University of Wollongong

Research Online

Faculty of Engineering and Information
Sciences - Papers: Part B

Faculty of Engineering and Information
Sciences

2018

Interface bond performance of steel fibre embedded in magnesium phosphate cementitious composite

Hu Feng

Zhengzhou University, hfeng@uow.edu.au

M Neaz Sheikh

University of Wollongong, msheikh@uow.edu.au

Muhammad N. S Hadi

University of Wollongong, mhadi@uow.edu.au

Lu Feng

Zhengzhou University

Danying Gao

Zhengzhou University

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: <https://ro.uow.edu.au/eispapers1>

Part of the [Engineering Commons](#), and the [Science and Technology Studies Commons](#)

Research Online is the open access institutional repository for the University of Wollongong. For further information contact the UOW Library: research-pubs@uow.edu.au

Interface bond performance of steel fibre embedded in magnesium phosphate cementitious composite

Abstract

A series of pullout tests were carried out to characterize the interface bond between steel fibre and magnesium phosphate cement (MPC) based matrix. The effect of the mixture proportions, curing time and end-hook of fibre on the interface bond properties between the steel fibre and the MPC-based matrix was investigated. The mixture proportions investigated include the mole ratio of magnesium oxide to potassium dihydrogen phosphate, mass ratio of sand to cement, mass ratio of water to cement and dosage of silica fume. The effect of different types of cement on the interface bond properties was also investigated.

Disciplines

Engineering | Science and Technology Studies

Publication Details

Feng, H., Sheikh, M. Neaz., Hadi, M. N. S., Feng, L., Gao, D. & Zhao, J. (2018). Interface bond performance of steel fibre embedded in magnesium phosphate cementitious composite. *Construction and Building Materials*, 185 648-660.

Authors

Hu Feng, M Neaz Sheikh, Muhammad N. S Hadi, Lu Feng, Danying Gao, and Jun Zhao

**Interface bond performance of steel fibre embedded in magnesium
phosphate cementitious composite**

Hu Feng^a M. Neaz Sheikh^{b*} Muhammad N.S. Hadi^b Lu Feng^a Danying Gao^a Jun Zhao^a

^aSchool of Civil Engineering, Zhengzhou University, Henan, 450001, China

^bSchool of Civil, Mining and Environmental Engineering, University of Wollongong, NSW
2522, Australia

Correspondence:

M. Neaz Sheikh

School of Civil, Mining & Environmental Engineering

University of Wollongong, Australia

E-mail: msheikh@uow.edu.au

Telephone: + 61 2 4221 3009

Facsimiles: + 61 2 4221 3238

* Corresponding author

Interface bond performance of steel fibre embedded in magnesium phosphate cementitious composite

Abstract: A series of pullout tests were carried out to characterize the interface bond between steel fibre and magnesium phosphate cement (MPC) based matrix. The effect of the mixture proportions, curing time and end-hook of fibre on the interface bond properties between the steel fibre and the MPC-based matrix was investigated. The mixture proportions investigated include the mole ratio of magnesium oxide to potassium dihydrogen phosphate, mass ratio of sand to cement, mass ratio of water to cement and dosage of silica fume. The effect of different types of cement on the interface bond properties was also investigated.

Keywords: Pullout; Steel fibre; Magnesium phosphate cement; Physicochemical bond; Mechanical bond.

42 **Highlights**

- 43 * Interface bond between steel fibre and MPC based matrix was investigated
- 44 * Bond properties were influenced significantly by the compressive strength of matrix
- 45 * End-hooks of the steel fibre improved significantly the bond properties
- 46 * Bond properties improved by the incorporation of silica fume up to 10% by mass
- 47 * Effect of different types of cement on the bond properties was also investigated

1. Introduction

Magnesium phosphate cement (MPC) is a new type of binder in which the chemical bond is formed by acid-base reactions between magnesia and phosphate. Compared to the ordinary Portland cement, the MPC possesses many excellent properties including very rapid setting, high early strength, ability to set and harden at temperatures as low as -20°C , low shrinkage, high bond strength, high abrasion resistance and high durability. Therefore, the study and applications of MPC as a repair and quick-construction material have received significant attention in recent years [1-7].

The MPC-based composites have excellent engineering properties; however, they are typically brittle in nature and have inherent weaknesses in resisting tension. Moreover, they are more brittle than the ordinary Portland cement (OPC) and sulphoaluminate cement (SAC) based matrix because of the high volume of cementitious compounds [8]. It has been recognized that the behaviour of such materials can be significantly improved by the addition of discontinuous fibres [9]. The results of some studies indicated that the addition of the proper type and amount of fibres into MPC-based matrix led to composites with an elastic-plastic or deflection hardening behaviour under bending [10]. The steel fibre is one of the most widely used fibres for improving the strength, ductility and toughness of brittle cementitious composites due to the ease of application together with its high efficiency. The chemical bond strength between the steel fibre and the MPC-based matrix was higher than chemical bond strength between the steel fibre and the sulphoaluminate cement (SAC)-based matrix [11, 12]. The addition of steel fibre improved significantly the compressive strength, flexural strength, flexural toughness and flexural ductility of MPC-based composites [13]. The improvement in composite properties is largely attributed to the bond between the steel fibre and the matrix. The steel fibre-matrix interface bond strongly influences the ability of fibres to stabilize crack propagation in the matrix. The interface bond between the steel fibre and the cementitious matrix can be separated into physicochemical and mechanical contribution. The physicochemical bond contribution is predominantly influenced by the cementitious matrix packing density and the properties of the fibre surface (i.e., smooth, etched, or roughened). The mechanical bond contribution is influenced by the

geometric deformation of the fibre and the transverse tensile stress resistance of the matrix [14]. The packing density and transverse tensile stress resistance of the matrix are related to the mixture proportions of the matrix and curing time. While some studies provided preliminary results on the bond properties between steel fibre and MPC-based matrix, a large number of variables are yet to be investigated. The interface bond between the steel fibre and the MPC-based matrix has not yet been fully characterized. The test of pullout fibre embedded in the cementitious matrix is generally used to characterize the fibre-matrix interface bond [9]. The known parameters that govern the mechanical properties of fibre reinforced cementitious composites include fibre type, fibre dimensions [15, 16], fibre geometry [17, 18], volume fraction [19], strength of the fibre-matrix interface [20], surface texture of the fibres [21, 22], fibre combination [23, 24] and fibre distribution [25]. The effects of these parameters on the mechanical properties of fibre reinforced cementitious composites can be investigated by the pullout test.

