

1-1-2018

Exploring children's experiences in community gambling venues: A qualitative study with children aged 6-16 in regional New South Wales

Amy Bestman

Deakin University, aeb463@uowmail.edu.au

Samantha L. Thomas

Deakin University, slthomas@uow.edu.au

Melanie J. Randle

University of Wollongong, mrandle@uow.edu.au

Hannah Pitt

Deakin University, hlp674@uowmail.edu.au

Mike Daube

Curtin University, m.daube@curtin.edu.au

Follow this and additional works at: <https://ro.uow.edu.au/buspapers>



Part of the [Business Commons](#)

Exploring children's experiences in community gambling venues: A qualitative study with children aged 6-16 in regional New South Wales

Abstract

Issue addressed: Community-based gambling venues provide a range of activities for children alongside adult products, such as gambling. However, there has been little examination of children's experiences with, and exposure to, a range of gambling and non-gambling activities within venues.

Methods: Visual sociology methods were used to measure unprompted recall, prompted recall, current use and intended adult use of activities in community gambling venues in 44 children who attended venues. Qualitative data were also collected to gain further insight into children's perceptions of the venue and the activities within.

Results: Children displayed high unprompted and prompted recall of gambling and nongambling activities within the venue. Children indicated positive perceptions of the venue overall. Just over half of the children (52.3%) reported current use of gambling activities in the venue. The large majority of the children indicated that they would attend community gambling venues as an adult (90.9%).

Conclusion: This research provides a starting point in understanding the extent to which children are exposed to gambling products in community gambling venues.

So what?: This research will be important for researchers, policy makers and practitioners in developing health promotion initiatives to prevent children from being exposed to gambling products in community settings.

Summary: This qualitative study aimed to examine children's experiences within community gambling venues. The study found that children displayed high recall of gambling and non-gambling activities within venues and indicated positive perceptions of the venue overall. Comprehensive approaches are needed to prevent children from being exposed to gambling products in community settings.

Disciplines

Business

Publication Details

Bestman, A., Thomas, S. L., Randle, M., Pitt, H. & Daube, M. (2019). Exploring children's experiences in community gambling venues: A qualitative study with children aged 6-16 in regional New South Wales. *Health Promotion Journal of Australia*, 30 (3), 413-421.

1 **Exploring children’s experiences in community gambling venues: A qualitative study**
2 **with children aged 6-16 in regional New South Wales**

3 **Abstract**

4

5 Issue addressed: Community based gambling venues provide a range of activities for children
6 alongside adult products, such as gambling. However, there has been little examination of
7 children’s experiences with, and exposure to, a range of gambling and non-gambling
8 activities within venues.

9 Methods: Visual sociology methods were used to measure unprompted recall, prompted
10 recall, current use and intended adult use of activities in community gambling venues in 44
11 children who attended venues. Qualitative data was also collected to gain further insight into
12 children’s perceptions of the venue and the activities within.

13 Results: Children displayed high unprompted and prompted recall of gambling and non-
14 gambling activities within the venue. Children indicated positive perceptions of the venue
15 overall. Just over half of children (52.3%) reported current use of gambling activities in the
16 venue. The large majority of children indicated that they would attend community gambling
17 venues as an adult (90.9%).

18 Conclusion: This research provides a starting point in understanding the extent to which
19 children are exposed to gambling products in community gambling venues.

20 So what? This research will be important for researchers, policy makers and practitioners in
21 developing health promotion initiatives to prevent children from being exposed to gambling
22 products in community settings.

23

24 **Summary**

25 This qualitative study aimed to examine children’s experiences within community gambling
26 venues. The study found that children displayed high recall of gambling and non-gambling
27 activities within venues and indicated positive perceptions of the venue overall.

28 Comprehensive approaches are needed to prevent children from being exposed to gambling
29 products in community settings.

30

31 **Key words**

32 Children; gambling; community based gambling venues; electronic gambling machines;
33 evidence based practice; health advocacy; rural and regional health.

34 **Introduction**

35

36 Harmful gambling has been identified as an important public health problem,¹ with gambling
37 losses estimated at about \$23.6 billion annually in Australia.² While previous gambling
38 research has been criticised for focusing on ‘responsible gambling behaviours’,³ more recent
39 research has explored the range of socio-cultural, environmental, industry, and political
40 factors that may normalise the use of gambling products and venues.^{4, 5} Thomas and
41 colleagues [2018],⁴ define the normalisation of gambling as:

42

43 *“The interplay of socio-cultural, environmental, commercial and political processes*
44 *which influence how different gambling activities and products are made available*
45 *and accessible, encourage recent and regular use, and become an accepted part of*
46 *everyday life for individuals, their families, and communities.”* (p. 53-54, adapted
47 from Parker [2013]⁶).

