non-Acehnese people and to preserve the Acehnese language. Another illustration is the Bali Blogger Community or BBC who periodically conducts blogging trainings and organizes blood donations. Loenpia.Net developed the Rotifresh programme which provides various trainings on the advantages of online financing. Angin Mamiri (Makassar) Blogger Community periodically conducts seminar programmes which provide information on education scholarships.

These blogging practices in turn, reflect the local cultural index for particular localities [31]. Although most of the time this localized cultural blogging practices signals the collective identity of each Indonesia blogger community, but more specifically, it also demonstrates situated practices which roots from situated knowledge. Local culture operates to ensure community and/or society participation and furthermore the sustainability of networking. From this point of view, we offer the readers with an idea that blogging practices cannot be treated merely a practice. However, it is a pervasive cultural artifact that forms a blogging culture.

The paradigm in approaching internet users as part of the “blogging culture” in Indonesia then shifts from its early definition of blogging practices that assumes users are ‘active’ to internet users as users and producers: produsers [32]. The enthusiasm reflected by each Blogger Community in Indonesia for fixing societal problems is driven by the vagaries of user-producer interest [32]. The social agency of each Blogger Community, exemplified through action-planned social activities, is a result of the interaction within group membership. This membership is shaped through their identification with a collective idea that they share the same ethnic/territory. In this case, the ‘vagaries’ are a result of interaction between the embedding of 30 years of hegemonic ideology in ethnic/territorial identification with networked ‘complete connectedness’. Blogger Community members conduct the function of ‘produser’ in line with their identification to this false ‘sub-nationality’ (for being part of an ethnic and/or territorial group, they would need to be part of the ‘nation’).

IV. INDONESIAN BLOGGING CULTURE AS BANAL NATIONALISM

In general, blogging culture in Indonesia may reflect a pro-social image of bloggers as agency through the complete connectedness of each Indonesian Blogger Community. In particular, however, if we approach blogging as a cultural artifact it provides a different overview of the situation. In 2008, the Indonesian Blogger Fest Board announced Cah Andong as the blogger community winner for being the community which has conducted the most social activities. Not long after, a posting made by a member of the Angin Mamiri Blogger Community expressed dissatisfaction over the result. The posting revealed that Cah Andong’s victory was due to the board consisting of mostly Javanese; the majority are the ‘crones’ of the Head of the Committee at the moment. The issue of ‘Javanization’ arose; ranging from the fact that the majority of committee members are Javanese until the committee uniform being batik (traditional Javanese cloth pattern). Consequently, the blog of this particular Angin Mamiri Blogger Community member became a battlefield to attack ethnic sentiment. The 2008 Blogger Fest became a momentum for a cold war, instilling the issue of Javanese and non-Javanese blogger into the Indonesian blogosphere.

In this case, ethnic sentiment became a form of resistance towards the dominant ideology of Indonesian nationalism that positions Javanese culture at the centre of cyber TMII. The centralization of the media industry in general and the internet infrastructure in particular that is focused in Java was as a result of a long dominance of Suharto’s economic strategy. Theoretically, this dominant ideology, supported by the socio-economic practices of the internet infrastructure, becomes an ideological hegemony. However, the cold war that arose due to the issue of ‘Javanization’ shows the contrary. Blogging practices allowed members to form a resistance by information sharing and furthermore questioning other methods to ‘hegemonize’ the mosaic of ethnic groups that forms the Indonesian Blogger Community.

Such resistance would not be made possible through institutional media, namely television, both in Authoritarian and/or Post Authoritarian Indonesia. During Suharto’s regime, television was strictly regulated by the Ministry of Information – therefore ethnic sentiments that are ‘counter-productive’ towards nation building were prohibited. In Post Authoritarian Indonesia, the power has shifted from the state to the industry, allowing only materials that are of ‘the majority’s interest’ (read: ratings) to be present in nationwide ‘mediated text’. Through blogging culture, the produsers [32] have rearticulated the power that constructs Indonesian nationness through comments, posts and criticism towards the ‘Javanization’. But at the same time, the ethnic identities that have become tools of counter-hegemony are the same territorial markers that are part of the (cyber) TMII.

Virtual environments are valuable as places where we can acknowledge our inner diversity [35]. The act of imposing

25 The concept of agency we use here refers to Giddens’ (1984), which has been further developed by Ortlikowski (1992) and, in the context of technology, Gillespie (2006), Castells (2000), Bruns (2008).