A series of pullout tests of steel fibres embedded in the MPC-based matrix were carried out in this study. The effect of the mixture proportions, curing time and end-hook of the fibre on the interface bond properties was experimentally investigated. The mixture proportions of the matrix investigated include the mole ratio of magnesium oxide (MgO) to potassium dihydrogen phosphate (KH_2PO_4), mass ratio of sand to cement, mass ratio of water to cement and dosage of silica fume. The fibres investigated include straight and hooked-end steel fibres. The effect of cement types (MPC, SAC and OPC) on the interface bond properties was also explored. The results obtained from this investigation are important for better understanding the role of steel fibres in improving the strength and toughness of MPC-based composites.

2. Experimental program

2.1 Materials

The Magnesium Phosphate Cement (MPC) was prepared from a mixture of magnesium oxide (MgO),

potassium dihydrogen phosphate (KH_2PO_4) and multi-composite retarder. The multi-composite retarder consisted of borax ($\text{Na}_2\text{B}_4\text{O}_7 \cdot 10\text{H}_2\text{O}$), disodium hydrogen phosphate dodecahydrate ($\text{Na}_2\text{HPO}_4 \cdot 12\text{H}_2\text{O}$) and calcium chloride (CaCl_2). The MgO was sourced from Zhengyang Casting Material Company of Xinmi, Henan, China [26] in the form of magnesia powder with a specific surface area of $429 \text{ m}^2/\text{kg}$. The detailed chemical composition of MgO is provided in Table 1 [26]. The industrial-grade potassium dihydrogen phosphate (KH_2PO_4) with a purity of 98%, particle size of $180\text{--}385 \mu\text{m}$ and relative density of 2.338 was supplied by Weitong Chemical Co., Ltd of Wujiang, Jiangsu, China [27]. The industrial-grade borax ($\text{Na}_2\text{B}_4\text{O}_7 \cdot 10\text{H}_2\text{O}$) with a purity of 95% and particle size of $80\text{--}220 \mu\text{m}$ was provided by Banda Technology Co., Ltd. of Liaoning, China [28]. The disodium hydrogen phosphate dodecahydrate ($\text{Na}_2\text{HPO}_4 \cdot 12\text{H}_2\text{O}$) with a purity of 99% and calcium chloride (CaCl_2) with a purity of 96% were analytic grade chemical provided by Kermel Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd. of Tianjin, China [29]. The silica fume with a purity of 92% and a specific surface area of $200 \text{ m}^2/\text{kg}$ was sourced from Nangong Ruiteng Alloy Material Co., Ltd of Hebei, China [30]. Tap water and natural river sand with fineness modulus of 2.06 were used in this study.

The fibre-S and fibre-H were used to investigate the effect of the end hook of the steel fibre on interface bond properties in this study. Both the fibre-S and fibre-H have a smooth surface with a round section. The fibre-S (diameter of 0.75 mm and length of 30 mm length) was straight. The fibre-H (diameter of 0.54 mm and length of 35 mm) was hooked at the end. Table 2 summarizes the properties of steel fibre provided from manufacturers [31]. The sulphoaluminate cement (SAC) of Grade P.O 42.5R according to GB20472-2006 [32] and the ordinary Portland cement (OPC) of Grade P.O 42.5 according to GB175-2007 [33] used in this study were obtained from Anda Special Cement Co., Ltd. Group of Yicheng [34] and Mengdian Group Cement Co., Ltd of Henan, China [35], respectively.

2.2 Mixture proportions

The proportions of the mixtures are shown in Table 3. As shown in Table 3, the “M” in the Series

names represents the MPC. The “M/P”, “S/C”, “W/C” and “SF/C” in the series names represent the MgO-KH₂PO₄ mole ratio, sand-cement mass ratio, water-cement mass ratio and percentage of silica fume to cement by mass, respectively. The magnesium phosphate cement consists of the magnesium oxide (MgO) and potassium dihydrogen phosphate (KH₂PO₄). The default values of MgO-KH₂PO₄ mole ratio, sand-cement mass ratio, water-cement mass ratio and silica fume dosage for the MPC-based matrix are 4, 0.8, 0.14 and 0%, respectively. When one of the variables was changed in the experimental program, the other variables were kept fixed. The dosage of multi-composition retarder was 9.0% of MgO by mass for all MPC matrices. The mass ratio of borax (Na₂B₄O₇·10H₂O), disodium hydrogen phosphate dodecahydrate (Na₂HPO₄·12H₂O) and calcium chloride (CaCl₂) in the multi-composition retarder was 1:3:1.

2.3 Specimen preparation

The solid raw materials, included the cement (magnesium oxide and potassium dihydrogen phosphate), borax and sand were mixed evenly by a mixer at a low speed. Then the water was added into the mixer and mixed at a low speed for 30 s, followed by a high speed mixing for 60 s. The mixture was cast into the steel moulds, and the steel moulds were compacted on a vibration table. The specimens of MPC-based, SAC-based and OPC-based composites were demolded after 1 hour, 3 hours and 12 hours of casting, respectively. Finally, the specimens were cured in a standard curing room with 95% relative humidity and 20° C temperature. The specimens of Series M-M/P-4 were cured for 6 hours, 12 hours, 1 day, 3 days, 7 days and 28 days to investigate the effect of curing time. All the other specimens were cured for 7 days.

The 50 mm × 50 mm × 50 mm prism specimens were used to test the compressive strength according to ASTM C109M-13 [36]. The dog-bone shaped specimens were used to test the pullout behaviour of four embedded steel fibres within the matrix according to JCI SF-8 [37] and CECS 13:2009 [38]. As shown in Figure 1, the dog-bone shaped specimen was divided into two halves (namely the pullout half and fixed half) by a steel partitioning board with a thickness of 1.0 mm in the middle. The two

halves of the specimen were bridged by four steel fibres. The partitioning board had four 0.5 mm diameter holes spaced at 8 mm. The casting process of the paste was completed in three steps: casting the pullout half, installing fibres and casting the fixed half. At first, the paste was cast in the pullout half of the steel mould. Secondly, four fibres were inserted into the paste through the four holes of the partitioning board. The four fibres were carefully arranged to keep them perpendicular to the partitioning board. The embedment lengths of fibre-S and fibre-H in the pullout half of specimens are 8 mm and 9 mm, respectively. The embedment lengths of fibre-S and fibre-H in the fixed half of specimens are 22 mm and 26 mm, respectively. The fibres were pulled out from the pullout half during the pullout tests because of the much longer embedment length in the fixed half of specimens. After 1 hour, 3 hours and 12 hours of the casting of specimens prepared with MPC, SAC and OPC, respectively, the fibres were fixed by the hardened paste in the pullout half of the steel mould. The mould was disassembled and the partitioning board was removed. Next, the pullout half of specimen was covered with the cling wrap in order to completely prevent adhesion between the two halves of the specimen and put back into the mould, as shown in Figure 2. Finally, the paste was cast into the other half (fixed half) of the steel mould.