48

49 While this definition provides an important step forward in conceptually understanding the
50 factors that may contribute to the normalisation of gambling activities and products, there has
51 been very limited research investigating how factors within gambling venues may shape or
52 normalise a) gambling attitudes and behaviours, and, b) the socio-cultural acceptance of
53 harmful gambling products within community settings.^{7, 8} For example, research with adults
54 has identified that increased access to and availability of gambling venues within local
55 communities influences gambling behaviours,⁹ and that non-gambling activities may soften
56 the perceptions of risk associated with gambling products within these venues.^{10, 11} Other
57 recent research indicates that while adults who attend community gambling venues primarily
58 attended for non-gambling reasons (for example cheap meals), many of these individuals also
59 reported using gambling products located in the venue, including electronic gambling
60 machines (EGMs),¹² which is associated with increased gambling harm.¹³ However, there is
61 much less information about the range of factors that may contribute to shaping children’s
62 attitudes and behaviours towards gambling products located within community settings.¹⁴
63 Given that young people may regularly frequent community gambling venues, understanding
64 their perceptions of these venues and their attitudes towards the activities within venues, is
65 important in developing comprehensive health promotion driven responses to gambling harm
66 prevention.

67

68 Research has demonstrated that children are regularly exposed to gambling products and the
69 marketing for these products within everyday community settings.¹⁵⁻¹⁷ While research has
70 predominantly focused on children's exposure to promotions for sports betting products,^{15, 17,}
71 ¹⁸ environmental cues, marketing messages, and perceptions of adults' engagement with these
72 products can also have a significant impact on positively shaping young people's gambling
73 attitudes and future consumption intentions.¹⁹ While some community gambling venues
74 specifically provide a range of non-gambling activities specifically for children (for example
75 playgrounds)⁷ there is much less understanding about how non-gambling and gambling cues
76 may influence children's attitudes within these venues. For example, do young people
77 perceive community gambling venues as positive for the community because of the range of
78 child friendly activities, and is there any evidence that children who hold positive perceptions
79 towards these venues are also likely to say that they will attend the venue and use gambling
80 products within the venues when they are older?

81

82 Furthermore, researchers have suggested that community gambling venues may be influential
83 in building positive perceptions for children because of the role of these venues in the social
84 and cultural capital of communities.²⁰ This includes facilitating a sense of community
85 belonging through a range of non-gambling activities, and through the promotion of venues
86 as 'safe and family friendly venues that cater for the whole community' (p. 164).²⁰

87 Researchers note that:

88

89 *"Even if the club environment encourages socialising through sports activities,*
90 *gambling activities are an integral, a customary, and acceptable family entertainment*
91 *activity, especially in areas where there is a lack of alternative affordable*
92 *entertainment venues."* (p. 165).²⁰

93

94 While we do not argue that venues aim to directly encourage children's consumption of
95 gambling products, research indicates that children are exposed to gambling products within
96 these community settings,¹⁴ and as such it is important to examine the factors that may shape
97 children's attitudes and behaviours towards both gambling products and the settings where
98 these are located. Focusing on children who attend community gambling venues, the research
99 aimed to explore three research questions:

100

- 101 1. What is the unprompted and prompted recall of gambling and non-gambling activities
102 within the venue by children, and what are their attitudes towards these activities?
103 2. What are children’s overall perceptions of community gambling venues, including
104 their value within community settings?
105 3. Is there evidence that positive perceptions towards venues may influence children’s
106 intentions to visit community gambling venues as adults, and to use the gambling
107 facilities within these venues?
108

109 **Methods**

110
111 The data used for this investigation was part of a larger project which explored the factors
112 that shape children’s attitudes towards gambling in community gambling venues.¹⁴ Data
113 collection methods were deliberately designed to be child-friendly with the interview
114 procedure designed to allow the child to engage with the researcher and prioritised free
115 narrative and reflection on their experiences.²¹ This research used data collection methods
116 that have been shown to be effective with children in other gambling research studies,^{15, 19} as
117 well as research methodologies drawn from other public health studies involving children.^{22,}
118 ²³

119
120 Approval was obtained from the Deakin University Human Research Ethics Committee prior
121 to the study commencing.

122
123 *Setting*

124
125 This study specifically focused on children who attended community based clubs with EGMs
126 in regional New South Wales (NSW). In regional NSW, clubs provide community sporting,
127 recreation and cultural facilities to the communities in which they are located.²⁴ While the
128 online marketing for these venues promote the clubs as family-friendly and child appropriate
129 locations,⁷ these venues also derive an estimated two thirds of overall venue revenue from
130 EGMs.²⁵ EGMs are the gambling product linked with the most economic and social harm,
131 with NSW residents losing \$6.1 billion per year on this form of gambling.² This study chose
132 to focus on a specific regional area due to the limited research conducted on gambling in
133 regional areas,²⁶ the high concentration of EGM venues and high EGM losses in this
134 particular area,²⁷ and research with rural communities which suggests that these venues are

135 part of the socio-cultural capital of the community.²⁰ While the majority of revenue for clubs
136 comes from EGMs, these venues also contain a range of other gambling products including
137 bingo, Keno, raffles and sports betting (for further description see Bestman and colleagues
138 [2016]⁷), and are licenced to sell alcohol products.²⁸

139

140 *Recruitment*

141

142 The sample included families who had visited a local club in the area studied that contained
143 EGMs, in the previous 12 months. Participants were recruited through convenience sampling,
144 local businesses and snowball sampling techniques. The study was explained to parents and
145 children and verbal assent was gained from the children before the interview began. Parents
146 provided written consent for their child's participation in the project. Family groups were
147 reimbursed with a \$20 grocery voucher for the time taken to participate in the study.