26 See also the book series written by Manuelle Castells (2001 and 2009). On a general note, Castells studies the producers/users of the internet and stated that the internet is a technologically open design. What he means by this is that there is no clear distinction between hackers, virtual communities, and entrepreneurs as everyone can practice on the internet, therefore they can also configure the internet. Please also compare with Giddens’ structuration and agency theory (1986).

27 The Head of 2008 Blogger Fest Committee is a member of Cah Andong Blogger Community.
Ethnic and/or territorial (or any other cultural) markers on cyberspace derives from the notion of the Indonesian Blogger Community as a cyber TMII. It shows how the bloggers are possessed by a hegemonic ideology of (sub) nationality, a form of commonsense or, more appropriately, common(s)ense. What is occurring in the Indonesian blogosphere represents the issue that has been addressed by [36] in which the tendency of individuals to form a group is a defense mechanism against insecurity and loneliness. Isaacs clarifies that, on one hand, gathering or joining a group gives a secure and comfortable feeling to protect us from outer threats (others). We would also like to stress that on the other hand, multi-ethnicity does not always result in a strained relationship leading to a rigid sense of in-group and out-group among plural ethnicities within a nation.

Ethnic sentiment becomes a social identity that always distances in-group from out-group [37]. The debate in the Angin Mamiri Blogger Community member’s blog became a site for a combination between an identity clash in cyberspace and a primordialistic identity clash. Network became very personal in many aspects in which it provided a space of ethno-symbolism [38]. Each ethnic/territorially marked blogger community constructed a shared memory integral to every member’s cultural identity – forming a line between in-group and out-group. It is a delicate connection for the outsider who longs for person-to-person connections or are being ‘distanced’ from individuating information within the in-group. However, distance is not a matter of ‘place’, yet an issue of being a member of the out-group.

For some, they are obviously the online community’s out-group longing the person-to-person connection and furthermore not belonging to the ‘inner’ network. It is generally an accepted idea among scholars that compared to other (Western) societies, Indonesia is a collectivist nation [39] which cultivate the relationship of its members based on close, long-term commitment to the group they are a member of. In terms of online communities, cultivating relationships relate to the notion of ‘bear and gear’ [28] where the interaction in cyberspace is reinforced or strengthened by regular face-to-face interaction. The mandatory regular physical interaction implies that only those living in the same area can afford such contact. Information sharing in blogging culture depends on the consistency in the members’ participation in both online and offline activities. A member that is active online but does not participate in kopdar or a member that is active offline but does not participate online implicates their bond and membership intimacy.

Prior to the Internet, communities that are part of ‘Indonesia’ succumbed to higher political ideas; that as a sovereign nation, a community must be part of a ‘whole’. Through various spaces and methods the authoritarian regime succeeded in maintaining unity and the enforcement of a collective identity in many forms of social action. Among others, through banal nationalism such as TVRI (state owned Television of the Republic of Indonesia or Televisi Republik Indonesia), batik clothing, the Indonesian language, routine Monday flag ceremonies in state and education institutions, singing the national anthem, etc. The essence of a collective social action lies in the ‘one-ness’ or ‘we-ness’ [40], which in the case of banal nationalism is the construction of a shared national culture overriding (sub) national ones such as ethnicity. Whether it is real or imagined, the collective identity strengthens its form through shared attributes and experiences among its members, subsequently developing a sentiment of the ‘insider’ and ‘outsider’ or other (i.e. people from outside the community).

In Authoritarian Indonesia, the government had supervised the citizens, ensuring that they practice the determined collective identities beneficial to the projection of national culture. Suharto was one of Indonesia’s leaders whose rules were characterized by repressive control over the country’s unity. Lim [4] has outlined how Suharto’s regime carried out the rigid reign to have a lock on the national unity through all kind of rules to control ‘physical spaces as well as mental spaces’. We believe not all Indonesian citizens voluntarily practiced the imposed collective identity; they just did not have any choice but to practice them to avoid both legal and social punishments.

In Post Authoritarian Indonesia, the imposition of a collective national identity brings two consequences to the Indonesian people. Firstly, the practice gradually becomes something inherent, a layer of (cultural and national) identity. It extends to the political, economy, socio-cultural practices in daily lives. Many scholars studying identity have abandoned the notion that identity is essential, that it’s fluidity means that the construction is more a process than a fixed property [41], [42], [43]. But the Indonesian government has constructed one that is ‘hegemonic’ and that this hegemony forms a grand discourse for the citizens, crystallised into a taken-for-granted reality in their daily lives. Like or dislike, unconscious or consciously, many of Indonesian people practice their collective national identities within their social interaction in cyberspace that remains an extension of the authoritarian regime’s national culture project.