2.4 Test procedure

The fibre pullout test was conducted by using an electronic universal testing machine with a capacity of 5 kN. The slip between the fibre and matrix was measured by an extensometer clamped onto the specimen, as shown in Figure 3. The pull loading rate was 0.5 mm/min of the slip. All the readings (pullout load and slip) were collected by the electronic universal testing machine. There were five specimens for each series of the fibre pullout tests. In order to compare the effect of variables on bond performance, all displayed experimental results represent the calculated average curve or average value of each series based on the test results of five specimens. The displayed curves of each series were obtained by averaging the pullout load values at regular slip increments. For example, Figure 4 shows the pullout-slip curves of the five individual test results and the average of the test results for

Series M-S/C-1.0 with fibre-H. The micro-morphology of the surface of the fibre pulled out from matrix was examined by a Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM JEOL JSM-7500F, Japan).

3 Test results and discussion

3.1 Evaluation parameters of pullout behaviour

Each fibre pullout test is described by the pullout load versus slip (P - s) behaviour, where P is the pullout load and s is the slip. To simplify the comparison between different series, τ_{av} is defined as the average bond strength based on the maximum pullout load and the initial embedment length [14] and is given by Equation (1).

$$\tau_{av} = \frac{P_{\max}}{\pi \times d_f \times l_p} \quad (1)$$

where, $P_{\max}$ is the maximum of the pullout load; d_f is the diameter of the fibre; l_p is the initial embedment length of the fibre in the pullout half of the specimen.

The total pullout energy, W_p , is the integration of the area under the pullout load-slip curve, and is given by Equation (2).

$$W_p = \int P(s) ds \quad (2)$$

The total pullout energy is usually determined to up to 2.5 mm slip, according to the recommendation in JCI SF-8 [37]. The pullout energy, W_{uv} , is defined as the total pullout energy, W_p , divided by the volume of the embedded portion of the fibre, and is given by Equation (3).

$$W_{uv} = \frac{W_p}{V_{fe}} \quad (3)$$

where, V_{fe} is the volume of the embedded portion of the fibre. The pullout energy, W_{uv} , is used to compare pullout energy of fibre with different diameters.

The higher bond strength can cause a higher tensile and flexural strength of steel fibre reinforced cementitious materials. The pullout energy is the mechanical energy consumed during fibre pullout processing. It is generally agreed that the pullout energy provides the main source of toughness or energy absorption capacity of fibre reinforced cementitious composites [39].

3.2 Effect of ingredients and proportions of mixture on the bond properties

The mixture proportions investigated included MgO-KH₂PO₄ mole ratio (M/P), sand-cement mass ratio (S/C), water-cement mass ratio (W/C) and percent of silica fume to cement by mass (SF). The compressive strength of matrices (f'_c), average bond strength (τ_{av}) and pullout energy (W_{uv}) with various proportions are summarized in Table 4.

(1) The MgO to KH₂PO₃ mole ratio (M/P)

Figure 5 shows the pullout load versus slip behaviour of specimens with varying M/P ranged from 3 to 6 for fibre-S and fibre-H embedded in MPC-base matrix. Figure 6 and Figure 7 show the average bond strength (τ_{av}) and pullout energy (W_{uv}) with varying M/P, respectively. It can be observed that the average bond strength and pullout energy for specimens with the M/P of 4 were the highest. Sufficient amount of MgO is required in the MPC to ensure the full reaction with the phosphate. However, the excess MgO reduced the amount of the phosphate in the cement and caused a significant reduction of hydration products. The compressive strength of the matrix with M/P of 4 was the highest, too. This indicated that the transverse tensile stress resistance of matrix was the highest at M/P of 4. The optimal value of M/P was 4 for the interface bond between the steel fibre and the MPC-base matrix in this experiment.

(2) Sand to cement mass ratio (S/C)

Figure 8 shows the pullout load versus slip behaviour of specimens with varying S/C ranged from 0.6 to 1.0 for fibre-S and fibre-H embedded in MPC-base matrix. Figure 9 and Figure 10 show the average bond strength (τ_{av}) and pullout energy (W_{uv}) with varying S/C, respectively. As shown in

Figure 9 and Figure 10, the average bond strength and pullout energy for specimens with S/C of 0.8 were the highest. The amount of cement in the specimens with S/C of 0.6 was the largest. The water-cement mass ratio was kept constant. Hence, the amount of water in specimens with S/C of 0.6 was the largest too. The heat of hydration of MPC was high [3]. The excess water in the paste with S/C of 0.6 gradually evaporated and the pores were left during the hydration process, which increased the porosity of the interface zone between the steel fibre and the matrix. Hence, all the pullout parameters for specimens with the S/C of 0.6 were lower than that for specimens with S/C of 0.8. However, when the amount of cement gradually decreased with the increase of sand-cement mass ratio, the products of hydration reduced. Thus the fibres in the paste with the S/C of 1.0 were not fully coated with the cementitious materials. This is the reason that the pullout parameters of specimens with S/C of 1.0 were lower than the pullout parameters of specimens with S/C of 0.8.

(3) Water to cement mass ratio (W/C)

Figure 11 shows the pullout load versus slip behaviour of specimens with varying W/C ranged from 0.14 to 0.18 for fibre-S and fibre-H embedded in the MPC-base matrix. Figure 12 and Figure 13 show the average bond strength (τ_{av}) and pullout energy (W_{uv}) with varying W/C, respectively. With the increase of water-cement mass ratio (W/C) from 0.14 to 0.18, the average bond strength for fibre-S and fibre-H decreased by 26.7% and 43.7%, respectively, and the pullout energy decreased by 41.6% and 37.1%, respectively. The water in the pastes was useful for improving the workability of the paste. However, the excess water in the paste gradually evaporated due to the high heat of hydration for MPC, and the pores were left during the hydration process. This resulted in a reduction in the density of the interface zone between the steel fibre and the matrix. Meanwhile, the excess water caused a high porosity of matrix and resulted in a low compressive strength.