148

149 *Data collection*

150

151 Face-to-face qualitative interviews were conducted with 27 family groups between April and
152 October 2016. Each took between 45-80 minutes and with the consent of participants,
153 interviews were digitally recorded and transcribed. Data presented in this paper relate
154 specifically to the data collected for children in the study. Demographic data were collected
155 from parents about each child's age, gender, postcode and frequency of attendance at venues.

156

157 Data were collected from children using three specific methods. First, children were asked to
158 draw the venue they attended. The researchers provided minimal instruction regarding how
159 this should be done, so that children were not unduly influenced in their responses. However,
160 given previous research that suggests not all children are comfortable drawing,²² participants
161 were also told that if they did not want to draw they could write about what they saw at the
162 venue instead. It was explained to participants that there were no right or wrong way to
163 complete the activity, but that they should complete it based on their own experiences. Where
164 there were multiple children from the one family, the researchers encouraged children to
165 think about their own experiences in the venues. Children completed this activity away from
166 parents and researchers and were given as long as they wished to complete their drawing.
167 Following the drawing activity, children were asked to explain their drawing to the
168 researcher. This activity was used to assess unprompted recall of the venue.

169

170 Second, children were presented with a laminated picture board containing 24 images of
171 products and activities they *might* see at a community gambling venue, referred henceforth as
172 activities. The images selected for inclusion were based on those found in a previous scan of
173 venue websites in the local area,⁷ and were grouped into:

- 174 a) Children or family-related activities including, children's areas, children's discos,
175 children's meal promotions, family days, family meals, family prizes, games, and a
176 playground.
- 177 b) Gambling or alcohol activities including bingo, EGM area, Keno, sports betting,
178 raffles, and the bar.
- 179 c) Other recreational activities including a bowling green, cheap meals promotions, golf
180 course, live music, meeting friends, restaurant, special occasions, sports facilities,
181 television, and watching sport.

182

183 To assess the *prompted recall of activities*, researchers asked children to indicate using the
184 picture board, the activities they had seen before in the venue. Children were also asked to
185 identify the activities they had used before in the venue (measuring current use), and were
186 asked which activities children intended to use in the venue when they were adults
187 (measuring intended use as an adult). The children were told they could select as many or as
188 few items on the picture board as they wished. A photograph of each picture board and
189 drawing was taken before progressing to the qualitative section of the interview.

190

191 Finally, qualitative open-ended questions were used to explore children's perceptions of the
192 venue. These included what children liked or did not like at the venue, what they perceived
193 the venue did for the community, whether children thought they would attend the venue as
194 adults and what activities they would participate in. If there were more than one child present
195 in the interview, the researcher ensured that each child had the opportunity to answer each
196 question separately.

197

198 *Data analysis*

199

200 Demographic data were analysed using descriptive statistics. Postcodes were used to
201 calculate Socio-Economic Indicators for Areas (SEIFA) status through the Index of Relative
202 Socio-Economic Advantage and Disadvantage for each family. Each postcode was given a

203 score between one and 10, where one represents the lowest 10% of socio-demographic areas
204 and 10 represents the highest 10% of socio-demographic areas.^{29, 30}

205

206 To analyse the drawings, the research team employed an analysis method suggested by
207 Horstman and colleagues [2008],³¹ where each drawing was analysed as a whole and analysis
208 included any visual aspects, written text within the drawing and verbal explanations provided
209 by children. Drawings were initially coded based on the categories identified by a previous
210 study of venue websites.⁷ Data were then further coded inductively based on the specific
211 items children had presented in their drawings. Qualitative data based on children's
212 discussions of the content of the drawings were used to guide the researcher's interpretation
213 to identify children's own meanings in their drawings.³¹ The research team met and discussed
214 the coding framework. Codes were then quantified and analysed using descriptive statistics.
215 Data from the picture board activity were quantified and entered into SPSS version 19.
216 Descriptive statistics including frequency counts were performed to determine the activities
217 children had identified in the three components of the picture board activity. Qualitative data
218 were also used to provide additional insights regarding children's experiences within venues.
219 Transcripts were read and themes were developed and compared across children's responses,
220 using a thematic approach to analyse the data³² to identify children's perceptions towards the
221 venue, activities within the venue, and future use of venue.

222

223 **Results**

224

225 *Sample characteristics*

226

227 A total of 44 children from 27 families participated in the study, ranging in age from six to 16
228 years with an average age of 11.93 years (SD 2.6). Most children were aged 11 years or older
229 (n=32, 72.7%) and just under two thirds of children were male (n= 28, 63.6%). Families
230 resided in a range of SEIFA areas, with six children (22.2%) in the lowest three deciles; 19
231 (70.4%) in the middle four deciles and two (7.4%) in the top three deciles. All children had
232 visited a community gambling venue at least once in the year prior to the study, with 25
233 (56.8%) attending less than once a month, ten (22.7%) attending once a month, and nine
234 (20.5%) attending more than once a month with their family.