Secondly, the pride of diversity and the urge to unite as one nation is a double-edged sword. National identity has been constructed as a whole-ness or unity of otherwise fragmented groups, while its territory includes a pluralistic district consisting of hundreds of dialects and ethnicities. Constructing a sense of unity would invariably include the erasing of specificity. The late Professor Nurcholis Mardjid, former rector of Paramadina Mulya University, Indonesia, and advocate of pluralism says that the nation-building strategies have disregarded the true condition of Indonesia:

‘The practice of homogenization or equalization conducted by the New Order administration for the past 30 years has inattentively denied Indonesian identity as a pluralistic nation’ (Kompas, March 2000).

Despite the fact that the territories within the archipelago falls within the jurisdiction of one nation (NKRI), distance (literally and figuratively) become one of the hindrances to bring about ‘total unity’ into reality – leaving the locality of ethnicity as a dominant issue in ‘Indonesian’ blogosphere. The work of [44] once emphasizes how Indonesian people differ themselves as citizens and as member of an ethnic community; which means that ethnic communities that has formed in the Indonesian blogosphere have never fully submitted to the idea of a nation. Or more precisely, the idea of an Indonesian
nationhood includes the idea that it overrides local ethnicities, as local practices are closer to every ethnicity’s daily activities. Nationalist efforts to achieve such unity at the same time stimulate enthusiasm towards the local. Although the state echoes the saying ‘Bhinneka Tunggal Ika’ (many and varied but one), it is easier, and much more reflective towards the phenomenon in Indonesian blogging culture, to emphasize more on the single term ‘Bhinneka’ (many and varied). These two issues, the history of ideological hegemony on one hand and ethnic sentiment on the other, surround the phenomenon of Indonesian cyber culture. In this case, the blogging culture of Indonesian netters. These characteristics have shaped the way they’ve established communities in cyberspace.

V. CONCLUSION

Despite the fundaments to Indonesia is largely related to its slogan ‘Bhinneka Tunggal Ika’ and that one of the most basic characteristics of Indonesian culture lies in the (ethnic, cultural, religious) diversity itself, Indonesian nation-ness historically has been shaped by those in power (hegemonic ideology). The roots to the nation [1] that lie in ethnic and cultural identity of its members interplay with hegemonic forces (Authoritarian state). It has been a long effort made by the state to downplay ethnic sentiments in favor of a wholeness of a nation. In this paper, we posit that the internet, by means of exploring Indonesian blogging culture, has played a great role in rearticulating the power discourse within the country’s notion of Post Authoritarian nationhood.

Based on findings, we argue that that a ‘modern community’, one that is shaped by pragmatic institutional and economical practices, is not the case in the Indonesian blogging culture. The networking function provided by blogging does not undermine the pagan lifestyle of its users: primordialism. We agree with Anderson’s [1] notion on the roots to nationalism, even though he did not yet speak of the internet and consider its distinctive features and notion of ‘space’ compared to institutional media. Anderson argues that nationality is shaped by old languages but mediated through new models. What differs in our stance compared to him lies in the notion of ‘simultaneity’; as he argues that it is the collective behavior and the consciousness of an invisible simultaneous media practice that helps shape nationhood.

Blogging culture becomes an important case study as its communities are shaped based on the ethnic/territorial markers established under the large scheme of Suharto’s national culture project. Ethnic groups are only as powerful as it is part of the nation. The Blogger Fest exemplifies this idea; local blogger communities become sub national groups as they become part of a larger whole-ness. However, in particular cases, such as the criticism towards the ‘Javanization’ of the Indonesian Blogger Community, ethnic sentiments override national unity – because the hegemonic ideology is limited to bordering the spaces but does not extend to undermining the ‘community in the mind’.

According to Anderson, to which we agree, nationalism is less related to ideology or the politics of a nation, rather it punctuates more on fraternity, power and time together (community moving steadily through history). The idea of a community that is built around ethnic sentiment shows that the

(28) We formulate this sentence with ‘less’ and ‘more’ because it has not been blurred to a point of misrecognition of the bordered territories. As an illustration, members are permitted to use pseudonyms, but they are still interviewed (by invitation).
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