(4) Dosage of silica fume (SF/C)

Figure 14 shows the pullout load versus slip behaviour of specimens with varying contents of silica fume ranged from 0% to 15% for fibre-S and fibre-H embedded in the MPC-base matrix. The interfacial

resistance during pullout has been toughened in different extents with various contents of silica fume. The maximum pullout load and fullness of the curve increased with the increase of the content of silica fume up to 10% by mass. The toughening effect in pullout process was the most significant in the cases with silica fume of 10% by mass. However, when the content of silica fume increased to 15%, the interfacial-toughening effect decreased. This indicates that for the interfacial-toughening effect, the optimum content of silica fume was 10% by mass. Figure 15 and Figure 16 show the average bond strength (τ_{av}) and pullout energy (W_{uv}) with varying contents of silica fume, respectively. It can be observed that the average bond strength and pullout energy increased with the increase in the content of silica fume up to 10% by mass. In comparison with the matrix without silica fume, the average bond strength (τ_{av}) with silica fume of 10% by mass for fibre-S and fibre-H increased by 27.1% and 71.1%, respectively. The pullout energy (W_{uv}) with silica fume of 10% for fibre-S and fibre-H increased by 67.9% and 34.2%, respectively. The study of J. L. Helfet indicated a correlation of pullout energy to the toughness of steel fiber reinforced cement-based materials. Also, there is a correlation between the bond strength to the tensile and flexural strength of steel fibre reinforced cementitious materials [39]. Therefore, it can be inferred that the incorporation of silica fume can effectively enhance the toughness, tensile strength and flexural strength of MPC-based composite. However, the increase of compressive strength with silica fume from 0 to 10% by mass was only 7.75%. The enhancement in the average bond strength and pullout energy due to silica fume is much more significant than the enhancement in the compressive strength of matrix. The previous study observed that a great amount of cementitious particles adhered to fibre surface after pullout from matrix with silica fume [20]. Consequently, the cementitious particles contributed to the friction and resistance during the fibre pullout process.

(5) Effect of compressive strength of the matrix on bond properties

According to the test results with varying mixture proportions presented above, it can be summarized that the average bond strength (τ_{av}) and pullout energy (W_{uv}) are related to the compressive strength

of matrix. As shown in Figure 17 and Figure 18, the average bond strength (τ_{av}) and pullout energy (W_{uv}) for fibre-S and fibre-H increased with the increase of the compressive strength of matrix. The matrix with high compressive strength may provide the strong resistance to transverse tensile stress during fibres pullout process. The compressive strength of the matrix and properties of the interface bond between the steel fibre and the matrix were improved by the optimization of mixture proportions and the incorporation of silica fume.

(6) Mechanical bond contribution due to fibre end hook deformation

In comparison to the pullout behaviour of the straight fibre (fibre-S), the average bond strength (τ_{av}) and pullout energy (W_{uv}) for the hooked-end fibre (fibre-H) were much higher, as shown in Figure 6, 7, 9, 10, 12, 13, and Figure 15 to 18. The end hook deformation of the steel fibre contributed to a significant increase in the average bond strength (τ_{av}) and pullout energy (W_{uv}). The mechanical bond contribution of the hooked-end fibre in comparison to the straight fibre can be assessed according to the increment of the average bond strength ($\Delta\tau_{av}$) and pullout energy (ΔW_{uv}) due to the end hook deformation. The increment of the average bond strength ($\Delta\tau_{av}$) and pullout energy (ΔW_{uv}) due to the end hook deformation were increased significantly with the increase of the compressive strength of matrix, as shown in Figure 19. The increment of the average bond strength ($\Delta\tau_{av}$) due to the end hook deformation increased by 118.26% with the increase of compressive strength from 26.8 MPa to 40.3 MPa. The corresponding increment of the pullout energy (ΔW_{uv}) increased by 83.66%. The mechanical bond contribution due to end hook deformation increased proportionally with the increase of the compressive strength of matrix.

The pullout resistance of fibre-S (smooth and straight) was predominantly controlled by the physicochemical bond properties between the fibre and the matrix. However, the bending resistance of the end hook of the hooked-end fibre under pullout induces pressure on the matrix, which increases the frictional force and thus increases the pullout resistance [25]. Additionally, the end hook

deformation of steel fibre contributed to the mechanical bond between steel fibre and matrix. Hence, the end-hooks of the steel fibre improved the bond properties significantly. However, this mechanical contribution decreases with an increase in the slip and is effective only until the end hook is straightened fully [25]. The end hook of the fibre was straightened to different extents corresponding to the various compressive strength of matrix, as shown in Figure 20. The end hook pullout from the matrix with the compressive strength of 40.03 MPa was almost fully straightened. However, the hook pullout from the matrix with the compressive strength of 30.25 MPa was not fully straightened. The positive effect of the end hook deformation of the steel fibre on the mechanical bond contribution increased with the increase of the compressive strength of the matrix until a critical point was reached where the end hook was fully straightened.

3.3 Effect of the curing time on the bond properties

The specimens of Series M-M/P-4 cured for 6 hours, 12 hours, 24 hours (1 day), 72 hours (3 days), 168 hours (7 days) and 674 hours (28 days) were tested to investigate the effect of curing time. The compressive strength (f'_c) of matrices, average bond strength (τ_{av}) and pullout energy (W_{uv}) with varying curing time are reported in Table 5. The tensile strength of the matrix cured for 6 hours and 12 hours were low; the mechanical bond contribution for hooked-end fibres (fibre-H) due to the end hook deformation was significant. The matrices, cured for 6 hours and 12 hours, fractured along the cross-section perpendicularly to the fibre during the pullout process of fibre-H. Hence, the pullout parameters of Series M-M/P-4 cured for 6 hours and 12 hours for fibre-H were not got.