235

236 *Recall of activities within community gambling venues*

237

238 Table 1 presents data relating to children’s unprompted and prompted recall of activities in
239 the venue. Just over half of children displayed unprompted recall of at least one children’s
240 activity in their drawing (n=25, 56.8%). The most common activity included was the
241 playground, drawn by a third of children (n=15, 34.1%). Over one third of children (n=17,
242 38.6%) included at least one gambling or alcohol activity in the unprompted recall activity,
243 with the bar (14, 31.8%) being the most recalled activity, followed by Keno (n= 6, 13.6%)
244 and the EGM area (n= 6, 13.6%). Some children included specific detail in their drawings,
245 for example one boy aged 12 labelled an “*Irish bar*” in his drawing. Five children (11.4%)
246 drew beer and wine on the bar in their drawings. Eight children (61.5% of children who
247 referred to a gambling activity) included multiple gambling activities in their drawing.

248

249 In the prompted recall activity, children selected between two and 23 items on the picture
250 board, with an average of 13.8 items per child (4.9 SD). Over 90 per cent of children (n=41,
251 93.2%) recalled at least one gambling or alcohol activity (bar) when prompted, with over half
252 of children (n=26, 59.1%) recalling having seen more than three adult activities in the venue
253 when prompted. Children also had high recall of other activities in the venue with the
254 restaurant being the most recalled activity for both unprompted (n=34, 77.3%) and prompted
255 (40, 90.9%) recall.

256

257

258

Table 1

Unprompted and prompted recall of activities within the venue

Measure	Unprompted recall	Prompted recall
<i>Child or family activities</i>		
Any children’s activity	25 (56.8%)	44 (100.0%)
Playground	15 (34.1%)	35 (79.5%)
Children’s area	7 (15.9%)	30 (68.2%)
Games	5 (11.4%)	28 (63.6%)
Family meals	0	34 (77.3%)
Children’s meal deals	0	28 (63.6%)
Disco	0	18 (40.9%)
Family prizes	0	12 (27.3%)
Family days	0	9 (20.5%)
Other*	9 (20.5%)	-
<i>Alcohol or gambling activities</i>		
Any gambling or alcohol activity	17 (38.6%)	41 (93.2%)
Keno	6 (13.6%)	35 (79.5%)

EGM area	6 (13.6%) [#]	32 (72.7%)
Raffles	3 (6.8%)	31 (70.5%)
Sports Betting	1 (2.3%)	25 (56.8%)
Racing [*]	1 (2.3%)	-
Bingo	0	7 (15.9%)
Any gambling activity	13 (29.5%)	39 (88.6%)
Bar	14 (31.8%)	38 (86.4%)
Alcohol [*]	5 (11.4%)	-
<i>Other activities</i>		
Any other activity	39 (88.6%)	44 (100.0%)
Restaurant	34 (77.3%)	40 (90.9%)
TV	11 (25.0%)	36 (81.8%)
Watching sport	4 (9.1%)	35 (79.5%)
Live music	1 (2.3%)	22 (50.0%)
Bowling green	1 (2.3%)	20 (45.5%)
Cheap meals	0	31 (70.5%)
Special occasions	0	26 (59.1%)
Meeting friends	0	22 (50.0%)
Sports facilities	0	13 (29.5%)
Golf course	0	1 (2.3%)
Other [*]	9 (20.5%)	-
Number provided reflects the number of children who selected item. Percentages represent the number of children that selected item, proportional to the total sample (N=44).		
[*] Items emerged from analysis of children's drawings. This was not measured in picture board activity.		
[#] This measure reflects both drawing of the EGM area and EGMs.		


259

260 *Children's attitudes towards the non-gambling activities within community gambling venues*

261

262 Figure 1 contains children's current use of child and family activities within the venue and
263 their intended future use of these activities as an adult. While almost all children indicated
264 current use of at least one child or family activity at the venue (n=42, 95.5%), children's
265 intended future use of these activities decreased for all activities except for family days and
266 family prizes. Just over half of children (n= 25, 56.8%) indicated an intention to use the
267 children or family activities in the venue as an adult. While the most commonly mentioned
268 activity was attending for family meals (n=21, 47.7%), a small number of children indicated
269 they would use children's activities such as the playground (n=5, 11.4%), children's area
270 (n=3, 6.8%) or children's meal deals (n=5, 11.4%) as an adult with their own children. There
271 were no differences seen across age, gender or frequency of attendance.

272



273

274

Note: The numbers above each column represent the number of children who selected each activity

275

276

Children's attitudes towards the gambling activities within community gambling venues

277

278

Figure 2 contains children's current use of gambling activities within the venue and intended future use of these activities as an adult. Half of children (n= 23, 52.3%) indicated that they currently used at least one gambling activity while in the venue, with raffles being the most common gambling activity used (n=19, 43.2%). Several children described participating in gambling activities with family members while in the venue:

283

284

"I do play Keno but I never hand it in. I get my pop to hand it in". Boy, 10 years, attended less than once a month.

286

287

Some children provided positive descriptions of the gambling activities within the venue:

288

289

"I like the raffles because it's fun to watch it." Girl, 11 years, attended less than once a month.

291

292

In contrast to their intended future adult consumption of child and family activities, children's intended future adult consumption of gambling activities increased for all activities, with the biggest increase seen for intended use of the gaming area (EGMs). While no differences were

294

295 seen across age, gender or frequency of attendance, children who indicated current
296 consumption of gambling were more likely to indicate intended adult use of these activities.


297

298 Many children who indicated current use of gambling activities, for example raffles, referred
299 to their previous experience with these gambling activities as their rationale for gambling as
300 adults. For example, one boy aged 16 said he would participate in gambling activities as an
301 adult because “*they are enjoyable*”, while another boy, aged eight years said he would use the
302 raffles because “*I like to win stuff*”. Some children said they were interested in trying adult
303 gambling products because these were activities they were not allowed to currently use. For
304 example, one girl aged 12 who did not choose any gambling activities said she would attend
305 the venue for special occasions because “*that’s what we do with Mum and Dad*”, however her
306 brother aged 14 said he would use raffles and Keno gambling activities “*because they’re*
307 *what I’ve seen other adults do*”. A small number of children indicated some knowledge of
308 how they would gamble as adults. For example, one boy aged 15 said he would gamble on
309 raffles because he perceived he would be given free raffle tickets with food receipts. Another
310 child aged 14 who said he would try EGMs as an adult also commented on wanting to use the
311 adult only areas in the venue:

312

313 “*So if I didn’t have kids I’d go to like the areas where only adults can go where you*
314 *watch sport and then I think there’s sports betting in there, I’m not sure...I know that*
315 *it’s sport everywhere around there so they’ve got little TVs everywhere and it’s just*
316 *sport, different sort of sports on.*” Boy, 14 years, attended at least once a month.

317



318

319 Note: The numbers above each column represent the number of children who selected each activity

320

321 *Perceptions and future use of community gambling venues*

322

323 Children had positive attitudes towards the venues they had visited, including that the venue
 324 was a “*nice environment*”, or “*fun*”. When describing their attitudes, most described the
 325 playground, and the restaurant or food items as their main reasons for liking the venue. One
 326 ten year old boy said that he liked that “*you can just play around wherever you want really*”,
 327 while others commented that the venues were “*family friendly*”. Children had a range of
 328 positive associations with venues, particularly when they related to family events and
 329 activities. Some stated they attended the venue as part of family rituals such as raffle night
 330 every Friday, or special celebratory events such as birthdays. Some children specifically
 331 noted the role of the club in facilitating these activities:

332

333 *“I think that it’s a nice thing to learn to get out and celebrate something or even just*
 334 *have a set idea of gathering with family...When someone mentions that we’re going to*
 335 *the club it’s often a real sense of – it’s very good to think about. Like it’s just*
 336 *something we all look forward to and we see it as a special occasion even though we*
 337 *do go quite frequently.”* Girl, 14 years, attended more than once a month.

338

339 Some children described the broader positive community impact of venues for local
340 communities. For example, children described the contributions that venues made to the
341 sponsoring of sport (and particularly junior sport). This included one ten year old boy who
342 referred to his local venue's ownership of sporting fields. Other children listed specific
343 sporting teams sponsored by the venue, including football, soccer and netball teams. One boy
344 aged 12 years referred to school based football teams that were sponsored by venues:

345
346 *"I know they support tons of schools because when we verse them in the gala*
347 *days...they had their school jerseys on and it's like 'Sponsored by [venue]'*". Boy, 12
348 years, attended once a month.

349
350 A small number of children described broader community contributions from venues. For
351 example one boy aged 16 years stated that venues *"bring everyone together and raise money*
352 *for local causes"*.

353
354 While children were also asked about things that they did not like at the venue, few children
355 were able to provide a response. Those who did often focused on the noise or crowds at the
356 venue. For example, one adolescent boy stated that the activities for smaller children should
357 be separated from eating areas so as not to disturb other diners, and an adolescent girl stated
358 that she did not like that sport was constantly shown in the bistro. Only a small number of
359 children stated that provision of gambling or alcohol in the venue could be negative. For
360 example one girl aged nine years said she didn't like gambling and betting because *"some*
361 *people go over the top with it"*, while another boy aged 16 said he didn't like that Keno was
362 shown on televisions *"right next to where all the kids are"* because *"it should be in a separate*
363 *area"*.

364
365 The majority of children indicated that they would attend the venue as an adult (n=40,
366 90.9%). Most children said without prompting that they would attend with family or friends.
367 When prompted, some children said they would go with their families for events like special
368 occasions or with their family if they *"had to"*. One boy aged 11 said he would go to the
369 venue for dinner if he had a girlfriend. Other children said they would attend the venue as
370 adults with their own families. For example, one boy said he would take his children to the
371 venue for their birthday while another explained:

372

373 *“It would be fun to take your kids there so you could have a little meetup with friends,*
374 *and have their kids to play around in the play area.”* Boy 10 years, attended less than
375 once a month.

376

377 **Discussion**

378

379 This study aimed to explore the factors that influence children’s perceptions of community
380 gambling venues and the gambling products within. The study raises three points for
381 discussion.