Figure 21 presents the effect of curing time on the pullout load versus slip. Both the maximum pullout load and fullness of the curve increased significantly with the increase of the curing time from 6 hours to 28 days. Figure 22 and Figure 23 show the average bond strength (τ_{av}) and pullout energy (W_{uv}), respectively, with varying curing time. It can be observed that the average bond strength (τ_{av}) and pullout energy (W_{uv}) increased significantly with the increase of the curing time, especially during the

first 3 days (72 hours). The average bond strength (τ_{av}) of fibre-S and fibre-H cured for 3 days were 84.9% and 83.4% of those cured for 28 days, respectively. The pullout energy (W_{uv}) of fibre-S and fibre-H cured for 3 days were 76.5% and 71.8% of those cured for 28 days, respectively. The compressive strength (f'_c) of matrix cured for 3 days was 83.0% of that cured for 28 days. The excellent compressive strength (f'_c) of the matrix, average bond strength (τ_{av}) and pullout energy (W_{uv}) at early curing time were guaranteed by the fast hydration of MPC. Hence, the tensile strength, flexural strength and toughness of the steel fibre reinforced MPC-based composite at early curing time were high [13].

3.4 Effect of the types of cement on the bond properties

Three types of cement were compared in this experimental program: the magnesium phosphate cement (Series M-M/P-4), sulphoaluminate cement (Series SAC) and ordinary Portland cement (Series OPC), as shown in Table 3. The compressive strength (f'_c) of matrices, average bond strength (τ_{av}) and pullout energy (W_{uv}) with various types of cement are reported in Table 6.

Figure 24 presents the effect of cement types on pullout load versus slip. As shown in Figure 23 (a), for fibre-S, the maximum pullout load for specimens prepared with MPC was the highest, which was followed by the specimens prepared with SAC and OPC. There is only the physicochemical bond contribution including friction and chemical adhesion for the straight and smooth steel fibres. Hence, it indicated that the physicochemical bond between the steel fibre and the matrix prepared with MPC was higher than the physicochemical bond between the steel fibre and the matrices prepared with SAC or OPC. In comparison to the SAC and OPC pastes, the MPC paste was slightly acidic at the early stage of hydration, which led to the iron ions release from the surface of the steel fibre. The released iron ions were captured by the phosphate which produced a compact phosphate film on the surface of the steel fibre [22]. Additionally, Figure 25 shows the micro-morphology of the surface of

fibre-S pulled out from matrices prepared with various cement. It can be observed that much more cementitious particles adhered to the surface of the fibre pulled out from the MPC-based matrix in comparison to the fibres pulled out from SAC and OPC-based matrices. During pullout process, the abrasion of the magnesium phosphate cementitious particles and wedge effect caused by these particles squeezed between the surface of the fibre and matrix tunnel led to the excellent bond properties between the steel fibre and the MPC-based matrix.

Figure 26 and Figure 27 show the average bond strength (τ_{av}) and pullout energy (W_{uv}), respectively, with varying cement types. It can be observed that the average bond strength (τ_{av}) and pullout energy (W_{uv}) for fibre pulled out from the MPC-based matrix is the highest, which was followed by those from SAC-based and OPC-based matrix. It can be inferred that the positive effect of the steel fibre on the tensile strength, flexural strength and toughness of MPC-based composite was the most significant. As shown in Figure 25, the increment of the average bond strength ($\Delta\tau_{av}$) for the specimens prepared with MPC was similar to the increment of the average bond strength ($\Delta\tau_{av}$) for the specimens prepared with SAC and OPC. As shown in Figure 26, the increment of the pullout energy (ΔW_{uv}) for the specimens prepared with MPC was similar to the increment of the pullout energy (ΔW_{uv}) for the specimens prepared with SAC and OPC. The compressive strengths of matrices prepared with MPC, SAC and OPC were similar too, as shown in Table 6. Hence, the mechanical bond contribution of the hooked-end fibre was predominantly influenced by the compressive strength of matrix, rather than the type of the cement.

4. Conclusions

The effect of the mixture proportions, curing time and end hook of the steel fibre on bond properties between the steel fibre and the MPC-based matrix was experimental investigated. The difference in the interface bond properties between the steel fibre and the matrices prepared with different cements

(MPC, SAC and OPC) was also investigated. The following conclusions can be drawn based on the experimental results presented in this study:

(1) The interface bond properties between the steel fibre and the MPC-based matrix were improved by the proper mixture proportions and the incorporation of silica fume. The interface bond properties were significantly influenced by the compressive strength of the matrix. With the increase of the compressive strength of matrix and the increase of the content of silica fume up to 10% by mass, the average bond strength and pullout energy increased significantly.

(2) The interface bond properties between the steel fibre and the MPC-based matrix were improved significantly by the end hook deformation of the steel fibre. The improvement due to the end hook deformation increased significantly with the increase of the compressive strength of the matrix until a critical point was reached where the end hook was fully straightened.

(3) The interface bond properties (the average bond strength and pullout energy) between the steel fibre and the MPC-based matrix at early curing time were excellent due to the fast hydration of MPC.

(4) The physicochemical bond between the steel fibre and the matrix prepared with MPC was much better than the physicochemical bond between the steel fibre and the matrices prepared with SAC and OPC. The better physicochemical bond was guaranteed by the compact phosphate film on the surface of the steel fibre and the great amount of magnesium phosphate cementitious particles adhered to the surface of the steel fibre during the fibre pullout. However, the mechanical bond contribution due to end hook deformation of the steel fibre was predominantly influenced by the compressive strength of the matrix, rather than the type of the cement.

411 **Acknowledgments**

412 The first author would like to acknowledge the University of Wollongong, Australia, and the China
413 Scholarship Council for supporting his overseas research scholarship. All the authors acknowledge the
414 University of Wollongong, Australia for providing research foundation. Financial support from
415 National Key R&D Program of China (2016YFE0125600), National Natural Science Foundation of
416 China (Grant No. 51308504), Scientific & Technological Project of Henan Province (152102310068)
417 and Training Program of Young-backbone teachers of Universities in Henan Province China are
418 gratefully acknowledged.