382

383 First, while it is no surprise that children recall children’s activities and other aspects of the
384 venues that they attend, one third of children showed unprompted recall of gambling
385 activities, with half indicating that they currently engage in some gambling activities within
386 the venue. Although it is illegal for children to participate in gambling and for adults to
387 facilitate gambling for children under 18,³³ the reported use of products such as Keno within
388 dining areas may be important to consider when exploring the factors that contribute to
389 shaping children’s attitudes and behaviours towards gambling products and environments.
390 Although, as with other research,²⁰ children may not be physically placing bets within
391 venues, children perceive that they are participating in gambling activities. While research
392 shows that children’s initiation into gambling may often be through their family,³⁴ we know
393 much less about the social and cultural contexts behind children’s introduction to gambling.³⁵
394 Further settings based investigations are required that examine the role of the venue in
395 facilitating gambling behaviours through the presence of gambling in locations which are also
396 considered to be family friendly. This could also include the potential for health promotion
397 measures, such as eliminating the co-location of gambling in all areas that are accessible to
398 children. We would caution that the current use of gambling products such as Keno, raffles
399 and bingo by children and their families may play a role in normalising gambling for children
400 by becoming part of their everyday experience within venues that are perceived to be positive
401 locations. While EGMs are considered to be the most harmful gambling product,¹ the
402 emphasis on EGM product harms may create the perception that it is acceptable to expose
403 children to other forms of gambling, such as raffles and Keno which are in areas where
404 children attend. Further research should also specifically examine whether perceptions of
405 lower intensity gambling products contribute to children’s perceptions of risk associated with
406 higher intensity gambling products.

407 Second, children in this study had positive perceptions of the venues they attended primarily
408 due to the non-gambling activities within venues and the social rituals associated with these
409 activities. While research has often focused on the role of advertising,^{7, 15} the current research
410 indicates that family behaviours and social rituals within venues may also contribute to
411 shaping children's gambling attitudes and behaviours. Further research is needed to explore
412 the long-term effects of positive perceptions of gambling venues for children, and particularly
413 whether children's perceptions of venues as family-friendly and social rituals within them,
414 act to reduce the perceived risk associated with gambling products. This will be important in
415 understanding how social rituals may reinforce and embed gambling behaviours into the
416 'habitus' (practices) within venues.³⁶ Future research should also observe whether there are
417 differences in attitudes towards gambling of children who attend and who do not attend
418 community gambling venues.

419

420 Finally, the majority of children in this study said they would continue attending venues as
421 adults, with half of children indicating they would use gambling activities in the venue as an
422 adult. Recent research that has found that adults primarily attended community gambling
423 venues for non-gambling activities, such as use of the restaurant; however adults who
424 attended venues regularly were more likely to report that they also gambled on EGMs.¹²
425 Other data also indicates that half of EGM users have dinner at venues while gambling.³⁷
426 While children who indicated intended use of gambling products may be at increased risk of
427 gambling harm, children who do not specifically indicate future participation in gambling
428 activities may still be at risk through their exposure to gambling products within community
429 venues. Given that exposure to advertising can increase product initiation and reduce
430 perceptions of harm,^{38, 39} this research provides a starting point into the investigation of
431 children's exposure and perceptions of harm towards gambling products in community
432 gambling venues. It is also useful to consider the role of children's non-gambling activities in
433 encouraging parents to view community gambling venues as child appropriate spaces. Given
434 that children are not responsible for the decision to attend community gambling venues,
435 researchers should examine how the interplay of commercial, socio-cultural and
436 environmental factors may shape parental attitudes and encourage attendance at community
437 gambling venues. This will be important in disrupting the pathway to normalisation and
438 ensuring that both parents and children understand the risks associated with gambling
439 products in environments that may traditionally be perceived as less harmful.

440

441 This study has several limitations that should be acknowledged. First, the study was
442 conducted using a small study sample in one specific geographic area of Australia. It should
443 also be noted that this sample contained children who currently attend community gambling
444 venues, with a high proportion of children who indicated current consumption of gambling
445 products, with no comparison group who do not attend venues. While the methodologies
446 employed may be time and resource intensive, further research should seek to expand this
447 study and explore children's recall across different geographic areas. Further, research should
448 seek to compare children's perceptions of gambling spaces in a sample of children who
449 attend the same venue to determine additional factors that may contribute to children's
450 understanding of adult gambling products and behaviours. Finally, this preliminary research
451 suggests that children may be aware of adult products in a setting they perceive to be
452 positive; however further research should investigate children's behaviour longitudinally to
453 determine whether there is a relationship between children's attendance at venues containing
454 gambling products and adult gambling behaviours.

455

456 **Conclusion**

457

458 This research has found that children who attend community gambling venues had high recall
459 of a range of activities within the environment, including gambling and non-gambling
460 activities. Findings support the hypothesis that non-gambling activities in community
461 gambling venues play a role in shaping children's perceptions of venues and the gambling
462 activities within. This research provides a starting point for further examination into how
463 gambling may become normalised for children that attend community gambling venues. This
464 will be important in developing comprehensive health promotion strategies to prevent
465 children who are exposed to gambling environments from experiencing gambling related
466 harm as adults.