References

- [1] Y. Li, B. Chen. Factors that affect the properties of magnesium phosphate cement, *Constr. Build. Mater.* 47 (2013) 977-983
- [2] Q. B. Yang, S. Q. Zhang, X. L. Wu. Deicer-scaling resistance of phosphate cement-based binder for rapid repair of concrete, *Cem. Concr. Res.* 32 (1) (2002) 165-168
- [3] Z. Ding, Z. J. Li. Effect of aggregates and water contents on the properties of magnesium phospho-silicate cement, *Cem. Concr. Compos.* 27 (1) (2005) 11-18
- [4] E. Soudée, J. Péra. Mechanism of setting reaction in magnesia-phosphate cements, *Cem. Concr. Res.* 30 (2) (2000) 315-321
- [5] F. Qiao, C. K. Chau, Z. Li. Property evaluation of magnesium phosphate cement mortar as patch repair material, *Constr. Build. Mater.* 24 (5) (2010) 695-700
- [6] D. A. Hall, R. Stevens, B. El-Jazairi. The effect of retarders on the microstructure and mechanical properties of magnesia-phosphate cement mortar, *Cem. Concr. Res.* 31 (3) (2001) 55-65.
- [7] C. K. Chau, Fei Qiao, Zongjin Li. Microstructure of magnesium potassium phosphate cement, *Constr. Build. Mater.* 25 (6) (2011) 911-7
- [8] A. Ezeldin, P. Balaguru. Toughness behavior of fiber reinforced rapid set materials: A Preliminary Study, *ASTM Journal, Cement, Concrete, and Aggregates*, 14 (1) (1992) 3-7
- [9] M. Jamal Shannag, Rune Brincker, Will Hansen. Pullout behavior of steel fibers from cement-based composites, *Cem. Concr. Res.* 27 (6) (1997) 925-936
- [10] Jean Péra, Jean Ambroise. Fiber-reinforced Magnesia-phosphate Cement Composites for Rapid Repair, *Cem. Concr. Compos.* 20 (1) (1998) 31-39
- [11] P. Frantzis, R. Baggott. Transition points in steel fiber pullout tests from magnesium phosphate and accelerated calcium aluminates binders, *Cem. Concr. Compos.* 25 (1) (2003) 11-17
- [12] P. Frantzis, R. Baggott. Bond between reinforcing steel fibres and magnesium phosphate/calcium aluminate binders, *Cem. Concr. Compos.* 22 (3) (2000) 187-192
- [13] H. Feng, G. Chen, D. Gao, K. Zhao, and C. Zhang. Mechanical Properties of Steel Fiber-Reinforced Magnesium Phosphate Cement Mortar, *Advances in Civil Engineering*, 2018 (2018), Article ID 3978318, doi:10.1155/2018/3978318.
- [14] Kay Wille, Antoine E. Naaman. Pullout Behavior of High-Strength Steel Fibers Embedded in Ultra-High-Performance Concrete, *ACI Mater. J.* 109 (4) (2012) 479-487
- [15] A.E. Naaman, S.P. Shah, Pull-out mechanism in steel fiber-reinforced concrete, *ASCE J. Struct. Div.* 102 (8) (1976) 1537-1548.
- [16] L.R. Betterman, C. Ouyang, S.P. Shah. Fiber-matrix interaction in microfiber reinforced mortar, *Adv. Cem. Based Mater.* 2 (2) (1995) 53-61.
- [17] V. Ramakrishnan, T. Brandshaug, W. V. Coyle, E.K. Schrader. A comparative evaluation of concrete reinforced with straight steel fibers and fibers with deformed ends glued together into

455 bundles, ACI J. Proc. 77 (3) (1980) 135-143

456 [18] Nemkumar Banthia, Jean-François Trottier. Concrete reinforced with deformed steel fibers part
 457 II: toughness characterization, ACI Mater. J. 92 (2) (1995) 146-154

458 [19] P. Rossi, N. Harrouche. Mix design and mechanical behaviour of some steel fibre-reinforced
 459 concretes used in reinforced concrete structures, Mater. Struct. 23 (4) (1990) 256-266.

460 [20] Y. W. Chan, S. H. Chu. Effect of silica fume on steel fiber bond characteristics in reactive
 461 powder concrete, Cem. Concr. Res. 34 (7) (2004) 1167-1172

462 [21] V.C. Li, Y. Wang, S. Backer. Effect of inclining angle, bundling and surface treatment on
 463 synthetic fibre pull-out from a cement matrix, Composites, 21 (2) (1990) 132-140

464 [22] A. Peled, H. Guttman, A. Bentur. Treatments of polypropylene fibres to optimize their
 465 reinforcing efficiency in cement composites, Cem. Concr. Compos. 14 (4) (1992) 277-285

466 [23] Y. Ohama, M. Miyara, M. Endo. Properties of steel fiber and polyethylene fiber hybrid
 467 reinforced polymer-modified concrete, in: The Twenty-eighth Japan Congress on Materials Research,
 468 The Society of Materials Science, Japan, Kyoto, 1985, 151-156

469 [24] N. Banthia, J. Sheng. Micro-Reinforced Cementitious Materials, MRS Online Proceedings
 470 Library, 211 (25) (1990)

471 [25] P. Stähli, R. Custer, J.M. van Mier. On flow properties, fibre distribution, fibre orientation and
 472 flexural behaviour of FRC, Mater. Struct. 41 (1) (2008) 189-196

473 [26] Zhengyang Casting Material Company. Xinmi, Henan, China. [http://www.igreenbuy.com/
 474 index.php/shop-info-2728.html/](http://www.igreenbuy.com/index.php/shop-info-2728.html/) (Accessed on February 2018) (in Chinese)

475 [27] Weitong Chemical Co., Ltd of Wujiang, Jiangsu, China. [https://wjwthg1818.1688.com/
 476 \(Accessed on February 2018\) \(in Chinese\)](https://wjwthg1818.1688.com/)

477 [28] Banda Technology Co., Ltd. of Liaoning, China. <http://www.lnpdkj.com/> (Accessed on February
 478 2018) (in Chinese)

479 [29] Kermel Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd. Tianjin, China. <http://www.tjkermel.com/> (Accessed on
 480 February 2018) (in Chinese)

481 [30] Nangong Ruiteng Alloy Material Co., Ltd. Lutou, Nangong City, Hebei, China.
 482 <https://xingtai.1688.com/shop/shop1465318039601>. (Accessed on February 2018)

483 [31] Bekaert China Co., Ltd. Shanghai, China. <https://www.bekaert.com.cn/> (Accessed on February
 484 2018) (in Chinese)

485 [32] CS (Chinese Standard) GB20472-2006, Sulphoaluminate Cement (in Chinese)

486 [33] CS (Chinese Standard) GB175-2007, Common Portland Cement (in Chinese)

487 [34] Yicheng Anda Special Cement Co., Ltd. Group. Banqiaodian Town, Yicheng, Hubei, China.
 488 <http://www.hbadtzn.com/yewu/36.html/> (Accessed on February 2018) (in Chinese)