467

468 **References**

469

- 470 1. Thomas SL, Thomas SD. The big gamble: The need for a comprehensive research
471 approach to understanding the causes and consequences of gambling harm in Australia.
472 *Australasian Epidemiologist*. 2015;22(1):39.
- 473 2. Queensland Government Statistician's Office & Queensland Treasury. *Australian*
474 *Gambling Statistics 1989-90 to 2015-16*. [Queensland (AUST)]: 2017

- 475 3. Hancock L, Smith G. Critiquing the Reno Model I-IV International Influence on
476 Regulators and Governments (2004–2015)—the Distorted Reality of “Responsible
477 Gambling”. *International Journal of Mental Health and Addiction*. 2017:1-26.
- 478 4. Thomas SL, Pitt H, Bestman A, Randle M, McCarthy S, Daube M. *The determinants
479 of gambling normalisation: Causes, consequences and public health responses*. Melbourne:
480 Victorian Responsible Gambling Foundation, 2018.
- 481 5. Thomas SL, Randle M, Pitt H, Bestman A, Daube M, Pettigrew S. *A public health
482 framework for assessing gambling industry strategies and tactics*. Melbourne: Victorian
483 Responsible Gambling Foundation, 2018.
- 484 6. Aldridge J, Measham F, Williams L. Towards the normalisation of recreational drug
485 use. *Illegal Leisure Revisited: Changing Patterns of Alcohol and Drug Use in Adolescents
486 and Young Adults (Adolescence and Society)*. East Sussex: Routledge; 2013. p. 201-30.
- 487 7. Bestman A, Thomas S, Randle M, Pitt H, Daube M, Pettigrew S. Shaping pathways to
488 gambling consumption? An analysis of the promotion of gambling and non-gambling
489 activities from gambling venues. *Addiction Research & Theory*. 2016;24(2):152-62.
- 490 8. McCarthy S, Thomas SL, Randle M, Bestman A, Pitt H, Cowlshaw S, et al.
491 Women’s gambling behaviour, product preferences, and perceptions of product harm:
492 differences by age and gambling risk status. *Harm Reduction Journal*. 2018;15(1):22.
- 493 9. Markham F, Young M. “Big Gambling”: The rise of the global industry-state
494 gambling complex. *Addiction Research & Theory*. 2015;23(1):1-4.
- 495 10. Thomas SL, Lewis S, Westberg K, Derevensky JL. What influences the beliefs,
496 behaviours and consumption patterns of ‘moderate risk’ gamblers? *International Journal of
497 Mental Health and Addiction*. 2013;11(4):474-89.
- 498 11. Thomas A, Sullivan G, Allen F. A theoretical model of EGM problem gambling:
499 More than a cognitive escape. *International Journal of Mental Health and Addiction*.
500 2009;7(1):97-107.
- 501 12. Bestman A, Thomas SL, Randle M, Pitt H, Daube M. Attitudes towards community
502 gambling venues and support for regulatory reform: an online panel study of residents in New
503 South Wales, Australia. *Harm Reduction Journal*. 2018;15(1):1-.
- 504 13. Australian Productivity Commission. *Inquiry Report into Gambling*. ACT (AUST):
505 Commonwealth of Australia, 2010 23 June. Report No: 50.
- 506 14. Bestman A, Thomas S, Randle M, Pitt H. Children’s attitudes towards Electronic
507 Gambling Machines: an exploratory qualitative study of children who attend community
508 clubs. *Harm Reduction Journal*. 2017;14(1):20.
- 509 15. Pitt H, Thomas SL, Bestman A, Stoneham M, Daube M. “It’s just everywhere!”
510 Children and parents discuss the marketing of sports wagering in Australia. *Australian &
511 New Zealand Journal of Public Health*. 2016;40(5):480-6.
- 512 16. Pitt H, Thomas SL, Bestman A, Daube M, Derevensky J. What do children observe
513 and learn from televised sports betting advertisements? A qualitative study among Australian
514 children. *Australian & New Zealand Journal of Public Health*. 2017.
- 515 17. Bestman A, Thomas SL, Randle M, Thomas SD. Children’s implicit recall of junk
516 food, alcohol and gambling sponsorship in Australian sport. *BMC Public Health*.
517 2015;15(1):1022.
- 518 18. Thomas S, Pitt H, Bestman A, Randle M, Daube M, Pettigrew S. *Child and parent
519 recall of gambling sponsorship in Australian sport*. Victoria (AUST): Victorian Responsible
520 Gambling Foundation, 2016.
- 521 19. Pitt H, Thomas SL, Bestman A, Daube M, Derevensky J. Factors that influence
522 children’s gambling attitudes and consumption intentions: lessons for gambling harm
523 prevention research, policies and advocacy strategies. *Harm Reduction Journal*.
524 2017;14(1):11.

- 525 20. Fabiansson C. Pathways to Excessive Gambling—Are Young People’s Approach to
526 Gambling an Indication of Future Gambling Propensity? *Child Indicators Research*.
527 2008;1(2):156-75.
- 528 21. Cameron H. Asking the tough questions: a guide to ethical practices in interviewing
529 young children. *Early Child Development and Care*. 2005;175(6):597-610.
- 530 22. Fargas-Malet M, McSherry D, Larkin E, Robinson C. Research with children:
531 Methodological issues and innovative techniques. *Journal of Early Childhood Research*.
532 2010;8(2):175-92.
- 533 23. Klepsch M, Logie L. Children draw and tell: An introduction to the projective uses of
534 children's human figure drawings. New York: Brunner-Routledge; 1982.
- 535 24. ClubsNSW. 2015 NSW Club Census - Clubs in Regional NSW 2015 [cited 2017 5
536 Dec]. Available from: [http://www.clubsnsw.com.au/docs/default-source/default-document-](http://www.clubsnsw.com.au/docs/default-source/default-document-library/clubs-in-regional-nsw.pdf)
537 [library/clubs-in-regional-nsw.pdf](http://www.clubsnsw.com.au/docs/default-source/default-document-library/clubs-in-regional-nsw.pdf).
- 538 25. KPMG. National Club Census 2011 Online: KPMG; 2012 [cited 2018 21 May].
539 Available from: www.clubssa.com.au/media/19131/nationalclubcensus.pdf.
- 540 26. Greenslade D. Legitimising Harm: A Critical Ethnography of Gambling in a
541 Community. Ballarat: Federation University Australia; 2013.
- 542 27. McIlwain K. \$600m put through pokies in three months: figures Online2017 [updated
543 29.08.17]. Available from: [http://www.illawarramercury.com.au/story/4874625/600m-put-](http://www.illawarramercury.com.au/story/4874625/600m-put-through-pokies-in-three-months-figures/)
544 [through-pokies-in-three-months-figures/](http://www.illawarramercury.com.au/story/4874625/600m-put-through-pokies-in-three-months-figures/).
- 545 28. New South Wales Government. *Registered clubs and the liquor laws*. [Online]: Office
546 of Liquor,, Gaming and Racing, 2010
- 547 29. Australian Bureau of Statistics. SEIFA-Using and interpreting SEIFA Online:
548 Australian Bureau of Statistics; 2013 [cited 2017 5 Dec]. Available from:
549 [http://www.abs.gov.au/websitedbs/censushome.nsf/home/seifahelpansuis?opendocument&na](http://www.abs.gov.au/websitedbs/censushome.nsf/home/seifahelpansuis?opendocument&navpos=260)
550 [vpos=260](http://www.abs.gov.au/websitedbs/censushome.nsf/home/seifahelpansuis?opendocument&navpos=260).
- 551 30. Australian Bureau of Statistics. SEIFA in TableBuilder Online: Australian Bureau of
552 Statistics; 2013 [cited 2017 5 Dec]. Available from:
553 [http://www.abs.gov.au/websitedbs/censushome.nsf/home/seifab?opendocument&navpos=26](http://www.abs.gov.au/websitedbs/censushome.nsf/home/seifab?opendocument&navpos=260)
554 [0](http://www.abs.gov.au/websitedbs/censushome.nsf/home/seifab?opendocument&navpos=260).
- 555 31. Horstman M, Aldiss S, Richardson A, Gibson F. Methodological issues when using
556 the draw and write technique with children aged 6 to 12 years. *Qualitative Health Research*.
557 2008;18(7):1001-11.
- 558 32. Miles MB, Huberman AM. *Qualitative data analysis: An expanded sourcebook*: Sage;
559 1994.
- 560 33. New South Wales Government. *Unlawful Gambling Act 1998 No 113*. [Online]:
561 Parliamentary Counsel's Office, 2017
- 562 34. Dowling N, Jackson AC, Thomas SA, Frydenberg E. *Children at risk of developing*
563 *problem gambling*. Melbourne: Gambling Research Australia, 2010.
- 564 35. Reith G, Dobbie F. Beginning gambling: The role of social networks and
565 environment. *Addiction Research & Theory*. 2011;19(6):483-93.
- 566 36. Bourdieu P. *The logic of practice* (R. Nice, Trans.). Cambridge: Polity Press; 1990.
- 567 37. Roy Morgan. Half of poker machine players dine at gambling venue 2013 [cited 2018
568 15 Mar]. Available from: [http://www.roymorgan.com/findings/5182-other-activities-when-](http://www.roymorgan.com/findings/5182-other-activities-when-playing-poker-machines-june-2013-201309170413)
569 [playing-poker-machines-june-2013-201309170413](http://www.roymorgan.com/findings/5182-other-activities-when-playing-poker-machines-june-2013-201309170413).
- 570 38. Petrescu D, Vasiljevic M, Pepper J, Ribisl K, Marteau T. What is the impact of e-
571 cigarette adverts on children's perceptions of tobacco smoking? An experimental study.
572 *Tobacco control*. 2016;tobaccocontrol-2016-052940.

573 39. Ross CS, Maple E, Siegel M, DeJong W, Naimi TS, Padon AA, et al. The relationship
574 between population-level exposure to alcohol advertising on television and brand-specific
575 consumption among underage youth in the US. *Alcohol and Alcoholism*. 2015;50(3):358-64.
576