489 [35] Mengdian Group Cement Co., Ltd. Huixian, Henan, China. <http://www.hnmdjt.com/> (Accessed
 490 on February 2018) (in Chinese)

491 [36] ASTM C109 / C109M-16a, Standard Test Method for Compressive Strength of Hydraulic
 492 Cement Mortars (Using 2-in. or [50-mm] Cube Specimens), ASTM International, West
 493 Conshohocken, PA, 2016, www.astm.org
 494 [37] JCI SF-8, Method of test for bond of fibers, Japan Concrete Institute, 2002.
 495 [38] CECS 13:2009, Standard test methods for fiber reinforced concrete, China Association for
 496 Engineering Construction Standardization, 2009.
 497 [39] J. L. Helfet, B. Harris. Fracture toughness of composites reinforced with discontinuous fibers, J.
 498 Mat. Sci. 7 (5) (1972) 494-498
 499

500

List of Tables

501 Table 1 Chemical composition of MgO

502 Table 2 Properties of steel fibres

503 Table 3 Mixture proportions of matrices

504 Table 4 Pullout parameters derived from test results with varying proportions

505 Table 5 Pullout parameters derived from test results with varying curing time

506 Table 6 Pullout parameters derived from test results with various types of cement

507

List of Figures

508 Figure 1 Illustration of the dog-bone shaped specimen: (a) Top view of the specimen, (b) Side view of
509 the specimen and (c) Section A-A

510 Figure 2 The fixed half of the specimen covered with a cling wrap in the steel mould

511 Figure 3 Pullout test: (a) Schematic representation and (b) Photo of the test

512 Figure 4 Pullout-slip curves of Series M-S/C-1.0 together with the averaged plot (fibre-H)

513 Figure 5 Effect of M/P on the pullout load versus slip: (a) fibre-S and (b) fibre-H

514 Figure 6 Effect of M/P on the average bond strength between the steel fibre and the MPC-based
515 matrix

516 Figure 7 Effect of M/P on the pullout energy of the steel fibre embedded in the MPC-based matrix

517 Figure 8 Effect of S/C on the pullout load versus slip: (a) fibre-S and (b) fibre-H

518 Figure 9 Effect of S/C on the average bond strength between the steel fibre and the MPC-based matrix

519 Figure 10 Effect of S/C on the pullout energy of the steel fibre embedded in the MPC-based matrix

520 Figure 11 Effect of W/C on the pullout load versus slip: (a) fibre-S and (b) fibre-H

521 Figure 12 Effect of W/C on the average bond strength between the steel fibre and the MPC-based
522 matrix

523 Figure 13 Effect of W/C on the pullout energy of the steel fibre embedded in the MPC-based matrix

524 Figure 14 Effect of the silica fume on the pullout load versus slip: (a) fibre-S and (b) fibre-H

525 Figure 15 Effect of the silica fume on the average bond strength between the steel fibre and the MPC-
526 based matrix

527 Figure 16 Effect of the silica fume on the pullout energy of the steel fibre embedded in the MPC-
528 based matrix

529 Figure 17 Effect of the compressive strength of MPC-based matrix on the average bond strength

530 Figure 18 Effect of the compressive strength of MPC-based matrix on the pullout energy

531 Figure 19 Effect of the end-hook of the fibre on the mechanical bond with varying compressive
532 strength of the MPC-based matrix

533 Figure 20 Hook straightened by the MPC-based matrix with various compressive strengths of matrix

534 Figure 21 Effect of curing time on the pullout load versus slip: (a) fibre-S and (b) fibre-H

535 Figure 22 Effect of curing time on the average bond strength between the steel fibre and the MPC-
536 based matrix

537 Figure 23 Effect of curing time on the pullout energy of the steel fibre embedded the MPC-based
538 matrix

539 Figure 24 Effect of the types of cement on the pullout load versus slip: (a) fibre-S and (b) fibre-H
540 Figure 25 Micro-morphology of the surface of fibre-S pulled out from the matrices prepared with
541 different types of cement: (a) MPC, (b) SAC and (c) OPC
542 Figure 26 Effect of the types of cement on the average bond strength
543 Figure 27 Effect of the types of cement on the pullout energy
544

545 Table 1

546 Chemical composition of MgO [26]

Composition	MgO	Fe ₂ O ₃	SiO ₂	CaO	Others
Mass fraction of the sample (%)	92.53	0.87	3.1	1.6	1.9

547

548 Table 2

549 Properties of steel fibres [31]

Fibre profile	Fibre type	Length (mm)	Diameter (mm)	Tensile strength (MPa)	Shape and Surface
Fibre-S	30	0.75	≥ 1100	Straight/Smooth/Round
Fibre-H	35	0.54	≥ 1200	Hooked-end/Smooth/Round

550

551 Table 3

552 Mixture proportions of matrices

Series	Mole ratio of MgO to KH_2PO_3	Mass ratio of sand to cement	Mass ratio of water to cement	Silica fume	Retarder
M-M/P-3	3	0.8	0.14	0	9.0%
M-M/P-4	4				
M-M/P-5	5				
M-M/P-6	6				
M-S/C-0.6	4	0.6	0.14	0	9.0%
M-S/C-0.8		0.8			
M-S/C-1.0		1.0			
M-W/C-0.14	4	0.8	0.14	0	9.0%
M-W/C-0.16			0.16		
M-W/C-0.18			0.18		
M-SF/C-0	4	0.8	0.14	0	9.0%
M-SF/C-5				5%	
M-SF/C-10				10%	
M-SF/C-15				15%	
SAC	-	2.5	0.36	0	-
OPC	-	1.0	0.50	0	-

553 Note: The MPC consists of magnesium oxide (MgO) and potassium dihydrogen phosphate (KH_2PO_4). The
554 silica fume dosage is the percentage of MPC by mass. The retarder dosage for all the MPC composites is 9.0%
555 of MgO by mass.
556

Table 4

Pullout parameters derived from test results with various proportions

Variables	Type of fibre	Compressive strength of matrix, f'_c (MPa)	Average bond strength, τ_{av} (MPa)	Pullout energy, W_{av} ($N \cdot mm/mm^3$)
M/P	Fibre-H	3	24.9	8.18
		4	37.4	12.02
		5	37.0	11.31
		6	30.6	9.49
	Fibre-S	3	24.9	2.72
		4	37.4	4.53
		5	37.0	4.46
		6	30.6	3.51
S/C	Fibre-H	0.6	34.9	9.24
		0.8	37.4	12.02
		1.0	33.1	5.56
	Fibre-S	0.6	34.9	4.07
		0.8	37.4	4.53
		1.0	33.1	3.14
W/C	Fibre-H	0.14	37.4	12.02
		0.16	32.0	8.00
		0.18	26.8	6.77
	Fibre-S	0.14	37.4	4.53
		0.16	32.0	3.50
		0.18	26.8	3.32
SF/C	Fibre-H	0%	37.4	12.02
		5%	38.0	13.04
		10%	40.3	15.28
		15%	38.6	13.97
	Fibre-S	0%	37.4	4.53
		5%	38.0	5.51
		10%	40.3	7.75
		15%	38.6	7.10

Note: The reported values are the average values for each series. The M/P, S/C, W/C and SF/C represent the MgO-KH₂PO₄ mole ratio, sand-cement mass ratio, water-cement mass ratio and percentage of silica fume to cement by mass, respectively.

563 Table 5
564 Pullout parameters derived from test results with varying curing time

Type of fibre	Curing time	Compressive strength of Matrix, f'_c (MPa)	Average bond strength, τ_{av} (MPa)	Pullout energy, W_{uv} ($N \cdot mm / mm^3$)
Fibre-H	1 d	31.7	8.81	117.82
	3 d	34.6	10.37	144.44
	7 d	37.4	12.02	173.06
	28 d	41.7	12.43	201.21
Fibre-S	6 h	26.5	2.06	24.09
	12 h	30.3	2.90	30.91
	1 d	31.7	3.37	36.52
	3 d	34.6	4.17	42.83
	7 d	37.4	4.53	53.29
	28 d	41.7	4.91	56.02

565 Note: The reported values are the average values for each series.
566

Table 6

Pullout parameters derived from test results with various types of cement

Type of fibre	Type of cement	Compressive strength of Matrix, f'_c (MPa)	Average bond strength, τ_{av} (MPa)	Pullout energy, W_{uv} ($N \cdot mm / mm^3$)
Fibre-H	MPC	37.4	12.02	173.06
	SAC	38.0	9.47	135.85
	OPC	38.3	7.48	116.01
Fibre-S	MPC	37.4	4.53	53.29
	SAC	38.0	3.07	30.08
	OPC	38.3	1.74	21.68

Note: The reported values are the average values for each series. The MPC, SAC and OPC represent the magnesium phosphate cement, sulphoaluminate cement and ordinary Portland cement, respectively.

572

573

574

Figure 1 Illustration of the dog-bone shaped specimen: (a) Top view of the specimen, (b) Side view of the specimen and (c) Section A-A

575

Note: l_f and l_p represent the embedment length of fibre in the fixed half and pullout half of the specimen, respectively.

576

577

578

579

580

Figure 2 The fixed half of the specimen covered with a cling wrap in the steel mould

581

582

583

584

Figure 3 Pullout test: (a) Schematic representation and (b) Photo of the test

585

586

587

588

Figure 4 Pullout-slip curves of Series M-S/C-1.0 together with the averaged plot (fibre-H)

589

Figure 5 Effect of M/P on the pullout load versus slip: (a) fibre-S and (b) fibre-H

594

595

596

597

598

599

Figure 6 Effect of M/P on the average bond strength between the steel fibre and the MPC-based matrix (Note: vertical bars represent standard error)

600

601

602

603

Figure 7 Effect of M/P on the pullout energy of the steel fibre embedded in the MPC-based matrix
(Note: vertical bars represent standard error)

Figure 8 Effect of S/C on the pullout load versus slip: (a) fibre-S and (b) fibre-H

611

612

613 Figure 9 Effect of S/C on the average bond strength between the steel fibre and the MPC-based matrix

614

(Note: vertical bars represent standard error)

615

616

617 Figure 10 Effect of S/C on the pullout energy of the steel fibre embedded in the MPC-based matrix
 618 (Note: vertical bars represent standard error)

619

620
621

622
623

Figure 11 Effect of W/C on the pullout load versus slip: (a) fibre-S and (b) fibre-H

624
625

626

627

628

629

Figure 12 Effect of W/C on the average bond strength between the steel fibre and the MPC-based matrix (Note: vertical bars represent standard error)

630

631

632

Figure 13 Effect of W/C on the pullout energy of the steel fibre embedded in the MPC-based matrix

633

(Note: vertical bars represent standard error)

(a)

(b)

Figure 14 Effect of the silica fume (SF/C) on the pullout load versus slip: (a) fibre-S and (b) fibre-H

641

642

643

644

Figure 15 Effect of the silica fume on the average bond strength between the steel fibre and the MPC-based matrix (Note: vertical bars represent standard error)

645

646

647 Figure 16 Effect of the silica fume on the pullout energy of the steel fibre embedded in the MPC-
 648 based matrix (Note: vertical bars represent standard error)

649

650

651 Figure 17 Effect of the compressive strength of MPC-based matrix on the average bond strength

652

653

654

655 Figure 18 Effect of the compressive strength of MPC-based matrix on the pullout energy

656

657

658

659 Figure 19 Effect of the end-hook of the steel fibre on the mechanical bond with varying compressive
 660 strength of the MPC-based matrix

661

662

663

Figure 20 Hook straightened by the MPC-based matrix with various compressive strengths of matrix

664

665
666

667
668

669

Figure 21 Effect of curing time on the pullout load versus slip: (a) fibre-S and (b) fibre-H

670

671

672

673

Figure 22 Effect of curing time on the average bond strength between the steel fibre and the MPC-based matrix (Note: vertical bars represent standard error)

674

675

676

677

Figure 23 Effect of curing time on the pullout energy of steel fibre embedded in the MPC-based matrix (Note: vertical bars represent standard error)

678

679
680

681
682

683 Figure 24 Effect of the types of cement on the pullout load versus slip: (a) fibre-S and (b) fibre-H

684

(a)

(b)

(c)

Figure 25 Micro-morphology of the surface of fibre-S pulled out from the matrices prepared with different types of cement: (a) MPC, (b) SAC and (c) OPC

Figure 26 Effect of the types of cement on the average bond strength

695

696

697

698

Figure 27 Effect of the types of cement on the pullout energy